



APR 21 2021

Ottawa, Canada K1A 0H3

David M. Fink  
President  
Plastics Pipe Institute, Inc.  
105 Decker Court, Suite 825  
Irving TX 75062  
U.S.A.

Dear David M. Fink:

I am responding to the Notice of Objection and request to establish a Board of Review that you filed on behalf of the Plastics Pipe Institute, Inc. regarding the proposed Order to add plastic manufactured items to the *List of Toxic Substances* in Schedule 1 of the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999* (CEPA). The proposed Order was published in the *Canada Gazette, Part I*, on October 10, 2020.

Subsection 332(2) of the CEPA states that any person may file a Notice of Objection requesting that a Board of Review be established. As set out in subsection 333(1) of CEPA, the mandate of a Board of Review in this instance would be to inquire into the nature and extent of the danger posed by plastic manufactured items.

I have fully and carefully considered the issues set out in your Notice of Objection. As the scientific information provided in your Notice did not raise sufficient uncertainty or doubt in the scientific considerations underlying the proposed Order to warrant the establishment of a Board of Review, I am denying your request to establish a Board of Review. The scientific considerations that underlay the proposed Order are related to the ability of macroplastics to have an immediate or long-term harmful effect on the environment or its biological diversity as set out in section 64 CEPA.

In your Notice of Objection, you raised the issue that the Science Assessment of Plastic Pollution was published without a complete view of the best available science. I can assure you that in preparing the Science Assessment, the current state of science regarding plastic pollution was reviewed and the assessment presented a thorough summary of the science available in the peer-reviewed literature at the time it was written. Scientific studies discussed in the report were validated against a set of qualitative criteria, and where study limitations were identified, this is clearly indicated in the text. In addition, the report underwent an

.../2



50<sup>e</sup> anniversaire d'Environnement et Changement climatique Canada  
Environment and Climate Change Canada's 50<sup>th</sup> anniversary

150<sup>e</sup> anniversaire du Service météorologique du Canada  
Meteorological Service of Canada's 150<sup>th</sup> anniversary



external peer review by both domestic and international experts, and was subject to a 90-day public comment period. The report clearly acknowledges that uncertainties exist and high quality information is lacking in several study areas.

With regard to the non-scientific issues raised in your Notice of Objection, these are being considered alongside other comments received on the proposed Order and will be addressed in the Regulatory Impact Analysis Statement that is published with the final Order.

I appreciate your bringing Plastics Pipe Institute, Inc. concerns to my attention.

Please accept my best regards.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Jonathan Wilkinson". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

The Honourable Jonathan Wilkinson, P.C., M.P.