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## List of Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EI</td>
<td>Employment Insurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESDC</td>
<td>Employment and Social Development Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMDA</td>
<td>Labour Market Development Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMEC</td>
<td>Performance Measurement and Evaluation Committee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Deputy Head Departmental Evaluation Plan Confirmation Note

I approve the Departmental Evaluation Plan of Employment and Social Development Canada for the fiscal years 2018 to 2019 to fiscal year 2022 to 2023, which I submit to the Treasury Board of Canada Secretariat as required by the Policy on Results.

I confirm that this five-year rolling Departmental Evaluation Plan:

- plans for evaluation of all ongoing programs of grants and contributions with five-year average actual expenditures of $5 million or greater per year at least once every five years, in fulfillment of the requirements of subsection 42.1 of the Financial Administration Act;
- meets the requirements of the Mandatory Procedures for Evaluation; and,
- supports the requirements of the expenditure management system including, as applicable, Memoranda to Cabinet, Treasury Board submissions, and resource alignment reviews.

I will ensure that this plan is updated annually, and I will provide information about its implementation to the Treasury Board of Canada Secretariat as required.

Louise Levonian
Deputy Minister of Employment and Social Development
Executive Summary

The Department of Employment and Social Development Canada (ESDC) delivers over $120 billion\(^1\) annually in programs and services such as Employment Insurance, Canada Pension Plan, Old Age Security, Canada Child Benefit, and other programs that profoundly impact the lives of Canadians. The Evaluation Directorate collaborates widely with ESDC decision makers, providing evidence to inform program renewal and policy and program development.

Collaborative engagement with central agencies and departmental stakeholders on the timing and scope of evaluations was the essence of this responsive five-year evaluation plan. Beyond ensuring that evaluations are conducted on all programs that fall under the Financial Administration Act and the Policy on Results, the Directorate aims to ensure that evaluations are responsive and timely, and meet the needs of decision makers.

Innovative approaches to conducting evaluations are increasing the efficiency and impact of evaluation work. For example, the development of a labour market program data platform in collaboration with ESDC’s Skills and Employment Branch will enable analysis of medium-term program impacts and trends. The Directorate increasingly employs different types of evaluations, and is exploring ways to increase the use of thematic and horizontal evaluations.

Key achievements

During the last fiscal year, the Evaluation Directorate enhanced its ability to support decision making, increased its dissemination and engagement efforts, and provided trusted, neutral advice on performance measurement and program improvements.

1. Influenced decision making

In fiscal year 2017 to 2018, the Evaluation Directorate generated evaluation reports and the supporting technical reports and preliminary findings which provided decision makers with timely, evidence-based information to inform policy and program improvements. In summary, the Directorate:

- advised on 20 Treasury Board submissions and 12 Memoranda to Cabinet to ensure that evaluation findings inform the analysis within these seminal documents;
- completed 12 evaluation reports, 4 technical studies supporting the EI Monitoring and Assessment Report, and 30 other technical reports to inform program decision making;
- is extending the innovative methodological approach used for the Labour Market Development Agreements (LMDAs) to all major labour market programs to support horizontal analysis; and,
- ensured the timely sharing of results to inform the new Homelessness Partnering Strategy.

\(^1\) Data source: 2016–17 Departmental Performance Report
2. Shared knowledge and increased engagement

In fiscal year 2017 to 2018, the Evaluation Directorate advanced efforts to disseminate knowledge and share promising practices among internal and external stakeholders. Specific initiatives included:

- delivered presentations on Innovation and the Evaluation Function, and on the Job Alerts Service and Labour Market Experience of Unemployed Canadians;
- increased access to evaluation reports with enhanced meta-tagging of reports; and,
- promoted the evaluation function by profiling evaluators from across government.

3. Broadened the trusted advisor role

The Evaluation Directorate is increasingly regarded as a source of trusted and neutral advice to ESDC’s departmental portfolio. During fiscal year 2017 to 2018, the Evaluation Directorate:

- strengthened collaborative, evidence-based federalism through active participation in the development of a common performance measurement strategy across all Labour Market Transfer Agreements with provinces and territories;
- played a key leadership role with internal stakeholders to design and implement performance measurement tools for all ESDC portfolio programs;
- provided the department and central agencies with evaluation results to support decision making. For example, evidence on the Working While on Claim pilot projects informed future directions for this Employment Insurance provision; and,
- shared lessons learned from previous evaluations relating to Indigenous populations to assist in ESDC’s efforts to increase take-up of the Canada Child Benefit on reserves.

Managing challenges

The Directorate encounters two key challenges when conducting its evaluation work: the analytic capacity to address the workload requirements, and the availability and quality of data.

To mitigate challenges and in support of human resource management, the Directorate has developed a Strategic Human Resource Plan that identifies current needs, priorities, and career development opportunities. Initiatives include: actively recruiting new talent from the academic community, professional organizations, and other federal agencies; contracting retired evaluators; and providing relevant training opportunities for employees.

To improve the availability and quality of data, the Directorate has identified specific priorities in the areas of grants and contributions and labour market program data. The Department’s Chief Data Officer ensures that data is efficiently integrated and securely stored, and that analytics programs are in place to maximize its business value.

Increasing emphasis on outcomes and impacts

The Evaluation Directorate has made significant effort to evolve from generating output-focused evaluation reports to ones that are more outcome-oriented. Previously, in the absence of solid data, evaluations tended to focus on analyzing output, performance measures and qualitative outcomes. Recently, with the investment in data and performance measurement, evaluation
work has focused on program impacts and the provision of more timely, policy-relevant evaluations. The Directorate also increasingly employs different types of evaluations; considers and tests innovative approaches; and has become more efficient in producing evaluation work.

ESDC DEPARTMENTAL EVALUATION PLAN
Key Results in 2017-2018

90%
of surveyed ESDC programs indicated that evaluations were delivered in a timely manner

80%
agreed that overall, the program benefited from the evaluation

EXPANDING THE USE OF NET IMPACT ANALYSIS
to better design and deliver labour market programming.

SHARING EARLY EVALUATION RESULTS AND BEST PRACTICES
to contribute to the development of social programs.

12
evaluation reports & 12 provincial and territorial reports

30
technical reports

12
capacity building exercises were completed

20
Treasury Board submissions were informed by evaluation

12
Memorandum to Cabinet were informed by evaluation

24
programs received advice on their performance measurement Strategies

4
supplemental studies completed to support and expand monitoring and reporting of the EI program
Introduction

Purpose of the report

The primary purpose of the Departmental Evaluation Plan (the Plan) is to assist the Deputy Head in ensuring that factual, timely and neutral information on the department's programs, including grants and contributions and transfer payments, is available to support evidence-based decision making and inform results for Canadians. To foster the integration and streamlining of departmental plans, this report uses standardized language and table formats found in other management plans. The Plan also:

- provides an annual opportunity for program officials and the Head of Evaluation to discuss priorities, scope, and timing of evaluations, as well as challenges and opportunities in enabling timely, relevant evaluations;
- ensures that evaluation analyses inform policy and program development in support of Memoranda to Cabinet and Treasury Board submissions;
- incorporates central agency priorities and perspectives; and,
- serves as a means for the Head of Evaluation and program officials to manage and align projects including the required financial and human resources.

Departmental context

The mission of Employment and Social Development Canada (ESDC) is to build a stronger and more competitive Canada, to support Canadians in making choices that help them live productive and rewarding lives, and to improve their quality of life. This large and complex Department is responsible for developing, managing, and delivering social, labour market, and economic programs and services that support Canadians via three business lines: Employment and Social Development, the Labour Program, and Service Canada.

The Department delivered over $120 billion\(^2\) in benefits each year directly to individuals and organizations through major programs and services such as Employment Insurance, Old Age Security, Canada Pension Plan, and the Canada Student Loans Program. In addition, ESDC provides $1.9 billion\(^3\) in grants and contributions funding each year to other orders of government, such as those made to provincial and territorial governments through Workforce Development and Labour Market Development Agreements, as well as to charitable and community organizations, private sector companies, and educators.

**Employment and Social Development programs** help Canadians at all stages in their lives. The broad range of programming includes assisting parents who are raising young children, helping students finance their post-secondary education, supporting unemployed workers, and providing seniors with basic income security. The programs also provide targeted support to

---

\(^2\) Data source: 2016–17 Departmental Performance Report

\(^3\) Ibid.
vulnerable populations such as seniors, people with disabilities, Indigenous peoples, homeless people, and recent immigrants.

The Labour Program is responsible for overseeing federal labour regulatory responsibilities, including facilitating compliance with occupational health and safety, labour standards, and employment equity legislation. The Labour Program assists trade unions and employers in the negotiation and renewal of collective agreements in federally regulated workplaces. It also represents Canada in international labour organizations, and negotiates and implements labour provisions in the context of trade liberalization initiatives.

Through Service Canada, Canadians can access ESDC programs as well as other Government of Canada programs and services at 590 points of service across the country: 320 Service Canada Centres, 238 Outreach Sites, and 32 Stand-alone Passport Offices. In addition to in-person services, Canadians can access services online and by phone at 1–800–O–Canada and specialized call centres. ESDC is currently transforming its service delivery approach to enhance the service experience for clients, employers, communities and organizations. The Transformation Plan outlines short-, medium-, and long-term opportunities and key goals of its initial phase include increased access, experience, timeliness and quality in service delivery.

ESDC Evaluation Directorate Accomplishments

The Evaluation Directorate systematically gathers and neutrally analyzes evidence to assess whether, why, and how programs work. This evidence informs decision making, improvements, innovations, and accountability. It also supports the Government’s Results Agenda by building the knowledge base on performance measurement, data, and research in partnership with program officials and researchers.

The Evaluation Directorate delivers evaluations that focus on key knowledge gaps and the relevance, effectiveness, and efficiency of programs for Canadians, while making recommendations to improve performance information. All evaluations are scoped in partnership with program areas and key stakeholders to optimize their utility and practical application.

During the last fiscal year, the Directorate has furthered efforts to influence decision making, increased its dissemination and engagement efforts, and provided neutral and trusted advice on performance measurement and program development.

**Influenced decision making**

Evaluation reports and the supporting technical studies, preliminary findings, and methodological strategies all provide decision makers with timely, evidence-based information
used to improve program design and performance, and strengthen accountability. During fiscal year 2017 to 2018, the Evaluation Directorate:

- completed 12 evaluation reports, one interim report, and 34 technical reports including four technical studies in support of the EI Monitoring and Assessment Report. Interim and technical reports provide timely evidence-based information to improve and/or course correct and identify challenges or barriers that could be acted on before the final report recommendations are addressed in a management response;
- reviewed and provided advice on 12 Memoranda to Cabinet and 20 Treasury Board submissions. This activity aligns with central agency expectations that evaluation results should inform the comprehensive analysis and the recommendations sections of these policy and program implementation documents;
- extending, among other projects, the innovative methodological approach used for the evaluation for the LMDAs to other labour market programs such as Aboriginal and youth labour market programs;
- increased its methodological capacity, supporting efforts to conduct more in-house analysis and apply net impact analysis to other programs, where feasible. This work will position the department to harvest early evaluation analysis in support of policy decisions on youth labour market programming and will help explore potential for horizontal and thematic reviews in the future; and,
- ensured the timely sharing of results to inform the new approach for the Homelessness Partnering Strategy.

**Shared knowledge and increased engagement**

During fiscal year 2017 to 2018, the Evaluation Directorate made a concerted effort to disseminate knowledge and share promising practices among internal and external stakeholders through learning series, various scientific and public policy conferences, and published articles. The Directorate continues to modernize the way it communicates results to a wider audience, using social media platforms such as Twitter and LinkedIn to advance the dissemination and use of evaluation findings. The Directorate staff also:

- presented at 12 conferences, including presentations on Innovation and the Evaluation Function, Job Alerts Service and Labor Market Experience of Unemployed Canadians, types of evaluations to Treasury Board Secretariat, and a presentation on ESDCs labour market data platform to researchers and academics;
- presented the results of the Learning and Labour Market Information Evaluation as disseminated through Job Bank to researchers and senior program officials;
- engaged in horizontal evaluations with Public Safety on the National Action Plan to Combat Human Trafficking and with Natural Resources Canada’s evaluation on Building Aboriginal Support for West Coast Energy Projects initiative;
- published three articles in the Canadian Government Executive Magazine, one article in the Canadian Journal of Program Evaluation, and six technical evaluation papers on publications.gc.ca;
• led a government-wide effort to promote the evaluation function by producing, in collaboration with other Heads of Evaluation, seven promotional posters profiling evaluators from across government;
• increased the discoverability and public access to evaluation reports online with enhanced meta-tagging of reports. Total visits to the evaluation reports website increased by over 120 percent within three months;
• organized five internal learning sessions, covering a variety of topics including unconscious bias and disability accessibility awareness;
• advanced collective, cost-effective in-house training such as a two-day course on Essentials in Evaluation; and,
• created the Federal Network of Evaluators group on LinkedIn, an online space for Canadian federal evaluators and those interested in government evaluation practices to discuss the field of evaluation.

Broadened the trusted advisor role for Evaluation

The Evaluation Directorate is becoming a source of trusted advice to ESDC’s departmental portfolio, and is engaged early in the policy and program design phase to ensure the right program information and data is collected to enable relevant evaluations. The Directorate also supports ongoing policy dialogue by providing strategic guidance on how performance information can strengthen results reporting and drive program improvements. During fiscal year 2017 to 2018, the Evaluation Directorate:

• strengthened collaborative evidence-based federalism through active participation in the development of a common performance measurement strategy across all Labour Market Transfer Agreements with provinces and territories. This will enable new, powerful, and innovative analysis at the national level of how federal investments positively impacted the lives of Canadians in terms of income and employment; it will include, for the first time, indicators to measure a continuum of success;
• played a key leadership role with internal stakeholders to complete the Performance Information Profiles4 for all ESDC portfolio programs;
• supported service transformation by producing lessons learned documents and an article on evaluation results of three evaluations focused on seniors and service delivery;
• provided advice for other planning and performance documents (e.g. Departmental Plan, Departmental Results Report). In line with the Policy on Results, the Directorate also provided advice on program indicators found in the Departmental Results Framework;
• provided central agency officials with evaluation results to support decision making (e.g. information on the Working While on Claim pilot projects which informed future directions for this Employment Insurance provision); and,
• shared lessons learned from previous evaluations relating to Indigenous populations to assist in ESDC’s efforts to increase take-up of the Canada Child Benefit on reserves.

---

4 Performance Information Profiles are a performance measurement tool required by the Policy on Results to identify comprehensive program performance information.
Moreover, the Evaluation Directorate continues to coordinate, in collaboration with the Skills and Employment Branch, the Monitoring Report Advisory Committee. This Committee - whose members include the Canada Employment Insurance Commissioners for Employers and for Workers as well as an observer from the Canadian Research Data Centre Network - develops and advances the analytical agenda that supports the annual EI Monitoring and Assessment Report. The research agenda is approved by the Canada Employment Insurance Commission chaired by the Deputy Minister of Employment and Social Development Canada. The supplemental studies proposed by and prepared for the committee are not connected to formal evaluations of the EI program. The Evaluation Directorate will undertake 4 supplemental studies on the EI Program in fiscal year 2018 to 2019.

By increasing efforts to influence decision making, disseminate knowledge and broaden its trusted advisor role, the Directorate will continue to enhance program integrity, help programs achieve better performance and results, and improve service delivery to Canadians.

**Results**

In 2016, the Government of Canada began implementing the Policy on Results to foster a culture of measurement and evaluation needed to strengthen the provision of evidence-based results to Canadians. The Policy on Results has enabled ESDC to consider and test innovative approaches, and increase the efficiency of evaluation work.

Complementing the Policy on Results, the Government of Canada’s Results Agenda focuses on identifying, delivering, and communicating to Canadians what government has achieved on their behalf and reporting on the resources used to do so.

To ensure that governmental and departmental results priorities are achieved, ESDC is transforming its business activities and departmental structures. For example, the appointment of a Chief Results and Delivery Officer provides central agencies and other departments with a key contact on departmental results. The Chief Results and Delivery Officer is supported by the Head of Evaluation, the Head of Performance Measurement, the Chief Data Officer, and the Director General Supporting Departmental Charters. These officials collaborate and coordinate to ensure that evidence-based results influence decision making.

The Evaluation Directorate, guided by the Head of Evaluation reporting to the Deputy Minister, supports the Results Agenda by providing timely, focused evidence needed to improve programs and strengthen accountability. Below are some of the results highlights of published evaluations undertaken in the past five years.

**More Canadians are utilizing educational support programs**

Canada Student grants and bursaries increased from $421 million in fiscal year 2008 to 2009 to $715 million in fiscal year 2013 to 2014. In addition, 47% of Canadians opened a Registered Education Saving Plan (RESP) in 2013 as compared to 9.8% in 1998. Although gains have

---

been made, the evaluation recommended that programs explore further outreach options and take-up incentives to reach families with the greatest need for assistance.

**Greater stability for homeless Canadians**

In fiscal year 2014 to 2015, the Homelessness Partnering Strategy program emphasized the implementation of a Housing First approach that focuses on stable housing as a priority for Canadians experiencing chronic or episodic homelessness. By fiscal year 2015 to 2016 the program surpassed its target of placing 3,000 people in longer-term stable housing. Over 6,900 people were placed in stable housing and approximately three-quarters of clients were placed within 90 days. With these early indications of positive outcomes, the program is anticipated to contribute to the ultimate goal of preventing and reducing homelessness in Canada.

**Meeting the financial needs of retired Canadians**

The Canada Pension Plan reaches 100% of eligible Canadians who are over 70 years old. This take-up rate provides a strong financial base for retired Canadians, representing about 25% of their retirement income. The evaluation revealed that Canada’s retirement income system compared well with other countries with similar living standards in reducing low income among seniors.

**Providing financial security to persons with disabilities**

From its introduction in 2008 to 2010, the number of participants in the Canada Disability Savings Program has grown steadily, reaching 15% of people with disabilities. However, the program still remains beyond the reach of some segments of the population. To increase take-up of the program, the evaluation recommended improvement to the communications approach and outreach to the target population.

**Participating in youth programs increases earnings**

The evaluation revealed that in the six years following participation in a Youth Employment Strategy (YES) program, participants in the Career Focus stream earned a cumulative $40,500 more than those who did not participate in the program. In the same time period, 80% of youth participating in the Skills Link stream program found employment and were able to increase their annual earnings from $3,651 to $14,009. The evaluation recommended that ESDC and YES partner departments/agencies work to improve the distribution of relevant labour market and career information to youth in all three YES streams.

---

7 Summative Evaluation of the Canada Pension Plan Retirement Pension and Survivor Benefits (2017)
9 Summative Evaluation of the Horizontal Youth Employment Strategy (2015)
Consistent service across Canada for Employment Insurance recipients\(^{10}\)

Automated claims processing has contributed to greater consistency in the speed of payments to employment insurance recipients. Service Canada will continue to enhance and modernize the service delivery model and supporting information technology with the ultimate goal of offering the same standard of service to Canadians across the country.

Labour disputes are settled without work stoppages\(^{11}\)

During the five-year evaluation time frame, the Workplace Dispute Prevention and Resolution Program contributed to settling collective agreement disputes on 95% of disputes without a work stoppage.

Job Bank is top of mind for many employers\(^{12}\)

Almost 60% of employers report that Job Bank is the primary place where they post job vacancies. At the same time, 70% of job seekers report that they are satisfied with job postings on Job Bank. The evaluation report recommended that Job Bank could improve its services by providing information reflecting local realities for better-informed decision making.

Evaluation planning and coverage

Table 1 shows the status of evaluations planned for fiscal year 2017 to 2018. All ESDC evaluations that are mandatory under the Financial Administration Act and the Policy on Results were completed by the end of fiscal year 2017 to 2018 with the exception of the Pathways to Education Canada evaluation (however it is only required in fiscal year 2018 to 2019). The Directorate has completed and received Deputy Minister Approval for 13 evaluation reports, and two reports are in the final approval stage following Performance Measurement and Evaluation Committee (PMEC) endorsement. In addition, six non-mandatory evaluations have been carried over to the following fiscal year for completion by the fall of 2018.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Report</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. International Trade and Labour and Occupational Health and Safety Evaluation</td>
<td>Completed(^{13}) : April 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Enabling Fund for Official Language Minority Communities – Evaluation</td>
<td>Completed : June 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Evaluation of the Labour Market Development</td>
<td>Completed : June 2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{10}\) Evaluation of Employment Insurance (EI) Automation and Modernization (2016)

\(^{11}\) Summative Evaluation of the Workplace Dispute Prevention and Resolution Program (2014)

\(^{12}\) Evaluation of Learning and Labour Market Information as Disseminated by ESDC Using a Web-based Consolidated Approach (2017)

\(^{13}\) Completion date is the date of Deputy Minister’s approval
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agreements Synthesis Report</th>
<th>Completed :</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1 Evaluation of the Canada – New Brunswick Labour Market Development Agreement Synthesis Report</td>
<td>December 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2 Evaluation of the Canada – Nunavut Labour Market Development Agreement Synthesis Report</td>
<td>December 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3 Evaluation of the Canada – Northwest Territories Labour Market Development Agreement Synthesis Report</td>
<td>December 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4 Evaluation of the Canada - Ontario Labour Market Development Agreement Synthesis Report</td>
<td>February 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5 Evaluation of the Canada – Prince Edward Island Labour Market Development Agreement Synthesis Report</td>
<td>February 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6 Evaluation of the Canada – British Columbia Labour Market Development Agreement Synthesis Report</td>
<td>February 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.7 Evaluation of the Canada - Alberta Labour Market Development Agreement Synthesis Report</td>
<td>February 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8 Evaluation of the Canada - Manitoba Labour Market Development Agreement Synthesis Report</td>
<td>March 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9 Evaluation of the Canada - Saskatchewan Labour Market Development Agreement Synthesis Report</td>
<td>March 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.10 Evaluation of the Canada – Newfoundland and Labrador Labour Market Development Agreement Synthesis Report</td>
<td>March 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.12 Evaluation of the Canada - Yukon Labour Market Development Agreement</td>
<td>March 2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Learning and Labour Market Information as disseminated by ESDC using a web-based consolidated approach – Evaluation | Completed : July 2017 |

| Literacy and Essential Skills | Completed : October 2017 |

| Federal Income Support for Parents of Murdered or Missing Children - Evaluation | Completed : December 2017 |

| Enabling Accessibility Fund - Summative Evaluation | Completed : December 2017 |

<p>| National Action Plan to Combat Human Trafficking | Completed : December 2017 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Evaluation of the Old Age Security Program: Phase 1</th>
<th>Completed: February 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Multilateral Labour Affairs - Evaluation</td>
<td>Completed: April 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Bilateral and Regional Labour Affairs – Evaluation</td>
<td>Completed: April 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Homelessness Partnering Strategy - Summative Evaluation</td>
<td>Completed: April 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Sectoral Initiatives Program</td>
<td>PMEC Endorsed(^{14}): April 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Building Aboriginal Support for West Coast energy projects initiative–SPF Evaluation (technical report for Horizontal Initiative led by NR-CAN)</td>
<td>PMEC Endorsed: January 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Opportunities Fund for Persons with Disabilities Phase 1 - Summative Evaluation</td>
<td>PMEC Endorsed: June 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Employment Equity Programs - Evaluation</td>
<td>Carried Over(^{15}): November 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Connecting Canadians with Available Jobs – Evaluation</td>
<td>Carried Over: June 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Working While on Claim Pilot Projects - Evaluation</td>
<td>Carried Over: June 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Pathways to Education Canada - Evaluation</td>
<td>Carried Over: September 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Labour Standards</td>
<td>Carried Over: September 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>Occupational Health and Safety</td>
<td>Carried Over: November 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>Providing Services and Information to Canadians Interim Report</td>
<td>Carried Over: September 2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Given the surge in reports required for completion in fiscal year 2017 to 2018 under the old Policy on Evaluation and planned for in 2013 (five years ago), the Evaluation Directorate has prioritized mandatory evaluations (under the Financial Administration Act and Policy on Results requirements), as well as work that informs pressing policy and program decision making. Some evaluation work has been accelerated to inform policy development, including the Youth Employment Strategy. Other planned non-mandatory and lower risk evaluations are all well advanced and are planned for completion for fall 2018.

Evaluation reports include recommendations to address areas for improvement; from these, program officials develop Management Responses. These responses are integrated into practices, policies, and initiatives leading to the improvement of program design, implementation, and/or delivery – all documented in Management Action Plans, which are tracked by the Evaluation Directorate. Management Action Plans are reviewed annually until they are completed, and the extent of implementation is assessed and reported annually to the

\(^{14}\) PMEC Endorsement is the last step in the approval process before a report receives DM approval and is published.

\(^{15}\) Carried Over indicates evaluation projects rescheduled for fiscal year 2018 to 2019 in compliance with the FAA and Policy on Results.
Performance Measurement and Evaluation Committee. The majority of Management Action Plan commitments outlined in past evaluations are well underway. In fiscal year 2016 to 2017, a total of 133 actions from 15 evaluation reports were monitored by the Evaluation Directorate. Of these, the majority have been completed.

The Departmental Evaluation Plan is shaped and influenced by a variety of considerations. Beyond legislative requirements and departmental considerations, the Evaluation Directorate also considers governmental priorities and initiatives such as the Results Agenda and its emphasis on outcome-based evidence and gender-based analysis when planning and executing its evaluations. Further, the Directorate also supports the Government’s Open Data and Open Government Initiative by proactively disseminating evaluation results via conferences, workshops and improving online access. The Directorate is also developing a labour market data platform to conduct approved research through a request for proposals process.

**Risk assessment in evaluation planning**

The Financial Administration Act requires departments to evaluate ongoing grants and contributions programs with a five-year annual allocation of $5 million or greater on a five-year cycle. Other mandatory requirements such as commitments in Treasury Board submissions or centrally-led evaluations requested by Treasury Board Secretariat are captured under the Policy on Results. For non-mandatory evaluations, risk and other factors (e.g. data availability, program renewal or sunset and timing) are also considered to identify high-priority evaluations and the most effective use of resources.

After first ensuring compliance with the Financial Administration Act and the Policy on Results, the Directorate assesses programs’ risk exposure during the planning exercise by reviewing program characteristics including:

- size of the population affected or targeted by the program;
- program materiality (e.g. value);
- program complexity;
- time elapsed since the previous evaluation;
- magnitude of change to the program since the previous evaluation;
- knowledge gaps with respect to the program; and,
- relationships with existing corporate risks and departmental priorities.

The risk assessments allow the Directorate to make informed decisions and promote meaningful discussion at management tables in planning for evaluations such as horizontal and thematic projects. Evaluations of complex or higher-risk programs can be allocated additional resources, phased to accommodate program stages of development, or conducted prior to evaluations of lower-risk programs where appropriate.

Programs benefit from evaluation risk assessments by the timely planning and development of interim reports or analysis for Memoranda to Cabinet and Treasury Board submissions based on risks and opportunities. For example, programs that support departmental priorities or those undergoing renewals are prioritized. This approach ensures that the Directorate is responsive
and able to adjust to the changing environment, and the needs of programs requiring timely evidence for decision making.

Performance information and evaluations

In July 2016, the Policy on Results replaced the Policy on Evaluation, the Policy on Management Resources and Results Structures, and the Policy on Reporting of Federal Institutions and Corporate Interests to Treasury Board of Canada Secretariat. To further emphasize and promote transparent, results-oriented and evidence-based approaches to federal policy development and program delivery, the new Policy introduced a number of new requirements. One such requirement was the development of a Departmental Results Framework to replace the strategic outcomes and programs of the current Program Alignment Architecture as well as the creation of Performance Information Profiles for each program listed in the Program Inventory.

Sound performance information can be used to guide and influence evaluation planning in several ways. Creating Profiles over the past year to meet Treasury Board Secretariat requirements was particularly significant, and engaged Evaluation as a source of trusted advice to programs throughout the process. In the initial phase, completed in November 2017, the Directorate advised on Profile design for every ESDC program to include all the required elements, such as a logic model, indicators, and data sources. Subsequently, programs will focus on improving the quality of Profiles so that they can become useful tools for monitoring performance. For example, ESDC’s Skills and Employment Branch is building its performance capacity by creating a dedicated results unit for its programs and initiatives that promote skills development, labour market participation, inclusiveness, and labour market efficiency.

The Directorate periodically reviews and advises programs improving their Profile information and program performance indicators as part of evaluability assessments. The availability, quality, validity, and reliability of the indicators and information in the Profile, including their utility for evaluation, are also factored into the assessment of the program’s state of readiness to be evaluated, and the scope of future program evaluations. Going forward, the Directorate will continue to work with program areas to advise on the development of performance information.

Increased flexibility

The department is required to evaluate specific programs based on two authorities:

- the Financial Administration Act; and,
- the Policy on Results.

The Policy, in alignment with the Financial Administration Act, requires that every five years, departments evaluate ongoing grants and contributions programs with five-year average annual allocations of $5 million or greater. It requires only periodic evaluations for programs with five-

---

16 Evaluability assessments are an early stage evaluation phase where the essential components of an evaluation are examined. They highlight timing and scope based on resource limitations, data quality and availability, and core evaluation issues of interest to key stakeholders.
the five-year average actual expenditures of less than $5 million per year. ESDC has only two grants and contributions programs with a five-year annual average actual expenditures of $5 million or less:

- International Labour Affairs; and,
- Federal Income Support for Parents of Murdered or Missing Children.

The Department aims to maintain a five-year evaluation cycle for all grants and contributions programs including the two smaller ones. Programs, for which evaluations are governed by the Policy on Results, are evaluated every 5 years by default, while alternative timing may be negotiable with a solid rationale. The timing for some programs is sometimes specifically set in Treasury Board submissions.

The following discretionary evaluations are not required and therefore are not included in this five-year evaluation plan:

- other Government Department Programs will not be evaluated according to the current plan as there are no applicable ongoing initiatives; and,
- Skilled Trades and Apprenticeship (Red Seal Program) was evaluated in 2014, and consultations with the program area have not indicated new knowledge gaps. Further, the Red Seal Program is funded with EI Part II funds converted to operations and maintenance funds. Therefore, there is no associated evaluation requirement.

The Evaluation Directorate will continue to engage with program officials and relevant stakeholders to ensure its coverage meets the evolving needs and priorities of the Department.

Consultations

In the fall of 2017, the Evaluation Directorate began consultations with officials regarding the timing and scope of evaluations outlined in the Plan, and on the importance of collecting performance information for the purpose of evaluation. These are the key findings:

- program officials have noted the usefulness and timeliness of evaluation work and outputs when engaging with central agencies on Memoranda to Cabinet, Treasury Board submissions, program renewal, and other reporting requirements;
- they have shown interest in using the new flexibility under the Policy on Results to more strategically plan evaluation timing, scope, and reporting to assist program areas with their information and evidence needs; and,
- program officials are committed to engaging Evaluation early in program planning and design.

The Directorate also coordinates with ESDC’s Internal Audit Services Branch to ensure there is no duplication or undue burden for programs, and to explore joint projects. As prescribed by the Policy on Results, the Directorate informs the Head of Communications on survey research included in evaluations through evaluation planning discussions at the Performance Measurement and Evaluation Committee.
The Directorate also engaged in a series of consultations with Treasury Board Secretariat to ensure that the current evaluation schedule reflects the information needs and considerations of central agencies. The Directorate was advised to:

- continue improving the alignment of evaluation scope and timing with program decision making;
- emphasize information on current or recent state of programs in designing evaluations;
- explore greater horizontal and thematic approaches to evaluation, such as employment programs;
- further examine effectiveness of service delivery activities; and,
- ensure that evaluation findings inform the overall analysis and recommendations section in Memoranda to Cabinet and Treasury Board submissions.

Central agencies also recognized that ESDC has an ambitious evaluation agenda over the next two years, which will require significant resources.

In accordance with central agency expectations, the Evaluation Directorate has demonstrated collaboration with program areas to ensure that relevant evaluation findings and results are shared in time to inform policy and program decision making. The Directorate continues to work with program officials to ensure that evaluations are timed and scoped to effectively inform policy and program development. In partnership with program areas, Evaluation will continue to balance summative evaluations highlighting program efficacy and lessons learned with reports focusing on more recent program information.

The Directorate is also collaborating with Immigration, Refugees and Citizenship Canada to examine the Passport program. The Directorate is also using a thematic approach to examine ESDC’s apprenticeship programs. To increase the use of horizontal and thematic evaluations going forward, the Directorate is developing a labour market program data platform to enable evaluation of all labour market programs, including youth and Aboriginal programming.

Evaluation will continue collaboration with departmental stakeholders to systematically provide evaluation findings for inclusion in the analysis of program-led Memoranda to Cabinet and Treasury Board submissions. Lastly, central agencies have noted interest in examining the effectiveness of ESDC’s service delivery activities. The Evaluation Directorate agrees that this is an area to strengthen moving forward, and is currently undertaking an evaluation to examine the effectiveness and efficiency of Service Canada’s provision of services and information via three main service delivery channels (in-person, telephone, and internet). The Directorate will continue to further explore opportunities to examine service delivery activities.

In the past two years, the Directorate has systematically collected information on client satisfaction with its evaluation function following the completion of all evaluation projects. A total of 90% of respondents surveyed indicated that reports over the last fiscal year were delivered in a timely manner, contained relevant findings, and included accurate data and information. This compares to only 75% in the previous year. Respondents indicated that planned use of evaluation findings has grown from 22% in fiscal year 2016 to 2017 to 77% in fiscal year 2017 to 2018.
As the Departmental Evaluation Plan is an annual report, the Directorate will continue to engage program officials and other key stakeholders in ongoing discussions and consultations. This ensures that planned evaluations and their findings continue to meet the needs of programs, the Department, and the Government of Canada Results Agenda.

**Challenges and opportunities**

The Directorate encounters two key challenges when conducting its evaluation work: the human resources and analytic capacity to address the workload requirements, and the availability and quality of data.

**Human resources**

As evaluation demands continue to increase and the role of evaluators expands, the Directorate acquired four new positions in fiscal year 2017 to 2018 and increased the number of employees. However, more employees with strong analytical and strategic competencies are needed to meet the increasing demands.

Given the complexity of the work involved, as well as the significant government-wide demand for these skills, the Directorate is facing challenges in the recruitment and retention of high-calibre talent, particularly mid-level evaluators.

In the recent past, the Evaluation Directorate has in effect been acting as a feeder of analysts and evaluators who have gone on to contribute to the public service in other ESDC branches or other departments. To mitigate some of these challenges, the Directorate has developed a Strategic Human Resource Plan that identifies current needs, priorities, and career development opportunities. These include promoting top-performing junior evaluators and providing relevant training, such as a two-day workshop on the Essentials in Evaluation, and other learning activities for employees to expand their current skills and acquire new knowledge in the evaluation field.

The Directorate is also addressing human resource capacity needs by recruiting talent from the academic community, professional organizations, other federal agencies and retired evaluators. Related activities include participating in post-secondary recruitment campaigns and posting career opportunities on internal web platforms. The Evaluation Directorate, in collaboration with the Heads of Evaluation, is promoting evaluation as an attractive career choice by producing a series of posters profiling Government of Canada evaluators to highlight and promote employment opportunities.

**Resource allocation table**

The Evaluation Directorate currently maintains 71 positions, 65 of which are staffed across three divisions overseen by the Office of the Director General: Statutory and Grants and Contributions Evaluation, Partnership Evaluation, and Strategic Planning and Methodology.
To alleviate short-term capacity pressures and workload demands, the Directorate uses flexible staffing mechanisms to engage external experts, consultants, and temporary staff (as reflected in the increase of full-time equivalents from 52 in fiscal year 2016 to 2017 to 65 by the end of fiscal year 2017 to 2018). The Directorate is also investing in the professional development of junior analysts to enable medium-term succession planning.

The Departmental Evaluation Plan is based on its budget of $6.52M as summarized in Table 2. The Directorate meets its commitment to seek cost-effective ways of conducting evaluations, while ensuring the relevance and impact of its evaluations. Going forward, additional pressures for horizontal and thematic evaluations; and further examining the effectiveness of ESDC’s service delivery will impact budget considerations.

Table 2: Summary of evaluation spending and number of full time equivalents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Actual spending</th>
<th>Forecast</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Salaries</strong></td>
<td>$5.06M</td>
<td>$5.17M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>O &amp; M</strong></td>
<td>$3.81M</td>
<td>$2.87M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$8.87M</td>
<td>$8.04M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FTEs</strong></td>
<td>69</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Actual salary spending includes all employees except students; forecast spending includes further human resources staffing actions planned for fiscal year 2018 to 2019. Operations and Maintenance expenses include students, training, travel, printing, utilities, materials and supplies, special services, construction/acquisition machinery and equipment, other business services, and tenant services.

**Data availability and quality**

The availability and quality of data remains a challenge that evaluators are collaborating with program areas and other stakeholders to overcome. The Directorate has identified two data priorities for the coming years: better quality information for grants and contributions programs, including having data considerations taken into account at the design stage of programs; and the development of integrated labour market program data. The Evaluation Directorate is also working with partners in the Department to streamline access to program data for efficient and timely evaluation.

An area of successful data development is in extending net impact analysis to all labour market program data. The Directorate is currently developing and implementing a rich, high-quality labour market program data platform that will allow evaluation of all labour market program interventions. This initiative is designed to assist decision making in the context of the Government of Canada Policy on Results, supporting evidence-based evaluation analysis of the major labour market programs.
This Data Platform of administrative program information enables analysis of long-term program impacts and trends over time. Ultimately, the Data Platform could enable innovative analysis on what programs work best for which ESDC clients. It can also provide the foundation for horizontal evaluations that could include impact analysis across multiple programs. As the Data Platform develops, it will enable horizontal analysis on net impacts and address broad evaluation questions.

These efforts also respond to the Government of Canada Open Government Agenda, which aims to strengthen openness, transparency, and accountability, as well as to drive innovation.

ESDC’s Chief Data Officer is responsible for working with stakeholders across ESDC to implement a department-wide data strategy. The ESDC Data Strategy is establishing foundations and supports needed to provide timely and secure access to data. This includes instituting a system of data governance and stewardship to ensure that data is available, consistent, and of good quality. Further, the strategy aims to ensure that existing governance structures are streamlined to improve data access while strengthening security. Finally, the Chief Data Office is working with key stakeholders to establish secure and auditable environments for data and analysis, and establishing a departmental analytics program to maximize the business value of data.

**Leading the way**

The Evaluation Directorate continues to move forward on the new agenda to deliver results for Canadians while building on the strong foundation of evaluation findings in program and policy development. Evaluation will collaborate closely with its key stakeholders which include program authorities and central agencies. The Directorate will also work to strengthen the evaluation function at ESDC by investing in innovation and learning, making use of the increased flexibility under the Policy on Results, sharing expertise of trained analysts and evaluators with other ESDC branches, and continuing to expand its dissemination efforts.

Building capacity in the Directorate will enable evaluators to conduct horizontal and thematic evaluations on a broader scope, such as clustering apprenticeship program evaluations and interdepartmental evaluation of the Passport program. For example, when the Indigenous Early Learning and Childcare program is fully established, a horizontal approach for the evaluation of the program will be considered.
Innovation and learning

The Directorate will continue to innovate and better meet its mandate by:

- fostering experimentation, including optimizing administrative data to produce efficient and impactful evaluation reporting, to inform policies and program development;
- exploring new initiatives that will engage external researchers and academics in collaborative research to support evaluation (including net impact analysis), research, and policy development in the Department;
- supporting the Horizontal Skills Review led by Treasury Board Secretariat; and,
- continuing the partnership with the Innovation Lab to explore experimental collaborative approaches. These approaches include the client-centred design methods used for the Canada Service Corps program, and the ethnographic research and behavioural economics used in evaluating the barriers to take-up of the Canada Learning Bond.

Use of flexibility

To further support the ongoing implementation of the Policy on Results, the Directorate will continue to:

- support programs’ efforts to enhance the quality of their performance information for decision making; and,
- explore the applicability of different evaluation types to meet the evolving needs of programs for results reporting.

Dissemination

The Evaluation Directorate is committed to modernizing its communication approach to increase awareness and use of evaluation findings. In 2017, the Directorate developed a dissemination strategy which aims to:

- ensure that evaluative knowledge is disseminated across the Department to promote organizational learning;
- improve the discoverability of its evaluation resources online;
- expand and diversify dissemination efforts; and,
- build engagement and collaboration with key internal and external stakeholders.

Dissemination activities encompass four target audiences: departmental employees, Government of Canada employees, the academic community, and Canadians at large. Evaluators will continue to implement its dissemination strategy by:

- presenting evaluation results to departmental colleagues through learning events and to external stakeholders through conferences;
- exchanging knowledge and best practices with Government of Canada colleagues using GCTools17; and,

---

17 GCTools are a growing suite of Government of Canada enterprise applications that are moving the public service towards a digital workspace. GCTools applications include GCpedia, GCconnex and GCcollab.
• publishing papers and articles in academic journals and newsletters;
• engaging with professionals as well as students and recent graduates interested in evaluation through LinkedIn and/or promoting evaluation results through Twitter; and,
• expanding the use of infographics to present evaluation findings and evaluation reports more accessibly.

The dissemination strategy is an evolving initiative that continues to adapt as opportunities arise to use new communication platforms and tools.

Conclusion

In recent years, the Evaluation Directorate has evolved its work from output-focused evaluations to more outcome-oriented analysis and reports. Previously, in the absence of solid administrative data, evaluations tended to focus on analyzing output, performance measures, and qualitative outcome measures. Recently, with the investment in data and performance measurement, evaluation work has increasingly focused on impact and on ensuring the provision of more timely, policy-relevant evaluations.

The Directorate has also strengthened its internal capacity, planning processes, and collaboration with program areas; developed innovative approaches to produce evidence; and increased dissemination efforts. Going forward, as performance measurement systems and data strategy further mature, evaluations will increasingly emphasize more policy-relevant evidence and utilize horizontal, thematic approaches to deliver on the Government’s Policy on Results.
### Annex 1: Five Year Evaluation Schedule

Programs planned to be evaluated in the next five years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Last evaluation</th>
<th>Evaluations planned in the next 5 years</th>
<th>Fiscal year of approval</th>
<th>2018 to 19 Program spending covered by the planned evaluation¹</th>
<th>2018 to 19 Program spending covered by all planned evaluations</th>
<th>2018 to 19 Total program spending</th>
<th>2018 to 19 Total gross program spending²</th>
<th>Rationale for not evaluating Program or spending</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social Development Partnerships Program *</td>
<td>Summative Evaluation of the Social Development Partnerships Program - 2014</td>
<td>Social Development Partnerships Program (SDPP) - Summative Evaluation</td>
<td>2019 to 20</td>
<td>38,895,693</td>
<td>38,895,693</td>
<td>38,895,693</td>
<td>38,895,693</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program</td>
<td>Last evaluation</td>
<td>Evaluations planned in the next 5 years</td>
<td>Fiscal year of approval</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Program spending covered by the planned evaluation(^1) (dollars)</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Program spending covered by all planned evaluations (dollars)</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Total program spending (dollars)</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Total gross program spending(^2) (dollars)</td>
<td>Rationale for not evaluating Program or spending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Learning and Childcare</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Early Learning and Childcare</td>
<td>2022 to 23</td>
<td>416,916,802</td>
<td>416,916,802</td>
<td>416,916,802</td>
<td>416,916,802</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Income Support for Parents of Murdered or Missing Children</td>
<td>Evaluation of the Federal Income Support for Parents of Murdered or Missing Children Grant 2018</td>
<td>2022 to 23</td>
<td>11,252,381</td>
<td>11,252,381</td>
<td>11,252,381</td>
<td>11,252,381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OAS/GIS Service Improvement – Evaluation</td>
<td>2021 to 22</td>
<td>see above</td>
<td>see above</td>
<td>see above</td>
<td>see above</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guaranteed Income Supplement Take-up Evaluation Phase I (2018 to 19)</td>
<td>2019 to 20</td>
<td>see above</td>
<td>see above</td>
<td>see above</td>
<td>see above</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program</td>
<td>Last evaluation</td>
<td>Evaluations planned in the next 5 years</td>
<td>Fiscal year of approval</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Program spending covered by the planned evaluation</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Program spending covered by all planned evaluations</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Total program spending</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Total gross program spending</td>
<td>Rationale for not evaluating Program or spending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada Pension Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>Canada Pension Plan Disability - Summative Evaluation</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>29,735,268</td>
<td>29,735,268</td>
<td>29,735,268</td>
<td>47,283,133,523</td>
<td>Rationale for not evaluating Program or spending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment Insurance</td>
<td></td>
<td>Evaluation of Initiatives to Extend EI Regular Benefits</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>see below</td>
<td>69,717,337</td>
<td>69,717,337</td>
<td>19,247,913,320</td>
<td>Rationale for not evaluating Program or spending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program</td>
<td>Last evaluation</td>
<td>Evaluations planned in the next 5 years</td>
<td>Fiscal year of approval</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Program spending covered by the planned evaluation¹ (dollars)</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Program spending covered by all planned evaluations (dollars)</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Total program spending (dollars)</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Total gross program spending² (dollars)</td>
<td>Rationale for not evaluating Program or spending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EI Sickness Benefits – Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2018 to 19</td>
<td>see below</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit for Parents of Critically Ill Children – Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2018 to 19</td>
<td>see below</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EI Benefits and Post-Claim Outcomes – Evaluation</td>
<td>2020 to 21</td>
<td></td>
<td>69,717,337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workforce Development Agreements</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td>Workforce Development Agreements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour Market Development Agreements</td>
<td>Second Cycle of Labour Market Development Agreements</td>
<td></td>
<td>2022 to 23</td>
<td>2,410,531,390</td>
<td>2,410,531,390</td>
<td>2,410,531,390</td>
<td>2,410,531,390</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour Market Development Agreements</td>
<td>Second Cycle of Labour Market Development Agreements</td>
<td></td>
<td>2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunities Fund for Persons with Disabilities *</td>
<td>Summative Evaluation of the Opportunities Fund for Persons with Disabilities</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>41,075,478</td>
<td>41,075,478</td>
<td>41,075,478</td>
<td>41,075,478</td>
<td>41,075,478</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program</td>
<td>Last evaluation</td>
<td>Evaluations planned in the next 5 years</td>
<td>Fiscal year of approval</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Program spending covered by the planned evaluation (^1) (dollars)</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Program spending covered by all planned evaluations (dollars)</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Total program spending (dollars)</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Total gross program spending (^2) (dollars)</td>
<td>Rationale for not evaluating Program or spending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunities Fund for Persons with Disabilities (OFPD) Phase 2 - Summative Evaluation</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td></td>
<td>2019 to 20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The timing of the evaluation is currently under discussion and may be subject to change. If necessary, the new timeline would be reflected in the next DEP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Bank</td>
<td>Job Bank - Evaluation 2011</td>
<td></td>
<td>2018 to 19</td>
<td>4,687,802</td>
<td>4,687,802</td>
<td>4,687,802</td>
<td>21,586,223</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Employment Strategy *</td>
<td>Summative Evaluation of the Youth Employment Strategy</td>
<td></td>
<td>2019 to 20</td>
<td>422,524,755</td>
<td>422,524,755</td>
<td>422,524,755</td>
<td>422,524,755</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literacy and Essential Skills *</td>
<td>Evaluation of Literacy and Essential Skills</td>
<td></td>
<td>2022 to 23</td>
<td>22,358,803</td>
<td>22,358,803</td>
<td>22,358,803</td>
<td>27,915,532</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program</td>
<td>Last evaluation</td>
<td>Evaluations planned in the next 5 years</td>
<td>Fiscal year of approval</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Program spending covered by the planned evaluation¹ (dollars)</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Program spending covered by all planned evaluations (dollars)</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Total program spending (dollars)</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Total gross program spending² (dollars)</td>
<td>Rationale for not evaluating Program or spending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Work-Integrated Learning Program *</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Student Work-Integrated Learning Program</td>
<td>2020 to 21</td>
<td>17,970,718</td>
<td>17,970,718</td>
<td>17,970,718</td>
<td>17,970,718</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union Training and Innovation</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Union Training and Innovation</td>
<td>2020 to 21</td>
<td>27,512,059</td>
<td>27,512,059</td>
<td>27,512,059</td>
<td>27,512,059</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sectoral Initiatives Program *</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Sectoral Initiatives Program</td>
<td>2018 to 19</td>
<td>7,178,976</td>
<td>7,178,976</td>
<td>7,178,976</td>
<td>27,866,456</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Foreign Worker Program</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Evaluation of the Labour Market Opinion Streams of the Temporary Foreign Worker Program</td>
<td>2020 to 21</td>
<td>49,214,714</td>
<td>49,214,714</td>
<td>49,214,714</td>
<td>49,214,714</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Includes all planned evaluation expenses for 2018 to 2020.
² Total gross program spending for 2018 to 2019.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Last evaluation</th>
<th>Evaluations planned in the next 5 years</th>
<th>Fiscal year of approval</th>
<th>2018 to 19 Program spending covered by the planned evaluation¹ (dollars)</th>
<th>2018 to 19 Program spending covered by all planned evaluations (dollars)</th>
<th>2018 to 19 Total program spending (dollars)</th>
<th>2018 to 19 Total gross program spending² (dollars)</th>
<th>Rationale for not evaluating Program or spending</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabling Fund for Official Language Minority Communities *</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Enabling Fund for Official Language Minority Communities (OLMC) 2022</td>
<td>2021 to 22</td>
<td>13,583,353</td>
<td>13,583,353</td>
<td>13,583,353</td>
<td>13,783,353</td>
<td>2015 Enabling Fund for Official Language Minority Communities (OLMC) 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada Student Loans and Grants and Canada Apprentice Loans Program</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Canada Apprentice Loan - Phase 1</td>
<td>2018 to 19</td>
<td>9,500,000</td>
<td>2,089,142,258</td>
<td>2,089,142,258</td>
<td>2,089,142,258</td>
<td>2017 Canada Student Loans and Grants and Canada Apprentice Loans Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program</td>
<td>Last evaluation</td>
<td>Evaluations planned in the next 5 years</td>
<td>Fiscal year of approval</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Program spending covered by the planned evaluation$^1$ (dollars)</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Program spending covered by all planned evaluations (dollars)</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Total program spending (dollars)</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Total gross program spending$^2$ (dollars)</td>
<td>Rationale for not evaluating Program or spending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada Student Loans Program - Phase 2</td>
<td>2021 to 22</td>
<td>Canada Student Loans Program - Phase 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada Education Savings Program</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Summative Evaluation of Canada Education Savings Program - Evaluation</td>
<td>2020 to 21</td>
<td>1,078,827,536</td>
<td>1,078,827,536</td>
<td>1,078,827,536</td>
<td>1,078,827,536</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skilled Trades and Apprenticeship (Red Seal Program)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>643,906</td>
<td>17,033,059</td>
<td>Red Seal Program is funded with EI Part II funds converted to O&amp;M. There is no associated evaluation requirement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apprenticeship Grants *</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Apprentice-ship Grants and Red Seal Phase 1 - Summative Evaluation</td>
<td>2018 to 19</td>
<td>120,777,849</td>
<td>120,777,849</td>
<td>120,777,849</td>
<td>120,777,849</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program</td>
<td>Last evaluation</td>
<td>Evaluations planned in the next 5 years</td>
<td>Fiscal year of approval</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Program spending covered by the planned evaluation¹ (dollars)</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Program spending covered by all planned evaluations (dollars)</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Total program spending (dollars)</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Total gross program spending² (dollars)</td>
<td>Rationale for not evaluating Program or spending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational Health and Safety</td>
<td>Evaluation of Labour Program Supplementary Funding with Limited Scope 2005</td>
<td>Occupational Health and Safety - Evaluation</td>
<td>2018 to 19</td>
<td>23,570,624</td>
<td>23,570,624</td>
<td>23,570,624</td>
<td>23,570,624</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program</td>
<td>Last evaluation</td>
<td>Evaluations planned in the next 5 years</td>
<td>Fiscal year of approval</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Program spending covered by the planned evaluation¹ (dollars)</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Program spending covered by all planned evaluations (dollars)</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Total program spending (dollars)</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Total gross program spending² (dollars)</td>
<td>Rationale for not evaluating Program or spending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workplace Equity</td>
<td>Employment Equity Program 2013</td>
<td>Employment Equity Programs – Evaluation 2018 to 19</td>
<td>1,618,926</td>
<td>1,618,926</td>
<td>1,618,926</td>
<td>1,618,926</td>
<td>1,618,926</td>
<td>Employment Equity Programs – Evaluation 2018 to 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour Standards</td>
<td>Labour Program Supplementary Funding 2005</td>
<td>Labour Standards - Evaluation 2018 to 19</td>
<td>19,290,230</td>
<td>19,290,230</td>
<td>19,290,230</td>
<td>19,290,230</td>
<td>19,290,230</td>
<td>see above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Labour Affairs</td>
<td>Bilateral and Regional Labour Agreements and Multilateral Labour Affairs 2018</td>
<td>International Labour Affairs 2022 to 23</td>
<td>5,882,080</td>
<td>5,882,080</td>
<td>5,882,080</td>
<td>5,882,080</td>
<td>5,882,080</td>
<td>Bilateral and Regional Labour Agreements and Multilateral Labour Affairs 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program</td>
<td>Last evaluation</td>
<td>Evaluations planned in the next 5 years</td>
<td>Fiscal year of approval</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Program spending covered by the planned evaluation¹ (dollars)</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Program spending covered by all planned evaluations (dollars)</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Total program spending (dollars)</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Total gross program spending² (dollars)</td>
<td>Rationale for not evaluating Program or spending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government of Canada Telephone General Enquiries Services</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Providing Services and Information to Canadians</td>
<td>2019 to 20</td>
<td>15,413,377</td>
<td>15,413,377</td>
<td>15,413,377</td>
<td>20,413,377</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government of Canada Internet Presence</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Providing Services and Information to Canadians</td>
<td>2019 to 20</td>
<td>10,952,300</td>
<td>10,952,300</td>
<td>10,952,300</td>
<td>10,952,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-Person Points of Service</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Providing Services and Information to Canadians</td>
<td>2019 to 20</td>
<td>22,545,249</td>
<td>22,545,249</td>
<td>22,545,249</td>
<td>22,545,249</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passport Evaluation of Passport Receiving Agent Service Offering 2014</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Passport Program Delivery³</td>
<td>2018 to 19</td>
<td>21,349,179</td>
<td>21,349,179</td>
<td>21,349,179</td>
<td>194,647,083</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Government Department Programs</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>115,194</td>
<td>1,677,833</td>
<td>No applicable government programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Services</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>248,131,493</td>
<td>824,994,686</td>
<td>No applicable government programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Costs*</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1,384,577,942</td>
<td>No applicable government programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program</td>
<td>Last evaluation</td>
<td>Evaluations planned in the next 5 years</td>
<td>Fiscal year of approval</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Program spending covered by the planned evaluation(^1) (dollars)</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Program spending covered by all planned evaluations (dollars)</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Total program spending (dollars)</td>
<td>2018 to 19 Total gross program spending(^2) (dollars)</td>
<td>Rationale for not evaluating Program or spending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|        |                |                                        |                         | 60,950,621,788 | 60,950,621,788 | 61,199,512,381 | 132,466,700,481 | 1 The evaluation of relevance, effectiveness and efficiency spans all program spending and therefore constitutes full coverage.  
2 Planned gross spending includes EI and CPP benefits and related administrative costs (reported as revenues netted against expenditures) charged to the EI and CPP specified purpose accounts. Gross program spending also includes recoveries from Crown corporations and other departments for services delivered on their behalf and are reported as revenues netted against expenditures. These items are excluded from ESDC's Main Estimates and net program spending, while they are included in the fiscal year 2018 to 19 gross program spending. Refer to ESDC's Financial Framework on page 57 of ESDC fiscal year 2018 to 19 Departmental Plan for a complete description of the departmental financial profile, including explanation of gross planned spending.  
3 Evaluation Directorate of Employment and Social Development Canada (ESDC) will be supporting an evaluation of the Passport Program to be led by Immigration, Refugees and Citizenship Canada (IRCC). ESDC’s primary role in the upcoming evaluation will be to support and facilitate work undertaken by IRCC. This level of participation will satisfy the Department’s current evaluation obligations respecting the Passport Program due to the large-scale and comprehensive nature of ESDC’s last evaluation of Service Canada’s Passport related activities (2015). The evaluation, as led by IRCC, is anticipated to be completed in December 2018.  
4 Other costs include administrative costs of other government departments charged to the Employment Insurance Operating Account and the Canada Pension Plan. They also include Employment Insurance doubtful accounts and recoveries from other government departments.  
\(\ast\) These programs are evaluated on a five-year cycle as mandated by the Financial Administration Act. |
## Annex 2 – Departmental Results Framework - Program Inventory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Homelessness Partnering Strategy</td>
<td>• Old Age Security</td>
<td>• Employment Insurance</td>
<td>• Labour Relations</td>
<td>• Government of Canada Telephone General Enquiries Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Social Development Partnerships Program</td>
<td>• Canada Disability Savings Program</td>
<td>• Labour Market Development Agreements</td>
<td>• Federal Workers’ Compensation</td>
<td>• Government of Canada Internet Presence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• New Horizons for Seniors Program</td>
<td>• Canada Pension Plan</td>
<td>• Workforce Development Agreements</td>
<td>• Occupational Health and Safety</td>
<td>• In-Person Points of Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Enabling Accessibility Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Opportunities Fund for Persons with Disabilities</td>
<td>• Workplace Equity</td>
<td>• Passport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Early Learning and Child care</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Job Bank</td>
<td>• Labour Standards</td>
<td>• Other Government Department Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Federal Income Support for Parents of Murdered or Missing Children</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Youth Employment Strategy</td>
<td>• Wage Earner Protection Program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>