



Ottawa, Canada K1A 0H3

APR 21 2021

Bob Masterson
President and Chief Executive Officer
Chemistry Industry Association of Canada
45 O'Connor Street, Suite 1240
Ottawa ON K1P 1A4

Dear Bob Masterson:

I am responding to the Notice of Objection and request to establish a Board of Review that you filed on behalf of the Chemistry Industry Association of Canada regarding the proposed Order to add plastic manufactured items to the *List of Toxic Substances* in Schedule 1 of the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999* (CEPA). The proposed Order was published in the *Canada Gazette, Part I*, on October 10, 2020.

Subsection 332(2) of the CEPA states that any person may file a Notice of Objection requesting that a Board of Review be established. As set out in subsection 333(1) of CEPA, the mandate of a Board of Review in this instance would be to inquire into the nature and extent of the danger posed by plastic manufactured items.

I have fully and carefully considered the issues set out in your Notice of Objection. I have also thoroughly reviewed the additional references you provided. As the scientific information and additional references provided in your Notice did not raise sufficient uncertainty or doubt in the scientific considerations underlying the proposed Order to warrant the establishment of a Board of Review, I am denying your request and I will not establish a Board of Review. The scientific considerations that underlay the proposed Order are related to the ability of macroplastics to have an immediate or long-term harmful effect on the environment or its biological diversity as set out in section 64 CEPA.

In your Notice of Objection, you stated that the Science Assessment of Plastic Pollution was published without a complete review of the best available science. I can assure you that in preparing the Science Assessment, the current state of science regarding plastic pollution was reviewed and the assessment presents a thorough summary of the science available in the peer-reviewed literature at the time it was written. Scientific studies discussed in the report were validated against a set of qualitative criteria, and where study limitations were identified,

.../2



this is clearly indicated in the text. In addition, the report underwent an external peer review by both domestic and international experts, and was subject to a 90-day public comment period. The report clearly acknowledges that uncertainties exist and high quality information is lacking in several study areas.

You also raised concerns that the exposure scenarios in the Science Assessment of Plastic Pollution were not based on peer reviewed data. As described above, all references cited in the Science Assessment, including those on exposure, were peer-reviewed and validated against a set of qualitative criteria, and where study limitations were identified, this is clearly indicated.

With regard to the non-scientific issues raised in your Notice of Objection, as well as the non-scientific references provided, these are being considered alongside other comments received on the proposed Order, and will be addressed in the Regulatory Impact Analysis Statement that is published with the final Order.

I appreciate your bringing the Chemistry Industry Association of Canada's concerns to my attention.

Please accept my best regards.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Jonathan Wilkinson". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial "J" and "W".

The Honourable Jonathan Wilkinson, P.C., M.P.