



Health
Canada

Santé
Canada

Draft guidance on management of rolling reviews for drug submissions



1 Foreword

2 Guidance documents provide assistance to industry and health care professionals on how to comply with
3 governing statutes and regulations. They also provide guidance to Health Canada staff on how mandates and
4 objectives should be met fairly, consistently and effectively.

5 Guidance documents are administrative, not legal, instruments. This means that flexibility can be applied.
6 However, to be acceptable, alternate approaches to the principles and practices described in this document
7 must be supported by adequate justification. They should be discussed in advance with the relevant program
8 area to avoid the possible finding that applicable statutory or regulatory requirements have not been met.

9 As always, Health Canada reserves the right to request information or material, or define conditions not
10 specifically described in this document, to help us adequately assess the safety, efficacy or quality of a
11 therapeutic product. We are committed to ensuring that such requests are justifiable and that decisions are
12 clearly documented.

13 This document should be read along with the relevant sections of the regulations and other applicable
14 guidance documents.

15

16 Table of contents

17	Overview	1
18	Purpose	1
19	Background	1
20	Scope and application.....	2
21	Policy objectives.....	2
22	Transparency.....	2
23	Rolling review process, eligibility	5
24	The rolling review process.....	5
25	Eligibility for rolling review status	5
26	Eligibility conditions: C.08.002(4) and (5), and C.08.003(5).....	5
27	List of influenza vaccines for which an SNDS can be filed.....	6
28	Missing information that can “roll”	7
29	Pre-submission meeting	8
30	Eligibility assessment template	9
31	Applying for rolling review status	13
32	The Rolling Review Application Package	13
33	Eligibility assessment	13
34	Conditions to be met	13
35	Clarification requests related to an eligibility assessment	15
36	Submission plan for rolling review	15
37	Submission plan	15
38	Preparing the submission plan	16
39	Clarification requests related to a submission plan	16
40	Notice from the Minister of rolling review status.....	16
41	Filing a submission	19
42	Filing and content of the submission	19
43	Format and structure for filing	19
44	Screening for rolling review.....	20
45	Providing missing information.....	20
46	Risk management plan	20
47	Performance targets and standards, cancellation	21
48	Performance targets and standards.....	21
49	Cancellation (C.08.002(7) or C.08.003(7)).....	21
50	Fees	22
51		

52 Overview

53 Purpose

54 This guidance helps sponsors interpret amendments to the *Food and Drug Regulations* (regulations) that
55 allow a sponsor to apply for rolling review status for a forthcoming drug submission that meets specific
56 eligibility conditions. Guidance is also provided on the review process for these types of drug submissions.

57 Sponsors of drug submissions for certain vaccines are eligible for a rolling review without having to apply for
58 rolling review status prior to filing. These vaccines are listed in the [List of Influenza Vaccines for Which
59 Supplements to New Drug Submissions Can Be Filed](#).

60 Rolling review status allows a sponsor to file a drug submission with some but not all of the information
61 necessary for Health Canada to assess the proposed drug's safety, efficacy and quality. After filing the drug
62 submission, the sponsor has a set period of time to provide the missing information.

63 Eligibility for rolling review does not change the safety, efficacy and quality requirements outlined in Part C of
64 the regulations.

65 This guidance:

- 66 • outlines Health Canada's expectations for rolling review submissions
- 67 • provides a consistent interpretation of the provisions in the regulations that pertain to rolling
68 reviews
- 69 • clarifies the process by which a sponsor may apply for rolling review status for a forthcoming drug
70 submission
- 71 • gives information about the rolling review process and the requirements after an application has
72 been approved and a drug submission has been filed

73 Background

74 Health Canada has previously used rolling reviews to support timely access to drugs that reduce the risk of
75 certain significant infectious diseases.

76 Rolling reviews have been available by policy for annual strain updates of human influenza vaccines and for
77 the simultaneous review of veterinary drugs with the United States under the Regulatory Cooperation
78 Council. Rolling reviews are also available in law for new drug submissions for designated COVID-19 drugs.

79 Due to the time constraints associated with annual strain updates of influenza vaccines, Health Canada has
80 allowed sponsors to provide the required information for these updates in a rolling manner after filing their
81 drug submission. Filing is made in accordance with the [Annual update of seasonal influenza vaccines
82 guidance document](#).

83 During the COVID-19 pandemic, Health Canada [amended the regulations](#) to maintain some of the modified
84 requirements introduced through the [Interim order respecting the importation, sale and advertising of drugs
85 for use in relation to COVID-19](#). This included enabling [rolling reviews specifically for COVID-19 drugs](#).

86 Based on our experience with rolling reviews, we are now giving sponsors an option to submit some of the
87 required information after the filing of an eligible drug submission. Sponsors must submit the missing
88 information within a specified time period after filing their submission, provided Health Canada has granted
89 rolling review status to that submission.

90 The required information that is outstanding at the time of filing is referred to as "missing information" in the
91 regulations and in this guidance document.

92 Scope and application

93 This draft guidance document is for sponsors:

- 94 • who want to apply to Health Canada for rolling review status for a forthcoming drug submission
- 95 • who have filed a drug submission that has been granted rolling review status

96 The draft guidance document also applies to the rolling review of drug submissions related to vaccines listed
97 in the [List of Influenza Vaccines for Which Supplements to New Drug Submissions Can Be Filed](#). This type of
98 review allows sponsors to provide some of the information required to assess the safety, efficacy and quality
99 of the proposed drug after they have filed their submission.

100 The scope of this guidance document includes drugs for human and veterinary use. The Health Products and
101 Food Branch regulates these in accordance with the *Food and Drugs Act* (act) and its regulations.

102 This guidance document does not apply to the following submissions:

- 103 • drug submissions eligible for a rolling review for a public health emergency drug for COVID-19 or a
104 condition described in the List of conditions that threaten public health in Canada
 - 105 ○ for more information, please refer to the [Guidance on the Food and Drug Regulations for](#)
106 [public health emergency drugs](#)
- 107 • veterinary drug submissions undergoing joint or simultaneous reviews with foreign regulatory
108 authorities
 - 109 ○ for more information, please refer to the [country-specific simultaneous review guidance for](#)
110 [veterinary drug submissions](#)

111 The rolling review option outlined in this guidance document does not apply to the following:

- 112 • abbreviated new drug submission (ANDS) pathway
- 113 • supplement to an abbreviated new drug submission (SANDS) pathway
- 114 • submission classes with a performance standard of 120 days or less

115 Policy objectives

116 The objectives are to:

- 117 • provide an option allowing for specified information required for an eligible new drug submission
118 (NDS) or supplement to a new drug submission (SNDS) to be provided to Health Canada after the
119 submission has been filed
- 120 • help resolve issues early during the rolling review process and provide more opportunities for
121 interaction with the regulator about their submission
- 122 • facilitate access to the Canadian market earlier provided the evidence requirements for safety,
123 efficacy and quality have been met

124 Transparency

125 Health Canada's transparency initiatives for human drugs remain in place for drug submissions eligible for a
126 rolling review.

127

128 Health Canada will continue to communicate up-to-date information about drugs for human and veterinary
129 use. You can find the following information online:

- 130 • Submissions with a rolling review status that have been issued a screening acceptance letter appear
131 in the [lists for drug and health product submissions under review](#).
- 132 • [NOC database](#): contains NOCs issued for drugs for human and veterinary use.
- 133 • [Drug product database](#): contains information about DINs issued for drugs for human and veterinary
134 use, including the product monograph for human drugs and the product labelling for veterinary
135 drugs.
- 136 • Drug and Health Product Portal: contains [regulatory decision summaries](#) and [summary basis of](#)
137 [decision documents](#), which describe Health Canada's rationale for the approval of prescription drugs
138 for human use.
- 139 • [Clinical information portal](#): contains the clinical information filed by sponsors to seek approval of
140 human drugs under Division 8 of the FDR.

141

142 Rolling review process, eligibility

143 The rolling review process

144 Health Canada is offering an option for a rolling review of a new drug submission (NDS) or supplement to a
145 new drug submission (SNDS) that meets specific eligibility conditions. Sponsors nearing the end of clinical
146 development of a drug can apply to Health Canada for rolling review status for a forthcoming drug
147 submission. The sponsor's Rolling Review Application Package (RRAP) must contain an eligibility assessment
148 and a submission plan.

149 If Health Canada grants rolling review status after assessing the RRAP, we will provide the sponsor with a
150 "notice of rolling review status". The notice indicates the sponsor may file the drug submission with some,
151 but not all, of the information necessary for us to assess the safety, efficacy and quality of the proposed drug.
152 The sponsor may then provide the missing information over time after filing their drug submission.

153 The notice outlines how and when to provide the missing information. The sponsor must provide the
154 submission to us within 60 calendar days after Health Canada issues this notice.

155 Only the recommended purpose and conditions of use (referred to in this guidance document as the
156 proposed indication) outlined in the RRAP are eligible for a rolling review. In the case of an emerging
157 infectious disease, it is possible that both an adult and a pediatric indication could be included in the same
158 RRAP. However, the sponsor may not seek approval for additional indications within the submission beyond
159 the indications put forward in the RRAP.

160 Once Health Canada processes and screens the submission, we will issue a screening acceptance letter (SAL).
161 The review 1 period will then begin. The submission is immediately placed on "rolling review" until we
162 receive the missing information, as indicated in the notice. Refer to the page on [performance targets and](#)
163 [standards](#) for more information.

164 Note that the intent is for Health Canada to begin reviewing any submission information that has been
165 provided during the period the submission is in "rolling review". We may also issue clarification requests
166 during this period.

167 Once Health Canada reviews all of the information required to assess the safety, efficacy and quality of the
168 drug, we will issue a decision on whether a notice of compliance (NOC) will be granted.

169 Eligibility for rolling review status

170 The regulations outline conditions that an NDS or SNDS must meet in order to be eligible for rolling review.
171 These conditions are outlined as follows.

172 Eligibility conditions: C.08.002(4) and (5), and C.08.003(5)

173 Subsections C.08.002(4) and (5) and C.08.003(5) of the regulations set out the conditions that must be met
174 for a drug submission to be eligible for a rolling review. The proposed human or veterinary new drug must
175 meet the conditions of paragraphs C.08.002(5)(a), (b) or (c) to diagnose, treat, mitigate or prevent:

- 176 a. an emerging infectious disease that poses, or may pose, a serious risk of injury to human or animal
177 health, and the Minister considers the proposed drug is needed for such a purpose. Within this
178 context, a need is generally considered to include situations where:
- 179 o there is a pressing necessity for other options even if there are 1 or more other drugs with a
180 drug identification number (DIN) that have the same recommended purpose and conditions
181 of use

182 Within the context of condition a), a serious risk of injury to human or animal health is in relation to an
183 emerging infectious disease, which is defined by the World Health Organization as “one that has appeared in
184 a population for the first time, or that may have existed previously but is rapidly increasing in incidence or
185 geographic range.”

186 Sponsors of generic and biosimilar drugs **may seek** to establish eligibility for rolling review status on the basis
187 of a).

- 188 b. a disease, disorder or abnormal physical state that poses or may pose a serious risk of injury to
189 human or animal health where:
- 190 o the recommended purpose and conditions of use do not fall within those of any other drug
191 with a DIN that has been assigned and has not been cancelled

192 The condition in b) is intended for novel drugs to address an unmet medical need. Sponsors of generic and
193 biosimilar drugs **are not intended** to establish eligibility for rolling review status on the basis of b).
194 Comparison-based submissions are not meant to result in the approval of drugs that have conditions of use
195 that are broader than the drug that they compare themselves to.

- 196 c. a disease, disorder or abnormal physical state that poses or may pose a serious risk of injury to
197 human or animal health where:
- 198 o the recommended purpose and conditions of use of the drug falls within the recommended
199 purposes and conditions of use of 1 or more other drugs for which a DIN has been assigned
200 and has not been cancelled, and
 - 201 o there are reasonable grounds to believe that the new drug is significantly more effective, or
202 poses a significantly lower risk, than each of the other drugs

203 Sponsors of generic and biosimilar drugs **are not expected** to establish eligibility for rolling review status on
204 the basis of c). Comparison-based submissions are not meant to result in the approval of clinically superior
205 drugs.

206 With regard to b) and c), a serious risk of injury to human or animal health refers to a **disease, disorder or**
207 **abnormal physical state** generally associated with clinically meaningful morbidity that causes a substantial
208 impact on day-to-day functioning. In determining whether a disease, disorder or abnormal physical state is
209 serious, Health Canada will consider factors such as:

- 210 • survival
- 211 • day-to-day functioning
- 212 • the likelihood that the untreated disease, disorder or abnormal physical state will progress from a
213 less severe to a more severe state

214 Diseases, disorders or abnormal physical states where there is a serious risk to health are generally
215 associated with morbidity, which causes a substantial impact on day-to-day functioning.

216 The regulations do not enable a rolling review under the ANDS/SANDS pathway. Therefore, any submission
217 based on a direct or indirect comparison that meets the eligibility condition in C.08.002(5) must be provided
218 as an NDS/SNDS.

219 Note that the terms “recommended purpose and conditions of use”, both in the regulations and in this
220 guidance document, should be understood as the proposed indication of the drug.

221 [List of influenza vaccines for which an SNDS can be filed](#)

222 A sponsor of an influenza vaccine listed in the [List of Influenza Vaccines for Which Supplements to New Drug](#)
223 [Submissions Can Be Filed](#) specified in C.08.003(2)(b.1) may file an SNDS that does not yet include all of the
224 information and material required under C.08.003 **without submitting an RRAP** if that submission only seeks

225 to update the strains of the influenza vaccine. This is outlined in sections C.08.003(2)(b.1) and C.08.003(10) of
226 the regulations.

227 Within this context, an influenza vaccine submission eligible for a rolling review without an RRAP is expected
228 to only include information on:

- 229 • changes to the virus strain(s)
- 230 • changes to the seed banks that are required to produce vaccine for the upcoming influenza season

231 All other changes require a separate submission. In this case, all information required to assess the safety,
232 efficacy and quality of the drug must be provided at the time the submission is filed in the normal course.

233 Please refer to the following for more information and guidance:

234 List of Influenza Vaccines for Which Supplements to New Drug Submissions Can Be Filed, as amended from
235 time to time

- 236 • [Guidance document: Annual update of seasonal influenza vaccines](#)

237 Missing information that can “roll”

238 If the drug submission meets all eligibility conditions, a sponsor may:

- 239 • file an NDS without some of the information required in paragraphs C.08.002 (2)(g) to (i), (m), (n) and
240 (p) of the regulations at the time the submission is filed and, if applicable, corresponding information
241 that is required to be included under section C.08.005.1
- 242 • provide to Health Canada the missing information in accordance with the notice of rolling review
243 status after the submission has been filed

244 Only the information in C.08.002(2)(g) to (i), (m), (n) and (p) is permitted to be provided after the NDS has
245 been filed.

246 Similarly, a sponsor may file an SNDS without some of the information that is required to be included in a
247 supplement under C.08.003(3) and, if applicable, corresponding information that is required to be included in
248 the supplement under section C.08.005.1. The sponsor may provide the missing information in accordance
249 with the notice of rolling review status after filing the submission if the proposed drug meets any of the
250 conditions in C.08.002(5).

251 In all cases, the sponsor must provide information on the medicinal ingredient, formulation, dosage form, use
252 and route of administration for which authorization is sought at the time the submission is filed. These
253 elements cannot be part of the missing information and are not expected to significantly change over the
254 course of the review. Any significant changes to the formulation (including strengths), dosage form or use
255 must be sought through a separate supplement rather than as part of the missing information provided after
256 the submission has been filed.

257 For veterinary drugs, the sponsor must provide the following information when the drug submission is filed:

- 258 • the species and sub-type
- 259 • if the drug will be used to treat food-producing animals

260

261 **For human drugs**, from the clinical perspective, it is very likely that a **pivotal or supportive clinical study** may
262 be nearly complete at the time the submission is filed. Depending on the progress of the ongoing clinical trial,
263 the following documents may need updating after the clinical trial is completed:

- 264 • product monograph
- 265 • risk management plan
- 266 • clinical overview and clinical summary
- 267 • final version of clinical study report for the ongoing study
- 268 • for vaccines, process validation data including a data package that shows comparability of
- 269 commercial lots to the clinical lots, including drug substance and/or drug product tests, additional
- 270 characterization data and analytical procedures, with particular attention to potency and safety

271 **For veterinary drugs**, note that if an interim analysis is submitted with the submission, the final analysis may
272 affect other aspects of the submission (for example, labelling).

273 For both human and veterinary drugs, please refer to the eligibility assessment template for more details
274 about information requirements.

275 [Pre-submission meeting](#)

276 Before submitting an RRAP, the sponsor is encouraged to request a pre-submission meeting to:

- 277 • discuss potential eligibility
 - 278 ○ to help sponsors decide if their forthcoming drug submission may be suitable for rolling
 - 279 review
 - 280 ○ Health Canada will not determine the eligibility of the forthcoming drug submission for
 - 281 rolling review status at the pre-submission meeting
- 282 • discuss the proposed plan for providing the missing information after the submission has been filed
- 283 • provide guidance on the process involved in applying for rolling review status through an RRAP
- 284 • outline the evidence describing the benefits, risks and uncertainties of the proposed drug

285 If a pre-submission meeting is to take place, Health Canada recommends that a relevant Canadian health care
286 professional participate. For example, a practising clinical specialist who has expertise on the disease and
287 available treatments in Canada, particularly in the case of an unmet medical need. The health care
288 professional should disclose any potential conflict of interest before the meeting takes place.

289 Sponsors should include the pre-submission meeting minutes with their RRAP.

290 For more information on pre-submission meetings, refer to the following guidance documents:

- 291 • [Management of drug submissions and applications](#)
- 292 • [Veterinary drugs - Management of regulatory submissions guidance](#)
- 293 • [Preparation of regulatory activities in the eCTD format](#)
- 294 • [Preparation of regulatory activities in non-eCTD format](#)

295

296 Eligibility assessment template

297 The eligibility assessment should be no longer than 25 pages (excluding references) and must include the
298 elements outlined as follows, with headings in bold text. Explanatory text is provided for context and should
299 be removed from the completed document before submitting it to Health Canada.

Date of application for rolling review status:
Sponsor name:
Contact information:
Product information: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Proposed dosage, formulation and route of administration. Confirm that the same dosage was used in the clinical trial or veterinary pivotal study.<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Is this a combination product?• Drug class:<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Is this a first-in-class drug?• If a comparator (for example, placebo, standard of care therapy) was used in the clinical trial or veterinary clinical study for a new drug, confirm that the recommended dose for the indication was used in the trial. In addition, provide the role of the comparator (for example, Canadian clinical guidelines) in the current Canadian setting.
If the forthcoming drug submission will be made on the basis of a direct or indirect comparison with another drug for which a DIN is assigned: <p>A notice of compliance will be sought on the basis of a direct or indirect comparison to a drug for which a DIN is assigned. Refer to C.08.002(4)(v) or C.08.003(5)(iv):</p> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• If Yes, provide the DIN(s) here: _____
Proper or common name of product and proposed brand name (if known)
Specific proposed indication <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Only the proposed recommended purpose and conditions of use (indication) sought for rolling review should be provided
Patient population <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Describe the patient population for this new drug• For veterinary drugs, include:<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ species and subtypes<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ multiple species, if for 1 indication○ If they are used for the treatment of food-producing animals
Regulator decision or application status in foreign jurisdictions: <p>The sponsor should provide information about the application status in foreign jurisdictions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Is the new drug under review in another jurisdiction and, if so, is this review being conducted by that jurisdiction’s regulatory authority under an accelerated timeline?

- Was the new drug approved or denied approval in another jurisdiction? If denied approval, include details of the proposed indication and rationale for denial, including date of denial.
- Is the sponsor now seeking a review of the new drug with new clinical data in Canada?
- In the case of human drugs, if the drug is authorized in another regulatory jurisdiction based on interim data or phase 1 or 2 study results, provide prescribing information.
- In the case of veterinary drugs, if the drug is conditionally approved in other regulatory jurisdictions, please provide the conditionally approved labelling.

Health Canada requests the sponsor's authorization to allow Health Canada to discuss all information included and related to the submission with the foreign jurisdiction.

Complete eligibility condition (a) or (b) or (c) [Select 1 only.]

Eligibility condition (a)

The new drug is needed to diagnose, treat, mitigate or prevent an emerging infectious disease that poses or may pose a serious risk to human or animal health.

- Provide an overview of the emerging infectious disease and treatment options, if any
- Provide the clinical context within which the drug will be used to support the request

Eligibility condition (b)

The new drug is intended to diagnose, treat, mitigate or prevent a disease, disorder or abnormal physical state that poses or may pose a serious risk of injury to human or animal health, and

The recommended purpose and conditions of use of the drug do not fall within the recommended purposes and conditions of use of any other drug for which a DIN has been assigned and has not been cancelled.

- Provide an overview of the disease, disorder or abnormal physical state and treatment options, if any
- Provide the clinical context within which the drug will be used to support the request
- Demonstrate the recommended purposes and conditions of use do not fall within those of any other drug with a DIN that has been assigned and has not been cancelled

Eligibility condition (c)

The new drug is intended to diagnose, treat, mitigate or prevent a disease, disorder or abnormal physical state that poses or may pose a serious risk of injury to human or animal health, and

There are reasonable grounds to believe that the new drug is significantly more effective or poses a significantly lower risk than 1 or more other drugs for which a DIN has been assigned and has not been cancelled.

- Describe how the new drug is significantly more effective or poses a significantly lower risk relative to available drugs with a DIN in Canada (for example, current standard of care)
- Provide the clinical context within which the drug will be used to support the request and indicate how the drug will provide additional benefits over the available clinical management of the disease or condition in Canada

Clinical evidence

Include the following:

1. Concise information about the study(ies) to be submitted including design, enrolled patient population, inclusion and exclusion criteria, primary and key secondary endpoints, key safety findings (if available) and so on. This information may be presented in point form or in a table.
2. For the study(ies) to be provided at the time the forthcoming drug submission is filed, describe the efficacy results and discuss statistical significance and clinical meaningfulness in support of the efficacy conclusions. If the results are based on an interim analysis, provide anticipated completion dates. For human drugs, provide the data from phase 2 proof of concept trials and the available data from phase 3 trials. For veterinary drugs, provide data from completed dose determination and dose confirmation studies.
3. Provide the status of any relevant ongoing study(ies) and indicate if any of these study(ies) are intended to verify the favourable benefit-risk profile of the drug. For each such study, include a brief outline of the design. The sponsor must indicate the timeframe for the provision of these outstanding studies in the submission plan.

References

An appendix can provide all references supporting these data/the indication as cross-referenced in the eligibility assessment. A sponsor can designate up to 12 **key** references to facilitate the review.

301 Applying for rolling review status

302 The Rolling Review Application Package

303 Sponsors who wish to apply for rolling review status for a forthcoming drug submission must do
304 so **before** they file their submission.

305 To apply for rolling review status for a forthcoming new drug submission (NDS) or supplement to a new drug
306 submission (SNDS), sponsors must provide a Rolling Review Application Package (RRAP). The RRAP is
307 forwarded to the appropriate directorate and assessed within 45 calendar days.

308 The RRAP has 2 components:

- 309 1. an eligibility assessment
- 310 2. a submission plan

311 Both components must meet the requirements set out in the regulations. If a pre-submission meeting has
312 taken place, the RRAP should also include the minutes of the pre-submission meeting.

313 For relevant contact information, refer to the following guidance documents:

- 314 • [Management of drug submissions and applications](#)
- 315 • [Veterinary drugs - Management of regulatory submissions guidance](#)

316 Eligibility assessment

317 The eligibility assessment must include information for clinical review that demonstrates the proposed drug
318 meets the [eligibility conditions](#) for a rolling review. The eligibility assessment should be no longer than 25
319 pages (excluding references). Refer to the [eligibility assessment template](#).

320 During the process of demonstrating that a forthcoming NDS meets the eligibility conditions, sponsors must
321 also demonstrate that they possess a significant amount of the evidence to establish the safety and efficacy
322 of the proposed drug. This is in line with the requirements under C.08.002(4)(a) (iii) and (iv) of the
323 regulations.

324 For an SNDS, sponsors must demonstrate that they possess a significant amount of the information and
325 material required under C.08.003(3). This is in line with the requirement under C.08.003(5)(a)(iii).

326 The eligibility assessment for submissions for veterinary drugs should also include:

- 327 • a summary of dose determination studies, target animal safety studies and laboratory animal studies
328 conducted
- 329 • a summary of completed dose confirmation studies and interim analyses or study outlines of any
330 pivotal efficacy studies

331 Sponsors who wish to seek an NOC for a drug on the basis of a direct or indirect comparison to a drug that
332 has a drug identification number (DIN) must provide the DIN of the drug to which the comparison will be
333 made (C.08.002(4)(a)(v) and C.08.003(5)(a)(iv) in the regulations). Failure to accurately identify the DIN will
334 result in the submission being considered cancelled by the sponsor after it has been filed.

335 Conditions to be met

336 The sponsor must be able to demonstrate that the proposed drug meets the eligibility conditions outlined in
337 C.08.002(4)(a)(iii) and (iv) or C.08.003(5)(a)(iii) and C.08.002(5) based on the available information that they
338 have.

339 For human drug submissions, sponsors should be nearing the end of clinical development. They should have
340 collected significant evidence to establish the safety and clinical effectiveness of the proposed drug for the
341 recommended purpose and conditions of use.

342 For veterinary drug submissions, these would be final studies conducted to demonstrate efficacy and safety
343 under the proposed conditions of use of the drug in the target population.

344 To meet the condition of C.08.002(5)(a), the sponsor must provide:

- 345 • an overview of the emerging infectious disease that poses or may pose a serious risk of injury to
346 human or animal health
- 347 • a description of the treatment options, if available
- 348 • the clinical context within which the drug is proposed to be used

349 To meet the condition of C.08.002(5)(b), the sponsor must provide:

- 350 • an overview of the serious disease, disorder or abnormal physical state and treatment options, if any
- 351 • information establishing that the disease, disorder or abnormal physical state poses or may pose a
352 serious risk of injury to human or animal health
- 353 • the clinical context within which the drug is proposed to be used

354 The sponsor must be able to demonstrate that the recommended purposes and conditions of use of the
355 proposed drug do not fall within the recommended purposes and conditions of use of any other drug with an
356 assigned DIN that has not been cancelled. Note that the market status of all drugs assigned a DIN can be
357 found in the [Drug Product Database](#).

358 To meet the condition of C.08.002(5)(c), the sponsor must provide:

- 359 • an overview of the serious disease, disorder or abnormal physical state and treatment options, if any
- 360 • information establishing that the disease, disorder or abnormal physical state poses or may pose a
361 serious risk of injury to human or animal health
- 362 • the clinical context within which the drug is proposed to be used
- 363 • information to show that the proposed drug falls within the recommended purpose and conditions
364 of use of any other drug with an assigned DIN that has not been cancelled and that the proposed
365 new drug is
 - 366 ○ significantly more effective or
 - 367 ○ poses a significantly lower risk

368 The sponsor must be able to show that the drug provides a clinically meaningful improvement in
369 effectiveness and/or safety compared to available therapies in Canada. Health Canada would accept a
370 comparison to the current standard of care. If multiple therapies are available in Canada, consider what
371 constitutes the best available treatment for comparison (for example, current standard of care).

372

373 In demonstrating that a drug meets condition C.08.002(5)(c), the eligibility assessment should address the
374 following aspects where relevant:

- 375 • a clinically meaningful improvement in 1 or more of the serious outcomes, symptoms or
376 manifestations of the disease, disorder or abnormal physical state on which the effect is claimed,
377 while maintaining an acceptable safety profile
- 378 • a clinically meaningful benefit for individuals unable to tolerate or who are unresponsive to available
379 therapies
- 380 • a demonstration that the drug provides clinically meaningful benefit that is consistent with available
381 therapies while also demonstrating a more favourable safety profile than existing therapies by:
 - 382 ○ avoiding serious toxicity present in existing therapies **and/or**
 - 383 ○ avoiding less serious toxicity, common to the therapy, which results in the discontinuation of
384 treatment of a serious disease, disorder or abnormal physical state

385 Clarification requests related to an eligibility assessment

386 If Health Canada requests clarification on information provided in the eligibility assessment, the sponsor
387 must respond within 2 business days. If we do not receive supplementary information within this time, we
388 will make a decision on the request for rolling review status based on the information provided at the time
389 we received the RRAP.

390 Submission plan for rolling review

391 A sponsor applying for rolling review status for a forthcoming NDS or SNDS must provide a submission plan.
392 This plan is part of the RRAP. It must outline what information the sponsor proposes to provide to Health
393 Canada after the drug submission has been filed and when this information will be provided. (Refer to
394 C.08.002(4)(a)(ii) or C.08.003(5)(a)(ii) of the regulations.)

395 Submission plan

396 When developing a submission plan, sponsors should keep in mind that:

- 397 • the plan outlines what missing information the sponsor expects to provide Health Canada after it
398 has filed its forthcoming drug submission and when it expects to provide this missing
399 information
- 400 • the missing information should be provided to the Minister through a small number of
401 regulatory transactions (up to 3) after the submission has been filed
- 402 • the timeline and milestones outlined in the plan should be realistic and credible
- 403 • missing information should only be provided after a screening acceptance letter (SAL) has been
404 issued for the submission
- 405 • new data/information provided in each transaction should be clearly identified to help Health
406 Canada reviewers easily distinguish new information to be evaluated
- 407 • the data/information provided in each transaction should be substantial so that the reviewers
408 can reach a conclusion from the information provided

409 As outlined in the regulations, the submission plan must provide details on how all required information
410 supporting the safety, efficacy and quality assessment of the drug submission will be provided to Health
411 Canada by the 155th day after the submission has been filed. In some circumstances, we will expect sponsors
412 to provide the remainder of the missing information in less than 155 days. This 155-day period reflects a
413 standard administrative processing period of 10 days, a screening period of 25 days and the first 120 days of
414 the review 1 period. The plan should be in calendar days.

415 Preparing the submission plan

416 The sponsor should aim to provide the forthcoming drug submission's missing information in an efficient and
417 complete manner and consider the following:

- 418 • Only information and studies that are not final at the time the submission is filed may be
419 provided after the filing date. All finalized data or information and any available interim data
420 related to the missing information is expected to be provided when the submission is filed.
- 421 • The sponsor must provide draft summary documents at the time the submission is filed (for
422 example, module 2 for human drugs). The sponsor should provide an annotated update later, if
423 there are additions to the summary in subsequent transactions.

424 The submission plan for human drugs should follow the common technical document (CTD) structure and
425 have the following fields:

- 426 • CTD module and section/subsections
- 427 • description
- 428 • content type
- 429 • anticipated transaction date(s)

430 The plan for veterinary drugs should follow the structure outlined in Appendix V: "Master Index" of
431 the [Guidance for industry: Preparation of veterinary new drug submissions](#). It should also have the following
432 fields:

- 433 • prescribed structure parts and subparts
- 434 • description
- 435 • content type
- 436 • anticipated transaction date(s)

437 The plan should be in either MS Word, MS Excel or PDF format. Refer to the relevant eCTD or non-eCTD
438 guidance document:

- 439 • [Preparation of regulatory activities in the eCTD format](#)
- 440 • [Preparation of regulatory activities in non-eCTD format](#)

441 Clarification requests related to a submission plan

442 Health Canada may request clarification on information provided in the submission plan. The sponsor must
443 respond within 2 business days. If we do not receive supplementary information within the noted time, we
444 will make a decision on the request for the rolling review status based on the information provided at the
445 time we received the RRAP.

446 During the assessment process, Health Canada may contact the sponsor to discuss and negotiate:

- 447 • the nature and extent of the missing information to be provided after the drug submission has
448 been filed
- 449 • the date(s) by which the missing information will be provided
- 450 • any changes proposed by us in this regard

451 A request for rolling review status can be rejected on the basis of an unrealistic plan.

452 Notice from the Minister of rolling review status

453 If Health Canada finds that the forthcoming drug submission meets the eligibility conditions for a rolling
454 review, we will issue a notice of rolling review status. The notice will confirm this status. It will also state the
455 manner and the dates by which the sponsor must provide the missing information (C.08.002(4)(b) and
456 C.08.003(5)(b) of the regulations). The sponsor is responsible for providing the missing information.

457 Health Canada will indicate in the notice if the forthcoming drug submission will seek a notice of compliance
458 (NOC) on the basis of a direct or indirect comparison to another drug, as well as the other drug's DIN. The
459 Minister will rely on the sponsor's obligation in C.08.002(4)(a)(v) and C.08.003 (5)(a)(iv) to support the
460 determination of whether the forthcoming drug submission will be sought on the basis of a direct or indirect
461 comparison to a drug for which a DIN has been assigned.

462 If the forthcoming drug submission does not meet the eligibility conditions for a rolling review, Health
463 Canada will inform the sponsor in writing that the RRAP has been rejected. In this case, the sponsor may refer
464 to other guidance documents and file a submission when all of the information is ready:

- 465 • [Management of drug submissions and applications](#) (MDSA)
- 466 • [Veterinary drugs - Management of regulatory submissions guidance](#)
- 467 • [Guidance for industry – Priority review of drug submissions](#)

468

469 Filing a submission

470 Filing and content of the submission

471 The filing date is established according to the following:

- 472 • [MDSA guidance document](#) for human drug submissions
- 473 • [Veterinary drugs - Management of regulatory submissions guidance](#) for veterinary drug
- 474 submissions

475 Data or information provided after the submission is filed in accordance with the notice of rolling review
476 status will not change the filing date of the submission.

477 For a submission that is granted rolling review status, the sponsor must provide a new drug submission (NDS)
478 or supplement to a new drug submission (SNDS) for which the rolling review status was granted within 60
479 calendar days after the day that Health Canada provides the notice to the sponsor.

480 Failure to do so within this 60-day period will make the submission ineligible for a rolling review. In this case,
481 the sponsor would have to provide a submission with all information required to assess the safety, efficacy
482 and quality at the time the submission is filed.

483 To meet C.08.002(4)(c) or C.08.003(5)(c), the drug submission must contain:

- 484 • all of the information and material required under C.08.002 or C.08.003 except for the missing
485 information as indicated in the notice

486 At the time it is filed, the submission must contain a significant amount of information to establish the safety
487 and efficacy of the proposed new drug. This is the information that the sponsor indicated it had in its
488 possession to form the basis of the eligibility assessment. Please refer to C.08.002(4)(a)(iii), (iv) and (c).

489 Health Canada expects the sponsor to provide the following when they file their submission:

- 490 • a statement in the cover letter that declares the date on which the submission was granted
491 rolling review status in order to facilitate processing
- 492 • a copy of the notice of rolling review status referred to in C.08.002(4)(b) or C.08.003(5)(b)
- 493 • the submission certificate certifying that the missing information will be provided
- 494 • foreign questions and answers related to the drug submission in a foreign jurisdiction (when
495 available)

496 Format and structure for filing

497 For general procedures on how to file drug submissions, please also refer to the following guidance
498 documents:

- 499 • [Management of drug submissions and applications for human drugs](#)
- 500 • [Management of regulatory submissions guidance for veterinary drugs](#)

501

502 Screening for rolling review

503 The drug submission is screened:

- 504 • using the notice of rolling review status to determine if the sponsor has submitted the required
505 information under C.08.002 or C.08.003, except for the missing information to be provided later,
506 in accordance with the notice
 - 507 ○ if the drug submission contains less information than what was outlined in the notice,
508 Health Canada may issue a screening deficiency notice (SDN)
- 509 • following the regular process in the [MDSA guidance document](#) for human drugs or [Management
510 of regulatory submissions guidance](#) for veterinary drugs

511 Once the screening requirements are met, the sponsor is issued a screening acceptance letter (SAL) and the
512 review 1 period begins. However, the submission will be placed in “rolling review” on the first day of the
513 review 1 period until all missing information is provided in accordance with the notice. Please refer
514 to [Performance targets and performance standards](#).

515 Providing missing information

516 As specified in the regulations, sponsors must provide all missing information in accordance with the notice
517 of rolling review status. When providing the balance of the remaining missing information, the sponsor
518 should confirm that it considers all missing information to have been provided.

519 Failure to adhere to the time and manner for providing the missing information, as specified in the notice,
520 may result in the cancellation of the submission.

521 Risk management plan

522 As specified in C.08.002(4), the sponsor may provide the risk management plan (RMP) required under section
523 C.08.002(2) of the regulations to Health Canada after the submission has been filed, as per the notice of
524 rolling review status. The sponsor should submit the RMP either before or when they submit the final version
525 of the clinical study report (CSR) for the pivotal or supportive clinical study or clinical evidence.

526 For details on the format of RMPs accepted by Health Canada, please refer to the following guidance
527 document:

- 528 • [Submitting risk management plans guidance document](#)

529 Also refer to the section on [missing information that can “roll”](#).

530 Note: The provisions related to RMPs do not apply to veterinary drugs.

531 Performance targets and standards, cancellation

532 Performance targets and standards

533 The time to complete the screening of a drug submission with a rolling review is 25 days. Once the screening
534 acceptance letter is issued, the review 1 period would begin. The submission would immediately be placed
535 on “rolling review” until Health Canada receives all of the missing information, as indicated in the notice.

536 Once all of the missing information has been received, Health Canada would plan to complete the review of
537 the submission within 180 days (that is, within a 180-day rolling review performance target). This 180-day
538 period, combined with the period the submission is under “rolling review”, would not exceed the 300-day
539 review 1 performance standard for cost recovery purposes.

540 During the review 1 period, while Health Canada waits to receive the missing information, the submission is
541 considered to be in “rolling review”. Our intent is to start reviewing the drug submission during this period.

542 During rolling review, Health Canada may request clarification on any of the information or data we have
543 received. Sponsors may ask for an extension for any clarification requests, as indicated in the “pause the
544 clock” process. The processes are outlined as follows:

- 545 • for human drugs, in the [MDSA guidance document](#)
- 546 • for veterinary drugs, in the [Management of regulatory submissions guidance](#)

547 Extensions granted for sponsors to respond to clarification requests do not change the timelines indicated in
548 the notice of rolling review status for the sponsor to provide missing information. The timeline(s) for
549 providing this missing information is independent from, and not affected by, timelines related to any
550 responses to clarification requests.

551 The performance standard for cost-recovery purposes for a submission with a rolling review is not changed.
552 The performance standard for that class of submission (for example, 300 days for a “new active substance”
553 submission) is outlined in the following order:

- 554 • [Performance standards for fees in respect of drugs and medical devices order](#)

555 Should a submission with rolling review status fall under a submission class with a performance standard
556 below 300 days, the shorter performance standard would apply. For example, the review of a submission
557 with comparative data as well as chemistry and manufacturing information would be completed within the
558 180-day cost recovery performance standard. This includes the period during which the submission is in
559 rolling review.

560 Submission classes with a performance standard of 120 days or less (for example, chemistry and
561 manufacturing data only, labelling only) are not expected to be able to meet the eligibility conditions for a
562 rolling review.

563 Cancellation (C.08.002(7) or C.08.003(7))

564 A new drug submission or supplement is considered to be cancelled by the sponsor if the sponsor:

- 565 • failed or is not able to provide the missing information in accordance with the notice within 10
566 days after the relevant date specified in the notice or a longer period of time specified by the
567 Minister, or
- 568 • did not identify as part of the eligibility assessment that the submission or supplement will be
569 made on the basis of a direct or indirect comparison to a drug that has a drug identification
570 number (DIN) or did not identify the appropriate DIN(s)

571 Before cancelling the submission or supplement, the sponsor may speak to the issue.
572 On an exceptional basis, Health Canada may extend the timeline for providing the missing information
573 beyond 10 days after the dates contemplated in the notice. The sponsor must request an extension in
574 advance and provide a robust justification.
575 If the submission is considered cancelled, Health Canada will notify the sponsor in writing.
576 If the submission or supplement is cancelled, the sponsor can file a new submission that includes all of the
577 information required to assess safety, efficacy and quality at the time the submission is filed.

578 Fees

579 As with other drug submissions, sponsors of a new drug submission (NDS) or supplement to a new drug
580 submission (SNDS) that has been granted rolling review status must comply with either of the following:

- 581 • [Guidance on evaluation fees for human drugs and disinfectants: Invoicing, fee payment and](#)
582 [mitigation](#)
- 583 • [Guidance document: Fees for the review of veterinary drug submissions and applications](#)

584 Sponsors will be issued an invoice for the full fee related to examining the NDS or SNDS once Health Canada
585 has accepted the submission for review and issued the screening acceptance letter.

586 Payment of the fee in full is required even if the missing information is not provided and the submission is
587 cancelled or withdrawn.