

*Formative Evaluation of the
Aboriginal Skills and Employment
Partnership Program*

Final Report

*For:
Evaluation Directorate
Strategic Policy and Research
Human Resources and Skills Development Canada*

May 2009

SP-AH-902-05-09E
(également disponible en français)

Paper

ISBN: 978-1-100-13154-2

Cat. No.: HS28-158/2009E

PDF

ISBN: 978-1-100-13155-9

Cat. No.: HS28-158/2009E-PDF

Table of Contents

List of abbreviations	i
Executive Summary	iii
Management Response	vii
1. Introduction	1
1.1 Overview of ASEP Program	1
1.2 Summary of ASEP Projects	2
1.2.1 VanASEP Construction Careers Project	2
1.2.2 Aboriginal Mine Works.....	3
1.2.3 Trade Winds to Success	3
1.2.4 Northwest Territories Industrial Mining Skills Strategy	4
1.2.5 Northwest Territories Oil and Gas ASEP.....	4
1.2.6 Manitoba Hydro Northern Training and Employment Initiative ...	4
1.2.7 Victor Diamond Mine Project	5
1.2.8 People, Land and Opportunities	5
1.2.9 Long Term Training Initiative for Nunavut’s Fishing Industry	5
1.2.10 Summary of Planned Contributions and Results Targets.....	6
1.3 Profile of Participants	8
1.4 Evaluation Objectives and Issues.....	8
2. Evaluation Methodology	11
2.1 Case Studies	11
2.1.1 Document Review	11
2.1.2 Key Informant Interviews	12
2.1.3 Discussion Groups.....	12
2.1.4 Socio-economic Profiles.....	13
2.2 Assessments of Client Data and Data Systems.....	13
3. Findings	17
3.1 Program Rationale and Relevance	17
3.2 Program Implementation	19
3.3 Program Results To-Date.....	26
3.4 Accountability.....	30
4. Key Conclusions.....	31
4.1 Program Rationale and Relevance	31
4.2 Program Implementation	31
4.3 Program Results To-Date.....	32
4.4 Accountability.....	32
Appendix A – ASEP Program Logic Model	33

List of Tables

Table 1	Summary of Planned Contributions	6
Table 2	Summary of Targeted Results over Entire Funding Period	7
Table 3	Formative Evaluation Issues and Questions.....	9
Table 4	Summary of Number of Clients and Client Outcomes.....	28

List of abbreviations

AAD	Aboriginal Affairs Directorate
AB	Alberta
AES	Aboriginal Employment Services Inc.
AHRDA	Aboriginal Human Resources Development Agreement
ASEP	Aboriginal Skills and Employment Partnership
BC	British Columbia
DSD	Delivery Support Division
HRSDC	Human Resources and Skills Development Canada
HRSDC	Human Resources and Social Development Canada
INAC	Indian and Northern Affairs Canada
JCP	Job Creation Partnerships
MB	Manitoba
NB	New Brunswick
NU	Nunavut
NWT	Northwest Territories
ON	Ontario
RMAF	Results-based Management and Accountability Framework
SDF	Standard Data File
SEB	Skills and Employment Branch
SIN	Social Insurance Number
SUFA	Social Union Framework Agreement

Executive Summary

This document presents the report on the formative evaluation of the Aboriginal Skills and Employment Partnership (ASEP) program conducted by Human Resources and Skills Development Canada (HRSDC).

Background

The five-year, \$85M ASEP initiative is a targeted Aboriginal skills development program designed to promote maximum employment for Aboriginal people on major economic developments through a collaborative partnership approach, leading to lasting benefits for Aboriginal individuals, communities and families. It is designed to address a broad spectrum of skills and learning needs and provide access to jobs.

The ASEP program has funded nine diverse projects in resource-based sectors, including mining, forestry, oil and gas, hydro development, fishery, and construction. Each project is located in a different community or group of communities with varying project scopes. Funding levels range from approximately \$3M to \$22M. The nine projects are located across the country in rural and urban areas, as well as northern and southern settings.

The immediate objectives of the ASEP program are to:

- Foster collaboration, partnerships, alliances and networks between government, the private sector, non-government agencies and Aboriginal groups to maximize the employment opportunities available to Aboriginal people in areas of major economic development by leveraging investment of resources, in-kind and financial, from ASEP project partners;
- Build the capacity of communities to address human resources development needs related to economic opportunities; and
- Increase the quantity of employment-related skills training available to Aboriginal people in areas of major economic development beyond the level possible by reliance solely on Aboriginal Human Resources Development Agreement (AHRDA) funding.

The long term objectives of the ASEP program are to:

- Increase the number of Aboriginal people employed in the Canadian labour market;
- Reduce the barriers to employment for Aboriginal people such as low educational attainment and lack of opportunity; and
- Address a broad spectrum of skills and learning needs and provide access to jobs.

Evaluation Scope and Methods

The Results-based Management and Accountability Framework developed for the ASEP program guides the continuous monitoring and evaluation process. The goal of the process is to determine the success of the projects in terms of implementation and effectiveness. The process is comprised of multiple components to be implemented at various stages of the program.

The overall objectives of the formative evaluation component were to assess the rationale, relevance, implementation, results to-date, and accountability of the ASEP program.

The methodology developed and implemented for the formative evaluation included:

- Five case study projects which included key informant interviews, discussion groups, a project document review, and socio-economic profiles; and
- Assessment and analysis of client case management and administrative data for all nine ASEP projects.

Key Findings and Conclusions

Program Rationale and Relevance

- There is a strong continued need for the ASEP program, as demonstrated through the case studies and the socio-economic profiles. The ASEP program is viewed as addressing needs of both the Aboriginal communities and industry. Specifically, ASEP interventions are perceived as relevant to the needs identified within Aboriginal communities to develop skills and gain work experience in order to fully participate in key industrial projects in their regions.
- The ASEP program continues to reflect the broad federal government Aboriginal policy directions as outlined in recent planning and policy documents.

Program Implementation

- Overall, most activities under the various ASEP projects have been implemented as originally planned. Information collected from project documents, partners and staff demonstrated that key assessment and training activities had been undertaken and most planned components were underway at the time of the interviews.
- Overall, there are significant gaps in the case management and financial data required for evaluation and accountability. In all but one of the nine projects, remedial work is needed to ensure that data accurately reflect project activity. The most serious gaps with respect to case management data are:
 - There is no electronic case management data available for a significant proportion of clients. As a result, complete case management data are neither readily available on-site, nor available from HRSDC's Standard Data File (SDF).

- Data stored in case management systems are miscoded in the majority of cases.
- The financial data, for most projects, is more complete; however, for all projects, work is required to link project costs to individual clients.
- Overall, the services were perceived as appropriate for the target clients. In many instances, training and work placements had been tailored to meet the specific needs of clients, and interventions had been adjusted based on evaluations and feedback received from participants. Even with the tailoring and adjustments, there was the acknowledgement that the ASEP projects could not accommodate some issues such as providing services for those who had very low education levels who requested training, and some contextual issues such as participants having to leave the community to find work until the large projects are underway.
- The actual expenditures, as reported in annual reports and summary financial reporting, were less than planned expenditures for the initial stages of the projects examined. This is to be expected given the delays encountered in implementing activities during the first 6 to 12 months with many projects, and the nature of the activities during the start-up period.
- There was considerable variability in the amount of effort and resources the various ASEP projects had invested to date in developing the capacity of governing structures, ASEP staff and delivery agents. While two of the case study projects reported few activities in these areas beyond developing the basic by-laws and procedures associated with becoming an incorporated organization, two of the case study projects indicated that considerable effort had been made in developing capacity of delivery agents, ASEP staff and Boards of Directors.
- The ASEP projects have developed and implemented marketing, promotional and communication activities to ensure that targeted communities are reached. Activities included direct outreach via partners' networks, community meetings, and direct promotional techniques such as flyers, newsletters, pamphlets and posters. The case studies identified challenges to ensuring that targeted communities are reached, with the main challenge identified as the relatively rapid turnover of staff within the various communities, which requires ongoing promotional and training activities to keep them adequately informed.
- The quality of the coordination between the ASEP structures, delivery agents and other employment services for Aboriginal people seemed to vary considerably between projects. Where the coordination is perceived as being successful, the key factors considered to contribute to this are a solid partnership with a shared vision, communication between partners and ASEP staff, solid case management systems, development and adoption of common tools and templates, and ongoing identification of common issues and areas of concerns. Where coordination has been perceived as less successful, issues identified included large staff turnover, overlapping services, and perceived competition between organizations.

Program Results To-Date

- In most cases, the ASEP projects were identified as increasing the ability of organizations to address the needs of Aboriginal populations. In a few cases, the projects were perceived to have limited success in this area by providing services that overlap with existing opportunities provided by already established programming.
- There were a few examples provided during the evaluation that demonstrated how new knowledge generated from ASEP projects was beginning to be transferred and potentially incorporated into other related services.
- Based on preliminary results, the ASEP projects are demonstrating both tangible and intangible outcomes at the individual level. The projects have met most of their early results targets, and individuals are reported to have enhanced their skills and been provided with work experience opportunities. As the projects progress, the challenge faced by some projects will be to assist clients in returning to employment given some of the delays in the timelines for the associated large projects, and sudden downturns in some sectors.

Accountability

- Developing adequate case management and financial systems to ensure that there is no duplication or displacement of non-federal investments has been challenging for many of the projects, as identified in the data assessments. Although the development of case management and financial systems has been challenging, the active involvement of partners under a partnership model can assist in minimizing duplication and overlap of investments. The actual measurement of incrementality of investments was not possible in this formative evaluation given the lack of baseline investment data prior to the implementation of the ASEP program.

Management Response

The Aboriginal Affairs Directorate (AAD) of the Skills and Employment Branch (SEB), Human Resources and Skills Development Canada (HRSDC) would like to thank all those who participated in formulating and conducting this evaluation of the Aboriginal Skills and Employment Partnership (ASEP) program.

In particular, we acknowledge the contributions of the nine ASEP projects across the country: ASEP-NB, Inc.; James Bay Employment and Training; Wuskwatim and Keeyask Training Consortium; Trade Winds to Success Training Society; Wood Buffalo Partners in Aboriginal Training Association; VanASEP Training Society; Aboriginal Futures Society; Mine Training Society; and, the Nunavut Fisheries Training Consortium.

We acknowledge the observations provided and note these findings. We have taken action to strengthen the implementation and accountability of the ASEP program based on this evaluation in concert with other lines of evidence.

ASEP contribution agreements enable partnerships between Aboriginal organizations, major employers and provinces/territories to deliver programs and services that ensure Aboriginal people have the skills necessary for long term jobs. The overall objective of the ASEP program is sustainable jobs for Aboriginal people on major economic developments leading to lasting benefits for Aboriginal people, communities and families.

This formative review of ASEP was conducted as a result of the commitment to review the program midstream to identify best practices, as well as identify issues that need to be addressed to make the program more effective.

The program was expanded by \$105M in 2007 and extended to 2012 and the findings are helping inform the implementation of the new ASEP projects starting in fiscal year 2008/2009.

The goal of the evaluation is to determine the success of the projects in terms of implementation and effectiveness. The objectives of the ASEP formative evaluation were to assess the rationale, relevance, implementation success to date, and accountability of the ASEP program. The key findings and conclusions of the formative evaluation of the ASEP program are outlined in terms of Program Rationale and Relevance; Program Implementation; Program Success; and Accountability.

The following outlines AAD's Management Response and commitments to the evaluation presented by the HRSDC Evaluation Directorate.

Program Rationale and Relevance

Key Finding 1: *There is a strong continued need for the ASEP program, as demonstrated through the case studies and the socioeconomic profiles. The ASEP program is viewed as addressing needs of both the Aboriginal communities and industry. Specifically, ASEP*

interventions are perceived as relevant to the needs identified within Aboriginal communities to develop skills and gain work experience in order to fully participate in key industrial projects in their regions.

ASEP is a unique federal government initiative, in that it brings together the supply and demand sides of the labour market, helping to ensure that Aboriginal people have the skills required for long term jobs in and near their communities that are tied directly to major economic developments. The ASEP interventions are perceived as relevant to Aboriginal communities and private sector/industry as training within the ASEP training to employment plan is tied directly to long term jobs. This key finding is further supported by the fact that around 60 proposals were received for funding as a result of the ASEP Request for Proposals that closed September 7, 2007, requesting approximately \$300M indicating a high interest in the program.

Key Finding 2: *The ASEP program continues to reflect the broad federal government Aboriginal policy directions as outlined in recent planning and policy documents.*

This formative evaluation references the HRSDC 2006-2007 Report on Plans and Priorities; the 2006 Speech from the Throne; Canada's Innovation Strategy and the Social Union Framework Agreement as providing policy relevance for the ASEP program. The ASEP program is also consistent with the government's commitments in Advantage Canada (the November 2006 Economic Update), Budget 2007, the October 2007 Speech from the Throne as well as Budget 2008. Budget 2008 states: *The Government has made significant progress on Aboriginal issues over the past two years, working with willing partners to achieve tangible results. This new, practical approach of working with Aboriginal governments and organizations, provinces and territories, and the private sector to address clear priorities in an effective and targeted manner is paying off and producing results.*

Program Implementation

Key Finding 3: *Overall, most activities under the various ASEP projects have been implemented as originally planned. Information collected from project documents, partners and staff demonstrated that key assessment and training activities had been undertaken and most planned components were underway at the time of the interviews.*

Key Finding 4: *Overall, there are significant gaps in the case management and financial data required for evaluation and accountability. In all but one of the nine projects, remedial work is needed to ensure that data accurately reflect project activity. The most serious gaps with respect to case management data are:*

- There is no electronic case management data available for a significant proportion of clients. As a result, complete case management data are neither readily available on-site, nor available from HRSDC Standard Data File (SDF).
- Data stored in case management systems are miscoded in the majority of training cases.

The financial data, for most projects, is more complete; however, for all projects, work is required to link project costs to individual clients.

Case management and financial data within the ASEP projects are instrumental in determining how the projects are being implemented, the progression on their plan, the success of the project and the ASEP program overall. Because of a delay regarding approval to collect the Social Insurance Number (SIN), the ASEP projects were behind in capturing client information in a case management system and uploading data to HRSDC SDF. AAD continues to work with the ASEP projects on a priority basis to address the gaps in the client case management and financial data of each project so that issues identified in the key findings are addressed.

AAD also took action to recruit staff with in-depth knowledge of case management and financial systems, to provide the support and assistance to ASEP projects in capturing all client data accurately as well as linking the financial data to the clients. Two Senior Program Officer positions within AAD are responsible for providing support, training and monitoring of the case management data for existing projects as well as for new ASEP projects. Support will be provided to new projects in selecting and/or developing an appropriate case management system to collect the data required, as well as linking the financial data to individual clients. In-depth support continues to be provided to the ASEP projects, both existing and new, to closely monitor the case management and financial data systems to help minimize gaps in the data for evaluation and accountability purposes.

As a result of the directive on Specialization and Concentration and the recent Separation of Duties audit, changes have been made within the structure of SEB which have resulted in the creation of the Delivery Support Division (DSD). The DSD is a common back office for all grants and contributions programs implemented by Skills and Employment Branch (SEB). Oversight and monitoring is now also the responsibility of the DSD. Claim verification and financial monitoring will be completed by the DSD in conjunction with AAD staff. The role of AAD staff in project management will be to help ensure the ASEP project activities are being implemented as planned, providing support as required and closely monitoring the case management data and data quality.

Key Finding 5: *Overall, the services were perceived as appropriate for the target clients. In many instances, training and work placements had been tailored to meet the specific needs of clients, and interventions had been adjusted based on evaluations and feedback received from participants. Even with the tailoring and adjustments, there was the acknowledgement that the ASEP projects could not accommodate some issues such as providing services for those who had very low education levels who requested training, and some contextual issues such as participants having to leave the community to find work until the large projects are underway.*

ASEP was designed to complement the Aboriginal Human Resources Development Strategy (AHRDS) by providing targeted training and supports to increase Aboriginal participation on major economic developments. The demands of these major projects are beyond the ability for the AHRDS agreement holders on their own, to respond. ASEP provides the ability to lever funding from partners with an interest in the major economic opportunity. The formalized partnerships include, at a minimum, representatives from the Aboriginal organizations in the

area, and the employer(s) involved in the project as well as provincial/territorial governments and others as needed.

ASEP projects require significant front end development to ensure that the partnership is well grounded. Because the projects are five or less years, it is recognized that there may be some individuals (particularly those with multiple barriers) that will not have time to obtain the skills necessary within the time frame of the Aboriginal training to employment plan in order to secure high skilled long term jobs on the major economic development. AAD will ensure that information regarding essential skills is shared with each project and encourage ASEP projects to implement essential skill profiles for the long term jobs, and provide interventions based on the essential skill profile.

AAD will continue to work with the partners to help identify referral opportunities within the community, including to the AHRDA, to assist individuals to obtain the skills they are interested in pursuing as well as to provide support to people who may have to leave their community for periods of time to obtain necessary work experience. While the goal is to provide training and work experience for individuals in or near their communities, instances exist where this may not be feasible due to delay in start up of the project. In these instances, HRSDC will continue to work with the project partners including employers to identify appropriate opportunities to address issues that arise in the Aboriginal training to employment plan.

Key Finding 6: *The actual expenditures, as reported in annual reports and summary financial reports, were less than planned expenditures for the initial stages of the projects examined. This is to be expected given the delays encountered in implementing activities during the first 6 to 12 months with many projects, and the nature of the activities during the start-up period.*

The initial \$85M investment in ASEP was announced in October 2003 and the first ASEP contribution agreement supported from these funds was signed in August 2004; the last (ninth agreement) was signed in February 2006. Each ASEP project is unique. A lesson learned from the initial set of projects was that implementation of project activities and actual expenditures required more time than first anticipated. As AAD enters into new ASEP contribution agreements with partnerships this knowledge will help establish realistic timelines around start-up timeframes and implementation of project activities. A minimum six month implementation phase and start up-phase will be provided to establish the project, the partnership and the appropriate mechanisms to implement and manage the ASEP project.

Key Finding 7: *There was considerable variability in the amount of effort and resources various ASEP projects had invested to date in developing the capacity of governing structures, ASEP staff and delivery agents. While two of the case study projects reported few activities in these areas beyond developing the basic by-laws and procedures associated with becoming an incorporated organization, two of the case study projects indicated that considerable effort had been made in developing capacity of delivery agents, ASEP staff and Board of Directors.*

This finding from the first nine ASEP projects confirms that the amount of time and effort given to developing capacity of the governing structure, staff and delivery agents varies from project to project. The implementation of the existing ASEP projects demonstrated that the capacity of the

governing structure and staff is instrumental to the successful implementation of the ASEP project. Within the first months of the project start up it is extremely important to develop the capacity of the partners and an understanding of the roles and responsibilities of the incorporated partnership and its governing structure. It is also important to follow the project start-up with continuous activities within the first six months to ensure the partners, governing body, staff and delivery agents fully understand their respective roles, responsibilities and the purpose of the project.

AAD has developed and compiled numerous tools and documents to assist new partnerships in the implementation of their project, such as information on incorporation; governance manuals from successful partnerships as well as contact information regarding board development workshops/seminars; sample job descriptions, employment contracts, service contracts, sub-agreements, request for proposals, and the like. These tools have been compiled by project phase and the tools are being shared with proponents via compact disc so they have access to the files and may utilize and alter them to fit their needs. HRSDC AAD Project Officers will ensure that the information is shared appropriately and will work with the ASEP proponents to ensure that capacity building of the partnership, board, staff and delivery agents is a focus at the start of the contribution agreement with an appropriate plan in place for each project. AAD will also explore posting appropriate tools, forms and documentation on its website, so that information is readily available to all project proponents.

Key Finding 8: *The ASEP projects have developed and implemented marketing, promotional and communication activities to ensure that targeted communities are reached. Activities included direct outreach via partners' networks, community meetings, and direct promotional techniques such as flyers, newsletters, pamphlets and posters. The case studies identified challenges to ensuring that targeted communities are reached, with the main challenge identified as the relatively rapid turnover of staff within the various communities, which requires ongoing promotional and training activities to keep them adequately informed.*

It is common for First Nation communities to experience turnover of administrative personnel which can sometimes create challenges with respect to communications. It is acknowledged that communication, marketing and promotional activities must be ongoing in order to keep communities informed of the project activities and to reach the targeted population. AAD works with the partnerships including Aboriginal organizations, provincial and territorial governments, and industry to identify resources they may have to promote the projects and to ensure good communication regarding project activities. ASEP projects have been encouraged to establish websites as a means of communication to keep stakeholders, communities and individuals up to date on the project.

Key Finding 9: *The quality of the coordination between the ASEP structures, delivery agents and other employment services for Aboriginal people seemed to vary considerably between projects. Where the coordination is perceived as being successful, the key factors considered to contribute to this are a solid partnership with a shared vision, communication between partners and ASEP staff, solid case management systems, development and adoption of common tools and templates, and ongoing identification of common issues and areas of concerns. Where coordination has been perceived as less successful, issues identified included large staff turnover, overlapping services, and perceived competition between organizations.*

This key finding is tied closely to the capacity of the partnership, its governing structure, staff and delivery agents as outlined above. Common understanding is instrumental in ensuring the effectiveness of the partnership as is an understanding of the programs and services of the different partners in order to help reduce overlapping programs and services. ASEP initiatives are targeted and tailored to the opportunity and labour market demand. They complement existing programs and services, not replace them. A complete understanding of all partners' programs and services and how they relate and complement rather than compete with each other is an essential piece of the partnership's capacity building. AAD works closely with the partnerships during the establishment of their governing structure to lay the ground work for a strong partnership and shared vision. Linkages have been made, and will continue to be made, with existing ASEP projects that have demonstrated strong coordination with the partnership.

Program Success

Key Finding 10: *In most cases, the ASEP projects were identified as increasing the ability of organizations to address the needs of Aboriginal populations. In a few cases, the projects were perceived to have limited success in this area by providing services that overlap with existing opportunities provided by already established programming.*

As identified in the previous key finding, in order to mitigate the overlap with existing opportunities, AAD works with partners to help ensure a complete understanding of the programs and services available in the targeted communities and how the ASEP projects complement and build on existing programs and services in the communities. In the recent ASEP RFP, the partnership was required to identify existing programs and services in the community and how the proposed ASEP programs and services would complement these existing services. AAD will work with the partnerships to identify AHRDA, Service Canada, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC) and Provincial-Territorial (PT) programs that may exist in order to ensure all entities are working together to address the needs of the Aboriginal population.

Key Finding 11: *There were a few examples provided during the evaluation that demonstrated how new knowledge generated from ASEP projects was beginning to be transferred and potentially incorporated into other related services.*

AAD has developed a comprehensive communication strategy that incorporates the identification of best practices within the ASEP projects, and ensures successes are communicated via newsletters, website updates, news articles, presentations at national and international forums, and so forth. AAD also holds annual sessions inviting all the partnerships of the current projects to share and communicate successes and best practices.

Key Finding 12: *Based on preliminary results, the ASEP projects are demonstrating both tangible and intangible outcomes at the individual level. The projects have met most of their early results targets, and individuals are reported to have enhanced their skills and been provided with work experience opportunities. As the projects progress, the challenge faced by some projects will be to assist clients in returning to employment given some of the delays in the timelines for the associated large projects, and sudden downturns in some sectors.*

AAD continues to provide support to ASEP projects in the capture of client data to ensure their case management system and the HRSDC SDF accurately reflect the outcomes of their project and the activities undertaken. In addition, AAD agrees that a downturn in an industry or delays in the timelines of the major economic project can affect the outcomes of the ASEP project. AAD closely monitors the projects and works with the partnerships to identify other private sector partners and/or opportunities that exist in order to meet the targets established in the contribution agreement, or alter the timelines on the Aboriginal training to employment plan if appropriate.

Accountability

Key Finding 13: *Developing adequate case management and financial systems to ensure that there is no duplication or displacement of non-federal investments has been challenging for many of the projects, as identified in the data assessments. Although the development of case management and financial systems has been challenging, the active involvement of the partners under a partnership model can assist in minimizing duplication and overlap of investments. The actual measurement of incrementality of investments was not possible in this formative evaluation given the lack of baseline data prior to the implementation of the ASEP program.*

As a result of the data assessments conducted on the nine existing ASEP projects, AAD worked with each project to develop and implement an action plan to address identified data gaps. As ASEP projects involve multiple partners, and often separate but duplicate reporting structures, AAD will work with all funders and partners on streamlining reporting requirements, and the associated systems, so that one report may serve the need for all. Identification of data systems that may serve the needs of multiple funders, without requiring two separate data systems, will be explored with the partners in order to minimize duplication and overlap. AAD will work closely with the partners, Service Canada, the AHRDAs and the provinces/territories to establish adequate case management and financial systems from the start up of new projects and provide partnerships with the support and training required to adequately capture the data and report on investments.

1. Introduction

This document presents the report on the formative evaluation of the Aboriginal Skills and Employment Partnership (ASEP) program conducted by Human Resources and Skills Development Canada (HRSDC), covering the period from November 2003 to November 2006. The report is organized according to the following sections:

- This introductory section presents an overview of the ASEP program including descriptions of the nine ASEP projects, and an overview of the evaluation issues and questions;
- Section 2 contains details on the formative evaluation methodology;
- Section 3 presents findings according to the main formative evaluation issues and questions; and
- Section 4 contains an overview of the main findings and conclusions.

1.1 Overview of ASEP Program

The five-year, \$85M ASEP initiative is a targeted Aboriginal skills development program designed to promote maximum employment for Aboriginal people on major economic developments through a collaborative partnership approach, leading to lasting benefits for Aboriginal individuals, communities and families. It is designed to address a broad spectrum of skills and learning needs and provide access to jobs. The ASEP program is a part of the Government of Canada's commitment in the 2002 Speech from the Throne to help Aboriginal people participate in economic development opportunities.

The ASEP program has funded nine diverse projects in resource-based sectors, including mining, forestry, oil and gas, hydro development, fishery, and construction. Each project is located in a different community or group of communities with varying project scopes. Funding levels range from approximately \$3M to \$22M. The nine projects are located across the country in rural and urban areas, as well as northern and southern settings.

The ASEP program was built on a recognition of the needs to be met in Aboriginal human resource development, and the practices and principles that have been identified in past policy developments and initiatives.

The immediate objectives of the ASEP program are to:

- Foster collaboration, partnerships, alliances and networks between government, the private sector, non-government agencies and Aboriginal groups to maximize the employment opportunities available to Aboriginal people in areas of major economic development by leveraging investment of resources, in-kind and financial, from ASEP project partners;

- Build the capacity of communities to address human resources development needs related to economic opportunities; and
- Increase the quantity of employment-related skills training available to Aboriginal people in areas of major economic development beyond the level possible by reliance solely on Aboriginal Human Resources Development Agreement (AHRDA) funding.

The long term objectives of the ASEP program are to:

- Increase the number of Aboriginal people employed in the Canadian labour market;
- Reduce the barriers to employment for Aboriginal people such as low educational attainment and lack of employment opportunities; and
- Address a broad spectrum of skills and learning needs and provide access to jobs.

According to the program logic model (see Appendix A), the main activities of the program include: developing and supporting partnerships for Aboriginal employment; and managing contribution agreements. The main outputs arising from these activities include: partnership agreements for the development of Human Resources (HR) plans; contribution agreements; and an increased capacity to address employment issues facing Aboriginal people. The anticipated outcomes are stated as:

- Tailored skills enhancement/work experience opportunities (*immediate outcome*);
- Enhanced employability of Aboriginal people (*intermediate outcome*); and
- Long term sustainable employment for Aboriginal people (*ultimate outcome*).

In the most recent budget (March 2007), the Government of Canada announced that it will extend and expand the ASEP program by providing an additional \$105 million over the next five years, including \$35 million in the first two years.

1.2 Summary of ASEP Projects

The nine ASEP projects range in size, scope and activities. Each of the nine projects is described briefly below,¹ with summary information provided in Tables 1 and 2.

1.2.1 VanASEP Construction Careers Project

The VanASEP project is a partnership that was formed with the aim of meeting the skills shortage of the construction industry by creating opportunities for Aboriginal people to explore careers in construction. Aboriginal people will gain employability skills while working on opportunities relating to the Vancouver Trade and Convention Centre project, the Vancouver Port Expansion project, the Sea to Sky Highway project and other major construction projects in

¹ Information for these summaries was derived from the project profiles presented on the ASEP website: http://www.hrsdc.gc.ca/en/employment/aboriginal_training/projects/index.shtml

the Lower Mainland. Partners in this initiative include the First Nations Employment Society, Aboriginal Community Career Employment Services Society, the Métis Provincial Council of British Columbia (BC), the Tsawwassen First Nation, the Spo7ez Society - representing the Squamish Nation and Lil'wat Nation, the BC Construction Association, the Vancouver Regional Construction Association, the Vancouver Port Authority, PCL Construction Ltd., Houle Electric Co., Lockerbie & Hole, Peter Keiwit & Sons, and the Province of British Columbia. The contribution agreement is held with the VanASEP Training Society.

1.2.2 Aboriginal Mine Works

Aboriginal Mine Works acts as a bridge between Aboriginal people in Alberta, the service providers and full-time employment in the oil sands industry. A five phase program is offered to prepare individuals for work. Elements of the program include pre-training, academic upgrading and occupational work experience. Partners in this initiative include the Athabasca Tribal Council, the Métis Nation of Alberta, Exxon Mobile, Syncrude, Suncor, Long Lake Project, ALPAC, Albion Sands, Petro-Canada, Canadian Natural Resources Limited, Japan Canada Oil Sands Limited, ATCO Group, Conoco, Deer Creek, Enbridge, Encana and the Government of Alberta (Human Resources and Employment). The contribution agreement is held with Wood Buffalo Partners in Aboriginal Training Association.

1.2.3 Trade Winds to Success

The Trade Winds to Success Project aims to improve employment opportunities for Aboriginal people by delivering pre-apprenticeship training that could lead to meaningful employment in Alberta's construction industry. The training-to-employment program offered by the Trade Winds to Success Training Society aims to provide the opportunity for Aboriginal people to gain employability skills by offering life skills courses, academic upgrading to write the trades entrance exam level four, and eight weeks of union shop and hand skills training. Partners in this initiative include the Oteenow Employment & Training Society, the Métis Nation of Alberta, the Treaty Seven Economic Development Corporation, the International Brotherhood of Boilermakers Local 146, the International Association of Bridge, Structural, Ornamental and Reinforcing Ironworkers, Machinery Mover, Riggers and Welders, the Alberta and Northwest Territories (District of MacKenzie) Regional Council of Carpenters and Allied Workers, the United Association of Journeymen and Apprentices of the Plumbing and Pipefitting Industry of the United States and Canada Local 488, Ironworkers Apprenticeship and Training Plan Local Unions 720 and 725, and the Edmonton Pipe Trade Education Trust Fund. The contribution agreement is held with Trade Winds to Success Training Society.

1.2.4 Northwest Territories Industrial Mining Skills Strategy

The Northwest Territories Industrial Mining Skills Strategy aims to improve employment opportunities for Aboriginal people by providing education, job awareness, industrial skills development and long-term employment opportunities in the diamond mining industry of the Northwest Territories. The Northwest Territories Industrial Mining Skills Strategy aims to provide Aboriginal people with access to pre-apprenticeship and apprenticeship programs, including underground mine training, that could lead to meaningful jobs in the industry. Partners in this initiative include the Yellowknife's Dene First Nation, the Dogrib Treaty 11 Council (Tli Cho Government), the Lutsel K'e Dene Council, the North Slave Métis Alliance, Diavik Diamond Mines Inc., De Beers Canada Mining Inc., BHP Billiton, and the Government of the Northwest Territories (Department of Education, Culture and Employment). The contribution agreement is held with the Mine Training Society.

1.2.5 Northwest Territories Oil and Gas ASEP

The NWT Oil and Gas ASEP Skills Development Strategy aims to improve employment opportunities for Aboriginal people by providing skills development and employment support leading to long-term employment opportunities in the oil and gas industry of the Northwest Territories. Programs include basic skills and pre-employment, industrial skills development and employment support. The project is operating within the traditional territories of the Inuvialuit, Gwich'in, Sahtu and Deh Cho regions. Partners in this initiative include Deh Cho First Nations, Sahtu Dene Council Gwich'in Tribal Council, Inuvialuit Regional Corporation, Mackenzie Gas Project proponents and the Government of the Northwest Territories (Department of Education, Culture and Employment). The contribution agreement is held with the Aboriginal Futures Society.

1.2.6 Manitoba Hydro Northern Training and Employment Initiative

The Manitoba Hydro Northern Training Employment Initiative aims to improve employment opportunities for Aboriginal people in Northern Manitoba by providing skills development, on-the-job work experience and long-term employment opportunities for the province's largest hydroelectric development project in two decades. Participants have the opportunity to be trained in designated and non-designated trades, as well as construction support occupations for self-employment in business and management. Partners in this initiative include the Nisichawayasihk Cree Nation, the Tataskweyak Cree Nation, the War Lake Cree Nation, the Fox Lake Cree Nation, the York Factory First Nation, the Manitoba Métis Federation Inc. (MMF), the Manitoba Keewatinook Ininew Okimowin (MKIO), Indian and Northern Affairs (INAC), Western Economic Diversification (WED), Manitoba Hydro, and the Province of Manitoba. In addition, the consortium has created partnerships with more than 20 training and educational institutions, as well as Manitoba's private sector. The contribution agreement is held with the Wuskwatim & Keeyask Training Consortium.

1.2.7 Victor Diamond Mine Project

The Victor Diamond Mine Project aims to improve employment opportunities for Aboriginal people by providing skills development, on-the-job work experience and long-term employment opportunities with the Victor Diamond Mine Project in Northern Ontario. It offers various tools that include job information workshops, career counseling, training and work experience interventions, permanent job placements, and retention and advancement programs. Partners in this initiative include De Beers Canada and the Attawapiskat, Fort Albany, Kashechewan, Moose Cree, and Weenusk First Nations. The contribution agreement is held with James Bay Employment and Training.

1.2.8 People, Land and Opportunities

The People, Land and Opportunities project aims to improve employment opportunities for Aboriginal people by providing skills development, on-the-job work experience and long-term employment opportunities in the New Brunswick forestry industry. The project offers a training-to-employment program that prepares Aboriginal people for careers in forestry and provides skills upgrading to individuals who already have experience related to the industry. Screening and job shadowing is also on hand to match candidates with meaningful employment opportunities. Partners in this initiative include the First Nations Human Resources Development Corp., Mawiw Tribal Council, New Brunswick Aboriginal People Council, North Shore Micmac District Tribal Council, St. John River Valley Tribal Council, New Brunswick Forest Products Association, Natural Resources Canada, and the Province of New Brunswick (Aboriginal Affairs Secretariat). The contribution agreement is held with ASEP-NB Inc.

1.2.9 Long Term Training Initiative for Nunavut's Fishing Industry

The Long Term Training Initiative for Nunavut's Fishing Industry aims to improve employment opportunities for Aboriginal people by providing training programs, case management and retention activities to prepare individuals for long-term career opportunities in the Nunavut fishing industry. The project aims to assist the Inuit of Nunavut to obtain maximum employment and economic benefits from the development of a Nunavut-based fishing industry. Training includes basic upgrading and lessons on career opportunities in the fishing industry, and diploma program training. An at-sea mentorship program will also be developed and implemented to increase job retention. Partners in this initiative include the Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporated, Kakivak Association, Hunters and Trappers Association, Baffin Fisheries Coalition, Government of Nunavut (Department of Environment and Department of Education), and the Nunavut Arctic College. The contribution agreement is held with Nunavut Fisheries Training Consortium.

1.2.10 Summary of Planned Contributions and Results Targets

As illustrated in Table 1 below, the HRSDC contribution to the nine projects ranged in size from \$2.9M to \$22.0M. Similarly, there was a large variation in the planned leveraged contributions for the projects ranging in size from \$1.0M to \$40.0M. According to the contribution agreements, the contributions from HRSDC will total \$75.9M across the nine projects with additional \$90.5M as planned leveraged contributions. This result in total contributions to the nine projects predicted at \$166.4M.

Table 1 Summary of Planned Contributions				
ASEP Project	Province Territory	HRSDC Contribution	Planned Leveraged Contributions	Total Planned Contributions
VanASEP Construction Careers Project	BC	\$7.8M	\$13.8M	\$21.6M
Aboriginal Mine Works	AB	\$3.3M	\$1.5M	\$4.8M
Trade Winds to Success Project	AB	\$3.9M	\$1.6M	\$5.5M
NWT Industrial Mining Skills Strategy	NWT	\$14.9M	\$25.1M	\$40.0M
NWT Oil and Gas ASEP	NWT	\$10.0M	\$2.7M	\$12.7M
Manitoba Hydro Northern Training and Employment Initiative	MB	\$22.0M	\$40.0M	\$62.0M
Victor Diamond Mine Project	ON	\$7.9M	\$2.7M	\$10.6M
People, Land and Opportunities Project	NB	\$2.9M	\$1.0M	\$3.9M
Long Term Training Initiative for Nunavut's Fishing Industry	NU	\$3.2M	\$2.1M	\$5.3M
TOTAL		\$75.9M²	\$90.5M	\$166.4M
Source: Contribution Agreements for ASEP projects				

Within the contribution agreements signed for each project, there is an outline of targeted results for the entire funding period covered by the agreement. Table 2 contains a summary of the targeted results according to each project. Overall, under the agreements, it is planned that 9,976 individuals will be assessed for ASEP interventions, 6,245 will participate in interventions and 4,113 participants will complete their action plans. It is anticipated that 2,021 participants will become employed with the employers targeted

² The difference between the \$85M in Section 1.1 and the \$75.9M indicated here are the administrative costs of delivering the ASEP program.

under the agreement, with a similar proportion (1,834) returning to employment elsewhere. Slightly over \$23M is anticipated in short term income support savings.

Based on information from Table 1 and Table 2, the planned per participant cost for the 4,113 participants targeted to complete action plans would be \$40,457 per participant overall, of which \$18,454 would be HRSDC contribution. The planned cost per participant for those who will become employed with targeted employers or employment elsewhere would be \$43,165 per participant overall, of which \$19,689 would be HRSDC contribution.

Table 2							
Summary of Targeted Results over Entire Funding Period							
ASEP Project	Province Territory	Target Number of Individuals to be Assessed	Target Number of Individuals to Participate	Target Number of Individuals to Complete an Action Plan	Target Number of Individuals to be Employed with Targeted Employers	Target Number of Individuals to Return to Employment Elsewhere	Target Short term Income Support Savings³
VanASEP Construction Careers Project	BC	900	600 (67%)	600 (67%)	300 (33%)	250 (28%)	\$500K
Aboriginal Mine Works	AB	300	120 (40%)	120 (40%)	104 (35%)	120 (40%)	No target
Trade Winds to Success Project	AB	380	224 (59%)	60 (16%)	54 (14%)	54 (14%)	\$3K
NWT Industrial Mining Skills Strategy	NWT	1,500	1,100 (73%)	380 (25%)	380 (25%)	380 (25%)	No target
NWT Oil and Gas ASEP	NWT	1,366	1,366 (100%)	1,093 (80%)	51 (4%)	547 (40%)	No target
MB Hydro Northern Training and Employment Initiative	MB	2,631	1,115 (42%)	807 (31%)	794 (30%)	100 (4%)	\$19,395K
Victor Diamond Mine Project	ON	1,530	1,020 (67%)	683 (45%)	190 (12%)	153 (10%)	\$2,074K
People, Land and Opportunities Project	NB	800	500 (63%)	220 (28%)	92 (12%)	220 (28%)	\$1,120K
Long Term Training Initiative for Nunavut's Fishing Industry	NU	569	200 (35%)	150 (28%)	56 (10%)	10 (2%)	No target
TOTAL		9,976	6,245 (63%)	4,113 (41%)	2,021 (20%)	1,834 (18%)	\$23,092K

Source: Contribution Agreements for ASEP projects

³ Short term income support savings refers to the difference between the aggregate of each participant's entitlement to social assistance benefits and the actual pay out of the benefits to that participant.

1.3 Profile of Participants

A profile of participants⁴ was developed from case management information supplied by the nine projects. The main participant characteristics identified based on available participant data included:

- **Gender:** Approximately three quarters of participants (76%) were male.
- **Age:** Approximately two-thirds were under the age of 35.
 - Under 25 years old – 32%
 - 25 to 34 years old – 32%
 - 35 to 44 years old – 25%
 - 45 years or older – 11%
- **Education:** The majority of participants had not graduated from high school.
 - Grade 8 or less – 12%
 - Grade 9 to 12 (no diploma) – 51%
 - High school graduate – 32%
 - Some Vocational or post-secondary – 4%
- **Aboriginal group:** Most participants were members of First Nations.
 - First Nations – 80%
 - Métis – 10%
 - Inuit – 10%

1.4 Evaluation Objectives and Issues

The Results-based Management and Accountability Framework (RMAF) developed for the ASEP program⁵ guides the continuous monitoring and evaluation process. The continuous monitoring and evaluation of the ASEP program is a condition set out in Section 1 (Schedule E: General Conditions) of each of the contribution agreements between the Minister of HRSDC and the respective ASEP project partners, which specifically states:

Canada will conduct an evaluation during the period of the Agreement to determine the success of the Proposal activities that are being supported under the Agreement. The Proponent shall cooperate with Canada in the conduct of the evaluation by collecting and providing such information as may be reasonably requested by Canada in relation to the Strategy activities.

⁴ This profile is based on incomplete data (approximately 2,000 cases) because 20% of participant's information was missing. As a result, the profile should be used for providing a general snapshot of participants rather than providing specific details.

⁵ *Results-based Management and Accountability Framework (RMAF) for the Aboriginal Skills and Employment Partnership (ASEP) program* – Human Resources and Skills Development Canada, 2003.

The overall objectives of the formative evaluation were to assess the rationale, relevance, implementation, results to-date, and accountability of the ASEP program. At the formative stage, five case studies were implemented to contribute to the understanding of the context in which the ASEP program is operating, the achievements made related to partnership building, and the early impacts being made in community capacity building related to human resource development.

The ASEP RMAF outlined the evaluation issues and questions to be addressed by the formative evaluation. It is noted that while many of the questions in the framework are summative in nature and would normally be addressed towards the end of an initiative, the intention of the formative is to report on progress towards, and likelihood of achievement of, the outcomes indicated in the framework. The issues and questions addressed by the formative evaluation are included in Table 3 below.

Table 3 Formative Evaluation Issues and Questions⁶	
Issue: Program rationale and relevance	
Q1 -	Is there a continued need for the program?
Q2 -	To what extent does the ASEP program reflect broad federal government Aboriginal policy directions?
Issue: Program implementation	
Q3 -	Have the ASEP projects been implemented as planned?
Q4 -	Was the accountability structure established as set out in the RMAF?
Q5 -	Were the services appropriate to the target clients?
Q6 -	Were funds expended as set out in planning documents?
Q7 -	How was capacity of the governing structures/delivery agents developed?
Q8 -	Were targeted clients and communities reached?
Q9 -	How well did the governing structures/delivery agents coordinate with other employment related services for Aboriginal workers?
Issue: Program results to-date	
Q10 -	To what extent have ASEP contributions increased the ability of organizations to address the needs of Aboriginal populations?
Q11 -	To what extent have ASEP funded projects and new knowledge generated resulted in improved government policies and services for Aboriginal populations?
Q13 -	To what extent has the ASEP program resulted in skills enhancement and work experience opportunities for Aboriginal people that resulted in increased ability to participate in the community, compete in the labour market and obtain and maintain employment?
Issue: Accountability	
Q16 -	Are adequate safeguards in place to ensure that federal investments do not duplicate or displace non-federal investments?
Q17 -	Has the ASEP program leveraged additional investments from project partners?
Q18 -	Are ASEP investments incremental to existing non-federal investments?

⁶ The issue of cost-effectiveness will be addressed in the summative evaluation. The cost-effectiveness issue includes both Q14 – *Are ASEP projects cost effective?*, and Q15 – *How do ASEP funded projects compare to other similar programs in this field?*. As well, an additional question under the Program results to-date issue will be added for the summative evaluation (Q12 – *Has ASEP contributed to increased capacity to address employment issues facing Aboriginal people?*).

2. Evaluation Methodology

The methodology developed and implemented for the formative evaluation included:

- Case studies with five Aboriginal Skills and Employment Partnerships (ASEP) projects which included key informant interviews, discussion groups, a project document review, and socio-economic profiles, and
- Assessment and analysis of client case management and administrative data for all of the nine ASEP projects.

An overview of these methods is included below. The details for each method are provided in the various technical reports prepared for the evaluation.

2.1 Case Studies

Case studies were conducted for the following five projects:

- VanASEP Construction Careers Project;
- Aboriginal Mine Works;
- NWT Oil and Gas ASEP;
- Manitoba Hydro Northern Training and Employment Initiative; and
- People, Land and Opportunities Project.

These five case-studies were chosen in partnership with ASEP Program Management and with consideration of the following three factors: size of the contribution agreement, geographical distribution, and rural/urban location. Each case study consisted of a document review, key informant interview, discussion groups, and socio-economic profiles. A separate technical report was prepared for each of the five projects.

2.1.1 Document Review

The objectives of the document review were to assist in understanding the context of the various projects and to address some evaluation issues. Documents reviewed included policy documents, annual reports, plans, administrative forms and promotional materials for each project.

2.1.2 Key Informant Interviews

The objective of the key informant interviews was to gather in-depth information including views, explanations, examples and factual information that addressed specific evaluation issues. The key informant interviews should be viewed as a complement to the other lines of evidence gathered as part of this evaluation, in that they provide supporting qualitative information. The evaluation team worked in conjunction with the various project contacts to identify appropriate key informants for the evaluation, including project partners, project staff, industry representatives and employers, staff from training institutions and Aboriginal organizations.

The evaluation team was provided with the lists of potential key informants and the selection ensured representation of ASEP partners, employers, staff, training institutions and Aboriginal organizations involved with each project. It should be noted that the lists were not necessarily exhaustive. Approximately 10 interviews were conducted in each of the five case study communities. In total, 67 interviews were conducted with key informants. The distribution according to sub-groups was:

- Project partners (n=35)
- ASEP project staff (n=14)
- Industry representatives/employers (n=8)
- Training institutions and Aboriginal organizations (n=10)

Respondents were provided with a copy of an introductory letter from Human Resources and Skills Development Canada (HRSDC) outlining the purpose of the evaluation, how the collected information would be used, and contact information if they had any questions. Interviews were conducted using semi-structured guides with open-ended questions that were tailored according to the sub-groups. Where relevant, the versions of the guides shared similar questions to address the evaluation issues. All interview guides were pre-tested within the first case study undertaken. The notes from interviews were grouped according to evaluation questions and issues using an evidence matrix.

2.1.3 Discussion Groups

The objective of the discussion groups was to gather qualitative information from participants and community members with respect to specific evaluation issues. The discussion group method was designed to complement the other qualitative and quantitative methods used for the formative evaluation. The discussion groups were conducted as a facilitated group discussion that leads to an exploration of the issues and understanding of the context behind individual's experiences.

Approximately two discussion groups were conducted in each of the five case study communities. Discussion groups were arranged in consultation with the ASEP project staff and the participating communities. Given the nature of the projects, ASEP project staff or

training institutions recruited individuals to participate in the discussion groups in most communities. This may have introduced a bias to the discussion group process given that the participants were not randomly selected and recruited by the evaluation team in most instances.

The discussion group guides were developed to address specific evaluation questions. The guide was pre-tested in the initial case study. Participants were offered a \$50 honorarium for their participation. Notes were taken in each group by a member of the evaluation team while the other team member facilitated the group. The notes were sorted according to question. The analysis ascribed importance to responses based on consistency of responses across discussion group participants.

In total, 12 discussion groups were conducted with 92 participants, including 67 ASEP clients and 25 community members, trainers, and employers.

2.1.4 Socio-economic Profiles

Socio-economic profiles were developed for the communities involved in the five ASEP projects selected for case studies. The participating communities and regions covered by the projects were identified from the contribution agreements for each of the projects. Profiles were developed using publicly available data including Census data (1996; 2001), the Aboriginal Peoples Survey (2001), and information from Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC) such as the community well-being indices.

2.2 Assessments of Client Data and Data Systems

The data assessment component was a stocktaking of data systems as well as the processes of using these systems for client service and program tracking. It provided an early and comprehensive assessment of how well the data can be expected to match the requirements for monitoring, as well as the formative and summative evaluations for each of the nine ASEP projects. This process assisted in addressing issues of implementation and accountability. This assessment also provided guidance to HRSDC and ASEP projects to address any gaps and limitations.

The data assessment component was designed to ensure that all parties understand to what extent the client data and data systems can realistically contribute to the evaluation information needs, both in the current state and with any remedial work that is indicated from the assessment.

The ASEP data assessments were designed to:

- Assess the capacity of ASEP project administrative data files to support evaluation;
- Determine the extent to which data not available from administrative data files are available from other sources;
- Map the series of steps required to obtain the data needed for the evaluation;

- Assess the adequacy of data systems to meet monitoring and evaluation needs;
- Provide a summary and analysis of available client program data to date to assess the experiences of program clients; and
- Propose solutions for addressing any data gaps or constraints.

Nine data assessment reports were completed and shared with ASEP program management and ASEP projects for remedial work.

The key components of the data assessment included:

- ***Project Initiation and Site Visit:*** For each project, the initial task was to contact the Project Coordinator, review the letter sent by HRSDC informing them of the evaluation, discuss the need to access their files, and determine the most appropriate location and time for a site visit. Member(s) of the Aboriginal Employment Services (AES) Inc. research team then visited at least one site for each of the nine ASEP projects between September 25, 2006 and March 23, 2007. With the assistance of project staff, business processes were documented and case management and financial data was reviewed on-site. In addition, a copy of case management data was provided for later analysis.
- ***Data Collection Process Description:*** An important element of the data assessment was documenting the data collection process to help understand how, when, and in what format data is captured. This helped the research team decide how best to select cases for review, identify any process weaknesses that may result in data gaps, and determine where data is held and in what format. Data collection processes were documented through interviews with project staff.
- ***Data Mapping:*** The data mapping process matches the evaluation issues and indicators with the data sources available. For the ASEP data assessments, the data mapping concentrated on questions to be answered using case management and administrative data. Data mapping was done by identifying evaluation questions that rely to some degree on case management and/or other administrative data, identifying data required to develop the client survey frame, developing a list of data requirements related to case management and administrative data, identifying the source of the data, identifying the location of the data (where it is physically held), and identifying the data elements that are important from a monitoring and evaluation perspective. Once this process was complete, gaps between data available from administrative sources and data needed for evaluation were identified. Other sources of data were then sought to fill the gaps.
- ***Data Assessments:*** After identifying the data requirements and the sources of data available to meet these requirements, it was necessary to examine the integrity, quality, reliability, validity and logic of all data variables for all nine ASEP projects. Specifically, the data assessments clearly identified the data available to support the evaluation; location and integrity, quality, reliability, validity and logic of data variables according to life-cycle of program or intervention; age, frequency and timeframes covered by data files; gaps and limitations that currently exist (and the reasons underlying the gaps) in the data; and potential risks to the evaluation study as they pertain to gaps in data availability, validity and quality.

- **Gap Analysis:** Data gaps identified through the data mapping process or as a result of data assessments were brought together and recommendations for how best to address these gaps were developed for each ASEP project.
- **Profile of Participants:** To provide additional context for the data assessment, using available administrative data, the research team has profiled ASEP program participation as of the date of the site visit for each of the nine projects. This profile included number of clients by age, sex, education level, and Aboriginal group; program participation by age, sex, education level, and Aboriginal group for each type of intervention; and action plan results by age, sex and education level.

3. Findings

This section presents the findings according to the evaluation issues and questions. After each set of findings, supporting evidence is provided from all lines of inquiry.

3.1 Program Rationale and Relevance

Q1 – Is there a continued need for the program?

There is a strong continued need for the Aboriginal Skills and Employment Partnership (ASEP) program, as demonstrated through the case studies and the socio-economic profiles. The ASEP program is viewed as addressing needs of both the Aboriginal communities and industry. Specifically, ASEP interventions are perceived as relevant to the needs identified within Aboriginal communities to develop skills and gain work experience in order to fully participate in key industrial projects in their regions.

An overview of the socio-economic profiles developed from 2001 Census data and Aboriginal Peoples Survey data demonstrates that the Aboriginal communities participating in the ASEP program tend to have greater socio-economic disadvantages when attempting to participate in key industrial projects in their regions when compared with non-Aboriginal populations. In most cases reviewed, Aboriginal people had significantly lower levels of education, employment and earned income when compared to non-Aboriginal people. Given the labour requirements of these large industrial projects associated with the ASEP program, there is a need to assist Aboriginal people to upgrade and develop their skills and work experience to meet these requirements in order to fully participate in the work force related to the industrial projects. With involvement from industry, ASEP projects are designed to ensure that Aboriginal participants receive training that is relevant to industry requirements.

Aboriginal and industry partners consistently indicated that there is a need for the ASEP projects. Emphasis on a demand driven model was viewed as particularly relevant for the contexts of the specific ASEP projects. The ASEP program was also viewed by Aboriginal and industry partners as meeting the need for supporting partnerships in order to accomplish project objectives. The need for effective, quality partnerships between various Aboriginal communities and industry was reiterated during interviews with partners. In some instances, the Aboriginal partners brought together for the ASEP project indicated that this was the first time that the specific groups making up the partnership had actually come together to jointly work on issues affecting their communities. The ASEP program's emphasis on partnerships was viewed as assisting in addressing this need.

Partners, participants, community representatives and training organizations viewed the ASEP projects as addressing specific needs of participants and communities. The main needs identified during interviews and discussion groups as being addressed by the ASEP program included:

- Development of skills, including occupation-specific skills, life skills and essential skills;

- Raising awareness of trades among participants and the Aboriginal communities more generally;
- Obtaining work experiences and “hands-on” training;
- Raising awareness of workplace requirements, work ethic, and work habits;
- Obtaining certification and apprenticeship hours;
- Providing community-based training, when available;
- General education upgrading;
- Preparing for jobs that are not just unskilled labour jobs; and
- Providing financial support while training and gaining work experience.

The documents reviewed for each case study project demonstrated the various needs that the specific ASEP project was designed to address. The project proposals clearly outlined in each case the purpose of the projects, and the rationale as to why this project was needed at the time of application. With one exception where there was a sudden, sharp down turn in an industry and the need for skilled workers in the sector was greatly reduced, all other contexts examined indicated that the same needs identified in the original project proposals remained from both the perspectives of Aboriginal communities and industry.

Q2 – To what extent does the ASEP program reflect broad federal government Aboriginal policy directions?

The ASEP program continues to reflect the broad federal government Aboriginal policy directions as outlined in recent planning and policy documents.

The most recent Report on Plans and Priorities (2006-2007) for Human Resources and Social Development Canada (HRSDC) has identified building more effective partnerships to improve Aboriginal labour market outcomes as being directly linked to the achievement of the strategic outcome of “enhanced Canadian productivity and participation through efficient and inclusive labour markets, competitive workplaces and access to learning”. Within the plan there is the recognition that the achievement of strategic outcomes will require that HRSDC “works closely with provincial and territorial partners, Aboriginal people, not-for-profit and community-based volunteer organization, and with Canadians, building on established relationships bilaterally and through multilateral forums”. The ASEP program, with its emphasis on partnerships and results based on the direct labour market participation of Aboriginal people in key industries, is directly supporting the federal government priorities.

Other policies and priorities that provide rationale and program authority for the ASEP program include:

- **Aboriginal Skills and Employment and Inclusion:** In the 2006 Speech from the Throne the government committed to “seek to improve opportunity for all Canadians, including Aboriginal people and new immigrants”.
- **Skills and Learning:** A commitment to skills and learning is at the foundation of Canada’s Innovation Strategy, which was launched in February, 2002. Under this

Strategy, the Government of Canada indicated it would consider, in cooperation with the provinces, territories and other partners, a targeted skills development initiative for Aboriginal people. The Reference Group of Ministers on Aboriginal People (RGMAP) identified a wide range of possible initiatives to respond to the Government of Canada’s commitment to Aboriginal people, which included the ASEP program.

- **Social Union Framework Agreement (SUFA):** Under the SUFA signed in 1999, the First Ministers agreed to promote the full and active participation of all Canadians in Canada’s social and economic life. The SUFA also states that “governments will work with the Aboriginal peoples of Canada to find practical solutions to address their pressing needs.”

In the most recent budget (March 2007), the Government of Canada announced that it will extend and expand the ASEP program by providing an additional \$105 million over the next five years, including \$35 million in the first two years.

3.2 Program Implementation

Q3 – Have the ASEP projects been implemented as planned?
<i>Overall, most activities under the various ASEP projects have been implemented as originally planned. Information collected from project documents, partners and staff demonstrated that key assessment and training activities had been undertaken and most planned components were underway at the time of the interviews.</i>

The review of documents collected during the case studies indicated that in most cases, implementation of activities has proceeded according to plan. A comparison of annual reports with contribution agreements resulted in very few differences. Partners and project staff indicated that where there were differences, this was most often due to one or more of the following:

- Differences in timing due to unanticipated delays in some activities starting up (e.g., finding an appropriate training institution, adapting materials for the Aboriginal context, working out the assessment procedures with multiple parties, etc.);
- Differences in timing due to delays in timeline for the associated industrial project or sudden changes in the targeted sector;
- Differences in timing due to the different paces and starting times of different Aboriginal partners within a project;
- Differences in scopes of activities. Scopes of activities were adjusted to usually include additional components given additional requirements for participants (e.g., upgrading of basic/essential skills, housing support) or as a result of suggestions from industry (e.g., specific certifications, expanding scope to related sub-sectors).

Q4 – Was the accountability structure established as set out in the RMAF?

Overall, there are significant gaps in the case management and financial data required for evaluation and accountability. In all but one of the nine projects, remedial work is needed to ensure that data accurately reflects project activity.

The most serious gaps with respect to case management data are:

- *There is no electronic case management data available for a significant proportion of clients. As a result, complete case management data are neither readily available on-site, nor available from HRSDC's Standard Data File (SDF).*
- *Data stored in case management systems are miscoded in the majority of cases.*

The financial data, for most projects, are more complete; however, for all projects, work is required to link project costs to individual clients.

The data assessment found that there is considerable work required for most projects to bring their case management and financial data up to a level where they would be assessed as adequate for evaluation and accountability purposes. The data mapping exercise demonstrated that, for the most part, the various case management and financial systems used by the nine projects have the required data fields to meet monitoring and evaluation requirements. The main challenges in the data were identified during the data assessment exercise when the actual case management data contained in the systems were assessed for coverage, validated with hard copy files, assessed according to interventions, and matched with the data available in the financial systems.

The gap analyses conducted for the nine projects identified common gaps among the projects⁷ case management systems:

- ***Clients who received an employment benefit or support measure but are not in electronic files uploaded to HRSDC's SDF*** – Because these individuals are not in Contact IV or ARO Suite,⁸ their records are not uploaded to HRSDC's Standard Data File and consequently, they are not included in the internal accountability reporting process. The exclusion of these clients from Contact IV or ARO Suite means that they are excluded from any analysis of clients, resulting in an understatement of number of people benefiting from the ASEP program, and resulting in inaccurate profiles. The lack of information about individuals in Contact IV or ARO Suite may create bias in some evaluation methodologies. In particular, the analysis of administrative and participant survey data would be biased towards those participants whose records are actually within HRSDC's Standard Data File. These may or may not be representative of the overall population of ASEP participants. The direction of this bias would not be easily determined without data on the overall population.
- ***Clients who received an employment benefit but do not have a hard copy case management file*** – There are instances where there have been payments made according to the financial systems, but there is no hard copy case management file available for these

⁷ It should be noted that gaps were included when similar gaps were identified in two or more projects. Specific details of the gaps by project are contained in separate technical reports.

⁸ Contact IV and ARO Suite are case management systems.

individuals. It is important for accountability and evaluation purposes that there exists a hard copy management file for each individual.

- **Missing and/or inaccurate case management data on client characteristics** – When records in Contact IV were compared with hard copy files, there were data missing in Contact IV that were available in the hard copy files. Missing data on client characteristics affects the accuracy of client profiles and any evaluation sampling strategies. This gap could be addressed by additional data entry by the project staff.
- **Missing and/or inaccurate information on client interventions** - When records in Contact IV were compared with hard copy files, many of the interventions were miscoded. For example, skills development interventions were often identified in Contact IV files as *Job Creation Partnerships (JCP)* or *Aboriginal – Other*. This gap results in inaccurate and misleading data being uploaded to HRSDC’s SDF, which in turn is then being used for accountability and evaluation purposes.
- **Failure to close action plans** - In some cases, there were large proportions of action plans in Contact IV or ARO Suite that were left open. While some action plans could be legitimately left open as clients are currently participating in interventions, there were a number found where there had been no activity for over a year. It is important to close action plans once interventions are complete in order to capture action plan results for accountability and evaluation purposes.

The most common gap identified among the projects financial systems included:

- **Failure to allocate costs back to clients** - Costs for training, student allocations, etc. should be allocated back on a per client basis so that costs per intervention, as well as costs per client can be calculated.

Q5 – Were the services appropriate to the target clients?

Overall, the services were perceived as appropriate for the target clients. In many instances, training and work placements had been tailored to meet the specific needs of clients, and interventions had been adjusted based on evaluations and feedback received from participants. Even with the tailoring and adjustments, there was the acknowledgement that the ASEP projects could not accommodate some issues such as providing services for those who had very low education levels who requested training, and some contextual issues such as participants having to leave the community to find work until the large projects are underway.

Overall, partners, ASEP project staff and representatives from training institutions indicated that the services were appropriate for the targeted clients. Key factors that were identified by these groups as contributing to assuring that the services were appropriate included quality of instructors, role of job coaches, input from industry on the types of training to be offered, variety of services offered, and flexibility within and across interventions. In many instances, respondents reported that there was ongoing feedback requested from students and instructors to assist in making appropriate adjustments to services offered to participants. Respondents also highlighted a number of challenges involved in assuring that the services were

appropriate, many of these outside of the specific scopes of the projects. Some of the most frequently cited challenges included:

- ***Occurrence of “social passing” in high schools*** – This involves passing students from one year to the next due to their age rather than their academic achievements. As a result, some respondents reported that potential clients are arriving with high school credentials but are being assessed at levels far below high school equivalences. There is a large gap then, that exists between their academic level and the level required for them to actively participate in ASEP designed interventions.
- ***Challenges in finding adequate work experience opportunities*** – Respondents report that there are challenges in moving participants into appropriate work experience activities once they have received training, given that in some cases the actual industrial projects associated with the ASEP project are behind schedule, are not yet developed enough to start hiring ASEP participants, or there has been a sudden down-turn in the specific sector. As a result of these gaps in timing and opportunities, there are reported instances where ASEP participants have had to move out of their communities and provinces/territories to find adequate employment opportunities to collect apprenticeship hours, and/or gain work experience related to their training. It was anticipated among some of the participants that these apprenticeship and work experience opportunities would be available on the actual designated projects/sectors identified in the ASEP project (e.g., forestry, hydro project construction).

Discussion groups with ASEP clients and community representatives provided similar assessment to key informants on the appropriateness of services. Overall, participants indicated that the services were appropriate and cited areas such as the quality of instructors, financial support available, and the variety of skills developed. A number of areas were also identified as challenges. Some of the most frequently cited were:

- More work placement opportunities to practice and apply techniques learned in classroom training situations;
- Additional assistance with personal costs (e.g., transportation, child care);
- Requirement to leave communities to get training and work experience; and
- Redundant and/or inadequate assessment process.

Q6 – Were funds expended as set out in planning documents?

<i>The actual expenditures, as reported in annual reports and summary financial reporting, were less than planned expenditures for the initial stages of the projects examined. This is to be expected given the delays encountered in implementing activities during the first 6 to 12 months with many projects, and the nature of the activities during the start-up period.</i>

The evaluation team received summary financial information in the form of annual reports and summary financial reports for three of the five case study projects⁹. Based only on these three cases, the trend was that during the first stage of the projects, actual expenditures were significantly lower than planned expenditures. For the three projects examined, actual expenditures ranged from 34% to 60% of planned expenditures during the first 6 to 12 months of the project. Given the delays that some projects experienced during the start-up phase and the nature of the activities (e.g., assessment and training vs. wage subsidy) in the initial stages of the projects, these findings would be in line with what would be expected for similar projects in a start-up phase.

Q7 – How was capacity of the governing structures/delivery agents developed?

There was considerable variability in the amount of effort and resources the various ASEP projects had invested to date in developing the capacity of governing structures, ASEP staff and delivery agents. While two of the case study projects reported few activities in these areas beyond developing the basic by-laws and procedures associated with becoming an incorporated organization, two of the case study projects indicated that considerable effort had been made in developing capacity of delivery agents, ASEP staff and Boards of Directors.

Responses from partners, ASEP staff and representatives from organizations delivering assessments and training varied considerably from project to project when describing the various activities undertaken to develop the capacity of governing structures and delivery agents. While some projects had invested considerable time, effort and resources in developing capacity of Board members, ASEP staff and delivery agents, others indicated that they had not yet had time to implement these activities, or did not see the rationale for implementing these activities given that capacity, particularly among ASEP staff, was one of the main hiring criteria.

All projects participating in the case studies had developed by-laws, various policies and procedures, and job descriptions for the incorporated organization. In addition, some projects included capacity developing activities such as on-going training for the ASEP and delivery agent staff in various areas including assessment techniques, data systems, and case management. Some projects also presented information on how they had worked at developing the Board's capacity by implementing Board retreats and planning sessions.

⁹ The information obtained from project financial systems for the data assessment was not analyzed to determine the extent to which actual expenditures matched planned expenditures. As planned, data was used to assess the extent to which the financial systems were adequate with respect to monitoring and evaluation.

Q8 – Were targeted clients and communities reached?

The ASEP projects have developed and implemented marketing, promotional and communication activities to ensure that targeted communities are reached. Activities included direct outreach via partners' networks, community meetings, and direct promotional techniques such as flyers, newsletters, pamphlets and posters. The case studies identified challenges to ensuring that targeted communities are reached, with the main challenge identified as the relatively rapid turnover of staff within the various communities, which requires ongoing promotional and training activities to keep communities adequately informed.

Partners, ASEP staff and community representatives reported various activities which the ASEP projects have undertaken to ensure that the targeted communities were aware of the ASEP project activities. Respondents reported that the partnership aspect of the ASEP projects contributed to increased awareness of ASEP activities among targeted communities, as communities tended to have representation on the Board of Directors for the ASEP organizations. Board members were often cited as having responsibility for informing their own communities of ASEP activities. Another key group identified as important in understanding the ASEP projects objectives and activities were the organizations providing assessment and referral activities to the ASEP program from the various communities (often Aboriginal Human Resources Development Agreement (AHRDA) holders). The challenge cited in keeping these organizations informed was the turnover rate among staff at these organizations, which required an ongoing promotional and training requirement for ASEP staff.

A review of annual reports, marketing plans and promotional material provided by communities involved in the case study projects demonstrated that effort was being made by ASEP projects to inform targeted communities of their activities. Some communities were also able to provide data supporting the outcomes of their activities in this area. Three communities provided data in various documents demonstrating that they had attracted clients in key activities from each of their target communities.

Given the issues with various projects' administrative data outlined above (under the findings for Question 4), the client profiles developed from the administrative data provided to the evaluation team have major gaps, and are not likely representative of the clients who have obtained services from the ASEP projects. As a result, it is difficult to use these profiles to determine whether targeted clients are being reached.

Q9 – How well did the governing structures/delivery agents coordinate with other employment related services for Aboriginal workers?

The quality of the coordination between the ASEP structures, delivery agents and other employment services for Aboriginal people seemed to vary considerably between projects. Key factors contributing to a successful coordination included a solid partnership with a shared vision, ongoing and good communication between partners and ASEP staff, solid case management systems, development and adoption of common tools and templates, and ongoing identification of common issues and areas of concerns. Where coordination has been perceived as less successful, issues identified included large staff turnover, overlapping services, and perceived competition between organizations.

Partners, ASEP staff and representatives from Aboriginal organizations reported their perceptions of the extent to which the governing structures and delivery agents coordinated well with other employment related services for Aboriginal clients. The perceptions were relatively similar within projects, however varied considerably across projects. For some projects, respondents viewed the quality of coordination as very satisfactory. These people attributed the coordination to various factors, including:

- A solid partnership in which partners share a common focus and vision. This common focus and vision is then relayed to the communities and other organizations via the partners so that everyone is clear on which services are provided under the ASEP program and why;
- Ongoing, good communication between partners and ASEP staff. ASEP staff support community partners in their communication with their communities. This way, the organizations are not providing mixed or contradictory messages about ASEP activities and purpose;
- Common case management systems that allow all relevant organizations to understand and be aware of which clients are receiving which services;
- Development of common tools and templates so that organizations involved with ASEP clients at various stages (e.g., assessment and referral, training, work placement, etc.) are collecting the right information at each stage; and
- Ongoing, good communication between ASEP staff and other organizations' staff (i.e. AHRDAs) to ensure that issues and challenges are identified and addressed in a manner that is suitable to the various parties involved.

In contrast, respondents from other ASEP projects indicated that there were challenges in the quality of coordination between ASEP projects and other organizations providing employment services to Aboriginal clients (i.e. AHRDAs). The challenges identified by the respondents included:

- Competition among and between the organizations involved;
- Overlap in services provided, particularly in the areas of assessment and screening; and
- Large staff turnovers among both ASEP staff and other organizations' staff.

3.3 Program Results To-Date

Program success will be fully addressed in the summative evaluation. At the formative evaluation stage, multiple lines of evidence were used to provide some preliminary information on progress towards success, to have indications of the likelihood of the ASEP projects' success in various areas. The reader should keep in mind that these findings are preliminary in nature, and can only be confirmed during the summative evaluation.

Q10 – To what extent have ASEP contributions increased the ability of organizations to address the needs of Aboriginal populations?
--

<i>In most cases, the ASEP projects were identified as increasing the ability of organizations to address the needs of Aboriginal populations. In a few cases, the projects were perceived to have limited success in this area by providing services that overlap with existing opportunities provided by already established programming.</i>

Partners, community representatives, and Aboriginal organization representatives were asked to provide information on the extent to which the ASEP program has contributed to an increased ability of organizations to address the needs of Aboriginal people. Most responded positively to this question by providing examples where they felt the ASEP program had been successful in this area. Common responses included:

- Better understanding among organizations (including employers) of the challenges that young Aboriginal people face (e.g., literacy, alcohol/drug use, etc.);
- Development of networks among service providers;
- Addressing cultural barriers and challenges with employers;
- Capacity to deliver training directly in the community; and
- Providing a wider variety of services, supports, training, and employment opportunities.

A smaller proportion of respondents reported that they perceived the ASEP projects as essentially duplicating existing services and opportunities provided under other programs.

Q11 – To what extent have ASEP funded projects and new knowledge generated resulted in improved government policies and services for Aboriginal populations?

<i>There were a few examples provided during the evaluation that demonstrated how new knowledge generated from ASEP projects was beginning to be transferred and potentially incorporated into other related services.</i>
--

The document review and key informant interviews identified a few examples where various aspects of the ASEP projects were being identified as successful and considered for inclusion in other models. In one case, the training model had been presented at an international conference and was being considered for implementation at the provincial level for non-Aboriginal clients. In another case, the partnership model developed for the ASEP project was the topic of a research project to determine the extent to which it was an appropriate model for other types of partnerships.

Q13 – To what extent has the ASEP program resulted in skills enhancement and work experience opportunities for Aboriginal people that resulted in increased ability to participate in the community, compete in the labour market and obtain and maintain employment?

Based on preliminary results, the ASEP projects are demonstrating both tangible and intangible outcomes at the individual level. The projects have met their early results targets with respect to the number of individuals participating in the project activities. Given the data quality issues with the administrative systems, it is challenging to determine the extent to which the projects are meeting their early employment target results. From the case studies, individuals are reported to have enhanced their skills and been provided with work experience opportunities. As the projects progress, the challenge faced by some projects will be to assist clients in returning to employment, given some of the delays in the timelines for the associated large projects, and sudden downturns in some sectors.

The case studies provided considerable information on both the tangible and intangible outcomes to date that clients are experiencing as a result of their participation in the ASEP interventions. Many respondents indicated that while it was important to capture and measure the more tangible outcomes identified such as the results in the contribution agreements, of similar importance was the identification of the less tangible outcomes reported by clients, communities, instructors and staff.

The more tangible outcomes identified by partners, ASEP staff, staff from Aboriginal organizations, instructors and clients included the following:

- Participants moving from dependence on social assistance to economic self-sufficiency;
- Increased levels of occupation-based skills, essential skills, and life skills;
- Increased levels of work experience;
- Increased rates of returning to school and decisions to take further training;
- Increased income;
- Increased opportunities to work closer to their communities (for some projects);
- Increased levels of job search and career planning skills; and
- Increased opportunities to obtain apprenticeship hours.

The outcomes that were identified as less tangible, but just as important were:

- Increased levels of self-confidence and self-esteem;

- Increased understanding of the importance of the trades among participants, families and communities; and
- Younger generation now observing through participants the benefits of education and training.

While the tangible outcomes are linked in some manner to the ASEP program logic model, the intangible outcomes are less evidently linked to the outcomes outlined in the ASEP program logic model.

According to annual reports and performance templates provided by the five case study communities, the early stage results targets as established by the contribution agreements had been mostly met. This finding contrasts with the finding from the administrative data review. As illustrated in Table 4, while the early results targets for number of participants had been met by the time the data were extracted (period up to November 2006), the employment rates for four of the seven projects that had outcome data available were below the targeted results. However, in interpreting this finding, it must be noted that for eight of the nine projects, case management systems are not of the quality where the data accurately represents the various program activities that are being undertaken by the projects. This summary was extracted from the data assessment reports covering the period up to November 2006. Overall, there were 2,810 clients according the project records – 2,247 clients (80%) were entered into the case management databases. Outcomes were recorded for 1,574 clients who showed completed action plans. For clients with completed action plans, 408 were coded as employed (26%), 95 were coded as returned to school (6%) and 848 were coded as unemployed (54%). The outcomes were missing or not specified for 234 clients (15%).

Table 4
Summary of Number of Clients and Client Outcomes

Project	Number of Participants According to Project Records	Number of Participants in Case Management Database	Number of Participants with Completed Action Plans	Completed Action Plans ^a			First Year Targets from Contribution Agreements	
				Percentage of Employed or Self-Employed	Percentage of Returned to School	Percentage of Unemployed	Target Number of Participants	Target Percentage of Employed or Self-Employed
VanASEP Construction Careers Project	794	726	659	31%	1%	68%	25	60%
Aboriginal Mine Works	83	83	54	43%	7%	46%	20	25%
Trade Winds to Success	135	135	95	24%	0%	41%	60	90%
NWT Industrial Mining Skills Strategy	228	138	—	—	—	—	75	80%
NWT Oil & Gas Skills ASEP	450	248	196	16%	6%	78%	265	36%

Table 4 (continued)
Summary of Number of Clients and Client Outcomes

Project	Number of Participants According to Project Records	Number of Participants in Case Management Database	Number of Participants with Completed Action Plans	Completed Action Plans ^a			First Year Targets from Contribution Agreements	
				Percentage of Employed or Self-Employed	Percentage of Returned to School	Percentage of Unemployed	Target Number of Participants	Target Percentage of Employed or Self-Employed
Manitoba Hydro Northern Training and Employment Initiative	431	413	260	5%	1%	22%	200	65%
Victor Diamond Mine Project	234	155	155	19%	42%	39%	255	10%
People, Land and Opportunities Project	264	178	155	54%	3%	41%	125	44%
Long Term Training Initiative for Nunavut's Fishing Industry	191	171	—	—	—	—	40	50%
Total	2,810	2,247	1,574	26%	6%	54%	1,065	43%

Source: ASEP project Program Files, Case Management Systems, and Contribution Agreements

^aThe row totals may not add to 100% due to unspecified outcomes.

There were several factors influencing the outcomes for the ASEP clients. For the ASEP projects studied where there were delays in the timelines associated with the development of the industrial projects or a significant downturn in the sector, respondents reported that they are experiencing challenges in finding adequate work experience and employment opportunities for the clients that have received training and are ready for employment. In these communities, respondents report that trained clients are moving out of their communities to find employment related to their training. For those who are choosing to remain in their communities, they are experiencing challenges in finding employment opportunities related to their training and/or the industrial projects.

With respect to returning to school, one of the main challenges cited within the case studies was the occurrence of “social passing”. As a result, some clients are arriving with high school credentials but are being assessed at levels far below high school equivalences. There is a large gap, then, that exists between their academic level and the level required for them to actively participate in post-secondary studies.

3.4 Accountability

Q16 – Are adequate safeguards in place to ensure that federal investments do not duplicate or displace non-federal investments?

Q17 – Has the ASEP program leveraged additional investments from project partners?

Q18 – Are ASEP program investments incremental to existing non-federal investments?

Developing adequate case management and financial systems to ensure that there is no duplication or displacement of non-federal investments has been challenging for many of the projects, as identified in the data assessments. Although the development of case management and financial systems has been challenging, the active involvement of partners under a partnership model has assisted in minimizing duplication and overlap of investments. The actual measurement of incrementality of investments was not possible in this formative evaluation given the lack of baseline investment data prior to the implementation of the ASEP program.

The results from the data assessment indicated that for many projects there will be work required to develop their case management and financial systems to adequately ensure that there is no duplication or displacement of non-federal investments. For eight of the nine projects, case management systems do not accurately represent the various program activities that are being undertaken by the projects.

During the case studies, some project representatives indicated that they were required to provide similar levels and types of reporting to both the provincial and federal governments. In some cases this was produced by the same case management system, while in other instances, this required two separate data systems.

When asked to comment on the potential for duplication or overlap, some respondents indicated that the partnership model, when it works well, is one source of assurance that overlap and duplication does not occur. Examples of having partners who are also providing funding working in partnership with one another assists in identifying and protecting against potential areas of duplication or overlap.

4. Key Conclusions

4.1 Program Rationale and Relevance

The Aboriginal Skills and Employment Partnership (ASEP) program remains relevant to the federal government priorities for Aboriginal human resource development as set out in planning and policy documents. Specifically, the design of the ASEP program is consistent with the federal priority of building more effective partnerships to improve Aboriginal labour market outcomes. The program is also congruent with the recognition that the federal government will need to work closely with provincial and territorial partners and Aboriginal people, building on established relationships bilaterally and through multilateral forums.

The relevance of the ASEP program to the needs of Aboriginal communities is also apparent. There is a strong need for Aboriginal people to obtain relevant training and work experience in order to more fully participate in the employment opportunities associated with key industrial and resource-based projects being developed in specific regions. The demand driven training model based on direct input and feedback from industry is an appropriate model to address the identified needs.

4.2 Program Implementation

While progress has been made in implementing the various intervention activities for the ASEP projects, the implementation of case management and financial systems has been inadequate. At this stage, the ASEP projects are at risk of not being able to reliably demonstrate results at the summative stage given the gaps that currently exist in the case management and financial systems. The quality of data provided by these systems also calls into question the capacity of the program to adequately perform ongoing monitoring. If remedial work on these systems is undertaken right away at the project level, there is a strong likelihood that the systems will be able to produce quality data for ongoing monitoring and the summative evaluation.

The attention to providing appropriate services for clients is evident. For most projects, there has been considerable effort in ensuring that there is an understanding of the need of the clientele, and that project services are adjusted to meet these needs. Clients are generally appreciative of this tailoring of the services to meet their needs. The summative evaluation should be able to determine the extent to which this effort and success in matching services with client needs has resulted in better action plan completion rates, and improved employment outcomes for participants.

The differences were noteworthy in the amount of effort put forward in developing the capacity of the governance structure and staff. While each project examined had developed some rudimentary tools such as by-laws and policies and procedures, some projects placed much more emphasis on developing the partnership aspects of the project. These same projects were also more likely to invest in the development of the capacity of ASEP staff,

and have better communication with other Aboriginal organizations. Where investments in time and resources had been made in ensuring the partnership shared a common understanding of vision and goals for the ASEP project, there was also a greater likelihood that the ASEP staff and partners would highlight the partnership and their networks as key factors to the success of the organization. In contrast, those organizations that indicated that they had invested less effort in developing the partnership were also more likely to highlight the partnership as one of the main challenges in achieving the goals and objectives of the project. At the summative phase, it will be important to determine the extent to which the quality of partnerships and investments in capacity building has had an impact on the achievement of project outcomes.

4.3 Program Results To-Date

The ASEP program has contributed to an increase in capacity among organizations to address the needs of Aboriginal populations with respect to the key issues identified under the mandate of the program. In a few cases, the projects were perceived to have limited success in this area by providing services that overlap with existing opportunities provided by already established programming.

The ASEP projects evaluated have in most instances met their early targets as outlined in the agreements. For some of the projects, the emphasis has been on training and skill development for participants in the first few years of the projects. The challenge identified for these projects currently and over the next year is to move the trainees into work experiences and support them in their first jobs. For the 1,574 clients with completed action plans, 408 were coded as employed (26%), 95 were coded as returned to school (6%) and 848 were coded as unemployed (54%). The outcomes were missing or not specified for 234 clients (15%). Improving on the employment outcomes will be particularly challenging for those projects with ties to large industrial projects that have experienced delays or economic downturns (e.g., NB forestry sector, Mackenzie pipeline, Manitoba Hydro projects).

4.4 Accountability

For eight of the nine projects, case management systems are not of the quality where the data accurately represents the various program activities that are being undertaken by the projects. This means that data uploaded to HRSDC's Standard Data File is largely both incomplete and inaccurate. This data is used for accountability purposes by Human Resources and Skills Development Canada (HRSDC).

This does not suggest that ASEP projects are not operating as intended or they duplicate or overlap other activities. In fact, qualitative evidence suggests that the partnership model works well to prevent this. However, it does mean that, unless data collection is improved, the quantitative data needed to profile clients and activity and demonstrate outcomes and impacts will not be available.

Appendix A

ASEP Program Logic Model

