# Quality (chemistry and manufacturing) draft guidance: New Drug Submissions and Abbreviated New Drug Submissions

Date adopted: 10-30-2017 Effective date: 2018/01/30 Date updated: 2022-12-17





## **Avant-propos** 1

- 2 Guidance documents are meant to provide assistance to industry and health care professionals on how to
- 3 comply with governing statutes and regulations. Guidance documents also provide assistance to staff on how
- 4 Health Canada mandates and objectives should be implemented in a manner that is fair, consistent and
- 5 effective.
- 6 Guidance documents are administrative instruments not having force of law and, as such, allow for flexibility
- 7 in approach. Alternate approaches to the principles and practices described in this document may
- 8 be acceptable provided they are supported by adequate justification. Alternate approaches should be
- 9 discussed in advance with the relevant program area to avoid the possible finding that applicable statutory or
- 10 regulatory requirements have not been met.
- 11 As a corollary to the above, it is equally important to note that Health Canada reserves the right to request
- 12 information or material, or define conditions not specifically described in this guidance, in order to allow the
- 13 Department to adequately assess the safety, efficacy or quality of a therapeutic product. Health Canada is
- 14 committed to ensuring that such requests are justifiable and that decisions are clearly documented.
- 15 This document should be read in conjunction with the accompanying notice and the relevant sections of
- 16 other applicable guidance documents.

# Table des matières

19	G General	1
20	G.1 Purpose	1
21	G.2 Scope	1
22	G.3 Preamble	2
23 24 25	Background International Council for Harmonisations (ICH's) Quality Overall Summary (QOS) and Health Canada's Quality Overall Summary - Chemical Entities (QOS-CE) Template	
26	Module 2.3: Quality Overall Summary (Qos)	3
27 28	Notes on the Preparation of the Quality Overall Summary and the Quality Module Health Canada's Certified Product Information Document - Chemical Entities (CPID-CE)	
29	Introduction	5
30 31	Module 3: Information To Be Provided In Module 3 And Summarized In The Quality Overall Summary (QOS)	5
32	S Drug Substance	7
33 34	Master Files (MFs)  Certificates of Suitability to the Monographs of the European Pharmacopoeia (CEPs)	
35	S.1 General Information	8
36 37 38	S.1.1 Nomenclature S.1.2 Structure S.1.3 General Properties	8
39	S.2 Manufacture	9
40 41 42 43 44 45	S.2.1 Manufacturer(s) S.2.2 Description of Manufacturing Process and Process Controls S.2.3 Control of Materials S.2.4 Controls of Critical Steps and Intermediates S.2.5 Process Validation and/or Evaluation S.2.6 Manufacturing Process Development	10 13 13
46	S.3 Characterisation	15
47 48	S.3.1 Elucidation of Structure and other Characteristics	
49	S.4 Control of the Drug Substance	20
50 51 52 53 54 55	S.4.1 Specification S.4.2 Analytical Procedures S.4.3 Validation of Analytical Procedures S.4.4 Batch Analyses S.4.5 Justification of Specification	21 22 23

56	S.5 Reference Standards or Materials	25
57	S.6 Container Closure System	25
58	S.7 Stability	26
59	S.7.1 Stability Summary and Conclusions	26
60	S.7.2 Post-approval Stability Protocol and Stability Commitment	29
61	S.7.3 Stability Data	29
62	P Drug Product	31
63	P.1 Description and Composition of the Drug Product	31
64	P.2 Pharmaceutical Development	32
65	P.2.1 Components of the Drug Product	33
66	P.2.1.1 Drug Substance	33
67	P.2.1.2 Excipients	34
68	P.2.2 Drug Product	
69	P.2.2.1 Formulation Development	35
70	P.2.2.2 Overages	
71	P.2.2.3 Physicochemical and Biological Properties	
72	P.2.3 Manufacturing Process Development	
73	P 2.4 Container Closure System	
74	P.2.5 Microbiological Attributes	
75	P.2.6 Compatibility	
76	P.3 Manufacture	
77	P.3.1 Manufacturer(s)	42
78	P.3.2 Batch Formula	
79	P.3.3 Description of Manufacturing Process and Process Controls	
80	P.3.4 Controls of Critical Steps and Intermediates	
81	P.3.5 Process Validation and/or Evaluation	
82	P.4 Control of Excipients	
	P.4.1 Specifications	
83	•	
84	P.4.2 Analytical Procedures	
85	P.4.3 Validation of Analytical Procedures	
86	P.4.4 Justification of Specifications	
87	P.4.5 Excipients of Human or Animal Origin	
88	P.4.6 Novel Excipients	
89	P.5 Control of Drug Product	
90	P.5.1 Specification(s)	
91	P.5.2 Analytical Procedures	
92	P.5.3 Validation of Analytical Procedures	53
93	P.5.4 Batch Analyses	53
94	P.5.5 Characterisation of Impurities	55
95	P.5.6 Justification of Specification(s)	55
96	P.6 Reference Standards or Materials	57
97	P.7 Container Closure System	57
98		

99	P.8 Stability	58
100 101 102	P.8.1 Stability Summary and Conclusions P.8.2 Post-approval Stability Protocol and Stability Commitment P.8.3 Stability Data	61
103	A Appendices	62
104 105 106	A.1 Facilities and Equipment  A.2 Adventitious Agents Safety Evaluation  A.3 Excipients	62
107	R Regional Information	65
108	R.1 Production Documentation	65
109 110	R.1.1 Executed Production Documents	
111	R.2 Medical Devices	66
112	R.3 Acceptable Compendial Monographs	67
113		

#### **G** General 114

## G.1 Purpose 115

- 116 As required by Section C.08.002 of the Food and Drug Regulations, a New Drug Submission (NDS) or an
- 117 Abbreviated New Drug Submission (ANDS) must contain sufficient information and material to allow an
- 118 assessment of the safety and effectiveness of the new drug. This document is intended to provide guidance
- 119 with regard to the Quality [that is (i.e.), Chemistry and Manufacturing] portion of NDSs and ANDSs for drug
- 120 substances of synthetic or semi-synthetic origin and their corresponding drug products that are filed with
- 121 Health Canada pursuant to Division C.08 of the Food and Drug Regulations. The purpose of the guidance
- 122 document is to outline the Quality technical requirements and to assist submission sponsors in preparing the
- 123 NDS or ANDS to ensure an effective and efficient assessment process. It can also be used as guidance on the
- 124 requirements for related drug submissions [for example (e.g.), Supplemental New Drug Submissions (SNDSs),
- 125 Supplemental Abbreviated New Drug Submissions (SANDSs), Post-Notice of Compliance (NOC) Changes].

# G.2 Scope

- 127 This guidance document applies to NDSs and ANDSs for drug substances of synthetic or semi-synthetic origin
- 128 and their corresponding drug products for human drug use, excluding Biotechnological/Biological (Schedule
- 129 D) and Radiopharmaceutical (Schedule C) drugs, that are filed with Health Canada pursuant to Division C.08
- 130 of the Food and Drug Regulations. It can also be used as guidance on the requirements for related drug
- 131 submissions (e.g. S(A)NDSs<sup>1</sup>, Post-NOC Changes).
- 132 Alternate approaches to the principles and practices described in this document can be acceptable provided
- 133 they are supported by adequate scientific justification. Sponsors are advised to discuss, in advance, alternate
- 134 approaches in their drug submission to avoid rejection or withdrawal of the drug submission.
- 135 This guidance document applies to new active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs), existing APIs and their
- 136 corresponding drug products. An existing drug substance or product is one that is not or does not contain a
- 137 new medicinal ingredient, but requires the filing of a New Drug Submission (NDS), an Abbreviated New Drug
- 138 Submission (ANDS) (e.g. an application for a generic product) or a Supplement. This would include, for
- 139 example, submissions for new dosage forms, new strengths, and other changes to authorized products which
- 140 require the filing of an S(A)NDS. When an S(A)NDS is submitted for a post-NOC change, data should be
- 141 provided in accordance with the sections of the guidance which apply to the proposed change.
- 142 The Quality (Chemistry and Manufacturing) Guidance: New Drug Submissions (NDSs) and Abbreviated New
- 143 Drug Submissions (ANDSs) should be consulted to determine the extent of data generation which is
- 144 necessary to support NDSs, ANDSs or S(A)NDSs. The Post-Notice of Compliance (NOC) Changes: Quality
- 145 Document should be consulted for drug products that have received an NOC and have considerable
- 146 commercial scale manufacturing experience for the drug substance or drug product (e.g. validation of scale-
- 147 up is completed). If significant knowledge of the drug substance or drug product is not available at the time
- 148 that a S(A)NDS for a post-NOC change is submitted, the application should reflect the requirements listed in
- 149 this Quality (C&M) Guidance: NDSs and ANDSs guidance document.
- 150 The scientific and risk-assessment principles outlined in this document are also applicable to other types of
- 151 applications (e.g. for Applications for Drug Identification Number Submissions (DINAs)).

#### G.3 Preamble 152

- Background 153
- 154 The Common Technical Document - Quality (CTD-Q) (Module 3) outlines the format of the Quality portion of
- applications within the International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for 155
- 156 Pharmaceuticals for Human Use (ICH) Common Technical Document (CTD). Also, as part of the CTD guideline,
- 157 the ICH process has produced recommendations for a Quality Overall Summary (QOS) (Module 2) which is a
- 158 summary that follows the scope and the outline of the Quality Module (Module 3).
- This Health Canada guidance document follows the format recommended in ICH's CTD-Q guideline. The text 159
- 160 following each section title is taken directly from the ICH CTD-Q guideline.
- 161 This guidance provides information on data which should be provided in Module 3 of the CTD-Q. Where
- 162 relevant, guidance has been provided on how to summarize the information in the QOS.
- Terminology used in this guidance document is defined in one or more of the references listed, unless the 163
- 164 term is specifically defined in the text of this document or in the companion Glossary of Quality Terms that
- 165 accompanies this guidance document.
- 166 This guidance document supersedes Health Canada's guideline entitled Chemistry and Manufacturing: New
- Drugs (1990) and the draft Quality (Chemistry and Manufacturing) Guidance: New Drug Submissions (NDSs) 167
- and Abbreviated New Drug Submissions (ANDSs) (2001 and 2013). 168
- International Council for Harmonisations (ICH's) Quality Overall Summary (QOS) 169
- and Health Canada's Quality Overall Summary Chemical Entities (QOS-CE) 170
- **Template** 171
- 172 Subsection C.08.005.1 (1) (c) of the Food and Drug Regulations stipulates that new drug submissions (NDSs),
- 173 abbreviated new drug submissions (ANDSs), supplemental new drug submissions (SNDSs), and supplemental
- 174 abbreviated new drug submissions (SANDSs) should include a comprehensive summary of each human,
- animal and in vitro study referred to or included in the submission or supplement. The intent of this 175
- 176 requirement is to facilitate the assessment of the extensive experimental data and hence contribute toward a
- 177 more effective and timely processing of drug submissions.
- 178 As previously mentioned, ICH has integrated a Quality Overall Summary (QOS) within its CTD guideline. The
- 179 QOS is considered a comprehensive summary that follows the scope and the outline of the Body of Data in
- 180 Module 3. The QOS should not include information, data, or justification that was not already included in
- Module 3 or in other parts of the drug submission. 181
- 182 A template entitled Quality Overall Summary - Chemical Entities (New Drug Submissions/Abbreviated New
- 183 Drug Submissions) (QOS-CE (NDS/ANDS) is available on the Health Canada website to facilitate preparation of
- a summary of the Quality data submitted to Health Canada. The QOS-CE (NDS/ANDS) template is consistent 184
- 185 with the directives in ICH guidelines, principles of applying sound science and risk management to the
- 186 systematic development of drugs, and current Quality standards and terminologies.
- 187 ICH's QOS and Health Canada's QOS-CE (NDS/ANDS) are collectively referred to as the Quality Overall
- 188 Summary or QOS throughout the remainder of this document. The guidance refers to what should be
- 189 submitted, regardless of the template used.
- 190 Use of Health Canada's QOS-CE (NDS/ANDS) template is optional, although its use may facilitate the
- 191 preparation of the Quality Overall Summary and may contribute to review efficiencies. It is recommended

- 192 that the QOS be limited to the minimum number of pages required to summarize key information (e.g. 40-
- 193 100 pages).
- 194 Health Canada considers that the QOS is a summary created specifically for each regulatory submission and
- 195 the QOS does not need to be managed over the life cycle of a product.
- 196 ICH's QOS and Health Canada's QOS-CE (NDS/ANDS) are collectively referred to as the Quality Overall
- 197 Summary or QOS throughout the remainder of this document.

# Module 2.3: Quality Overall Summary (Qos)

- Notes on the Preparation of the Quality Overall Summary and the Quality Module 199
- 200 Sponsors are encouraged to devote sufficient time to prepare an accurate, consistent, and concise QOS
- 201 based on the detailed information included in the Quality Module. The filing of an inaccurate or incomplete
- 202 QOS will result in greater expenditure of an assessor's time in retrieving, assessing and summarizing data.
- 203 Essential elements of the minimal approach and the enhanced, Quality by Design (QbD) approach (as
- 204 described in ICH's Q8 guideline) and QbD terminologies should be used to facilitate an efficient assessment
- 205 process.

198

- 206 It is recognized that the tables included in the QOS-CE (NDS/ANDS) template may need to be modified (e.g.
- 207 with data cells being split or joined, as necessary). In order to best summarize the data tabular structure
- 208 should be used whenever possible. All headings listed in the default sections of the CTD should nonetheless
- 209 be retained or addressed, regardless of their perceived relevance, unless the subject matter of the entire
- 210 section or table is irrelevant to the drug substance or drug product in question.
- 211 If portions of the QOS (e.g. sections, tables) are clearly not relevant for the drug submission due to the nature
- 212 of the drug substance or drug product, this should be indicated by the designation "Not Applicable" (e.g.
- under the heading of Module 2.3.P.4.5, if no excipient of human or animal origin is used in the manufacture 213
- 214 of the drug product). Portions that are "Not Applicable" should be accompanied by an explanatory note or
- 215 justification describing their inapplicability.
- 216 To facilitate the assessment, when the information in a section has been included in a prior drug submission
- 217 in its entirety (e.g. in a Supplement for a new dosage form filed after the NDS/ANDS is authorised or while
- 218 the NDS/ANDS assessment is in progress) and the information has not changed subsequent to that filing, the
- 219 relevant section should be cross referenced, and so noted in section 1.0.7, General Note to the reviewer, the
- 220 Introduction to the QOS and Quality Module (e.g. under section (b) Other Introductory Information). The
- 221 Introduction should include the names of the cross-referenced drug product and sponsor, date of the Notice
- 222 of Compliance (if applicable), and dossier identification and control numbers. If there are changes to any
- 223 sections that have been cross-referenced, these should be summarized appropriately. Submission of
- 224 information which is cross-referenced should be in accordance with the Management of Drug Submissions
- 225 Guidance Document (e.g. Section 5.2, 5.5 and 5.7).

- 227 Following is additional guidance to assist sponsors in preparing the QOS and the Quality Module:
  - a. Examples of applicable guidance documents are identified under the various sections. Those developed by ICH are identified by their code names only (e.g. Q1A, Q2). When a guidance document or pharmacopeia is referred to, the most recent (current) version should be consulted.
  - b. Abbreviations should not be used in the QOS and Quality Module unless initially defined and consistently used (e.g. N/A = Not applicable), or unless they represent well-established scientific abbreviations (e.g. HPLC, UV).
  - c. Copies of original documents (e.g. certificates of analysis) are preferred as transcription of documents leads to frequent errors and their availability allows for verification of analytical data.
  - d. For new drug submissions (e.g. NDSs, ANDSs, Supplements) regarding drug substances that are no longer considered new drugs according to Part C, Division 8 of the Food and Drug Regulations, consult Health Canada's Quality Guidance: Applications for Drug Identification Number Submissions (DINAs) for Pharmaceuticals for the information that should be provided on the drug substance. If the drug substance is not covered by a compendial monograph (e.g. USP or Ph.Eur.) then additional information on the route of synthesis and impurities (e.g. mutagenic impurities) may be necessary to justify the specifications. The information that should be provided on the drug product should be as described in this document Quality Guidance: NDSs and ANDSs.
  - e. When filing a response to a request for additional information from Health Canada (e.g. Request for Clarification (Clarifax), Notice of Non-compliance (NON), Notice of Deficiency (NOD)), sponsors should summarize new or updated data (e.g. specifications, analytical procedures, stability results) in the response in a question and answer format, with additional documentation being provided in Module 3 of the CTD. Generally, an updated QOS should not be submitted as Health Canada uses the first QOS submitted as the basis of preparing the original Quality Assessment Report (QAR). However, in the case of an NOD or an extensive NON where the magnitude of deficiency comments warrants the filing of extensive changes to the information contained in the original drug submission, a refiled/updated QOS can be necessary. If updated documents are submitted, annotated and nonannotated versions should be submitted to expedite assessment (e.g. the Certified Product Information Document (CPID)).

#### 255 **References:**

- 256 ICH M4 (Common Technical Document)
- 257 ICH M4Q (Common Technical Document - Quality)
- 258 Preparation of Drug Regulatory Activities in the CTD Format
- 259 Management of Drug Submissions
- Health Canada's Certified Product Information Document Chemical Entities (CPID-260
- 261

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242 243

244

245 246

247

248

249

250 251

252

- 262 The CPID-CE constitutes part of the Notice of Compliance (NOC) package and provides a condensed summary 263 of the key Quality information for NDSs and ANDSs. The CPID-CE provides an accurate record of information 264 on the Quality of the drug substance and drug product at the time the NOC is issued. The CPID-CE is a 265 condensed version of the QOS and represents the final, agreed upon key data from the drug submission (e.g. list of manufacturer(s), manufacturing procedure and control strategy, specifications, container closure 266 267 system including delivery devices, storage conditions, retest period or shelf life, and commitments). Most 268 importantly, it serves as a valuable knowledge management tool and a reference document to track the 269 changes in the Quality information for the drug substance and drug product during its lifecycle. It is a useful
- 270 document for both the sponsor and the regulator as an official reference document during the course of
- 271 post-authorization activities. The CPID-CE template is structured to permit the rapid assembly of the CPID-CE

- 272 by copying requisite information from the corresponding portions of the QOS filed with the original drug 273 submission.
- 274 For NDSs and ANDSs, the proposed CPID-CE should be submitted with the original drug submission, as it
- 275 helps the Review Division in the planning and allocating of the required resources and for an efficient
- 276 assessment process. For applications for post-NOC changes (e.g. Supplements), the appropriate annotated
- 277 and non-annotated CPID-CE should be completed in its entirety and be provided at the time of filing. Only the
- 278 CPID-CE for the dosage form(s) affected is required. It is acknowledged that when filing a submission for an
- 279 application for post-NOC change, the updated CPID-CE may include changes that did not need prior approval
- 280 by Health Canada (e.g. Level III - Annual Notifications or Level IV - Record of Changes). An annotated version
- 281 highlighting changes should be submitted which distinguishes changes proposed in the S(A)NDS versus those
- 282 made and submitted as Annual Notifications or Record of Changes. Health Canada's position is that data
- 283 supporting these changes have been generated and assessed for their acceptability by the company prior to
- 284 their implementation and that the data are available for Health Canada's assessment on request as outlined
- 285 in the Post-Notice of Compliance (NOC) Changes - Quality Guidance.

#### 286 Reference:

288

301

287 Certified Product Information Document - Chemical Entities (CPID-CE)

# Introduction

- 289 The introduction should include proprietary name, non-proprietary name or common name of the drug
- 290 substance, company name, dosage form(s), strength(s), route of administration, and proposed indication(s).
- 291 Sponsors should provide other introductory information in the QOS Introduction, such as a contact person's
- 292 name, phone number, fax number, and e-mail address for ease of communication. The introductory
- 293 information in the QOS can also include other salient points of the drug submission that may be useful to the
- 294 assessor (e.g. filing and marketing status and brand name in other jurisdictions, availability of a current
- 295 Certificate of Suitability to the Monographs of the European Pharmacopoeia (CEP), cross-referenced drug
- 296 substance or drug product, placement of the Control Strategy Summary and, if applicable, date(s) of the
- 297 Notice of Compliance (NOC), Notice of Non-Compliance (NON)/NON-Withdrawal (NON-W) or Notice of
- 298 Deficiency (NOD)/NOD-Withdrawal (NOD-W), dossier identification and control numbers).
- When relevant to the product under consideration, requirements from the USP and European 299
- 300 Pharmacopoeia general chapters should be adopted.

# Module 3: Information To Be Provided In Module 3 And

## Summarized In The Quality Overall Summary (QOS) 302

- 303 Unless otherwise stated in the text, the following information should be provided in detail in Module 3 and
- 304 briefly summarized or cross-referenced in the QOS as appropriate. The guidance is provided to aid applicants
- 305 in appropriately providing information and justifying the quality of the product using the totality of the
- 306 information provided. The CTD section where the guidance has been presented does not necessarily refer to
- 307 where the information should be placed in the submission, but has been discussed in a way to ensure
- 308 interconnected information is provided appropriately. ICH M4(Q) should be consulted to determine the best
- 309 placement for detailed information in Module 3 and appropriate cross-references made to the position of the
- 310 information in alternate sections to ensure appropriate discussion and justification is present to allow for
- 311 efficient assessment of the totality of the information.

#### S Drug Substance 312

- 313 In this guidance, the term "active pharmaceutical ingredient" (API) (as defined in C.01A.001(1) of the
- 314 Regulations) and "drug substance" are considered interchangeable and refers to the API used as the raw
- 315 (input) material in the manufacture of a drug product. In some cases, this API may undergo in-situ conversion
- 316 during the drug product manufacturing process leading to a different chemical form of the same active
- 317 moiety (e.g. free acid/base form to salt form). Refer to Health Canada's Notice: Interim Policy on Health
- 318 Canada's Interpretation of Medicinal Ingredient (June 16, 2015) for further information.
- Master Files (MFs) 319
- 320 Some information outlined in the various sections including the "S Drug Substance" section of the drug
- 321 submission may be considered proprietary and may not be available to the sponsor of the NDS or ANDS. If
- 322 this is the case, the supplier of the material (e.g. drug substance, excipient, container closure system
- 323 component) can file a confidential Master File (MF) directly with Health Canada. The supplier would then be
- 324 considered the MF Holder. This MF will be held in strict confidence and will be used in support of the drug
- 325 submission only upon receipt of a written letter of authorization from the MF Holder or Canadian Agent (i.e.
- 326 via a letter of access). Copies of letters of access should be provided in Module 1.
- 327 The sponsor should submit a copy of the non-proprietary information provided by the MF Holder (i.e. the
- 328 "Applicant's Part" of MF), and other information obtained in the public domain (e.g. scientific literature, peer
- 329 reviewed journals), and/or developed by the sponsor. For recommendations on the content of MFs, Health
- 330 Canada's guidance document entitled Master Files (MFs) – Procedures and Administrative Requirements
- 331 should be consulted. Regardless of whether the sponsor includes data obtained from the MF Holder, from
- 332 published scientific literature or generates the data in-house, the source of the information should be clearly
- 333 identified. The information from the Applicant's Part of the MF should be provided in various CTD sections of
- 334 the drug submission and summarized in the QOS.
- 335 The drug submission sponsor should ensure that the information included in the MF is up to date and that
- 336 the MF has been received by Health Canada by submitting a letter of confirmation from the MF Holder.
- 337 Consult HC guidance on MFs for further information.
- 338 Regardless of the information provided by the supplier of the drug substance, the manufacturer of the
- 339 dosage form is responsible for ensuring that appropriate specifications and properly validated analytical
- 340 procedures for the drug substance are developed and for providing the results of batch analyses. These
- 341 specifications and methods should be provided from the release testing site (i.e. the site where testing is
- 342 done for the purpose of releasing the drug substance) of the drug substance to be used in the manufacture of
- 343 the drug product. Determination of the acceptability of the release testing site is determined by the Good
- 344 Manufacturing Practices (GMP) regulations and is the responsibility of the Regulatory Operations and Regions
- 345 Branch (RORB) of Health Canada.
- 346 Reference to a Master File is only necessary if the information requested by this guidance is third-party
- 347 confidential information and the third-party has not provided the information to the sponsor for inclusion in
- 348 the submission.
- 349 References:
- 350 Master Files (MFs) - Procedures and Administrative Requirements
- 351 Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) Guidelines (GUI-0001)

- Certificates of Suitability to the Monographs of the European Pharmacopoeia 352
- (CEPs) 353

362

369

370

- 354 Health Canada encourages the filing of CEPs when they are available. CEPs should be filed by the drug
- 355 substance supplier in an Active Substance Master File (ASMF) or with full information on the drug substance
- 356 in the drug submission along with the appropriate attestations. An appropriately referenced CEP will expedite
- 357 the assessment of information related to the detailed method of synthesis and control of impurities and in
- 358 some cases storage conditions and retest period. For current information on how CEPs should be filed in a
- 359 submission and what information should be included when a CEP is referenced, refer to "Health Canada's
- 360 exploration of the use of European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines (EDQM) Certificates of Suitability
- 361 (CEP)" notice available on Health Canada's Website.

## S.1 General Information

#### S.1.1 Nomenclature 363

- 364 Information on the nomenclature of the drug substance should be provided. For example:
- a. Recommended International Non-proprietary Name (INN); 365
- 366 b. Compendial name, if relevant;
- 367 c. Chemical name(s);
- d. Company or laboratory code; 368
  - e. Other non-proprietary name(s) (e.g. national name, United States Adopted Name (USAN), Japanese Accepted Name (JAN); British Approved Name (BAN)); and
- 371 Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS) registry number.
- 372 The listed chemical names should be consistent with the official name or those appearing in scientific
- 373 literature (e.g. pharmacopoeia, USAN) and those appearing on the product labelling (e.g. Product
- 374 Monograph, container label). Where several names exist, the preferred name should be indicated.
- 375 When an in-situ conversion of the drug substance occurs or is likely to occur based on chemical principles
- 376 during the manufacture of the drug product (e.g. formation of a salt or complex), the compound in the final
- 377 dosage form should also be described. In cases where this is not possible, justification and detailed
- 378 information should be provided (e.g. in Section P.2 Pharmaceutical Development).
- S.1.2 Structure 379
- 380 The structural formula, including relative and absolute stereochemistry, the molecular formula, and the
- 381 relative molecular mass should be provided.
- 382 This information should be consistent with that provided in section S 1.1 and in the Product Monograph. For
- drug substances existing as salts and/or hydrates/solvates, the molecular formula and molecular mass of the 383
- 384 free base or free acid or unsolvated moiety should also be provided.
- S.1.3 General Properties 385
- 386 A list should be provided of physicochemical and other relevant properties of the drug substance.
- 387 This information can be used in developing the specifications, in formulating dosage forms, and in the testing
- 388 for release and stability purposes. Provide information on the relevant physical and chemical properties of
- 389 the drug substance. Examples of information could include the physical description, solubilities in common
- solvents (e.g. including those used in the drug substance or drug product manufacturing process, analytical 390

- 391 methods or for cleaning), polymorphism, pH and pKa values, UV absorption maxima and molar absorptivity,
- 392 melting point/Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)/Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA), refractive index (for
- 393 a liquid), hygroscopicity, partition coefficient. This list is by no means exhaustive, but provides an indication
- as to the type of information that could be included. Phrases such as "sparingly soluble" or "freely soluble" 394
- 395 should conform to USP or Ph.Eur. definitions.
- 396 Data on general properties that are not generated in-house should be noted as such and the source of the
- 397 data should be clearly referenced.
- 398 Some of the more important properties to be considered for all drug substances are discussed below in
- 399 greater detail.
- 400 Physical description (e.g. polymorphic form, solvate, hydrate):
- 401 The description should include appearance, colour, and physical state. Solid forms should be identified as
- 402 being crystalline or amorphous. If the drug substance can exist in more than one physical form, the
- 403 information included in S.1.3 should be for the form (or forms) of the drug substance that will be used in the
- manufacture of the drug product or formed through in situ conversion. Detailed information on the 404
- 405 characterization of these and other physical forms should be provided in S.3.1.
- 406 References:
- 407 ICH Q6A

408

# S.2 Manufacture

- S.2.1 Manufacturer(s) 409
- 410 The name, address, and responsibility of each manufacturer, including contractors, and each proposed
- 411 production site or facility involved in manufacturing and testing should be provided.
- 412 This includes the facilities involved in the manufacture (fabrication), packaging, physical manipulation (e.g.
- 413 milling), sterilization, sterilization of equipment or primary component of a container closure system (e.g.
- 414 gamma irradiation) and testing of the drug substance or intermediates. If certain companies are responsible
- 415 only for specific steps (e.g. milling of the drug substance) this should be indicated. The list of manufacturers
- 416 should specify the actual addresses for the location where the relevant manufacturing or testing operation
- 417 will be performed, rather than the administrative offices. Manufacturing sites for sterile drug substances and
- 418 sites which are responsible for generating test results for release purposes for all drug substances are
- 419 required to have a Drug Establishment licence or be listed on a Drug Establishment Licence in accordance
- 420 with guidance from the Regulatory Operations and Regions Branch. GMP requirements for sites involved in
- 421 Drug Substance manufacturing may have been published in amendments to the Food and Drug Regulations.
- 422 Current submission requirements are on the notice Submission Filing Requirements - Good Manufacturing
- 423 Practices (GMP)/Establishment Licences (EL). Where applicable (e.g. the manufacture of sterile drug
- 424 substances, testing facilities), the manufacturing, packaging, labelling and testing facilities for sterile drug
- 425 substances and release testing sites should have been confirmed by the Regulatory Operations and Regions
- 426 Branch to be GMP compliant prior to submitting an application.
- 427 If a MF is filed with Health Canada and is cross-referenced for certain proprietary information (e.g. sections
- 428 Modules S.2.2, S.2.3, S.2.4, S 2.5 and S.2.6), the MF number assigned by Health Canada should be provided in
- 429 the QOS, CPID and Module 1. Reference to a CEP should also be included, if applicable.

- 431 **References:**
- 432 ICH Q7
- 433 Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) for Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients (API) guidelines (GUI-0104)
- 434 Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) Guides
- 435 Master Files (MFs) - Procedures and Administrative Requirements
- S.2.2 Description of Manufacturing Process and Process Controls 436
- 437 The description of the drug substance manufacturing process represents the applicant's commitment for the
- 438 manufacture of the drug substance. Information should be provided to adequately describe the
- 439 manufacturing process and process controls. For example:
- 440 A flow diagram of the synthetic process(es) should be provided that includes chemical structures (reflecting
- 441 stereochemistry where applicable) of API starting materials, intermediates, and drug substance and identifies
- 442 reagents and solvents. It can be supplemented by text if necessary.
- 443 A sequential procedural narrative of the manufacturing process should be submitted. The narrative should
- 444 include, for example, quantities of raw materials, solvents, catalysts and reagents reflecting the
- 445 representative batch scale for commercial manufacture, identification of yield, critical steps and critical
- 446 process controls (i.e. process parameters (e.g. temperature, pressure, pH, time) and in-process tests). The
- 447 level of detail required in the manufacturing description depends on the significance of the process
- 448 parameters in determining product quality, and information on reaction conditions and controls will
- 449 generally increase for late stage synthetic and purification steps.
- 450 Alternate processes, which are validated, should be explained and described with the same level of detail as
- the primary process. Any data to support this justification should be either referenced or filed in S.2.6 of 451
- 452 Module 3.
- 453 Reworking procedures are considered to be unexpected occurrences and are not pre-authorised as part of
- 454 the marketing authorization. As a result, reworking procedures should not be included in regulatory
- 455 submissions. Any reworking of batches is authorized on a case-by-case basis in accordance with principles
- 456 defined by good manufacturing practices.
- 457 Reprocessing activities are considered to be foreseen as occasionally necessary and could be proposed and
- 458 described in a submission provided that it includes the same level of detail as the primary process. However,
- 459 if such proposed reprocessing is used or intended to be used for a majority of batches, such reprocessing
- 460 should be included as part of the standard manufacturing process.
- 461 Any reprocessing and reworking activities are expected to be conducted as per Canadian Food and Drug
- 462 Regulation C.02.014, the Health Canada GMP for API Guide (GUI-0104) - Interpretation under C.02.014, and
- 463 ICH Q7.
- 464 The information on the manufacturing process should start from well-characterized API starting materials.
- 465 The manufacturing process for the batch(es) used in the clinical and/or comparative bioavailability and
- primary stability studies should be representative of the process to be used for commercial purposes (i.e. 466
- 467 laboratory scale batches are not considered acceptable).
- 468 If the manufacturing process includes one or more design spaces, this/these should be clearly identified in
- 469 S.2.2, with supporting data in S.2.6. If Proven Acceptable Ranges (PARs) have been developed for some
- 470 process parameters, the target/normal operating ranges (NORs) for all process parameters and PARs for
- 471 which supporting data have been provided in S.2.6 should be included in the process description in S.2.2.
- 472 However, a combination of PARs does not constitute a design space and it is expected that the manufacturing
- 473 process will be conducted within the NORs for all process parameters, with excursions into the PAR for only a
- 474 single parameter at a time.

## **API Starting Materials:**

475

- 476 An API starting material is proposed by the applicant and assessed by Health Canada to determine whether
- 477 the controls on the drug substance (e.g. impurities) and drug substance manufacturing process (e.g. control
- 478 strategy, critical process controls, intermediate testing) can provide appropriate control of quality. The
- 479 selection of a particular compound as the API starting material and its specifications should be justified. ICH
- 480 Q7 defines the point from which GMP requirements apply to the synthetic process.
- 481 ICH's Q11 guideline describes the general principles that should be collectively considered when selecting
- 482 and justifying API starting materials. In most cases, information on the preparation of the API starting
- 483 material (e.g. flow chart, reagents, potential impurities) should be provided (e.g. in sections S.2.3 and S.2.6,
- 484 as appropriate) in order to fully characterize the impurity profile and to justify the specifications for the API
- starting material and the drug substance. The information provided should permit the complete assessment 485
- 486 of the safety and quality of the drug substance. In some cases, this information may precede the API starting
- 487 material by several steps in the synthetic process. The level of detail required in the manufacturing
- 488 description depends on a number of factors, including the criticality of the process parameters in determining
- 489 product quality.
- 490 The information on the preparation and relevant data for the API starting materials should be provided in
- 491 sufficient detail to support the justification for the selection of the API starting material and that the API
- 492 starting material and drug substance specifications are appropriate (e.g. for the control of the impurity
- 493 profile).
- 494 Acids, bases, salts, esters and similar derivatives of the drug substance and the racemate of a single
- 495 enantiomer drug substance are considered final intermediates and should not be declared as API starting
- 496 materials.
- 497 Each branch of a convergent drug substance synthesis should contain one or more API starting materials
- 498 unless the point of convergence is upstream (i.e. earlier in the synthesis) of the proposed API starting
- 499 material.

500

508

509

510

511 512

513

## **Information on the Drug Substance Manufacturing Process**

- 501 Information on the preparation and purification of the drug substance and the API starting material should be
- 502 provided (e.g. in sections S.2.2, S.2.3 and S.2.6, as appropriate) in a manner that allows the assessment of the
- 503 fate and purging of all potential impurities, including theoretical, specified unidentified and identified
- 504 impurities (regioisomeric and stereoisomeric impurities, toxic (including mutagenic) impurities, residual
- 505 solvents and elemental impurities (e.g. residues of catalysts)) in the API starting material, intermediates and
- the drug substance. 506

#### 507 This information should include:

- A flow chart and brief narrative description of the synthesis with all the reagents, solvents, and intermediates specified should be provided in the QOS.
- From the API starting material(s) onwards, complete details of the process are necessary, and these should include quantities of raw materials, description of equipment (for equipment which is critical to the product quality), reaction conditions, in-process controls, percent yields, etc.

## **Sterile Drug Substances**

- 514 If the drug substance is prepared as sterile, a complete description should be provided for the method used
- 515 in the sterilization. The controls used to maintain the sterility of the drug substance during storage and
- 516 transportation should be provided. Results of process validation studies of the sterilization process should
- 517 also be included in S.2.5.

## **Drug Substances Manufactured using a Fermentation Process**

- 519 In addition to the above information, the data provided for a drug substance produced by fermentation 520 should include:
- 521 a. source and type of micro-organism used;
- 522 b. procedures and controls for preparation of master and working cell banks
- 523 c. composition of media;

518

524

525

526

527

528 529

530

531

542

553

- d. control of microbial bioburden in the fermentation process;
- e. precursors or metabolic substrates if applicable;
- f. additional details on how the reaction conditions are controlled (e.g. times, temperatures, rates of aeration); and
- g. name and composition of preservatives;
- h. potential for the presence of adventitious agents based on the type of micro-organism used (e.g. mycotoxins, enzymes).

## **Drug Substances of Plant (botanical) Origin**

532 For drug substances of plant origin where the entire API structure is isolated intact from a plant source, 533 include a description of the botanical species and the part of plant used, the geographical origin and, where 534 relevant, the time of year harvested. The nature of chemical fertilizers, pesticides, fungicides, etc. should be 535 recorded, if these have been employed during cultivation. Potential sources of contamination due to the 536 origin should be documented (e.g. soil composition). The information to be submitted will depend on the 537 controls and characterization of the botanical material, however it may be necessary to document all 538 processing steps after harvesting (e.g. drying equipment and time, treatment of plant material (e.g. solvent 539 extraction, pesticides)) to justify controls. Appropriate limits for residues resulting from such treatment 540 should be included in the drug substance specification or as in-process controls. Discussion, including 541 supporting data, should be provided to demonstrate absence of toxic metals and radioactivity.

## Micronized/milled or Compacted Drug Substances

- 543 Micronization or milling is a critical step for certain drug substances, e.g. for a low solubility drug substance 544 used in a tablet or powder inhalers or to ensure process capability. In such instances, the type of equipment 545 (e.g. make and milling sieve) and critical process parameters or the procedure used to establish the 546 parameters for a batch (equipment setting, and operating conditions) necessary to produce lots with 547 consistent particle size distribution should be described. The same information should be provided for
- 548 compacted materials.

#### 549 **Design Space**

- 550 The design space can be described in this section (and if appropriate in S.2.4). The manufacturing process
- 551 development section (S.2.6) is the appropriate place to summarize and describe studies which provide the
- 552 basis of the design space.

## **Non-isolated Intermediates**

- 554 If an intermediate is not isolated, an in-process control to test for completeness of reaction should be
- 555 included before advancing to the next step, unless otherwise justified (e.g. in a case when a reaction resulting
- 556 in a non-isolated intermediate is consistent and well controlled). Tests for completeness of reaction are
- 557 deemed to be critical and should be included in S.2.4 unless data is provided to support that the completion
- 558 of the reaction is non-critical.
- 559 References:
- 560 ICH Q7, Q8, Q11, M7

#### S.2.3 Control of Materials 561

- Materials used in the manufacture of the drug substance (e.g. raw materials, API starting materials, solvents, 562
- 563 reagents, catalysts) should be listed identifying where each material is used in the process.
- 564 Information on the quality and control of these materials should be provided. Information demonstrating
- 565 that materials meet standards appropriate for their intended use should be provided, as appropriate.
- 566 The names and addresses of each manufacturing site of an API starting material should be provided along
- 567 with the route of manufacture at each site. The data provided should justify the proposed API starting
- 568 material specifications and the purging of potential impurities (including known and potentially mutagenic
- 569 impurities) should be discussed. This information may be cross-referenced to a MF, however in that case the
- 570 MF Holder should provide an attestation to inform the drug product manufacturer if there is any change in
- 571 the supplier of the API starting material or in the route of synthesis for the API starting material.
- 572 The specification of a starting material should include tests for identity and purity (e.g. controls on impurities)
- 573 and, where applicable, could include acceptance criteria for assay, specified, unspecified and total impurities,
- 574 residual solvents, reagents, elemental impurities and mutagenic impurities. The applicant should provide
- 575 justification of the tests included on the specifications (e.g. purging studies). Special consideration should be
- 576 given to potential isomeric impurities and mutagenic impurities, particularly those that could be carried
- 577 through the synthesis to the drug substance.
- 578 For drug substances, or drug substances manufactured with reagents obtained from sources that have
- 579 potential of transmitting Transmissible Spongiform Encephalopathy (TSE) agents (e.g. ruminant origin), a
- 580 letter of attestation (with supporting documentation) should be provided confirming that the material is not
- from a TSE affected country/area, and/or data should be provided demonstrating that the material is not at 581
- 582 risk of transmitting TSE (e.g. an EDQM Certificate of Suitability). Attestation and/or evidence that Specified
- 583 Risk Materials are excluded and appropriate production methods are used to ensure TSE inactivation should
- 584 be provided.

#### 585 References:

- 586 ICH Q6A, Q11, M7
- 587 Stereochemical Issues in Chiral Drug Development
- 588 Master Files (MFs) - Procedures and Administrative Requirements
- 589 EDQM guidance documents related to TSE risk reduction
- 590 Note for guidance on minimising the risk of transmitting animal spongiform encephalopathy agents via
- human and veterinary medicinal products (EMA/410/01 rev.3) (2011/C 73/01) 591
- S.2.4 Controls of Critical Steps and Intermediates 592
- Critical Steps: Tests and acceptance criteria (with justification including process development data in S.2.6) 593
- 594 performed at critical steps identified in S.2.2 of the manufacturing process to ensure that the process is
- 595 controlled should be provided.
- 596 Process parameters considered critical (e.g. temperature, equipment controls during micronization) should
- 597 be listed and scientifically justified (e.g. in S.2.6).
- 598 Intermediates: Information on the quality and control of intermediates isolated during the process should be
- 599 provided.
- 600 Generally, these specifications would include tests and acceptance criteria for appearance, identity, purity,
- 601 and assay. Well-defined controls of potential impurities should be included. Special consideration should be
- 602 given to potential isomeric impurities and mutagenic impurities, particularly those that could be carried
- 603 through the synthesis to the drug substance.

#### 604 Non-isolated intermediates

- 605 Where the test for completeness of reaction is critical it should be listed in this section.
- 606 **References:**
- 607 ICH Q6A, Q11
- 608 Stereochemical Issues in Chiral Drug Development
- S.2.5 Process Validation and/or Evaluation 609
- Process validation and/or evaluation studies for aseptic processing and sterilisation should be included in the 610
- 611 submission (e.g. a validation report for the sterilization steps).
- 612 It is expected that the manufacturing processes for all drug substance are properly controlled and validated
- 613 before the commercial distribution of the resulting drug product. For non-sterile drug substances, process
- 614 validation and/or evaluation studies need not be provided in a regulatory submission.
- 615 **References:**
- 616 Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) Guidelines
- Validation Guidelines for Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms 617
- 618 ICH Q7, Q11
- S.2.6 Manufacturing Process Development 619
- 620 A description and discussion should be provided for the significant changes made to the manufacturing
- 621 process and/or manufacturing site of the drug substance used in producing nonclinical, clinical, scale-up,
- 622 pilot, and, if available, production scale batches.
- 623 Reference should be made to the drug substance data provided in section S.4.4.
- 624 This section is the appropriate place to summarize and describe process development studies that provided
- 625 the basis for the design space(s) or which are used to justify specifications, manufacturing parameters, etc.
- 626 Where a QbD approach has been used for development of the drug substance synthesis, care should be
- 627 taken to:

628

629

630

631

632

- a. use terminology in a manner that is consistent with ICH definitions (e.g. Proven Acceptable Ranges (PARs) vs. design space).
  - b. be clear about claims and proposed flexibility supported by enhanced development (e.g. design space(s), PARs, Real Time Release (RTR) Testing, omission of API specification test for impurity(ies)).
  - c. discuss the role of QbD in the overall control strategy (e.g. describe purging studies to demonstrate removal of impurities from synthetic process).
- 634 Where PARs or a design space have been claimed in S.2.2, studies which support the proposed ranges should
- 635 be described in S.2.6. Studies conducted to assess criticality of process parameters or material attributes
- 636 identified in S.2.3 and/or S.2.4 should also be described in S.2.6.
- 637 Any differences in stereochemistry, polymorphic form or particle size distribution of the drug substance used
- 638 during development compared to the drug substance used in the commercial product should be discussed in
- 639 terms of the potential impact on the drug product performance, safety and efficacy. References to specific
- 640 sections in the drug product pharmaceutical development (P.2) should be made as necessary.
- 641 References:
- 642 ICH Q3A, Q7, Q8, Q11

#### S.3 Characterisation 643

#### S.3.1 Elucidation of Structure and other Characteristics 644

- 645 Confirmation of the molecular structure of the drug substance, based on spectroscopic and other relevant
- 646 techniques, should be provided. Data should be provided that addresses potential isomerism, including
- absolute and relative stereochemistry, where applicable. When elucidating the internal structure of the drug 647
- 648 substance (such as amorphous or alternative crystalline forms), characterization should use appropriate
- 649 techniques (such as single crystal and powder x-ray diffraction). Samples that are representative of the
- 650 proposed manufacturing process should be used.
- 651 Module 3 should include copies of the spectra, peak assignments, and a detailed interpretation of the data.
- 652 For drug substances with a compendial reference standard, it is generally sufficient to provide copies of the
- 653 Infrared (IR) and Ultraviolet (UV) spectra of the drug substance for each source. The sample should be run
- 654 concomitantly with a suitable primary reference standard. A suitable primary reference standard could be
- 655 obtained from the Schedule B compendia (e.g. USP, Ph.Eur, BP) or a batch of the drug substance that has
- 656 been fully characterized (e.g. IR, UV, Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR), Mass Spectra (MS)). See section S.5
- 657 for further details on References Standards or Materials.
- 658 If comparative studies with the Canadian Reference Product are necessary to establish equivalence (e.g. for
- 659 polymeric APIs in an ANDS), Module 3 should include data from the comparative physicochemical studies
- 660 performed.
- 661 The studies carried out to elucidate and/or confirm the chemical structure of new chemical entities normally
- 662 include IR, UV, NMR, and MS studies. Other tests could include elemental analysis, X-ray diffraction (XRD),
- 663 solid state studies or Molecular weight distribution where relevant.
- 664 It is recognized that some drug substances (e.g. certain antibiotics, enzymes, and peptides) present
- 665 challenges with respect to structural investigation. In such cases, more emphasis should be placed on the
- 666 purification and the specification for the drug substance to ensure a reproducible drug substance.
- 667 If a drug substance consists of more than one active component (e.g. conjugated estrogens), where possible,
- the physicochemical characterization of the components and their ratio should be submitted. A justification 668
- 669 should be provided for why the information is not available and that the lack of information is not relevant or
- 670 critical.

675

#### 671 Summarization of Data in the QOS:

- 672 The QOS should include a list of the studies performed, a brief summary of results, and a conclusion from the
- 673 studies (e.g. if the results support the proposed structure). In addition, to establish pharmaceutical
- 674 equivalence, a summary of any comparative studies should be included.

## Potential for Isomerism and Identification of Stereochemistry:

- 676 When a drug substance contains one or more asymmetric centres, structural elucidation should confirm
- 677 whether the drug substance is a specific stereoisomer or a mixture of stereoisomers or a meso isomer.
- 678 If, based on the structure of the drug substance, there is no potential for isomerism, it is sufficient to include
- 679 a statement to this effect.

#### 680 **Polymorphs:**

- 681 The potential of polymorphism should be investigated and discussed in terms of potential impact to the drug
- 682 product performance, safety and efficacy. References to specific sections in the Drug Product Pharmaceutical
- 683 Development section (P.2) should be made as necessary. Results from an investigation of several batches of

- 684 the drug substance, recrystallized from several solvents, should be provided to determine if the drug
- 685 substance exists in more than one crystalline form. The study should include the characterization of the
- 686 batch(es) used in the clinical and/or comparative bioavailability studies, using a suitable method (e.g. X-ray
- Diffraction (XRD), Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC), Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)). 687
- 688 The absence of the potential for polymorphism can further be confirmed by providing the results of a
- 689 literature search.
- 690 Polymorphism can also include solvation or hydration products (also known as pseudopolymorphs) which
- should be appropriately characterized using solid state studies. 691

#### 692 **Particle Size Distribution:**

- 693 The particle size distribution of the drug substance can have an effect on the in vitro and/or in vivo behaviour
- 694 (e.g. absorption of the drug from the gastrointestinal tract) of the drug product, in particular for low solubility
- 695 drug substances. Particle size can also be important in dosage form performance (e.g. optimum delivery of
- 696 inhalation products to the lungs), achieving uniformity of content in low-dose tablets (e.g. 5 mg or less),
- 697 achieving a smooth suspension to prevent irritation in ophthalmic preparations, and stability and
- 698 redispersibility of suspensions.
- 699 If particle size distribution is important (e.g. as in the above cases, nanosized particles), results from an
- 700 investigation of at least three, pilot or commercial scale, batches of the drug substance should be provided,
- 701 including characterization of the pivotal batch(es) (e.g. batches used in the pivotal clinical and/or
- 702 comparative bioavailability studies). Justification of specifications should be presented in S.4.5 in accordance
- 703 with ICH recommendations. If applicable, the acceptance criteria should include controls on the particle size
- 704 distribution to ensure consistency with drug substance in the batch(es) used in pivotal studies (e.g. limits for
- 705 d<sub>10</sub>, d<sub>50</sub>, and d<sub>90</sub>). The following is provided for illustrative purposes as possible acceptance criteria for particle
- 706 size limits:
- 707 D(v,0.9) NMT XXX micrometer ( $\mu$ m)
- 708 D(v,0.5) XX-XX μm
- 709 D(v,0.1) NLT XX  $\mu m$  (if control of fines is necessary)
- 710 The choice of particle size acceptance criteria (single point, multiple point controls) should be discussed
- 711 based on the desired goal for particle size control and the particle size distribution observed (e.g. bimodal,
- 712 polydisperse, monodisperse). Histograms should be provided to show the distribution observed.
- 713 If the drug substance is dissolved during the drug product manufacturing process then control of particle size
- 714 distribution may not be necessary.
- 715 **Biopharmaceutics Classification System (BCS) information:**
- 716 If known, the relevant information should be provided as per the Biopharmaceutics Classification System
- 717 Based Biowaiver Guidance Document.
- 718 The information on drug substance particle size, BCS information and in-situ conversion may be discussed in
- 719 other sections of the CTD such as S.2.6, S.4.5, P.2).
- 720 References:
- 721 ICH Q6A
- 722 Stereochemical Issues in Chiral Drug Development

#### 724 S.3.2 Impurities

726

760

725 Information on impurities should be provided.

## **Identification of Potential and Actual Impurities:**

- 727 The study of impurities can be considered one of the most important aspects of the Quality portion of the
- 728 drug submission. The sponsor should provide a discussion of the potential and actual impurities arising from
- 729 the synthesis, manufacture, and/or degradation. The tables in Health Canada's QOS-CE (NDS/ANDS) template
- 730 can be used to summarize the information on impurities (e.g. names, structures, origin, results). The origin
- refers to how the impurity was introduced (e.g. "Synthetic intermediate from Step 4 of the synthesis", 731
- "Potential by-product due to rearrangement from Step 6 of the synthesis"). It should also be indicated if the 732
- 733 impurity is a metabolite or degradation product of the drug substance. The discussion on the fate of these
- 734 impurities should lead to a clear conclusion regarding the need or absence thereof to control them in the
- 735 drug substance specification. Spiking studies may be necessary to demonstrate purging.
- 736 A discussion should be included of the possible isomers that can result from the manufacturing process, the
- 737 steps where they were introduced, and a summary of the results of the studies carried out to investigate the
- 738 physical, chemical, and biological properties of these isomers. If there is a preferred isomer or isomeric
- 739 mixture, the drug substance specification should include a test to ensure isomeric identity and purity.
- 740 The list of impurities should include both drug-related impurities (e.g. API starting materials, by-products,
- 741 intermediates, chiral impurities, degradation products) and process-related impurities (e.g. residual solvents,
- 742 reagents, catalysts). For process-related impurities, the step where the compound is used or formed in a
- 743 synthesis should be identified.
- 744 Purging of impurities originating from the API starting material and intermediates should be discussed in
- 745 detail. For non-mutagenic related impurities that are present in intermediates at levels above the ICH
- 746 identification threshold that are not specified in the final drug substance specifications, they should either be
- 747 shown to be purged to below this threshold in downstream steps or it should be shown that the analytical
- 748 method(s) used to test the API for related substances can detect these impurities and hence they are
- 749 controlled as unspecified impurities. A similar concept may apply to reagents and catalysts which are not
- 750 detected by the related substance method.
- 751 The potential for the presence of adventitious agents, including viral and bacterial agents, residual proteins
- 752 and TSE agents and the probability of removal by manufacturing processes should be discussed.
- 753 Potential impurities should be examined for structural alert(s). Assessment and control of any potentially
- 754 mutagenic impurities, including the potential formation or introduction of high-potency mutagenic
- 755 carcinogens identified in the ICH M7 guideline as the cohort of concern (comprising aflatoxin-like, N-nitroso
- 756 and alkyl-azoxy compounds) should be performed as per ICH M7 when appropriate.
- 757 The ability of the related substances analytical method(s) used to detect and control potential impurities (e.g.
- 758 intermediates) should be discussed (e.g. including potential impurities that would be controlled as
- 759 unspecified impurities in the final drug substance specifications).

## **Justification of Proposed Acceptance Criteria:**

- 761 This justification should be discussed in section S.4.5. The various ICH and Health Canada guidance
- 762 documents outline a number of options for justifying and qualifying acceptance criteria for impurities. It is
- 763 recognized by the compendia that drug substances can be obtained from multiple sources, and thus can
- 764 contain impurities not considered during the preparation of the monograph. Furthermore, a change in the
- 765 production or source may give rise to impurities that are not adequately controlled by the published
- 766 compendial analytical procedure. As a result, each drug submission is assessed independently to consider the

767 potential impurities that may arise from the proposed route(s) of synthesis. Regardless of whether there is a 768 higher general limit for unspecified impurities in a compendial monograph, impurities in synthetic drug 769 substances should be identified and qualified in accordance with the ICH Thresholds. This is in accordance 770 with the expectations as expressed in the General Chapters in the USP (General Notice 5.60.10) and Ph.Eur. 771 (General Text 2034). Health Canada would generally accept the recommendations in Ph. Eur. Table 2034.-2 772 regarding reporting, identification and qualification of organic impurities in peptides obtained by chemical 773 synthesis (i.e. reporting threshold of 0.1%, ID threshold of 0.5%, qualification threshold of 1.0%), although 774 different thresholds (either higher or lower) should be considered in some cases, depending on the particular 775 indication, dose and duration of treatment.

If there are identified impurities in a compendial monograph (e.g. as in a Ph.Eur. Transparency section) that are not monitored by the proposed routine analytical method, a justification should be provided for their exclusion from the specifications (e.g. the impurities are not formed by the synthetic route). Alternatively, if acceptable justification cannot be provided and a house method is used, it should be demonstrated that the house method is capable of controlling the impurities identified in the compendial monograph at an acceptable level as unspecified impurities (i.e. with a limit corresponding to the Identification Threshold). Method validation data would be provided in S.4.3.

Depending on the nature of the drug substance, and the extent of the chemical modification steps, the general principles on the control of impurities (e.g. identification and qualification) can also be extended to drug substances of semi-synthetic origin. As an illustrative example, a drug substance whose precursor molecule was derived from a fermentation process, or a natural product of plant or animal origin, and has subsequently undergone several chemical modification reactions generally would fall within this scope, whereas a drug whose sole chemical step was the formation of a salt from a fermentation product generally would not fall within this scope. It is understood that there can be some latitude for these types of drug substances provided an acceptable justification supported by a scientific rationale and data is provided (e.g. a limit of NMT 0.20% for unspecified impurities, rather than a limit corresponding to the ICH Identification Threshold).

For a subsequent entry (generic) drug product, actual test results of impurities/degradation products using an acceptable method determined in at least one recent batch of an appropriately stored sample of the Canadian Reference Product should be provided if impurity levels are above ICH Qualification Thresholds. A limit equivalent to the level found in the Canadian Reference Product or a Health Canada authorised marketed generic product would be considered supportive.

The basis for setting the acceptance criteria for the impurities should be provided and discussion in S.4.5. This is established by considering the identification and qualification thresholds for drug-related impurities (e.g. related substances), the threshold of toxicological concern (e.g. for mutagenic impurities) and the concentration limits for process-related impurities (e.g. residual solvents) as per the applicable ICH guideline (e.g. Q3A, Q3C, M7). For drug related impurities, these thresholds are determined on the basis of potential exposure to the impurity, i.e. by the maximum daily dose (MDD) of the drug substance and the duration of treatment (e.g. acute vs chronic) considering all doses and routes of administration. This is normally achieved by using the highest potential MDD, rather than the maintenance dose. For injectable products, the maximum hourly dose of the drug substance should also be considered to justify that acute toxicity is not an issue.

The acceptance criteria for total impurities should be set taking into consideration the actual levels of impurities found in several batches of the drug substance from each source, including the levels found in the batches used for the nonclinical, clinical, comparative and stability studies. For quantitative tests, it should be ensured that actual numerical results are provided rather than vague statements such as "within limits" or

776

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

- 812 "conforms". In the cases where a large number of batches have been tested, it is acceptable to summarize
- 813 the total number of batches tested with a range of analytical results.
- 814 Whenever a proposed acceptance limit for an impurity or degradation product exceeds the applicable ICH
- 815 Q3A/B(R2) qualification thresholds, the sponsor should ensure that all the required toxicological studies or
- 816 other scientifically acceptable justification such as metabolite studies and data (as per ICH) supporting the
- 817 proposed limit is included in the submission (Module 4). It is essential to establish the link between the
- 818 proposed qualified limit for a specified impurity and the study(ies) in which it was qualified (i.e. the toxicity
- 819 study). A clear reference as to where the qualification studies can be found in Module 4 should also be
- 820 included in both the QOS and Module 3. The use of a tabulated summary in the QOS which includes batch
- 821 numbers, levels of impurities and study reference numbers for qualifying studies is strongly encouraged.
- 822 Elemental impurities should be addressed in way that compliance of the drug product with ICH Q3D can be
- 823 affirmed.
- 824 Safety information should be provided in Module 4 to qualify the limits for Residual solvent(s) not listed in
- 825 ICH Q3C guidance (e.g. by calculating the Permitted Daily Exposure (PDE) limit using NOAEL/NOEL obtained
- 826 from scientific literature).
- 827 Mutagenic impurities:
- 828 Actual impurities and potential impurities likely to be present in the drug substance should be evaluated for
- 829 mutagenic potential as described in ICH M7. This assessment and the control strategies proposed by the
- 830 applicant for identified mutagenic or potentially mutagenic impurities should be described in the dossier. The
- 831 assessment may be described in S.3.2 or a reference included to discussion elsewhere in the submission.
- 832 Summarization of Data in the QOS:
- 833 The QOS should include summaries of the data on potential and actual impurities arising from the synthesis,
- 834 manufacture and/or degradation, and should summarize the basis for setting the acceptance criteria for
- 835 individual and total impurities. It should also summarize the impurity levels in batches of the drug substance
- 836 used in the non-clinical studies, in the clinical trials, and in typical batches manufactured by the proposed
- 837 commercial process. Summaries should be precise and include ranges of impurities rather than actual data
- 838 unless the actual impurity level is critical for justifying the sponsor's position (e.g. in qualification studies).
- 839 The QOS should include information on how the proposed impurity limits are qualified. For any predicted or
- 840 confirmed mutagenic impurity, a detailed description of the control strategy (supported by data) to ensure
- 841 levels below the Threshold of Toxicological Concern (TTC of 1.5 μg/day, or higher as applicable in accordance
- 842 with ICH M7) in both the drug substance and drug product should be included in the submission. The sponsor
- 843 should ensure that any toxicological studies and data ruling out mutagenicity of any impurity (e.g. AMES test)
- 844 are included in the submission (Module 4). A clear reference as to where the qualification studies can be
- 845 found in Module 4 should also be included in both the QOS and Module 3. If a complete description of
- 846 impurities is not included in this section, then the QOS should include references to the appropriate sections
- 847 for relevant information on impurities (e.g. S.4.4 Batch Analyses, S.2.4 Controls, Module 4 for toxicity
- 848 information). Where data could appear in multiple sections, cross-referencing should be used to direct the
- 849 assessor to the relevant sections.
- 850 References:
- 851 ICH Q3A, Q3C, Q3D, Q6A, M7
- 852 Stereochemical Issues in Chiral Drug Development
- 853 Nitrosamine impurities in medications: Guidance

## S.4 Control of the Drug Substance 854

#### S.4.1 Specification 855

- 856 The specification for the drug substance should be provided.
- 857 As defined in ICH's Q6A guidance document, a specification is a list of tests, references to analytical
- 858 procedures, and appropriate acceptance criteria, which are numerical limits, ranges, or other criteria for the
- 859 tests described. It establishes the set of criteria to which a drug substance should conform to be considered
- 860 acceptable for its intended use. "Conformance to specifications" means that the drug substance, when tested
- 861 according to the listed analytical procedures, will meet the listed acceptance criteria. Specifications are
- 862 critical quality standards that are proposed and justified by the manufacturer and authorised by regulatory
- 863 authorities as conditions of authorisation.
- The assay should include the chemical formula so that it is clear as to how the dose is declared (i.e. free 864
- 865 acid/base vs. salt).
- 866 Chemical names or unambiguous designations of impurities (e.g. USP or Ph.Eur. naming conventions or
- 867 unambiguous company codes) that align with the description of the impurity structures in S.3.2 of Module 3
- 868 or in the analytical procedure should be used in the drug substance specification and the summary of the
- 869 specification in 2.3.S.4.1 and in the CPID.

## **Specifications**

- 871 A copy of the drug substance specification from the company responsible as per C.02.009 (5)(c) of the Food
- 872 and Drug Regulations for release of the drug substance for drug product manufacture should be provided.
- 873 The specifications should include tests, acceptance criteria, and reference to analytical methods, in a manner
- 874 that clearly identifies the methods used. The specification reference number, version, and date should be
- 875 provided for version control purposes. For drug substances where a compendial monograph exists, the
- 876 specification can include reference to the compendial analytical procedures in the current version of the
- 877 monograph with details of any non-compendial analytical procedures to be used.
- Specifications must be acceptable to the Minister. If a Prescribed Standard (e.g. a Canadian Standard Drugs is 878
- 879 listed in Part C, Division 6 of the Food and Drug Regulations) then the specifications must meet this standard.
- If a Compendial Standard as per Schedule B of the Food and Drugs Act (e.g. USP, Ph.Eur., BP) is declared, then 880
- the specifications must meet all compendial requirements (including general chapters) as per the applicable 881
- 882 pharmacopoeia.
- 883 If a Schedule B compendial monograph is applicable to the drug substance, a sponsor can choose to declare a
- 884 Manufacturer's Standard on the labelling (which indicates that the material may differ in some respect from
- 885 the compendial standard).
- 886 ICH's Q6A guidance document outlines recommendations for a number of universal and specific tests and
- 887 criteria for drug substances. If the results of studies conducted on the physical and chemical properties of the
- 888 various crystalline forms indicate that there is a preferred polymorph, a control strategy that may include a
- 889 test in the drug substance specification should be described in the dossier. This control strategy should
- 890 ensure polymorphic equivalence of the commercial material to the batch(es) used in the clinical and/or
- 891 comparative bioavailability studies. If the polymorphic form is unstable the test criteria should be capable of
- 892 monitoring for conversion of polymorphic form.
- 893 Generally, controls on polymorphism are less likely to be necessary for drug substances that are highly
- 894 soluble (as determined by the dose/solubility volume), although potential impact of polymorphism on
- 895 manufacturability and stability should be considered. Justification of proposed controls or exclusion of

- 896 controls for polymorphism should be provided and supported by data, in particular for low solubility drug
- 897 substances. Where the drug substance is a solvate or a hydrate, specifications for the solvated drug
- substance should include a range for the percent content by weight of the solvent supported by data. 898
- 899 A test for bacterial endotoxins with an appropriate limit should be included in the specifications for drug
- 900 substances used in injectable products.
- 901 The drug substance specification should include routine testing for nitrosamine impurities when the risk for
- 902 presence is high or the concentration of any nitrosamine is at significant levels (for example, greater than
- 903 30% of the acceptable intake limit).
- 904 Periodic test schedules or alternate testing frequencies proposed in accordance with ICH Q6A should be
- 905 indicated on the specifications with the testing frequency clearly marked as a footnote. The data required to
- 906 support testing which is not performed on a batch-by-batch basis varies. In general, to reduce or skip testing
- 907 after a certain point, supporting data from commercial scale batches using the current manufacturing
- 908 method should be provided. The number of batches necessary to support reduced testing will be based on
- 909 the risk of failure of a batch (e.g. less testing will be necessary to support that a theoretical impurity is not
- 910 formed than to show that a particular parameter routinely complies with a specification). Any proposal for
- 911 periodic test schedules or alternate testing frequencies should be clearly highlighted in the discussion of the
- 912 specifications and should be fully justified and based on sufficient supporting data, scientific rationale and a
- 913 suitable risk assessment (e.g. data from a minimum 3 commercial batches). Reduced testing schedules are
- always assessed on a case-by-case basis and will only be considered in cases where the supportive data are 914
- 915 obtained from commercial scale batches.

## Summary of specifications in the QOS:

- 917 The specification can be summarized according to the table recommended in Health Canada's QOS-CE
- 918 (NDS/ANDS) template including the Tests, Method Types, Sources, and Code Number/Version/Date. The
- 919 acceptance criteria should also be provided in the summary of the specification. The Method Type should
- 920 indicate the kind of analytical procedure used (e.g. visual, FT-IR, UV, HPLC, Ultra Performance Liquid
- 921 Chromatography (UPLC), laser diffraction); the Source refers to the origin of the analytical procedure (e.g.
- 922 USP, Ph.Eur., BP, House); and the Code Number/Version/Date should be provided for version control
- 923 purposes.

- 924 **References:**
- 925 ICH Q3A, Q3C, Q3D, Q6A, M7
- 926 Stereochemical Issues in Chiral Drug Development
- 927 Nitrosamine impurities in medications: Guidance
- S.4.2 Analytical Procedures 928
- 929 The analytical procedures used for testing the drug substance should be provided.
- 930 In-house analytical procedures used for routine testing should be provided in Module 3. Method
- 931 development history and summaries of changes between current and Historical analytical procedures that
- 932 have been used during drug development, but are not intended for routine testing purposes, can be provided
- 933 in this section, however information regarding method development history should be clearly explained in
- 934 S.4.4 (for batch analyses) or S.7.3 (for stability testing), if it is applicable. Unless modified, it is not necessary
- 935 to provide copies of Schedule B compendial analytical procedures. For modified Schedule B compendial
- 936 analytical procedures, complete details of the revisions/modifications should be described. There are
- 937 restrictions in the compendia as to allowable modifications to methods. If compendial procedures are
- modified to a greater extent than that allowed by the compendia the method should be claimed as a house 938
- 939 method and full details provided in the submission.

940 Although HPLC/UPLC is normally considered the method of choice for determining drug-related impurities, 941 other chromatographic methods such as GC and TLC can also be used if appropriate and justified. Generally, 942 for impurity methods, reference standards should be prepared for each of the identified impurities, particularly those suspected or known to be toxic, and the concentration of the impurities quantitated 943 944 against their own reference standards. It is considered acceptable to use the drug substance as an external 945 standard to estimate the levels of impurities if justified (e.g. the response factors (RF) are greater than 80% 946 when compared to the RF for the drug substance). In cases where the response factor is not close to that of 947 the drug substance, it is acceptable to use the drug substance as an external standard, provided a correction 948 factor is applied or the impurities are, in fact, being overestimated. Unspecified impurities should be 949 quantitated using a solution of the drug substance as the reference standard at a concentration 950 corresponding to the limit established for unspecified impurities (i.e., the ICH Identification Threshold).

System suitability tests (SSTs) are an integral part of chromatographic analytical procedures. At a minimum, HPLC, UPLC and GC methods should include SSTs for repeatability for assay methods and repeatability and resolution for impurities. Determination of repeatability for control of drug-related impurities is typically done using a solution of the drug substance with a concentration corresponding to about the limit for unspecified impurities. The SSTs serve to demonstrate that the chromatographic system is capable of producing accurate and reproducible results at the concentrations under test. In accordance with the USP General Chapter on Chromatography, the repeatability test should include an acceptable number of replicate injections (i.e. five or six). Resolution of the two closest eluting peaks is generally recommended. However, choice of alternate peaks can be used if justified (e.g. choice of a toxic impurity). Number of theoretical plates and tailing factor can be used as additional SSTs for column performance or if there are no suitable impurities for the determination of resolution. For TLC methods, the SSTs should verify the sensitivity and ability of the system to separate impurities (e.g. by applying a spot corresponding to the drug substance spiked at a concentration corresponding to the limit of unspecified impurities).

The summary of the analytical procedures in the QOS should provide a sufficient level of detail to be accurate and concise. This would include details on the various parameters of the method (e.g. as in the case of an HPLC/UPLC impurity method, a summary of the column, mobile phase, detector, sample/reference solution preparation, SSTs). A brief tabulation of the data is recommended (where the level of detail of the summary of the analytical procedures will interrupt the flow of the QOS, the tables can be appended to the QOS). Care should be taken to clarify the data describing solution concentration particularly when it is listed in terms of percentage units (e.g. a foot-note can be added to clarify whether percentages are against the label claim of the drug substance or as % w/w or % w/v).

- 972 **References:**
- 973 ICH Q2

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

- 974 General Chapters of the USP and Ph.Eur.
- S.4.3 Validation of Analytical Procedures 975
- 976 Analytical validation information, including experimental data for the analytical procedures used for testing
- 977 the drug substance, should be provided.
- 978 Validation reports for the analytical procedures employed for routine testing should be provided in S.4.3 of
- 979 Module 3. Validation of current methods to show equivalency with historical methods should be provided if
- 980 historical methods were used during pivotal clinical trials or during pivotal stability studies. This should be
- 981 provided in Sections S.4.4 (for batch analyses) or S.7.3 (for stability testing), whichever is applicable.
- 982 Different sources of the same drug substance may exhibit different impurity profiles which may not have
- 983 been considered during the development of the monograph and the extent of studies which should be
- 984 provided is determined by the novelty of the impurities. If compendial methods are modified to include a

- 985 limit for unspecified impurities at the ICH identification threshold, the method should be validated to ensure 986 that it is sufficiently sensitive and precise at that lower limit. If a Schedule B compendial method is used to
- 987 control specified impurities that are not listed in the monograph, full validation is expected for those
- 988 specified impurities.
- 989 If a Schedule B compendial standard is claimed and a House method is used in lieu of the compendial method
- 990 (e.g. for assay or for specified impurities), equivalence of the House and compendial methods should be
- 991 demonstrated. This could be accomplished by performing analyses of a batch containing significant levels of
- 992 impurities by both methods and providing comparative results from the study. Alternate approaches to
- 993 demonstrating equivalency of analytical procedures should be scientifically justified.
- 994 With respect to the control of residual solvents, it is acknowledged that GC methods for determining residual
- 995 solvents are generally sensitive, linear, and reproducible. In past experience, it has been found that a sponsor
- 996 will use essentially the same GC method to determine residual solvents in a number of drug substances.
- 997 Therefore, although it is expected that a company will initially perform full validation of the methods used to
- 998 determine residual solvents, it is acceptable that only limited validation data be submitted (e.g. recovery,
- 999 repeatability, limit of detection/limit of quantitation, and selectivity of the method). Recovery and
- 1000 repeatability should be determined using a sample of the drug substance spiked with the residual solvents at
- 1001 their acceptance criteria.
- 1002 It should be ensured that the summary of the validation reports for the analytical procedures included in the
- 1003 QOS provides a sufficient level of detail and is accurate and concise. This would include details on the various
- 1004 validation parameters (e.g. as in the case of the validation an HPLC/UPLC impurity method, a summary of the
- 1005 results for specificity, linearity, range, accuracy, precision (repeatability, intermediate precision), LOD, LOQ,
- 1006 robustness, stability of solutions). A tabulation of the data is recommended (where the level of detail of the
- 1007 summary of the analytical procedures will interrupt the flow of the QOS, the tables can be appended to the
- 1008 QOS). It is recommended that the tables are used for summarizing analytical validation data in the QOS. Care
- 1009 should be taken to clarify the data describing solution concentration particularly when it is listed in terms of
- 1010 percentage units (e.g. a foot note can be added to clarify whether percentages are against the label claim of
- 1011 the drug substance or as % (w/w) or (w/v)). Representative chromatograms should be provided with the
- 1012 validation report.
- 1013 If validation of analytical methods has not been performed in a GMP compliant facility, the method transfer
- 1014 protocol should be provided. This protocol should include impurity studies where the impurities are present
- 1015 at close to the specified limits or are spiked at the limits.
- 1016 **References:**
- 1017 ICH Q2
- S.4.4 Batch Analyses 1018
- 1019 Description of batches and results of batch analyses should be provided.
- 1020 It is expected that drug substance lots used to manufacture drug product lots used in pivotal clinical studies
- 1021 and those submitted in the regulatory application (e.g. to establish specifications for assay, purity and retest
- 1022 period) are manufactured and tested according to the principles of GMP in order to ensure the reliability of
- 1023 the analytical test results. Deviations and Out of Specification (OOS) test results should be investigated in a
- 1024 timely manner and the results of the investigation summarized in the submission. Justifications with
- 1025 supporting data where necessary should be provided to support the use of the identified lots for setting
- 1026 regulatory specifications for release and stability.
- 1027 A tabulated summary in the QOS of batch number, batch size, date and site of production, and specific use
- 1028 including clinical/pre-clinical study information, the testing site, etc. should be provided for the batches used

1029 to support the drug submission. The test site for pivotal batches should be clarified if multiple testing sites 1030 are possible. Of the batches included, analytical results should be provided in Module 3 for those batches 1031 used in nonclinical, clinical, comparative bioavailability, comparative in vitro, and stability studies, including batches manufactured to a minimum of pilot scale (e.g. 1/10<sup>th</sup> commercial scale) by the same synthetic route 1032 1033 as, and using a method of manufacture and procedure that simulates the final process to be used for, production batches.. If the scale of the batch is less than 1/10<sup>th</sup> commercial scale, a justification of why the 1034 1035 smaller scale is representative should be provided. The number of batches should be sufficient to support the 1036 specification(s) and assess consistency in manufacturing. Analytical results from a GMP compliant laboratory 1037 should be provided for at least two batches from each proposed manufacturing site of the drug substance.

Certificates of analysis should be provided for the pivotal batches but may be provided in the regional information. In Module 3 a tabulated summary of batch analysis results should be provided and be sufficiently detailed including range, mean and relative standard deviation, where applicable, of individual results, results of all tests conducted, quantitative results for all tests ('complies' is not sufficient), RRT (or other specific designation of impurities) and quantity of all unspecified impurities greater than the ICH reporting limit or the Limit of Quantitation (LOQ), as long as the LOQ is less than or equal to ICH reporting limits, and limits of detection where applicable (e.g. when impurities are not detected). Results of additional tests may be provided here or in S.4.5 to justify omission of certain tests from the specification.

1046 The discussion of results should focus on observations noted for the various tests, rather than reporting as 1047 "All tests meet specifications". This should include ranges of analytical results and any trends that were 1048 observed. For quantitative tests (e.g. individual and total impurity tests, assay, residual solvents), it should be 1049 ensured that actual numerical results are provided rather than vague statements such as "within limits" or 1050 "conforms". When results are reported as 'none detected', 'less than LOD' or 'less than LOQ', a footnote 1051 should be included that specifies the LOD and LOQ value for each analytical method or impurity as applicable. 1052 A discussion and justification should be provided for any incomplete analyses (e.g. batches not tested 1053 according to the proposed specification).

1054 If the batch analyses have been discussed elsewhere in the drug submission (e.g. S.3.2 Impurities) these data 1055 should be cross-referenced rather than repeating the information.

#### 1056 **References:**

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

1043

1044

1045

- 1057 ICH Q3A, Q3C, Q6A
- Stereochemical Issues in Chiral Drug Development 1058

#### S.4.5 Justification of Specification 1059

1060 Justification for the drug substance specification should be provided.

1061 This should include a discussion on the inclusion or exclusion of certain tests, choice of analytical procedures, 1062 acceptance criteria, and take into account any applicable compendial standard, etc. If the Schedule B 1063 compendial methods have been modified or replaced, a discussion should be included. Limits for specified, 1064 identified impurities in a compendial monograph are considered qualified. However, general limits in a 1065 compendial monograph for unspecified impurities that exceed the applicable ICH Identification Threshold are 1066 not considered acceptable (e.g. a general compendial limit of NMT 0.2% for unspecified impurities would not 1067 be considered acceptable when the applicable ICH Identification Threshold is NMT 0.10%). Furthermore, a 1068 general limit for unspecified impurities would not be considered acceptable as qualification for a new 1069 identified impurity if it exceeds the applicable ICH Qualification Threshold.

1070 If this information is discussed in P.2 or S.2.6, then a cross-reference to the appropriate CTD section where 1071 the information is included is sufficient.

- 1072 This section should be used to include elements of the overall drug substance control strategy. Ideally this
- 1073 should be provided in tabular form as per the examples ICH Q11. Alternatively, a cross reference should be
- 1074 provided to the position of the summary of the control strategy elsewhere in Module 3 (e.g. S.2.6)
- 1075 The justification for certain tests, analytical procedures, and acceptance criteria may have been discussed in
- 1076 other sections of the drug submission (e.g. impurities, particle size) and does not need to be repeated here,
- 1077 although a cross-reference to the location of the discussion should be provided.
- 1078 **References:**
- 1079 ICH Q3A, Q3C, Q3D, Q6A, Q11, M7
- 1080 Stereochemical Issues in Chiral Drug Development

# S.5 Reference Standards or Materials

- 1082 Information on the reference standards or reference materials used for testing of the drug substance should
- 1083 be provided.

1081

- 1084 The source(s) of the reference standards or materials used in the testing of the drug substance should be
- 1085 provided (e.g. for the identification, purity, assay tests).
- 1086 Primary reference standards can be obtained from official sources such as those recognized in the Schedule B
- 1087 compendia. Primary reference standards from official sources do not need further structural elucidation.
- 1088 A primary reference standard other than a compendial standard should be highly purified and fully
- 1089 characterized (e.g. FT-IR, UV, NMR, MS). All data supporting structure elucidation, strength and purity should
- 1090 be submitted. Data regarding assay should also be submitted with the assay assigned based on mass balance
- 1091 or a determination of absolute purity.
- 1092 A secondary reference standard (e.g. working standards) should be standardized against the compendial
- 1093 reference standard or other primary reference standard. The secondary reference standard should be fully
- 1094 characterized to confirm identity (IR and UV spectra should be submitted for both the primary and secondary
- 1095 reference standards run concomitantly) and purity, and data (e.g. chromatograms) or copies of certificates of
- 1096 analyses should be provided.
- 1097 In all cases, alternate manufacturing processes or additional purification steps used to increase the purity of
- 1098 an API for the purpose of generating a reference standard should be described.
- 1099 **References:**
- 1100 Q6A

1101

# S.6 Container Closure System

- 1102 A description of the container closure system(s) (CCS) should be provided, including the size and identity of
- 1103 materials of construction of each primary packaging component (i.e. in direct contact with the API), and their
- 1104 specifications. The specifications should include description and identification (e.g. IR). Non-compendial
- 1105 methods (with validation) should be included, where appropriate.
- 1106 For functional secondary packaging components, information relevant to the function should be provided
- 1107 (e.g. capacity to protect against light). For non-functional secondary packaging components (e.g. those that
- 1108 do not provide additional protection), only a brief description should be provided.
- 1109 The suitability should be discussed with respect to, for example, choice of materials, protection from
- 1110 moisture and light, compatibility of the materials of construction with the drug substance, including sorption

- 1111 to container and leaching of container components, and/or safety of materials of construction. Examples of
- 1112 this would include confirmation of conformance with USP, Ph.Eur. standards or applicable US Code of Federal
- 1113 Regulations (CFR) or European Commission (EC) Regulations for food safe materials. Certificates of
- 1114 compliance from vendors can be provided to confirm suitability of use of the CCS for the proposed drug
- 1115 substance.
- 1116 Include whether the product is packaged under an inert atmosphere or if desiccants are added, if applicable.

## S.7 Stability 1117

- 1118 As outlined in ICH's Q1A guidance document, the purpose of stability testing is to provide evidence on how
- 1119 the quality of a drug substance varies with time under the influence of a variety of environmental factors
- 1120 such as temperature, humidity, and light, and to establish a retest period for the drug substance and
- 1121 recommended storage conditions.
- 1122 Although the ICH stability guidances were developed by ICH to provide guidance on the information that
- 1123 should be provided in new drug applications to ensure the stability of new drug substances and drug
- 1124 products, the recommendations also should be applied to applications for existing drug substances (e.g.
- 1125 generics).
- 1126 **References:**
- 1127 ICH Q1A, Q1B, Q1C, Q1E
- S.7.1 Stability Summary and Conclusions 1128
- 1129 The types of studies conducted, protocols used, and the results of the studies should be summarised. The
- 1130 summary should include results, for example, from forced degradation studies and stress conditions, as well
- 1131 as conclusions with respect to storage conditions and retest date or shelf-life, as appropriate. The data
- 1132 summarized in the QOS should be tabulated in a manner that clearly supports the proposed shelf-life and
- 1133 should be condensed to include an overall summary of relevant data rather than data from individual batches
- 1134 (e.g. ranges, highlighting any trends and/or batch to batch variability, if applicable).
- 1135 Data on unidentified impurities which is reported in accordance with ICH guidelines should be recorded with
- 1136 the relative retention time (or other specific designation) of the peaks to allow for appropriate batch-to-
- 1137 batch and timepoint-to-timepoint comparisons.
- 1138 **Retest period:**
- 1139 The retest period should begin at the date of manufacture of the drug substance. Additionally a retest period
- 1140 for blended batches should be based on the manufacturing date of the oldest tailings or batch in the blend.
- 1141 The use of seed crystals is not considered as blending of batches with regard to the start of the retest period.
- 1142 Stress testing:
- 1143 As outlined ICH's Q1A guidance document, stress testing of the drug substance can help identify the likely
- 1144 degradation products, which can in turn help establish the degradation pathways and the intrinsic stability of
- 1145 the molecule and validate the stability indicating power of the analytical procedures used. Stress studies
- 1146 should also consider potential changes to physical properties such as polymorphism and particle size
- 1147 distribution. The nature of the stress testing will depend on the individual drug substance and the type of
- 1148 drug product involved. Stress testing (e.g. heat, humidity, oxidation, photolysis, acidic/basic solutions) is
- 1149 normally carried out under more severe conditions than those used for accelerated testing.
- 1150 The objective of the stress testing study is not to completely degrade the drug substance, but to generate
- 1151 sufficient degradation to achieve its intended purpose. This is typically 10-20% loss of active by assay when

- 1152 compared with the non-degraded compound. This target is chosen such that some degradation occurs, but it
- 1153 is not so severe that secondary degradation products (i.e. degradation products of degradation products) are
- 1154 generated. Effort should be made to obtain this target level of degradation. Degradation outside of this range
- should be scientifically justified. Mass balance can be used to demonstrate that methods are stability 1155
- 1156 indicating and all degradation products are detected by the methodology. Mass balance should be
- 1157 demonstrated by comparing the assay and impurities content on the same sample which have been
- 1158 subjected to identical stress conditions.
- 1159 Tables can be used to summarize the results from the stress testing in the QOS. This summary should include
- 1160 the treatment conditions (e.g. concentrations of solutions prepared, storage temperatures and durations)
- 1161 and the observations for the various test parameters (e.g. assay, degradation products) as well as a
- 1162 discussion of the results (e.g. mass balance, potential impact on drug product manufacture, likelihood of
- 1163 formation of impurities under long term conditions).
- 1164 Representative chromatograms of stress studies (e.g. showing around 10-20% of degradation of the API)
- 1165 should be submitted.

## Accelerated and long term testing:

- 1167 Recommendations for the stability testing of new drug substances are outlined in various ICH Stability
- 1168 guidelines.

1166

- 1169 Data on at least three pilot scale batches (at least 10% of commercial scale and representative of the
- 1170 commercial process) or two pilot scale batches and one small scale batch (if justified as representative of the
- 1171 commercial process) should be submitted for existing drug substances (e.g. generics).
- 1172 Table 1: General case for stability studies of the drug substance

Study	Storage Condition	Minimum Time Period Covered by Data at Submission
Long term	25°C ± 2°C / 60% RH ± 5% RH	12 months (6 months for existing drug substances)
Intermediate	30°C ± 2°C / 65% RH ± 5% RH	6 months (if applicable as per ICH)
Accelerated	40°C ± 2°C / 75% RH ± 5% RH	6 months

- Other storage conditions can be proposed based on the proposed labelled storage conditions. It is
- 1175 recommended that alternate storage conditions are based on evaluation of mean kinetic temperature over
- 1176 the labelled storage range.
- 1177 To support alternate drug substance manufacturing sites that maintain the same route of manufacture and
- 1178 process conditions, a stability commitment should be included to place the first commercial batch of drug
- 1179 product manufactured with drug substance from the alternate site into the long term stability program.
- 1180 When API is micronized or compacted, the stability studies should be carried out using
- 1181 micronized/compacted API unless otherwise justified (e.g. when micronization/compaction is done
- 1182 immediately prior to use by the drug product manufacturer). If the route of synthesis is changed, then results
- 1183 for at least 2 pilot scale batches with a minimum of 3 months of long term and accelerated (or intermediate,
- 1184 as appropriate) testing should be provided at the time of filing. In these cases, it is expected that the original
- stability data is also available to Health Canada either in the same submission or-cross-referenced to a 1185
- 1186 previously authorised one.

- 1187 In exceptional cases, information available in the public domain may be sufficient to establish an appropriate
- 1188 retest period, e.g. when a substantial body of evidence exists that establishes that the drug substance is
- 1189 inherently stable. In all instances, sponsors are encouraged to provide all relevant information available on
- 1190 the stability of the drug substance and to fully justify how this information supports the proposed re-test
- 1191 period.

1200

- 1192 The information on the stability studies should include batch number, batch size, manufacturing site,
- 1193 container closure system, storage conditions and completed/proposed test intervals. The discussion of
- 1194 results should focus on observations noted for the various tests, rather than reporting comments such as "All
- 1195 tests meet specifications". This should include ranges of analytical results and any trends that were observed.
- 1196 For quantitative tests (e.g. individual and total degradation product, water content and potency), it should be
- 1197 ensured that actual numerical results are provided rather than vague statements such as "within limits" or
- 1198 "conforms". Where trends in the data are noted, these should be highlighted and discussed. Statistical
- 1199 analysis of the data should be used as necessary to justify conclusions.

## Proposed storage conditions and retest period:

- 1201 The proposed storage conditions should normally include a temperature range (e.g. upper and lower
- 1202 temperature limits) representative of temperature conditions for which supporting data were provided. The
- 1203 proposed retest period for the drug substance should be provided.
- 1204 When the drug substance has been shown to be stable (e.g. under the ICH conditions with long term studies
- 1205 at 25°C ± 2°C/60% RH ± 5% RH and accelerated studies at 40°C ± 2°C/75% RH ± 5% RH) without any adverse
- 1206 trends, the following storage recommendation would generally be considered acceptable:
- 1207 "Store at room temperature (15°C to 30°C)"
- 1208 Based on the assessment of the stability data, other storage precautions should be assessed and
- 1209 precautionary statements added to the labelling if warranted (e.g. "Protect from light", "Protect from
- 1210 moisture", "Store in the overwrap provided"). Precautionary statements should not be a substitute for
- 1211 selecting the appropriate container closure system.
- 1212 After the end of the established retest period, a batch of drug substance destined for use in the manufacture
- of a drug product should be retested for compliance with the specification and then used immediately, i.e. 1213
- 1214 within 30 days of conducting the test. For drug substances known to be labile (e.g. certain antibiotics), it is
- 1215 more appropriate to establish a shelf life than a retest period.

## Monitoring of transportation

- 1217 For a drug substance posing a higher risk (e.g. sterile drug substance), a transportation study is
- 1218 recommended to support the proposed strategy for shipping and handling until the drug substance is ready
- 1219 to be used for the manufacture of the drug product. The transportation study should be adequate to support
- 1220 conclusions regarding selection of appropriate packaging materials, mode(s) of transportation, necessary
- 1221 controls on shipping conditions (e.g. temperature and humidity), maintenance of sterility, and retest/expiry
- 1222 date. The data that should be included to support the transportation of drug substances will vary depending
- 1223 on the nature of the drug substance and the mode of transportation, but the same principles and
- 1224 recommendations as those described for drug product transportation and products in transit should be
- 1225 considered.

#### 1226 Reference:

1227 Guidelines for Temperature control of Drug Products during Storage and Transportation

1228

1229	S.7.2 Post-approval Stability Protocol and Stability Commitment
1230	The post-approval stability protocol and stability commitment should be provided.
1231 1232 1233 1234 1235	When available long term stability data on commercial scale batches do not cover the proposed retest period or shelf life (as appropriate) granted at the time of approval, a commitment should be made to continue the stability studies post-approval in order to firmly establish the retest or expiry period. The long term stability studies for the Commitment Batches should be conducted through the proposed shelf life/retest period (and the accelerated studies for six months, if relevant) on at least three production batches (see section S.7.1).
1236 1237 1238	At least one batch per year of API manufactured at each commercial site (unless none is produced that year) should be added to the continuing stability monitoring program and tested at least annually to confirm the stability.
1239	The stability protocols for Commitment and Continuing batches should include, but are not limited to:
1240 1241 1242 1243 1244	<ul> <li>a. Number of batches and batch sizes;</li> <li>b. Tests and acceptance criteria;</li> <li>c. Container closure system(s);</li> <li>d. Testing frequency; and</li> <li>e. Storage conditions (and tolerances) of samples.</li> </ul>
1245 1246	Any differences in the stability protocols used for the primary batches and those proposed for the Commitment or Continuing batches should be scientifically justified.
1247	S.7.3 Stability Data
1248 1249 1250	Results of the stability studies (e.g. forced degradation studies and stress conditions) should be presented in an appropriate format such as tabular, graphical, or narrative. Information on the analytical procedures used to generate the data and validation of these procedures should be included.
1251	Tabular formats are preferred for presenting raw data from the stability studies used to support the

proposed retest period or shelf life.

#### P Drug Product 1253

1258

1259

1260 1261

1262

1263

1264

- P.1 Description and Composition of the Drug Product 1254
- 1255 A description of the drug product and its composition should be provided. The information provided should 1256 include, for example:
- 1257 Description of the dosage form;
  - The description of the dosage form should include the physical description, available strengths, release mechanism, as well as any other distinguishable characteristics (e.g. "The proposed drug product is available as a blue, oval, immediate-release, film-coated tablet in three strengths (5 milligrams [mg], 10 mg, and 20 mg) each debossed with the markings "XXX". The two higher strengths include a score line to facilitate the breaking of the tablets.").
    - Composition, i.e. list of all components of the dosage form, and their amount on a per unit basis (including overages, if any), the function of the components, and a reference to their quality standards (e.g. compendial monographs or manufacturer's specifications);
- The composition should express the quantity of each component on a per unit basis (e.g. mg per tablet, mg 1266 1267 per millilitre (mL), mg per vial) and percentage basis (e.g. calculated based on the tablet core (if a non-1268 functional coating is applied) or capsule fill weight), including the total weight or measure of the dosage unit.
- 1269 This should include all components used in the manufacturing process and incorporated in the final drug 1270 product (e.g. pH adjusters).
- 1271 The basis for the declaration of the strength should be clearly evident in the summary of the composition of 1272 the drug product.
- 1273 If the strength is based on a form of the drug substance that is different from the form used in the
- formulation (e.g. if the drug product is formulated using a salt or solvate and the strength is declared in terms 1274
- 1275 of the active moiety), then the conversion to the active ingredient should be clearly indicated (e.g. "1.075 mg
- active ingredient hydrochloride = 1 mg of active ingredient base"). 1276
- 1277 All overages should be clearly indicated (e.g. "Formulated with 2% overage of the drug substance to
- 1278 compensate for validated manufacturing losses."). The use of an overage of a drug substance to compensate
- 1279 for degradation during manufacture or a product's shelf life, or to extend the shelf life, is not acceptable.
- 1280 The components should be identified by their proper or common names, quality standards (e.g. USP, Ph.Eur.,
- 1281 House) and, if an excipient is available in more than one grade, their grades (e.g. "Microcrystalline Cellulose
- 1282 NF (PH 102)").
- 1283 Intra and extra-granular excipients should be listed separately in tabular form. The qualitative and
- quantitative composition should be provided for all components or blends (e.g. capsule shells, colouring 1284
- 1285 blends, imprinting inks). Reference to a Master File can be provided for the proprietary quantitative
- 1286 composition; however, the qualitative composition should be included in the submission.
- 1287 The function of each component (e.g. diluent/filler, binder, disintegrant, lubricant, glidant, granulating
- 1288 solvent, coating agent, antimicrobial preservative) should be identified. Where an excipient could have
- 1289 multiple functions, the most critical function (as per the policy Bioequivalence of Proportional Formulations)
- 1290 should be identified. If the most critical function is not declared, scientific data should be provided to show
- 1291 how the excipient functions in the formulation and evidence that the excipient is not functioning in a more
- 1292 critical fashion. For example, Microcrystalline Cellulose should be assessed as a binder not a filler unless data

- 1293 is provided to support that its primary function is not as a binder (e.g. other binders are present). If a 1294 multifunctional excipient is used and the variation between strengths is greater than what is allowed by the
- 1295 policy Bioequivalence of Proportional Formulations, then justification should be provided in P.2.2 for the
- 1296 proposed variation (e.g. granule size distribution, tablet hardness, dissolution).
- 1297 Adjustment of a filler at the API dispensing stage to account for as-is-assay of the active pharmaceutical 1298 ingredient is acceptable and should be clearly documented (e.g. as a footnote to a composition table).
- 1299 Description of accompanying reconstitution diluent(s); and
- 1300 For drug products supplied with reconstitution diluents that are not commercially available in Canada or have 1301 not been assessed and authorized in connection with another drug submission with Health Canada, 1302 information on the diluents should be provided in a separate Drug Product ("P") portion, as a subsection 1303 under the relevant drug product section, as appropriate.
- 1304 Type of container and closure used for the dosage form and accompanying reconstitution diluent, if 1305 applicable.
- 1306 The description for the container closure system used for the dosage form (and accompanying reconstitution 1307 diluent, if applicable) should be brief with further details provided under P.7 Container Closure System (e.g.
- 1308 "The product is available in HDPE bottles with polypropylene caps and in PVC/Aluminum foil unit dose
- 1309 blisters.").
- 1310 P.2 Pharmaceutical Development
- 1311 The Pharmaceutical Development section should contain information on the development studies conducted
- 1312 to establish that the dosage form, the formulation, manufacturing process, container closure system,
- 1313 microbiological attributes and usage instructions are appropriate for the purpose specified in the application.
- 1314 The studies described here are distinguished from routine control tests conducted according to
- 1315 specifications. Additionally, this section should identify and describe the formulation and process attributes
- 1316 (critical parameters) that can influence batch reproducibility, product performance and drug product quality.
- 1317 Supportive data and results from specific studies or published literature can be included within or attached to
- 1318 the Pharmaceutical Development section. Additional supportive data can be referenced to the relevant
- 1319 nonclinical or clinical sections of the application.
- 1320 The pharmaceutical development section should include elements defining the quality target product profile
- 1321 (QTPP) of the drug product as it relates to quality, safety and efficacy. Critical quality attributes (CQAs) of the
- 1322 drug product should be identified.
- 1323 Typical quality attributes and process parameters vary for different dosage forms. Some attributes could be
- 1324 critical and should be established by the company on a case-by-case basis depending on the complexity of
- 1325 the dosage form and manufacturing process presented by the product.
- 1326 **Dosage and Administration - Directions for Use**
- 1327 The usage instructions found in the Dosage and Administration section of the Product Monograph need to be
- 1328 supported by acceptable data (e.g. in-use periods, compatibility with listed administration media (e.g. juices,
- 1329 apple sauce)/diluents, uniformity of split scored tablets, studies to support sprinkling of the content of
- 1330 capsules on food, dispersion in liquid, use of a feeding tube, storage of admixtures).
- 1331 The testing to support the in-use period should be performed at the end of the in-use period on a batch near
- 1332 the end of the proposed shelf-life for the drug product and provided in P.8. If data is not available at the time
- 1333 of filing, data based on an in-use study performed at an earlier date and projected stability at the shelf-life
- 1334 should be provided. A commitment should be provided to reconfirm the studies at the end of the shelf-life
- 1335 unless stability data clearly supports that no significant degradation is expected. The testing should be

- performed in such a way that the use of the drug product mimics consumer use (e.g. the final remaining 1336
- 1337 amount of the product is tested after opening and closing the bottle and removing product) as listed in the
- 1338 Product Monograph.
- 1339 If a range of dilution concentrations is listed in the Product Monograph, the results from the studies
- 1340 performed should bracket the listed concentrations.
- 1341 For existing drug products, (e.g. generics), the Dosage and Administration section and directions for use
- 1342 should be the same as that listed in the Product Monograph of the Canadian Reference Product (e.g. identical
- 1343 diluents/reconstitution solutions, in-use storage conditions and durations, types of containers [if specified]).
- 1344 A summary and discussion should be provided of the following:
- 1345 the measures taken during development to mitigate the presence of high-potency mutagenic 1346 carcinogens identified in the ICH M7 guideline as the cohort of concern (comprising aflatoxin-like, N-1347 nitroso and alkyl-azoxy compounds) in the drug product and its components
  - a risk assessment for the potential presence of nitrosamine impurities in the drug product
- 1349 provided in sections 2.3 and 3.2.P.2 of the drug application
- 1350 Relevant analytical data, procedures and proposed controls should be provided in relevant sections of the
- 1351 drug application (e.g. 3.2.S.2, 3.2.S.4, 3.2.S.7, 3.2.P.3, 3.2.P.4, 3.2.P.5, 3.2.P.7, 3.2.P.8)
- 1352 **References:**

- 1353 ICH Q6A, Q8, M7
- 1354 Validation Guidelines for Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms (including product specific validation guidelines)
- 1355 Nitrosamine impurities in medications: Guidance
- P.2.1 Components of the Drug Product 1356
- 1357 P.2.1.1 Drug Substance
- 1358 The compatibility of the drug substance with excipients listed in P1 should be discussed. Additionally key
- 1359 physicochemical characteristics (e.g. water content, solubility, particle size distribution, polymorphic or solid
- 1360 state form) of the drug substance that can influence the performance of the drug product should be
- 1361 discussed. For drug products that are a combination of multiple APIs, the compatibility of drug substances
- 1362 with each other should be discussed.
- 1363 Specific attributes (CQAs) of the drug substance that can impact manufacturability should be identified (e.g.
- particle size distribution). Additionally, specific attributes (CQAs) of the drug substance that can be affected 1364
- 1365 by manufacturing conditions and consequently have an impact on the drug product CQAs should be
- 1366 identified (e.g. assay and impurities CQAs due to sensitivity of the drug substance to light, heat, moisture or
- 1367 environment).
- 1368 Solubility/quantitative aqueous pH solubility profile:
- 1369 Information on the solubility of the drug substance in e.g. the solvents used for drug product manufacturing
- 1370 and equipment cleaning should be provided. Information on the solubility over the physiological range (e.g.
- 1371 pH 1.2-6.8), should also be provided to determine the Dose/Solubility volume ratio where applicable (e.g. for
- 1372 solid orals). If this information is not readily available (e.g. literature references, MF), it should be generated
- 1373 in-house.
- 1374 The dose/solubility volume is calculated as the highest therapeutic dose (milligrams) divided by the solubility
- 1375 of the substance (milligrams/millilitres [mg/mL]) at a given pH and temperature. The dose/solubility volume
- 1376 should be determined in the physiological pH range (pH 1.2-6.8) and temperature (37 ± 0.5°C). High solubility

- 1377 drugs are those with a dose/solubility volume of less than or equal to 250 mL throughout the physiological
- 1378 pH range.
- 1379 For example, at 37 ± 0.5°C, compound A has a solubility of 1.0 mg/mL at pH 6.8 which is its lowest solubility
- 1380 in the pH range1.2 - 6.8. It is available in 100 mg, 200 mg, and 400 mg strengths and the highest
- 1381 therapeutic dose is 800 mg (2 x 400mg). This drug would be considered a low solubility drug as its
- 1382 dose/solubility volume is 800 mL (800 mg/1.0 mg/mL), which is greater than 250 mL.
- 1383 In-Situ Conversion:
- 1384 An API may be converted to a different chemical or physical form (e.g. in situ conversion of free base to salt,
- 1385 change of stereoisomer or polymorphic form) during the drug product manufacturing process. Such a
- 1386 conversion could be intended or inadvertent (e.g. processing condition in commercial lot). Nevertheless, such
- 1387 a conversion may adversely affect the performance, safety and efficacy of the drug product and may impact
- 1388 on the assessment of pharmaceutical equivalence for a subsequent-entry drug product. Instances where
- 1389 there is a potential for in-situ conversion based on the physicochemical properties of the API or due to the
- 1390 formulation and/or method of manufacture of the drug product, justification and supporting data should be
- 1391 provided to establish whether a conversion occurs, leading to a different physical or chemical form of the
- 1392 drug substance form contained in the final dosage form.
- Where investigation of the drug product reveals that the physical (e.g. polymorphic, pseudopolymorphic or 1393
- 1394 particle size distribution) or chemical (e.g. free acid/base to salt) form of the API is altered during the
- 1395 manufacturing process or during storage of the drug product, section S.3.1 should include relevant
- 1396 information (e.g. solubility, crystalline structure) for the API and as much information as possible regarding
- 1397 the in-situ chemical form contained in the finished drug product. In order to make a risk-based decision on
- 1398 the acceptability of the in-situ transformation, information on the in-situ form should include information on
- 1399 the salt form if it were present as an isolated compound (e.g. solubility). Where complete characterization of
- 1400 the original or in-situ form is not possible, this should be discussed.
- 1401 Published literature could also be presented as supporting information/data to justify the presence or
- 1402 absence of in-situ conversion.
- 1403 For a subsequent entry product, if an in-situ conversion occurs to a form of the drug substance which is
- 1404 different from that in the Canadian Reference Product, additional information should be submitted to
- 1405 support the safety and efficacy of the form of the drug substance in the final dosage form for the subsequent
- 1406 entry product.
- 1407 Known or potential incompatibilities (e.g. lactose with drug substance containing primary amine) should be
- 1408 discussed and the controls to minimize the effect of these potential incompatibilities should be identified
- 1409 (e.g. control of impurities, physical separation via manufacturing techniques).
- 1410 References:
- 1411 Interpretation of "Identical Medicinal Ingredients" policy
- Notice regarding Interpretation of "Identical Medicinal Ingredient" policy 1412
- 1413 P.2.1.2 Excipients
- 1414 The choice of excipients listed in P1, their concentration, their characteristics that can influence the drug
- 1415 product performance should be discussed relative to their respective functions.
- 1416 Detailed information should be provided to identify the excipients (e.g. grades, potato vs corn starch,
- 1417 excipients with multiple origins such as magnesium stearate). The potential CQAs of the excipients including
- 1418 the selection of their type/grade and amount, and their effect on the delivery of the drug product of the
- 1419 desired quality should be discussed. When compendial monographs allow for different acceptance criteria for
- 1420 tests for different grades of excipients, the selection of the appropriate grade should be discussed. It may be

- 1421 necessary to control an excipient using tighter limits if the monograph is not suitable to control the critical
- 1422 properties for the excipients (e.g. viscosity of a rate controlling excipient).
- 1423 As absorption modifiers (e.g. enhancers, inhibitors) and aids such as surfactants could significantly influence
- 1424 bioavailability their use should be justified.
- 1425 Use of novel excipients or excipients at levels higher than routinely used should be supported by documented
- 1426 evidence of their safety for use in patients (e.g. a reference to the appropriate section in Module 4 should be
- 1427 included, when applicable).
- 1428 None of the excipients which are in the drug product should be on the list of prohibited colouring agents
- 1429 listed in the Canadian Food and Drugs Act and Regulations.
- P.2.2 Drug Product 1430
- 1431 P.2.2.1 Formulation Development
- 1432 A brief summary describing the development of the drug product should be provided, taking into
- 1433 consideration the proposed route of administration and usage. The formulation development should use a
- 1434 systematic, science and risk-based approach, as described in ICH Q8. The rationale for choosing the particular
- 1435 type of drug delivery system should be provided (e.g. matrix or membrane based controlled delivery systems,
- 1436 transdermal patches, liposomal, microemulsion, depot injection). The choice of higher risk manufacturing
- 1437 process (e.g. aseptic processing instead of terminal sterilization, direct compression instead of granulation)
- 1438 should also be justified. The rationale should be linked to the QTPP. All CQAs and the critical process
- 1439 parameters (CPPs) should be identified, and a Control Strategy should be proposed to ensure the batches
- 1440 meet the predetermined specification.
- 1441 The master formula and manufacturing process used in the executed and commercial batches should be
- 1442 same as those used in the pivotal clinical lots or the lot used in the bioavailability study. Any differences in
- 1443 the formulations for the batches used in the clinical and/or comparative bioavailability and the formulation
- 1444 (i.e. composition) described in P.1 should be discussed. Results from comparative in vitro studies (e.g.
- 1445 dissolution, physicochemical properties) or comparative in vivo studies (e.g. bioequivalence) should be
- 1446 discussed, when appropriate.
- 1447 When assessing the data elements needed for multiple strengths or variations in composition between the
- 1448 batches used in the clinical and/or comparative bioavailability and the commercial formulation, Health
- 1449 Canada's policy Bioequivalence of Proportional Formulations: Solid Oral Dosage Forms should be consulted. If
- 1450 a request for waiver of bioequivalence studies is proposed, the allowed variations in formulation should
- 1451 comply with this policy. In general, a more stringent approach in the assessment of excipient roles would be
- 1452 taken during assessment as some of the functions of excipients cannot be ignored based on concentration
- 1453 alone. For example, microcrystalline cellulose would be assessed as a binder rather than a filler unless data to
- 1454 justify its role as a filler is provided.
- 1455 For drug products where a biowaiver is supported by an in vitro - in vivo correlation (IVIVC), the correlation
- 1456 study reports should be provided in Module 5 (Section 5.3.1.3). Requests for waivers and justification
- 1457 statements should be in provided in Module 1.6.1 Comparative Bioavailability Information.
- 1458 For drug products requesting a waiver of the requirements to demonstrate in vivo comparative studies for an
- 1459 aqueous solution, a comparison of the relevant pharmaceutical characteristics of the test product and the
- 1460 Canadian Reference Product should be provided. Depending on the particular dosage form, a comparison of
- 1461 the relevant pharmaceutical characteristics would include comparison of the: (i) formulation, (ii)
- 1462 physicochemical properties, and (iii) device attributes. Health Canada's guidance document Pharmaceutical
- 1463 Quality of Aqueous Solutions should be consulted.

- 1464 Where antioxidants are included in the formulation, the effectiveness of the proposed concentration of the
- 1465 antioxidant should be justified and verified by appropriate studies.
- 1466 Reference:
- 1467 ICH Q8A
- 1468 Bioequivalence of Proportional Formulations: Solid Oral Dosage Forms
- 1469 P.2.2.2 Overages
- 1470 Any overages in the formulation(s) described in P1 should be justified.
- 1471 Overage for the sole purpose of extending the shelf life of the drug product is not acceptable. However, if the
- 1472 overage is required to make up for a validated loss during the manufacturing process (e.g. loss during vacuum
- 1473 transfer) or to fill void space (e.g. excess coating solution to fill the tubing) it should be presented along with
- 1474 justification and supporting data for the necessity and quantity of the overage.
- 1475 P.2.2.3 Physicochemical and Biological Properties
- 1476 Parameters relevant to the performance of the drug product, such as pH, ionic strength, dissolution,
- 1477 redispersion, reconstitution, particle size distribution, aggregation, polymorphism, rheological properties,
- 1478 biological activity or potency, and/or immunological activity, should be addressed.

#### 1479 **Scored tablets:**

- 1480 If the proposed dosage form is a scored tablet, additional information should be provided with respect to its
- 1481 design such as geometry of the tablet and break-line, choice of manufacturing process (e.g. hardness that
- 1482 would be conducive to splitting the tablet). The design of tablet score should be confirmed by tests and the
- 1483 results of a study should be provided testing the uniformity of dosage units of the tablet. The tablet should
- 1484 be split as described in the patient instructions (e.g. manually-split or split with a device that would be readily
- 1485 available to a patient). The data provided in the drug submission should include a description of the test
- 1486 method, individual values, mean, and relative standard deviation (RSD). Uniformity testing (i.e. content
- 1487 uniformity or weight variation, depending on the dose present in the split tablet) should be performed on
- 1488 each split portion from a minimum of 15 randomly selected whole tablets. As an illustrative example, the
- 1489 number of units (i.e. the splits) would be 30 halves for bisected tablets or 30 quarters (taken randomly from
- 1490 10 tablets) for quadrisected tablets (statistical tests equivalent to the USP <905> or Ph.Eur. 2.9.40
- 1491 requirements which are suitable for larger sample sizes may be used if more than 30 sections are sampled).
- 1492 Loss of mass from the tablets during splitting should be documented and should not be more than 3.0%. At
- 1493 least one batch of each strength should be tested. The study should cover a range of the hardness values. If
- 1494 this study is not performed during development, then the acceptability of the hardness range should be
- 1495 confirmed during process validation by including a tablet splitting study on high and low hardness tablets in
- 1496 the process validation protocol. The splitting of the tablets should be performed in a manner that would be
- 1497 representative of that used by the consumer (e.g. manually split by hand or using a tablet splitter). The
- 1498 uniformity test on split portions can be demonstrated on a one-time basis and does not need to be added to
- 1499 the drug product specification(s). The acceptance criteria (range and variation) should be as described in the
- 1500 general chapters of the pharmacopoeia (e.g. USP General Chapter <905>, Ph.Eur. 2.9.40).
- 1501 In order to allow a score line on a modified release tablet the formulation design has to be suitable (e.g.
- 1502 tablet should not disintegrate) and splitting the tablet should not compromise drug release from the split
- 1503 halves (e.g. meets predetermined release profile). For modified release products with a score line, in addition
- 1504 to content uniformity, equivalent rates of release should be demonstrated for the split tablets vs. whole
- 1505 tablets.
- 1506 If immediate or modified release products cannot be split or the splitting of the tablets is not listed in the
- 1507 directions of the Product Monograph, a score line should not be present. A scoring configuration which
- 1508 differs from the Canadian Reference Product should be justified.

- 1509 If present, the tablet description on the drug product specifications, and under the Availability section of the
- 1510 Product Monograph, should reflect the presence of a score.
- 1511 Reference:
- 1512 Bioequivalence of Proportional Formulations: Solid Oral Dosage Forms
- 1513 Biopharmaceutics Classification System Based Biowaiver Guidance Document
- 1514 Pharmaceutical Quality of Aqueous Solutions Guidance Document
- P.2.3 Manufacturing Process Development 1515
- 1516 The selection and optimisation of the manufacturing process described in P.3.3, in particular its critical
- 1517 process parameters, should be identified and explained. Where relevant, the method of sterilization (e.g.
- 1518 aseptic vs. terminal) should be explained and justified. Differences between the manufacturing process(es)
- 1519 used to produce pivotal clinical batches and the process described in P.3.3 that can influence the
- 1520 performance of the drug product should be discussed.
- 1521 In accordance with C.08.002(2)(m) and C.08.002.1(2)(d) of the Food and Drug Regulations, the information
- 1522 provided in the pre-market submission should provide evidence that all test batches of the new drug used in
- 1523 any studies conducted in connection with the submission were manufactured and controlled in a manner
- 1524 that is representative of market production.
- 1525 The QOS should briefly document any changes to the manufacturing process throughout the life-cycle of the
- 1526 drug product covered by the submission. A side-by-side table comparing the manufacturing process of the
- 1527 product used for pivotal studies to the product currently proposed (e.g. the proposed commercial process or
- 1528 the revised process proposed in a Supplemental New Drug Submission or Abbreviated New Drug Submission)
- 1529 is recommended. A discussion of the significance of the differences should be included as well as any data
- 1530 (e.g. in-vitro testing or biostudies) supporting the proposed changes.
- 1531 The scientific rationale using the principles of risk management for the choice of the manufacturing, filling,
- 1532 packaging processes, and storage conditions that can influence drug product quality and performance should
- 1533 be explained and linked to the QTPP. It is the sponsor's responsibility to establish which of the quality
- 1534 attributes and process parameters are critical and how to control them in a consistent manner.
- 1535 Developmental work conducted to establish appropriate controls to avoid deterioration of the API during the
- 1536 manufacturing process and storage should be discussed (e.g. protection from heat, light (UV or visible),
- 1537 oxygen or moisture).

1541

1542

1543

- 1538 For drug products developed using an enhanced approach, QbD, details of risk assessment and results from
- 1539 the design of experiments should be summarized in this section. Care should be taken to:
  - a. use terminology in a manner that is consistent with ICH definitions (e.g. PARs vs. design space).
  - b. be clear about claims and proposed flexibility supported by enhanced development (e.g. design space(s), PARs, Real Time Release (RTR)testing, omission of certain drug product specification tests).
  - c. discuss the role of QbD in the overall control strategy (e.g. to support RTR testing or elimination of certain tests from finished product specifications).
- 1545 Where PARs or a design space have been claimed in P.3.3, studies which support the proposed ranges (space)
- 1546 should be described in P.2.3. Studies conducted to assess criticality of process parameters or material
- 1547 attributes identified in P.3.4 should also be described in P.2.3.
- 1548 If environmental controls over and above routine controls are necessary to ensure the stability of the drug
- 1549 product during the manufacturing process, the additional controls such as reduced lighting or a different
- 1550 lighting source, temperature and humidity control or use of an inert atmosphere should be discussed and
- 1551 rationalized in the submission.

- 1552 Recommendations for the number of batches to be manufactured and be included in a drug submission are
- 1553 outlined in sections P.5.4 (Batch Analyses) and R.1.1 (Executed Production Document) of this guidance
- 1554 document.

### **Drug product intermediate**

- 1556 A drug product intermediate is a material that is the result of a drug substance having undergone at least one
- 1557 processing step in the presence of any other substance (used in the manufacture of the drug product
- 1558 whether it appears in the finished dosage form or not) which must undergo further processing step(s) to
- 1559 become the finished dosage form.
- 1560 That first processing step of the drug substance in the presence of any other substance would be considered
- 1561 a drug product manufacturing activity, subject to Part C, Division 2 of the Food and Drug Regulations, and
- 1562 would define the date from which the expiry date for the drug product would be established.
- 1563 Mixtures of two APIs are considered a drug product intermediate and the date of manufacture would be
- 1564 considered the date that the two APIs are first mixed. If the drug product intermediate is not used
- immediately and an expiry date or retest date is set for the drug product intermediate, then the stability data 1565
- 1566 to support the expiry date of the finished dosage form should be based on data from batches of drug product
- 1567 which have been manufactured using the drug product intermediate just before its proposed expiry date.
- 1568 Sponsors having situations that might be an alternative to the above interpretation (e.g. inability to isolate
- 1569 the drug substance in a pure and stable form or mixing with excipients for safety or stability purposes, e.g.
- 1570 nitroglycerin, cholecalciferol) should discuss their case and scientific justification in advance with the pre-
- 1571 market approval bureau/office.

# Scale-up during manufacturing process development:

- 1573 The scientific rationale for the selection, optimization, and scale-up of the manufacturing process described
- 1574 in P.3.3 should be explained, in particular the CPP that are linked to CQAs of the drug product (e.g. the rate of
- 1575 addition of granulating fluid, massing time, granulation end point, drying end point, and in process control
- 1576 range for the LOD which determine the quality of the granules). The equipment which is critical for ensuring
- 1577 product quality should be identified (e.g. model and item number) by operating principles and working
- 1578 capacity.

1572

- 1579 During scale-up development, if there is a proposed change of equipment used for critical steps within the
- 1580 same Scale-up and Post-Approval Changes (SUPAC) class but different SUPAC subclass (as described in the
- 1581 United States Food and Drug Administration's guideline), at least one batch of the product should be made
- 1582 using the proposed equipment. Additional batches may be required depending on the complexity of the
- 1583 process and product.
- 1584 The rationale for selection of manufacturing processes should be fully outlined and the suitability of the
- 1585 selected manufacturing process and control strategy should be demonstrated on at least one commercial size
- 1586 lot of each strength. This lot would serve as a proof of concept, to demonstrate scalability and
- 1587 commercialization. Although production of a commercial scale batch is recommended for all products, it is
- 1588 expected for high risk products as outlined below:
  - 1. When the drug substance is a Critical Dose Drug and the drug product is not a solution.
  - 2. Strength (low dose): When the drug product strength is 5 mg or lower and/or the drug substance forms 2% w/w or less of the total mass of the drug product content.
  - 3. When the chosen manufacturing process is:
    - prone to variability (e.g. direct compression process for manufacturing a low dose product).
    - o complex (e.g. use of coating technology to add the drug substance and/or a rate controlling function to granules, processes which include lyophilisation or microencapsulation).

1589

1590

1591

1592

1593

1594

- 1596 A Critical Dose Drug is defined in the guidance document - Comparative Bioavailability Standards:
- 1597 Formulations Used for Systemic Effects.
- 1598 For complex dosage forms, such as modified release products, if the proposed commercial product differs
- 1599 significantly from the pivotal clinical product or the product used in the bioequivalence study, a bridging
- study would be required. Examples of significant differences include changes in manufacturing site, 1600
- 1601 manufacturing principle and equipment class or operating principle. Sponsors who wish to propose a
- 1602 biowaiver rather than a bridging study (e.g. if proposing to submit scientific justification which is
- 1603 accompanied by supporting data (e.g. comparative dissolution data, BCS class 1 products or when an IVIVC
- 1604 has been established) should consult with the review bureau prior to submission.

#### 1605 Sterile drug products

- 1606 For sterile drug products, terminal sterilization is considered to be the method of choice to ensure sterility of
- 1607 the final drug product. Hence, sterile drugs should be manufactured using aseptic processing only when
- 1608 terminal sterilization is not feasible. Manufacturers who choose to manufacture a sterile product without
- 1609 terminal sterilization (e.g. aseptic processing) should provide adequate scientific justification and supporting
- 1610 data for the proposed sterilization technique.
- 1611 Evidence should be provided to confirm that the sterilization process will produce a sterile product with a
- 1612 high degree of reliability and that the physical and chemical properties as well as the safety of the drug
- 1613 product will not be affected. Details such as FO range, temperature range and peak dwell time for a drug
- 1614 product and the container closure system should be provided. Justification should be provided for reduced
- 1615 temperature cycles or elevated temperature cycles with shortened exposure times, although standard
- 1616 autoclaving cycles of 121°C, 15 minutes or more, would not need a detailed rationale.
- 1617 If ethylene oxide is used, acceptance criteria should be included in specifications to control the levels of
- 1618 residual ethylene oxide and related compounds.
- 1619 The suitability of filters selected for sterilization should be established by studies evaluating bacterial
- 1620 retention and viability, compatibility with the product during the maximum contact time, extractables and
- 1621 leachables, and adsorption of the drug substance or any of the formulation components. If applicable, the
- 1622 description and the data for a validated flush program should be submitted to demonstrate that the filter is
- 1623 suitable for the filtration process.
- 1624 The suitability and compatibility of the manufacturing equipment (e.g. extractables and leachables) should be
- 1625 demonstrated for non-solid dosage forms.
- 1626 Minimum product rinse volumes should be established.
- 1627 **References:**
- 1628 ICH Q8, Q9, Q10
- P 2.4 Container Closure System 1629
- 1630 The suitability of the container closure system (described in P7) used for the storage, transportation
- 1631 (shipping) and use of the drug product should be discussed. This discussion should consider, e.g. choice of
- 1632 materials, protection from moisture and light, compatibility of the materials of construction with the dosage
- 1633 form (including sorption to container and leaching) safety of materials of construction, and performance
- 1634 (such as reproducibility of the dose delivery from the device when presented as part of the drug product).

- The information that should be included for the qualification of the container closure system includes 1636 1637 packaging materials that:
- 1638 a. come in direct contact with the dosage form (container, closure, liner, desiccant);
  - b. are used as a protective barrier to help ensure stability or sterility;
  - c. are used for drug delivery;

1640 1641

1642 1643

- d. are necessary to ensure drug product quality during transportation.
- The following table outlines parameters which should be used to establish the suitability of the container closure system.

Table 2: Parameters to establish the suitability of the container closure system

Parameter	Oral and Topical Products	Inhalation Products	Sterile Products (including Ophthalmics)
Name, physical description, dimensions (e.g. thickness, volume, diameter)	✓	✓	✓
Specific identification tests (e.g. IR) for components that come in direct contact with the dosage form	✓	✓	✓
Tests for reproducibility of dose delivery (or packaging materials responsible for delivery of a dose)	✓   (if applicable)	✓	√   (if applicable)
Composition and drawings for all novel or product specific components (including cap liners, coatings for metal tubes, elastomers, adhesives, silicone, etc.)	✓	✓	✓
Description of any additional treatments <sup>1</sup>	✓	✓	(sterilization and depyrogenation of the components)
USP <661> Plastic Packaging Systems and their materials of construction (Includes 661.1 and 661.2)	✓	✓	<pre> ⟨includes USP ⟨87&gt; / &lt;88&gt; /&lt;1031&gt; tests)</pre>
USP <671> Containers – Performance Testing	<u>√</u>	<u>√</u>	<u>√</u>
USP <381> Elastomeric Closures for Injections	=	=	✓ (includes USP <87> / <88> tests)
Additional tests	2	2	2

Parameter	Oral and Topical Products	Inhalation Products	Sterile Products (including Ophthalmics)
Compatibility with drug product (e.g. adsorption to the container and related substances)	✓ (Liquid oral products and liquid or semisolid topical products)	✓	✓
Extractable and Leachable studies	✓ (Liquid oral products) <sup>3</sup>	<u>√</u> <u>3</u>	<u>√</u> <u>3</u>

- ✓ Information should be submitted
- -- Information does not need to be submitted
- 1. e.g. coating of tubes, siliconization of rubber stoppers, sulphur treatment of ampoules/vials, blanketing with inert gas
- 2. Refer for the guidance document "Pharmaceutical Quality of Aqueous Solutions" for details of additional tests required (e.g. Extractables and Leachables, performance tests for metered dose drug delivery)
- 3. Refer to the USP <1663> and <1664> / <1664.1> for guidance on extractables and leachables testing. This information can be provided in a master file, if relevant.

The information on the composition of packaging used for parenteral and liquid/semi-solid products should be available to Health Canada either in the drug submission or in a Master File. Refer to Health Canada's guidance document Master Files (MFs) - Procedures and Administrative Requirements for filing requirements for Type II MF's (Container Closure Systems).

#### 1650 References:

1645 1646

1647

1648

1649

- 1651 Pharmaceutical Quality of Aqueous Solutions
- 1652 Master Files (MFs) - Procedures and Administrative Requirements
- 1653 USP <1663> Assessment of Extractables Associated with Pharmaceutical Packaging/Delivery Systems
- 1654 USP <1664> Assessment of Drug Product Leachables Associated with Pharmaceutical Packaging/Delivery
- 1655 systems
- 1656 USP <1664.1> Orally Inhaled and Nasal Drug Products

#### P.2.5 Microbiological Attributes 1657

1658 Where appropriate, the microbiological attributes of the dosage form should be discussed, including, for 1659 example, the rationale for not performing microbial limits testing for non-sterile products (ref. ICH Q6A) and 1660 the selection and effectiveness of preservative systems in products containing antimicrobial preservatives, or 1661 the anti-microbial effectiveness of products that are inherently antimicrobial. For sterile products, the 1662 integrity of the container closure system to prevent microbial contamination should be addressed.

1663 Where an antimicrobial preservative is included in the formulation, the effectiveness of the agent should be 1664 demonstrated using a batch of the drug product with the preservative a concentration at the lower limit of 1665 the proposed acceptance criteria for the assay of the preservative. Schedule B compendial tests for 1666 antimicrobial effectiveness testing are considered acceptable. The use of anti-microbial preservatives in

1667 single-dose preparations is not recommended.

1668 As outlined in ICH's Q1A guidance document, a single primary stability batch of the drug product should be 1669 tested for antimicrobial preservative effectiveness (in addition to preservative content) at the proposed shelf

- 1670 life for verification purposes, regardless of whether there is a difference between the release and shelf life
- 1671 acceptance criteria for preservative content. If this information is not available at the time of submission, a
- 1672 commitment should be provided that a single primary stability batch will be tested for antimicrobial
- 1673 effectiveness at the end of proposed shelf life.
- P.2.6 Compatibility 1674
- 1675 The compatibility of the drug product with reconstitution diluent(s) or dosage devices (e.g. precipitation of
- 1676 drug substance in solution, sorption on injection vessels, stability) should be addressed to provide
- 1677 appropriate and supportive information for the labeling.
- 1678 Where sterile, reconstituted products are to be further diluted, compatibility should be demonstrated with
- 1679 all diluents over the range of dilution proposed in the labelling. These studies should be conducted on aged
- 1680 samples. Where the labelling does not specify the type of containers, compatibility (with respect to
- 1681 parameters such as appearance, pH, assay, levels of individual and total degradation products, sub-visible
- 1682 particulate matter and extractables from the packaging components) should be demonstrated in the
- 1683 specified container(s) (e.g. glass, PVC, and polyolefin containers). However, if one or more containers are
- 1684 identified in the labelling, compatibility of admixtures needs to be demonstrated only in the specified
- 1685 containers.

- 1686 Studies should cover the duration of storage reported in the labelling (e.g. 24 hours under controlled room
- 1687 temperature and 72 hours under refrigeration).
- 1688 When sponsors are qualifying limits for degradation product, they should consider the maximum level
- 1689 observed for impurities in the reconstituted product at the end of the in-use period. For existing drugs (e.g.
- 1690 generics), if levels of impurities or other parameters warrant, reconstitution studies should be carried out in
- 1691 parallel with the Canadian Reference Product to adequately qualify the impurity and other limits proposed in
- 1692 the drug product specification(s).
- 1693 For sterile drug products, results of studies should be provided demonstrating compatibility (e.g. hold time
- 1694 studies, extractables and leachables data, ICH Q3D compliance) with manufacturing equipment (e.g. coated
- 1695 vessels, sterilization filters, transfer tubing).
  - P.3 Manufacture
- 1697 If a Master File (MF) is filed with Health Canada and cross-referenced for certain proprietary information,
- 1698 provide the MF number assigned by Health Canada.
- P.3.1 Manufacturer(s) 1699
- 1700 The name, address, and responsibility of each manufacturer, including contractors, and each proposed
- 1701 production site or facility involved in manufacturing, packaging and testing should be provided.
- 1702 This includes the facilities involved in the manufacture (fabrication), packaging and release and stability
- 1703 testing of the drug product. If certain companies are performing only specific steps in the process (e.g.
- 1704 manufacturing of an intermediate), this should be indicated. Sites involved in sterilisation of primary
- 1705 container closure systems (e.g. gamma radiation) not subsequently exposed to terminal sterilisation should
- 1706 be listed. The list of manufacturers should specify the actual production or manufacturing site(s) involved,
- 1707 rather than the administrative offices.
- 1708 The manufacturing, packaging, labelling and testing facilities should have been confirmed by the Regulatory
- 1709 Operations and Regions Branch to be GMP compliant prior to submitting an application.

1:	710	Р	3	7	R	atc	h	Fo	rmul	la
Ι.	/10	г.	. J		D	all	11	ı U	HHU	ıc

- 1711 A batch formula should be provided that includes a list of all components of the dosage form to be used in
- 1712 the manufacturing process, their amounts on a per batch basis, including overages. A reference to the quality
- 1713 standard used should be noted in the QOS (e.g. USP, Ph.Eur., House, etc.).
- 1714 The batch formula should express the quantity of each component on a per batch basis for each proposed
- 1715 commercial batch size of each strength, including the total weight or measure of the batch.
- 1716 The table should include all components used in the manufacturing process, regardless if they appear in the
- 1717 final drug product (e.g. solvents, headspace nitrogen, silicone for stoppers if it is applied during the
- 1718 processing). If the amount of active pharmaceutical ingredient is adjusted (e.g. based on the assay of the
- 1719 active moiety), then the correction should be clearly indicated at a footnote (e.g. x mg of hydrochloride
- 1720 added = target amount as base \* (MW HCI / MW base) / Assay)). If there is a granulation step using intra and
- 1721 extra-granular excipients these should be listed separately.
- 1722 The batch formula should be written to provide 100% of the label claim unless overages have been
- 1723 adequately justified. All overages should be clearly indicated (e.g. "Contains 5 kg overage of the drug
- 1724 substance to compensate for manufacturing losses."). An overage of film-coating suspension can be justified
- 1725 in a footnote to the batch formula table.
- 1726 The components should be declared by their proper or common names, quality standards (e.g. USP, Ph.Eur.,
- 1727 House) and, if applicable, their grades (e.g. "Microcrystalline Cellulose NF (PH 102)").
- P.3.3 Description of Manufacturing Process and Process Controls 1728
- 1729 A flow diagram should be presented giving the steps of the process and showing where materials enter the
- 1730 process. The critical steps and points at which process controls, intermediate tests or final product controls
- 1731 are conducted should be identified.
- 1732 A narrative description of the manufacturing process, including packaging, which represents the sequence of
- 1733 steps undertaken and the scale of equipment, where relevant, should also be provided. The narrative
- 1734 description should be based on the details listed in the master production documents for the proposed
- 1735 commercial batch size. Novel processes or technologies and packaging operations that directly affect product
- 1736 quality should be described with a greater level of detail. Equipment should, at least, be identified by type
- 1737 (e.g. tumble blender, in-line homogeniser) and working capacity, where relevant.
- 1738 Steps in the process should have the appropriate process parameters identified, such as time, temperature,
- 1739 or pH. Associated numeric values can be presented as an expected range. Numeric ranges for critical steps
- 1740 should be justified in Section P.3.4. In certain cases, environmental conditions (e.g. low humidity for an
- 1741 effervescent product) should be stated.
- 1742 Specific process parameters (e.g. mixing speed, granulation end point) should be included and should
- 1743 correspond with the target and normal operating ranges (NORs) included in the master production
- 1744 documents for commercial scale batches. If data to support a design space is provided in P.2.3, then the
- 1745 proposed design space should be clearly described in P.3.3. A tabular summary of process parameters and
- 1746 design space is often the clearest and most succinct way of presenting the information. Where PARs for
- 1747 discrete process parameters have been supported by data in P.2.3, the manufacturing process should be
- 1748 described in terms of targets and NORs identified in the master batch records and those PARs for which
- 1749 supporting data were provided. However, a combination of PARs does not constitute a design space and it is
- 1750 expected that the manufacturing process will be conducted within the NORs for all process parameters, with
- 1751 excursion into the PAR for only a single parameter at a time.

- 1752 Validated maximum manufacturing process times (including hold times should be specified in the Master
- 1753 Production Documents (MPDs). Unless clearly stated and authorized, the start of manufacturing (for
- 1754 purposes of establishing the drug product shelf life) is defined as the date of the first processing step of the
- 1755 drug substance in the presence of any other substance used in the manufacture of the drug product.
- 1756 Unless data are available to support longer manufacturing process times, the time from start of manufacture
- 1757 to the end of manufacture should not be more than 30 days and to the end of packaging in the final
- 1758 container closure system should not be more than 60 days for solid drug products.
- 1759 Unless data are available to support longer manufacturing process times the time from the start of
- 1760 manufacturing to the end packaging in the final container closure system (i.e. end of sealing including the
- 1761 sterilisation procedures or start of the lyophilization process, if applicable) should not be more than 24 hours
- for liquid drug products. 1762
- 1763 Proposals for reworking of failed batches will not be assessed during the pre-market assessment and should
- 1764 not be submitted. Any reworking of batches is authorized on a case-by-case basis in accordance with
- 1765 principles defined by good manufacturing practices.
- 1766 Proposals for the reprocessing of materials should be justified and the data to support this justification
- 1767 should be either referenced or filed in this section (P.3.3). Reprocessing of materials is not expected in a
- 1768 validated process and will only be considered in exceptional circumstances. Therefore, if reprocessing of
- materials is expected (e.g. recirculation of fines) and intended to be done in a routine basis, then this should 1769
- 1770 be submitted as part of the manufacturing process with relevant supporting data. The acceptability of such
- 1771 reprocessing of materials is determined on a case-by-case basis based on the data showing control of the
- 1772 drug product.
- 1773 For sterile drug products, details of validated sterilization parameters (e.g. load size, autoclave program,
- 1774 gamma radiation dose, processing aids) and equipment (e.g. compounding vessels, sterilizing filters, filling
- 1775 syringes) should be listed for the drug product and all relevant stages of the manufacturing process (e.g. for
- 1776 the washing, sterilization and depyrogenation of packaging components). The sterilization cycle should be
- 1777 described where contract manufacturers are used for sterilization of packaging components, or alternatively
- 1778 this information could be provided in a Master File (MF).
- 1779 As outlined in the general chapters of the pharmacopoeia, each container of an injectable drug product
- 1780 should be filled with a volume that slightly exceeds the content indicated in the product labeling. These
- 1781 excess volumes (i.e. also known as overfills, which are not to be confused with overages) are intended to
- 1782 ensure the minimum required extractable volumes to allow for correct dosage delivery. As such, the master
- 1783 manufacturing documents should include target fills and tolerance limits to ensure that at least 100% of the
- 1784 label claim of the drug substance will be available. Overfills that exceed the recommended excess volume in
- 1785 USP <1151> should be justified and supported by data.
- P.3.4 Controls of Critical Steps and Intermediates 1786
- 1787 Critical Steps: Tests and acceptance criteria should be provided (with justification, including experimental
- 1788 data) performed at the critical steps identified in P3.3 of the manufacturing process, to ensure that the
- 1789 process is controlled.
- 1790 Drug Product Intermediates: Information on the quality and control of intermediates during the process
- 1791 should be provided (e.g. co-precipitates, API micronised by the drug product manufacturer, bulk tablets and
- 1792 solutions).
- 1793 In-process tests are performed during manufacturing for the purpose of adjusting process parameters within
- 1794 an operating range to ensure the entire batch meets the expected quality attributes. Hence, in-process test
- 1795 limits may be used as action limits. For tablet compression the quality attributes tested in-process could

1796 include, for example, weight, hardness, disintegration time and friability and need not be included in the 1797 batch release specification depending on the relevance to product performance (Reference ICH Q6 A). All 1798 routine in-process controls should be listed in this section, whether critical or not. If an in-process control is 1799 not critical, it is acceptable to state that it is just monitored. All process parameters (critical and non-critical) 1800 are managed under the product quality change management system. The applicant manages critical 1801 parameter ranges as regulatory commitments and any changes in the critical ranges would be provided for 1802 regulatory assessment in compliance with the current Post-NOC Changes guidance document. The applicant 1803 also manages non critical process parameters internally in the Pharmaceutical Quality System and changes in 1804 non-critical process parameters are not reported to the regulatory agencies. In the rare case where a non-1805 critical parameter range is changed and the resulting change is determined to impact a drug product critical 1806 quality attributes, the non-critical parameter would be re-designated as a critical parameter and the 1807 regulatory authorities would be notified following current regulatory guidelines. In-process controls 1808 monitored during process validation only should be described under P.3.5. Sampling frequency and 1809 acceptance criteria should also be listed. A tabular format is recommended.

Examples of potential in-process controls include: (i) granulations: moisture, blend uniformity, bulk and tapped densities, granule particle size distribution, granulation end point, (ii) solid oral products: average weight, weight variation, hardness, thickness, friability, disintegration, weight gain during coating; (iii) semisolids: viscosity, homogeneity, pH, evaluation of phase separation; (iv) transdermal patches: assay of drugadhesive mixture, weight per area of coated patch without backing, adhesion strength cut patch dimensions and tolerances; (v) metered dose inhalers: fill weight/volume, leak testing, valve delivery; (vi) dry powder inhalers: assay of drug-excipient blend, moisture, weight variation of individually contained doses such as capsules or blisters; (vii) liquids: pH, specific gravity, clarity of solutions, bioburden; (viii) parenterals: bioburden prior to sterilization, 100% visual inspection (appearance, clarity), pH, fill volume/weight, filter integrity tests (determined pre and post filtration using appropriate methods (e.g. bubble point or forward flow)), particulate matter, container closure integrity test.

### Weight variation in-process controls:

The generally accepted standard for in-process limits for weight variation for the core tablets and hard capsule fill weight, which is achievable for a product with a robust process using a modern tablet press and encapsulation equipment is considered to be:

- Average tablet weight: target weight ± 3 5 %
- Individual tablet weight: target weight ± 5%

These limits would be necessary to achieve an assay of 95% at the time of batch release. A need for a less stringent limit would indicate issues with granule flow and inadequacy of the manufacturing process to produce good quality tablets. The in-process control strategy is separate from the end product content uniformity test, which is based on very limited sampling.

A less stringent limit is considered acceptable in exceptional cases where it is difficult to achieve a tighter control and justification with data is required if wider limits are proposed, e.g. a dosage form that presents challenges in manufacturing, very small tablets, bilayer tablets. The dose of API from a tablet or capsule is affected by the weight of the tablet or capsule; therefore, acceptability of weight variation limits beyond individual limits of +/-5% and average limits of 3-5% are determined on a case-by-case basis; based on the data showing control of the drug product. Justification for less stringent limits can be provided based on the criteria outlined below.

1810

1811

1812

1813

1814

1815

1816

1817

1818

1819

1820

1821

1822

1823

1824

1825

1826

1827

1828

1829

1830

1831

1832

1833

1834

1835

1836

## Categorization of drug products based on risk on not meeting label claim:

- A. The following situations are considered high risk:
  - a. Critical Dose Drug where dose accuracy is considered clinically necessary or other clinical risk considerations.
  - b. Drug products that are manufactured using a potentially variable process.
- B. The following situations are considered medium risk:
  - a. Drug products not falling into above (A) high risk category.
  - b. Demonstrated evidence of robust process in commercial size batches or internal action limits are more stringent than regulatory limits.
  - c. Soft gelatin capsules
- C. Others: Unique dosage forms that may present challenges in manufacturing (e.g. films) are generally not subject to typical weight variation limits applicable to IR tablets. The weight variation limits for these products are similar to Spot Checks (and not an in-process test that could be monitored periodically and controlled). The proposed controls for these dosage forms should be fully described and justified.

Table 3: Maximum recommended limits for in-process weight variation

Risk based category	Conditions/Comments	In-process weight variation limits							
Compressed Ta	Compressed Tablets (IR and MR)								
1. High risk	<ul> <li>a. Critical Dose Drug<sup>*</sup> where dose accuracy is considered clinically necessary.</li> <li>b. Manufactured using a process that shows variability (e.g. direct compression with micronized API) and scale-up study not performed and experience with commercial size lots not included in pre-market submission.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Average: target ± 3 - 4 %.</li> <li>Individual: target ± 5%.</li> </ul>							
2. Medium risk	<ul> <li>a. Do not fall into above high risk category.</li> <li>b. Demonstrated evidence of robust process in commercial size batches or internal action limits are stringent than regulatory limits.</li> <li>c. Coated granules/pellets that are already controlled for amount of API though other means (e.g. in-process assay).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Average: target ± 5%.</li> <li>Individual: target ± 7.5%.</li> </ul>							
3. Medium risk, smaller tablets	d. For tablets with an average mass of 80 mg or less	<ul> <li>Average: target ± 5%.</li> <li>Individual: target ± 10%.</li> </ul>							
Capsules	Capsules								
4. Hard Gelatin capsules	Weight of capsule content (powder/granules) demonstrated to meet more stringent limits.	• Average: target ± 5%.							
5. Soft gelatin capsules	Capsule fill weight controlled and monitored by other means (e.g. accuracy of fill volume etc.).	• Average: target ± 5%.							

1839

1840

1841

1842

1843

1844

1845

1846 1847

1848

1849 1850

1851

1852 1853

Risk based category	Conditions/Comments	In-process weight variation limits					
Unique dosage	forms						
6. Example: Films, wafers, etc.	Dosage weight controlled and monitored by other means, e.g. coating uniformity etc.	<ul> <li>Average: target ± 5%.</li> </ul>					
	* Critical Dose Drug as defined in the guidance document - Comparative Bioavailability Standards:  Formulations Used for Systemic Effects.						

1866

1867

1868

1869

1870

1871

1872

1873

1874

1875

1876

1877

1878

1879

1880

1881

1882

1883

1884

1885

1886

1887

1888

- Use of the limits outlined in Ph.Eur. 2.9.5 are only considered acceptable as a spot check performed by QC.
- 1857 Controls for packaging should be provided when critical for ensuring appropriate quality, e.g. leak testing and 1858 controls for orientation of vials or bottles for sterile products and appropriate filling of blisters (e.g. for co-1859 packaged tablets such as contraceptives).
- 1860 References:
- 1861 ICH Q2, Q6A
- P.3.5 Process Validation and/or Evaluation 1862
- 1863 Description, documentation, and results of the validation and/or evaluation studies should be provided for 1864 critical steps or critical assays used in the manufacturing process (e.g. validation of the sterilisation process or 1865 aseptic processing or filling). Viral safety evaluation should be provided in A2, if necessary.
  - As per Health Canada GMP it is an expectation that prospective validation would be conducted prior to the distribution of either a new product or a product made under a modified production process, where the modifications are significant and may affect the product's characteristics. This is a pre-planned scientific approach and includes the initial stages of formulation development, process development, setting of process specifications, developing in-process tests, sampling plans, designing of batch records, defining raw material specifications, completion of pilot runs, transfer of technology from scale-up batches to commercial size batches, listing major process equipment and environmental controls. Traditional process validation is generally performed prospectively, using three consecutive commercial size batches. Continuous Process Verification (CPV) is an alternative approach to traditional process validation in which manufacturing process performance is continuously monitored and evaluated and could be applied to drug products developed with QbD principles (ICH Q8).

The following information should be provided for traditional process validation:

- a. A copy of the process validation protocol or validation report (for 3 consecutive commercial scale batches) specific to the drug product, which identifies the critical equipment and critical process parameters (CPP) that can affect the critical quality attributes (CQA) of the drug product and defines testing parameters, sampling plans, analytical procedures, and acceptance criteria (Control Strategy).
- b. Confirmation that three consecutive, production-scale batches of the drug product have been or will be subjected to prospective validation in accordance with Health Canada's Validation Guidelines for Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms and Cleaning Validation Guidelines. Alternative approaches to prospective validation should be accompanied by a detailed justification.

For sterile products validation of the sterilization process(es) should be completed prior to submission and a summary of these process validation studies should also be provided. The following data should be included in validation reports:

- 1889 a. Process parameters of the sterilization cycle.
- b. Washing, treatment, sterilizing, and depyrogenation of containers, closures, and equipment. 1890
- 1891 c. Filtration of solutions.
- d. The lyophilization cycle. 1892
- 1893 e. The integrity test of filled and sealed container closures.
- 1894 f. Final inspection of the product.
- 1895 For sterile products which undergo aseptic processing, the aseptic manufacturing process should also be
- 1896 validated. The results of a media fill study (or aseptic process simulation study) which is sufficiently
- 1897 representative of the proposed commercial manufacturing process (e.g. with respect to the process type,
- 1898 batch size, container/closure configuration, container size, volume to be filled per unit, filling speed, process
- 1899 duration, number of units filled, etc.) should be provided. Scientific justification should be provided for any
- 1900 differences between the media fill process parameters and those proposed for the commercial process.
- 1901 **References:**
- 1902 **Good Manufacturing Practices:**
- 1903 Validation Guidelines for Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms and Cleaning Validation Guidelines
- 1904 Validation Documentation Requirements and Responsibilities for Drug Fabricators, Packagers/Labellers,
- 1905 Distributors and Importers
- 1906 Sterilization Guidances:
- 1907 Process Validation: Terminal Sterilization
- 1908 Aseptic Processes for Pharmaceuticals, Form-Fill-Seal for Pharmaceuticals, Gaseous Sterilization for
- 1909 Pharmaceuticals, Irradiation Sterilization for Pharmaceuticals, Moist Heat Sterilization for Pharmaceuticals

### P.4 Control of Excipients 1910

- P.4.1 Specifications 1911
- 1912 The specifications for excipients should be provided.
- 1913 This would include the specifications for all excipients, including processing aids that do not appear in the
- 1914 final drug product (e.g. solvents, nitrogen, silicone for stoppers).
- 1915 If the standard claimed for an excipient is a Schedule B compendial monograph, it is sufficient to state that
- 1916 the excipient is tested according to the requirements of that standard, rather than reproducing the
- 1917 specifications found in the Schedule B compendial monograph. If the standard claimed for an excipient is a
- 1918 non-Schedule B compendial monograph (e.g. House standard) or includes tests that are supplementary to
- 1919 those appearing in the Schedule B compendial monograph, a copy of the specification and non-compendial
- 1920 test methods for the excipient should be provided.
- 1921 If a Manufacturer's standard is claimed, testing should be at least as stringent as specified in the Schedule B
- 1922 compendia monograph, should one or more exist. If a Compendial standard is claimed, the standard only has
- 1923 to meet the requirements of the appropriate monograph. Excipients derived from natural sources should
- 1924 have appropriate microbial tests and limits.
- 1925 For excipients which are mixtures that are provided by 3rd party manufacturers such as flavours, colourants,
- 1926 capsules and non-functional coatings, a qualitative list of the ingredients should be provided along with the
- 1927 specifications. Additional proprietary information on capsules and functional coatings should be provided in a
- 1928 MF (e.g. quantitative composition, grades of materials used during manufacturing).
- 1929 Refer to section S.4.1 for further information on specifications.

1930	Functionality-related characteristics
1931 1932 1933 1934	Characteristics that are recognised as being relevant control parameters for one or more functions of the excipient should be appropriately controlled and details provided. If developmental studies show that a particular characteristic is critical for the functionality (e.g. viscosity or particle size of release controlling excipients) it should be included in the specifications.
1935 1936	For novel excipients, information should be provided in P.4.6 or cross-referenced to the Master File number which includes complete information.
1937 1938	References: ICH Q6A
1939	P.4.2 Analytical Procedures
1940	The analytical procedures used for testing the excipients should be provided, where appropriate.
1941	Copies of analytical procedures from Schedule B compendial monographs do not need to be submitted.
1942 1943	References: ICH Q2
1944	P.4.3 Validation of Analytical Procedures
1945 1946	Analytical validation information, including experimental data, for the analytical procedures used for testing the excipients should be provided, where appropriate.
1947 1948 1949 1950 1951	Analytical validation information should be submitted for novel test methods (i.e. test methods not included in a Schedule B compendium or methods which do not use a common method such as those described in the compendia, (e.g. UV, HPLC, laser diffraction). Validation reports for commonly used test methods (e.g. compendial methods, particle size testing by laser diffraction) for excipients are normally not submitted, however the reports should be on file in-house and provided to Health Canada on request.
1952 1953	If a validation report is submitted, it is recommended that tables are used for summarizing analytical validation data in the QOS. Refer to S.4.3 for more information on presenting validation information.
1954 1955	Reference Guidances: ICH Q2
1956	P.4.4 Justification of Specifications
1957	Justification for the proposed excipient specifications should be provided, where appropriate.
1958 1959	This would include the tests that are supplementary to those appearing in the Schedule B compendial monograph.
1960 1961	References: ICH Q3C
1962	P.4.5 Excipients of Human or Animal Origin
1963 1964	For excipients of human or animal origin, information should be provided regarding adventitious agents (e.g. sources, specifications, description of the testing performed, viral safety data). (Details in 3.2.A.2)
1965 1966 1967	This information should include biological source, country of origin, manufacturer, production methods which are used to ensure TSE inactivation and a brief description of the suitability of use based on the proposed controls.

- 1968 For excipients manufactured from raw material obtained from sources that have potential of transmitting
- 1969 Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy (BSE)/Transmissible Spongiform Encephalopathy (TSE) agents (e.g.
- 1970 ruminant origin), a letter of attestation (with supporting documentation) should be provided attesting that
- 1971 the excipient is not at risk of transmitting BSE/TSE. A current certificate of suitability provided by EDQM may
- 1972 be used as an attestation.
- 1973 Alternatively, the relevant information supporting the safety of the source from the proposed supplier should
- 1974 be provided (e.g. in a Master File, which is registered with Health Canada).
- 1975 Health Canada does not allow does not allow use of Specified Risk Materials as defined by Health of Animals
- 1976 Regulations to be used in the manufacture of pharmaceuticals.
- 1977 References:
- 1978 ICH Q5A, Q5D, Q6B
- 1979 EDQM guidance documents related to TSE risk reduction
- 1980 Note for guidance on minimising the risk of transmitting animal spongiform encephalopathy agents via
- human and veterinary medicinal products (EMA/410/01 rev.3) (2011/C 73/01) 1981
- P.4.6 Novel Excipients 1982
- 1983 For excipient(s) used for the first time in a drug product, at a greater daily exposure than normally
- 1984 administered or by a new route of administration, full details of manufacture, characterisation, and controls,
- 1985 with cross references to supporting safety data (nonclinical and/or clinical) should be provided according to
- 1986 the drug substance and/or drug product format. (Details in 3.2.A.3)
- 1987 A decision as to whether an excipient is novel is based on prior usage of that excipient in products marketed
- 1988 in Canada.
- 1989 For novel excipients where a large amount of information is submitted, a high level summary of that
- 1990 information should be provided in this section and 3.2.A.3 should be referenced for additional information.
- 1991 Supporting information for excipients used in paediatric products at levels not previously used, should be
- 1992 provided in this section.
- 1993 A summary of toxicological information submitted in Module 4 to support a novel excipient or daily exposure
- 1994 of excipient should be listed here.

### P.5 Control of Drug Product 1995

- P.5.1 Specification(s) 1996
- 1997 The specification(s) for the drug product should be provided.
- The concept of "release and shelf life specifications" versus "regulatory acceptance criteria" is described in 1998
- 1999 ICH Q6A. Health Canada would consider either approach acceptable. More stringent release acceptance
- 2000 criteria for assay should be proposed in order to ensure that shelf life acceptance criteria are met throughout
- 2001 the labelled shelf life of the drug product. For example, release assay limits of 93.0-108.0% label claim would
- 2002 generally be acceptable when the shelf-life assay limits are 90.0-110.0% and degradation product levels
- 2003 increase less than 2.0% over the shelf-life period.
- 2004 If a Schedule B compendial monograph is applicable to the drug product, a sponsor can choose to declare a
- 2005 Manufacturer's Standard on the labelling which indicates that the material may differ in some respect from
- 2006 the compendial standard. However, the specifications must be acceptable to the Minister.

- 2007 A copy of the drug product specifications in accordance with C.02.018 and C.02.019 of the Food and Drug 2008 Regulations should be provided from the site responsible for release (e.g. drug product manufacturer,
- 2009 importer or distributor).
- 2010 The assay should include the chemical formula so that it is clear as to how the dose is declared (i.e. free
- 2011 acid/base vs. salt.)
- 2012 Dissolution method parameters (e.g. dissolution apparatus, rotation speed, dissolution medium and volume)
- 2013 should be listed as a footnote to the table or directly in the description of the test.
- 2014 Chemical names or unambiguous designations of impurities (e.g. USP or Ph.Eur. naming conventions or
- 2015 unambiguous company codes) that align with the description of the impurity structures in S.3.2 or P.5.5 of
- 2016 Module 3 or in the analytical procedure should be used in the drug product specification and the summary of
- 2017 the specification in 2.3.P.5.1 and in the CPID.
- 2018 If specifications are different for sterile powders and their reconstituted solutions, this information should be
- 2019 clearly identified.
- 2020 Periodic test schedules (skip lot testing) or alternate testing frequencies (sunset testing) proposed in
- 2021 accordance with ICH Q6A should be indicated on the specifications with the testing frequency clearly marked
- 2022 as a footnote. The data required to support testing which is not performed on a batch-by-batch basis varies.
- 2023 In general to reduce or skip testing after a certain point, supporting data from commercial scale batches
- 2024 using the current manufacturing method should be provided. The number of batches necessary to support
- 2025 reduced testing will be based on the risk of failure of a batch (e.g. reduced microbial testing for a solid oral
- 2026 product will require less justification than reduced residual solvent testing for products granulated with a
- 2027 solvent). Any proposal for periodic test schedules or alternate testing frequencies should be clearly
- 2028 highlighted in the discussion of the specifications and should be fully justified and based on supporting data,
- 2029 scientific rationale and a suitable risk assessment. Reduced testing schedules are always assessed on a case-
- 2030 by-case basis and will only be considered in cases where the supportive data are obtained from commercial
- 2031 scale batches.

- 2032 ICH's Q6A Guideline outlines recommendations for a number of universal and specific tests and criteria for
- 2033 drug products. The following table provides suggestions on specific tests and criteria that are not addressed
- 2034 by ICH's Q6A guideline.

Table 4: Recommended tests to be included in Specifications

Dosage Form	Specific Tests Recommended*
Modified- release products	A drug-release method which is shown to be discriminatory with respect to formulation and/or manufacturing variables.
Inhalation and Nasal Products	Consistency of delivered dose* (throughout the use of the product), particle or droplet size distribution profiles* (comparable to the product used in in vivo studies, where applicable), and if applicable for the dosage form, moisture content, leak rate, microbial limits, preservative assay, sterility, and weight loss.
Suppositories	Uniformity of dosage units, melting point.

Dosage Form	Specific Tests Recommended*					
Transdermals	Peel or shear force, mean weight per unit area, in vitro drug release, monitoring for crystal growth.					
Aqueous Solutions	pH, uniformity of dosage units (if packaged in a single-unit container), antimicrobial preservative content (if present), antioxidant preservative content (if present), osmolality/osmolarity (if relevant), particulate matter (for sterile products)  For sterile solutions - sterility, bacterial endotoxins					
* Where tests are more appropriate as developmental tests these would be provided in P.2 and justification for not including them as routine tests would be provided in P.5.6.						

If impurity specifications proposed for the reconstituted products are different from the shelf-life specifications for the unreconstituted product, this should be clearly identified.

2038 2039

Routine testing for nitrosamine impurities should be included in the drug product specification when:

2040 2041 2042

2043

- the potential for nitrosamine introduction during drug product manufacturing, packaging and storage is identified or
- a nitrosamine impurity is detected in the drug product during confirmatory testing and the root cause of presence is unknown

2044 Where such a risk is identified, a test and acceptance criteria for both release and shelf life specifications 2045 should be included in the drug application.

- 2046 Finished products are also expected to meet residual solvents requirements as per USP <467>.
- 2047 Although microbial control may be explicitly mentioned in the specification of certain dosage forms (e.g. 2048 liquid oral dosage forms), all products are expected to meet the minimum requirements for microbial control 2049 in accordance with USP <1111>. For low risk products, justification can be provided to omit testing from the 2050 specifications for routine product release.
- 2051 References:
- 2052 ICH Q3B, Q3C, Q6A
- 2053 Pharmaceutical Quality of Aqueous Solutions
- 2054 Nitrosamine impurities in medications: Guidance
- P.5.2 Analytical Procedures 2055
- 2056 The detailed summaries of analytical procedures used for testing the drug product should be provided.
- 2057 **Compendial methods:**
- 2058 The compendia give guidance as to how much variation is acceptable in a chromatographic method. All 2059 methods meeting these requirements do not need to be submitted.
- 2060 **House methods:**
- 2061 The house analytical procedures proposed for routine testing should be provided in Module 3. Summaries of 2062 methods used for drug development or differences between these methods and routine quality control

- 2063 methods (e.g. those used to support testing results in the drug submission) should be provided in P.5.4 or P.8
- 2064 of Module 3 as appropriate.
- 2065 The system suitability tests (SSTs) are an integral part of chromatographic analytical procedures. At a
- 2066 minimum, HPLC/UPLC and GC assay methods should include a SST for repeatability. For HPLC/UPLC methods
- 2067 to control degradation products, a SST for resolution or other appropriate indicators of column performance
- 2068 should also be included. Repeatability is typically demonstrated using a solution of the drug substance with a
- 2069 concentration corresponding to the limit for unspecified degradation products. Resolution of the two closest
- 2070 eluting peaks is generally recommended as a SST. However, choice of alternate peaks (e.g. choice of a toxic
- 2071 impurity) or another appropriate test to determine column performance could be used with justification. In
- 2072 accordance with the USP General Chapter on Chromatography, the repeatability test should include an
- 2073 acceptable number of replicate injections (i.e. five or six).
- 2074 **References:**
- 2075 ICH Q2
- P.5.3 Validation of Analytical Procedures 2076
- 2077 Analytical validation information, including experimental data, for the analytical procedures used for testing
- 2078 the drug product, should be provided.
- 2079 For compendial methods confirmation should be provided stating that the method validation/verification has
- 2080 been completed successfully as per the requirements in the relevant compendium.
- 2081 If a Schedule B compendial standard is claimed and a House method is used in lieu of the compendial method
- 2082 (e.g. for potency or for specified degradation products), equivalency of the House and compendial methods
- 2083 should be demonstrated. This could be accomplished by performing analyses of a batch containing significant
- 2084 levels of impurities by both methods and providing the results from the study.
- 2085 Partial revalidation may be necessary for methods that appear in a Schedule B compendial monograph (e.g. if
- 2086 excipients could interfere with assay). The compendial methods, as published, are typically validated using a
- 2087 drug substance or a drug product originating from a specific manufacturer. Different sources of the same
- 2088 drug substance or drug product can contain impurities and degradation products that were not considered
- during the development of the monograph. 2089
- 2090 Refer to S.4.3 for more information on presenting validation information.
- 2091 **References:**
- 2092 ICH Q2
- P.5.4 Batch Analyses 2093
- 2094 A description of batches and results of batch analyses should be provided.
- 2095 It is expected that drug product lots used in pivotal clinical studies and those submitted in the regulatory
- 2096 application (e.g. to establish specifications for potency, purity, dissolution and shelf life) are manufactured
- 2097 and tested according to the principles of GMP in order to ensure the reliability of the analytical test results.
- 2098 Deviations and Out of Specification (OOS) test results should be investigated in a timely manner and the
- 2099 results of the investigation summarized in the submission. Justifications with supporting data where
- 2100 necessary should be provided to support the use of the identified lots for setting regulatory specifications for
- 2101 release and stability.
- 2102 A tabulated summary of batches discussed in the submission to support safety, efficacy, product
- 2103 development, process validation and stability should be provided in the QOS and should include the batch
- 2104 number, strength, manufacturing site, manufacturing process, testing site, batch size, date of manufacture,

API batch number, and use of the batch. This is particularly helpful in situations where the formulation and/or method of manufacture and/or manufacturing site have undergone revisions throughout product or clinical development. Batches used in pivotal clinical trials should be clearly indicated. If any batches have multiple batch numbers (e.g. different batch numbering systems from clinical sites, or manufacturing batch numbers different from packaging batch numbers) the table should incorporate this information, so all batches and their uses can be properly identified.

### Number of batches and batch sizes:

2111

2116

2117

2118

2119

2120

2121

2122

2123

2124

2125

2126

2127

2128

2129

2141

2145

2112 It is generally expected that a minimum of three batches of each strength should be manufactured at a
2113 minimum of pilot scale from each proposed commercial manufacturing site, and that complete analytical
2114 results should be provided for those batches. Executed production documents for these batches should be
2115 provided as per R.1.1.

A pilot scale batch of a drug product is a batch manufactured by a procedure fully representative of and simulating that to be applied to a full production scale batch. In addition,

- for solid oral dosage forms, a pilot scale is generally, at a minimum, one-tenth that of a full production scale or 100,000 tablets or capsules, whichever is the larger;
- for liquid dosage forms (including lyophilized powders for reconstitution into a solution), a pilot scale
  is generally, at a minimum, one-tenth that of a full production scale or 20 litres, whichever is the
  larger. If the maximum proposed commercial batch size is less than 20 litres, the executed batches
  included in the drug submission should be manufactured at the maximum proposed commercial
  batch size.

In addition, batch analyses should be provided for batches used in pivotal clinical or bioequivalence studies and batches used for qualification of impurities. Bracketing or matrixing can be applied (e.g. if formulations are a common blend) and if scientifically justified by comparative data and understanding of the process. If matrixing is applied, then batch analyses for a minimum of one batch of each strength should be provided, ensuring that batches are provided from a minimum of two batches of common blend.

- 2130 For products for which a biowaiver is proposed based on the BCS Based Biowaiver guidance, consult the 2131 guidance document referenced below.
- 2132 Certificates of analysis for pivotal batch(es) should be provided in Module 3 P.5.4 or the regional information 2133 section. If certificates of analysis for the release testing of 3 executed batches of each strength are not 2134 provided in Module 3, the complete information from the certificates should be provided in tabular format. 2135 Tabulated summaries in the QOS should be sufficiently detailed including date and site of testing, date of 2136 manufacture of the batch, range, mean and relative standard deviation of individual results for content 2137 uniformity and dissolution, results of all tests conducted, quantitative results for all tests ('complies' is not 2138 sufficient), RRT and quantity of all unspecified impurities greater than the ICH reporting limit or the Limit of 2139 Quantitation (LOQ), as long as the LOQ is less than or equal to ICH reporting limits, and limits of detection 2140 where applicable (e.g. when impurities are not detected). Results of additional tests may be provided here or
- 2142 References:
- 2143 ICH Q2, Q3B, Q3C, Q3D, Q6A
- 2144 Biopharmaceutics Classification System Based Biowaiver

in P.5.6 to justify omission of certain tests from the specification.

- P.5.5 Characterisation of Impurities 2146
- 2147 Information on the characterisation of impurities should be provided, if not previously provided in "S.3.2
- 2148 Impurities".
- 2149 This information would include degradation products (e.g. from interaction of the drug substance with
- 2150 excipients or the container closure system), solvents in the manufacturing process for the drug product, etc.
- 2151 **References:**
- ICH Q3B, Q3C, Q3D, Q6A, M7 2152
- P.5.6 Justification of Specification(s) 2153
- 2154 Justification for the proposed drug product specification(s) should be provided.
- 2155 The recommended placement for the overall control strategy is Section P.5.6, preferably in tabular format,
- and should identify the critical quality attributes (CQAs) of the drug product and indicate the various control 2156
- 2157 points in the manufacturing process (e.g. material attributes and/or process parameters) which contribute to
- 2158 the effective control of each CQA, including whether it is tested in the finished product specification.
- 2159 Justification for tests not considered necessary to include in the specification should be provided (e.g. tests
- 2160 conducted during development or CQAs whose control is assured by a manufacturing process design space).
- 2161 The overall elemental impurity control strategy should be justified based on Q3D.
- 2162 In vitro Dissolution or Drug Release
- 2163 A dissolution test is an important performance indicating test and is often used to link changes in the product
- 2164 at various stages of its lifecycle. Its utility as an important test to make key decisions depends on how
- 2165 relevant the test is to product performance and whether it has any discriminatory power. Thus, depending on
- 2166 the level of information the dissolution test could be a simple quality control test used to ensure lot-to-lot
- 2167 similarity, or a surrogate for bioequivalence when an IVIVC is established.
- 2168 Dissolution results should be submitted for all relevant executed batches, including those lots used for
- 2169 pharmacokinetic and bioavailability studies (pivotal clinical lots). Results from pivotal clinical lots should be
- 2170 used as the basis for setting the specification and providing a link to the product's QTPP. Instances where
- 2171 clinical (pivotal) lot has expired (e.g. to justify a post-NOC change), a more recent commercial lot that
- 2172 represents the pivotal lot could be used instead as the reference if concurrent testing with the reference
- 2173 product is required. This should be supported by a justification that the reference lot meets the QTTP; any
- 2174 creep in formulation and/or manufacturing process should also be explained and evidence provided that the
- 2175 changes have not affected the dissolution performance.
- 2176 The results of studies justifying the choice of in vitro dissolution or drug release conditions (i.e. apparatus,
- 2177 rotation speed, medium) should be provided. This information may be provided elsewhere in the
- 2178 dossier/split between sections P.5.6, P.5.3 and P.2, as appropriate. Appropriate cross-references should be
- 2179 made to these other sections. Data should also be submitted to demonstrate whether the method is
- 2180 sensitive to changes in manufacturing processes and/or changes in grades and/or amounts of critical
- 2181 excipients. The dissolution method should be sensitive to any changes in the product that would result in a
- 2182 change in one or more of the pharmacokinetic parameters. The use of dissolution parameters from a
- 2183 dissolution method included in a pharmacopoeial drug product monograph or from the FDA Recommended
- 2184 Dissolution methods should be justified and the conditions should be shown to be relevant for the drug
- 2185 product under assessment.
- 2186 Alternatively, the specification can be based on the requirements listed in the guidance document
- 2187 "Biopharmaceutics Classification System Based Biowaiver" or when an IVIVC is established, the specifications
- 2188 can be based on IVIVC-simulated pharmacokinetic data.

2189 For immediate release drug products the use of single point test or a dissolution range should be justified 2190 based on the solubility and/or biopharmaceutical classification of the drug. For slowly dissolving or low 2191 solubility drugs if the time to achieve ≥85% (NLT 80% (Q) according to USP) exceeds 30 minutes, a two-point 2192 test should be considered. Dissolution testing and therefore dissolution drug product specifications are 2193 formulation and drug product specific tests. Therefore it is the expectation that the specifications be 2194 representative of the lots used in the bioequivalence study(ies). Specifications should be representative of 2195 the release of the biolot(s), hence it may be necessary to define acceptance criteria which are tighter than 2196 those cited within compendial monographs.

Modified-release dosage forms should have a meaningful in vitro release rate (dissolution) test that is used for routine quality control. Preferably this test should possess in vivo - in vitro correlation. Results demonstrating the effect of pH on the dissolution profile should be submitted if appropriate for the type of dosage form. Ideally, the testing conditions should be set to cover the entire time period of expected in vivo release (e.g. 12-hour release for B.I. D.) unless a shorter timeframe is justified (e.g. using clinical / bioequivalence/pharmacokinetic studies). At least three time points should be included in the specifications. The first time point should be at the early stage of drug release where about 20-30% is dissolved to ensure the absence of dose dumping. The middle time point should be at about 50% release and the final time point at about 80-85% to demonstrate release of all drug contained in the dosage form. At each test period, upper and lower limits should be set for individual units. A single sided limit (e.g. NLT 85%) is appropriate at the last test point to demonstrate full release of the drug substance. Generally, the range in acceptance criteria at each intermediate test point should not exceed 20% (e.g. ± 10% of the targeted value) without IVIVC or clinical/bioequivalence data to support wider limits.

For opioids and other drug products (e.g. modified release products) where inadvertent dose dumping could be potentially fatal to the patient, information on drug release in the presence of alcohol should be provided to demonstrate absence of dose dumping. Typically, this would involve a one-time dissolution study in an aqueous medium containing ethanol (e.g. release in 5%, 20% and 40% aqueous ethanol solutions to represent ethanol consumption).

The method development and validation should not be limited to validation of the method used for quantification (UV, HPLC/UPLC, etc.) but should include the capacity of the method to discriminate between formulation and manufacturing variables and the rationale for the choice of the type of dissolution apparatus, stirrer speed (RPM), volume and pH of the dissolution medium etc. If a surfactant is used, both the choice of surfactant and the concentration should be justified. If a surfactant is justified, the minimum level of surfactant required to reach sink conditions should be selected. The RSD for dissolution at time points beyond the initial time point should be less than 10%. Evidence that the method is discriminatory should also be included in section P.4.3.

### Transdermal patch adhesion:

2224 Adhesion of the patch should be tested to assess the patch's adhesive property (also termed a peel test or 2225 shear test). It is a numerical value obtained from an in vitro test and is useful to detect any manufacturing 2226 anomaly and serves as an index to monitor stability. The in vitro method for testing patch adhesion generally 2227 has little correlation with its adhesion property on patients/volunteers. Hence, the proposed patch adhesion 2228 numbers in the specification should be linked to the adhesion observed in the clinical studies on 2229 patients/volunteers.

#### 2230 **References:**

- 2231 ICH Q3D, Q6A
- 2232 Biopharmaceutics Classification System Based Biowaiver

2197

2198

2199

2200

2201

2202

2203

2204

2205

2206

2207

2208

2209

2210

2211

2212

2213

2214

2215

2216

2217

2218

2219

2220

2221

2222

#### P.6 Reference Standards or Materials 2233

- 2234 Information on the reference standards or reference materials used for testing of the drug product should be
- 2235 provided, if not previously provided in "S.5 Reference Standards or Materials".

#### P.7 Container Closure System 2236

- 2237 A description of the container closure systems should be provided, including the identity of materials of
- 2238 construction of each primary packaging component and its specification. Specifications should be provided
- 2239 from both the vendor and drug product manufacturer. However, if the two are identical, then the drug
- 2240 product manufacturer's specifications should be provided in conjunction with confirmation that they are
- 2241 identical to those from the vendor. The specifications should include description and identification (and
- 2242 critical dimensions, with drawings where appropriate). Non-compendial methods (with validation) should be
- 2243 included, where appropriate.

2252

- 2244 Certificates of compliance, if relevant, can be provided from either the vendor or drug product manufacturer.
- 2245 For functional secondary packaging components, the amount of additional information which should be
- 2246 provided depends on the purpose of the container. For minor functional secondary packaging components
- 2247 (e.g. cartons where the product is light sensitive), only a brief description should be provided.
- 2248 Suitability information (e.g. qualification data) should be provided in P.2.
- 2249 Provide a description and specifications for the packaging components that:
- 2250 a. come in direct contact with the dosage form (container, closure (e.g. rubber stoppers), liner, 2251 desiccant);
  - b. are used as a protective barrier to help ensure stability or sterility (e.g. nitrogen headspace);
- 2253 c. are used for drug delivery (e.g. syringe, dropper, measuring cup);
- 2254 d. are necessary to ensure drug product quality during transportation;
- 2255 If a Master File (MF) is filed with Health Canada and cross-referenced for certain proprietary information (e.g.
- 2256 composition), provide the MF number assigned by Health Canada.
- 2257 If processing agents (e.g. silicone for stoppers) are applied by the vendor then they should be listed in this
- 2258 section rather than P.3.2 or 3.3. Include all proposed market containers as well as sample packs for physicians
- 2259 and containers used for bulk storage.
- 2260 The information for the container closure system depends on the dosage form and route of administration.
- 2261 The following table outlines the general recommendations for routine testing for various dosage forms. For
- 2262 additional testing required to qualify a container closure system see section P.2.

Specifications for routine testing	Oral and Topical	Inhalation	Sterile Products (including Ophthalmics)
Name, physical description, dimensions (e.g. thickness)	✓	✓	✓
Specific identification tests (e.g. IR) for components that come in direct contact with the dosage form or are primary packaging components	✓	✓	✓
Performance characteristics necessary for product delivery	✓   (if applicable)	✓	✓

The checkmark represents tests that should be included routinely in the container closure component specifications.

2267

2268

2269

2270

2271

2272

2273

2274

Results of extractable/leachable studies should be provided for components in contact with aqueous solutions. The tests should investigate the aqueous (and other applicable solvents) extraction of the plastic to characterize or determine the presence of impurities or extractables. If possible, the extraction media should also include the drug vehicle to be used. Testing should meet the requirements of the USP General Chapters <87/88> Biological Reactivity, and the Health Canada guides, Pharmaceutical Quality of Aqueous Solutions or Pharmaceutical Quality of Inhalation and Nasal Products Guidance as applicable for the intended dosage form. Additional results from extraction and/or leachable studies may be warranted depending on the characteristics of the drug product and the primary components of the container closure system (e.g. risk of glass delamination).

2275 **References:** 

- 2276 Pharmaceutical Quality of Aqueous Solutions
- Pharmaceutical Quality of Inhalation and Nasal Products Guidance 2277
- 2278 USP <1663> Assessment of Extractables Associated with Pharmaceutical Packaging/Delivery Systems
- 2279 USP <1664> Assessment of Drug Product Leachables Associated with Pharmaceutical Packaging/Delivery
- 2280 systems

### P.8 Stability 2281

- 2282 As outlined in ICH's Q1A guidance document, the purpose of stability testing is to provide evidence on how
- 2283 the quality of a drug product varies with time under the influence of a variety of environmental factors such
- 2284 as temperature, humidity, and light, and to establish a shelf life for the drug product and recommended
- 2285 storage conditions.
- 2286 References:
- 2287 ICH Q1A, Q1B, Q1C, Q1D, Q1E

2288	P.8.1 Stability Summary and Conclusions			
2289 2290 2291	The types of studies conducted, protocols used, and the results of the studies should be summarized. The summary should include, for example, conclusions with respect to storage conditions and shelf life, and, if applicable, in-use storage conditions and shelf life.			
2292	Stress testing:			
2293 2294	As outlined in ICH's Q1A guidance document, photostability testing should be conducted on at least one primary batch of the drug product if appropriate.			
2295 2296 2297 2298	Results of the stress studies conducted to show degradation of the drug product should demonstrate that the analytical procedures used for the purity and potency tests are stability-indicating and observe the massbalance (process of adding together the assay value and levels of degradation products to add up closely to 100%).			
2299 2300 2301	Additional stress testing of certain types of dosage forms may be appropriate (e.g. cyclic freeze-thaw studies for liquids, orientation of the container closure system (such as inverted), semi-solids and transdermal patches).			
2302 2303	Representative chromatograms of stress studies showing 10-20% degradation of the API should be submitted.			
2304	Accelerated and long term testing:			
2305 2306 2307 2308 2309	The conditions for stability testing of drug products are outlined in ICH's Q1A guidance document. The following storage conditions and minimum data at the time of submission are recommended by ICH's Q1A guidance document for the Primary Batches. Other storage conditions can be proposed based on the proposed labelled storage conditions (e.g. 8 - 15°C). It is recommended that alternate storage conditions are based on evaluation of mean kinetic temperature over the labelled storage range.			
2310 2311 2312 2313 2314 2315	Stability information from accelerated and long term testing should be provided on at least three primary batches of each strength manufactured and packaged in each type of container closure system proposed for marketing. Two of the three batches should be at least pilot scale batches, and the third one can be smaller, if justified. Bracketing and matrixing can be applied, if scientifically justified (e.g. based on surface area to volume ratio, headspace to volume ratio, water vapour permeation rate or oxygen permeation rate per dosage unit or unit fill volume).			
2316 2317 2318	For batches that are smaller than pilot scale, the chemistry of degradation and performance indicating tests (e.g. dissolution) should be scale independent. The small scale batch may be a development batch manufactured in a non-GMP research plant, provided it is representative of the impurity profile and			

2320

2321

functional characteristics of the larger batches.

Refer to section S.7.1 for additional information on reporting stability information.

# Table 6: General case for stability studies of the drug product

Study	Storage Condition	Minimum Time Period Covered by Data at Submission
Long term	25°C ± 2°C / 60% RH ± 5% RH	12 months (6 months for existing drugs)
Intermediate	30°C ± 2°C / 65% RH ± 5% RH	6 months (if applicable)
Accelerated	40°C ± 2°C / 75% RH ± 5% RH	6 months

2323 2324

2325

2326

2327

2328

2335

2336

2337

2338

2339

2340

2341

2342

2343

2344

2345

2346

2347

2348

2349

2350

2351

2352

2353

2354

2355

2356

2322

When "significant change" occurs at any time during testing over the 6 month period at the accelerated storage condition, additional testing at the intermediate storage condition should be conducted and evaluated against significant change criteria. The initial application should include a minimum of 6 months' data from a 12-month study at the intermediate storage condition. See ICH's Q1A guidance document for definition of "significant change".

2329 Changes to a product after opening should be assessed for multiple-dose sterile products and for products 2330 where the labelling indicates a specific in-use period (this information may also be provided in P.2.6). In-use 2331 periods should be justified with data where applicable and consistent with product labelling (e.g. for 2332 ophthalmic products containing a preservative in use periods should be justified with experimental data). 2333 Multiple-dose ophthalmic products with no in-use period are assumed to have an in-use period of 28 days. 2334 Data should be provided to support this period or a period that would cover the use of the entire product.

The information on the stability studies should include details such as storage conditions, strength, batch number, batch size, type of container closure system (including use of desiccants), orientation for liquid dosage forms (e.g. upright, inverted), and completed (and proposed) test intervals. Data should be summarized in tabular format for all batches/strengths/container closure systems which exhibit similar stability profiles. This should include ranges of analytical results and/or relevant results for justifying the proposed shelf life (e.g. maximum values for each timepoint if an increasing trend is observed for impurities).

The discussion of results should focus on observations noted for the various tests, rather than reporting comments such as "All tests meet specifications". Any trends that were observed or statistical analysis performed should be discussed.

# Monitoring of transportation

For a drug product posing a higher risk (e.g. sterile drug product or a drug product where a humidity sensitive intermediate is transported prior to final packaging), a transportation study is recommended to support the proposed strategy for shipping and handling of the drug product. The transportation study should be adequate to support conclusions regarding selection of appropriate packaging materials, mode(s) of transportation, necessary controls on shipping conditions (e.g. temperature and humidity), maintenance of sterility, and shelf-life. The study protocol should take into account the nature of the drug product, local conditions, modes of transportation, and any seasonal variations experienced, as well as describe any special handling instructions. When warranted, either the results of a transportation study or a protocol and a commitment to complete the study prior to marketing the drug product should be provided.

### Proposed storage conditions and shelf life:

The proposed storage conditions with suitable tolerances (e.g. a temperature range with upper and lower criteria) representative of temperature conditions for which supporting data is provided as well as the shelf

- 2357 life for the drug product should be stated. If more than one packaging format is available with different
- 2358 storage conditions and/or shelf-life the container closure system should be included.
- 2359 When the drug product has been shown to be stable (e.g. under the ICH conditions with long term studies at
- 2360 25°C ± 2°C/60% RH ± 5% RH and accelerated studies at 40°C ± 2°C/75% RH ± 5% RH) without any adverse
- trends, the following storage recommendation would generally be considered acceptable: 2361
- 2362 "Store at room temperature (15°C to 30°C)".
- 2363 If any adverse trends are observed, other storage recommendations may be warranted (e.g. "Store at room
- 2364 temperature (15°C to 25°C)").
- 2365 Open ended storage conditions such as "Store below 30°C" (i.e. without mentioning store at room
- 2366 temperature) should not be used, unless stability data have been provided to demonstrate stability under
- 2367 refrigerated and frozen conditions. Stability data from studies conducted at temperatures below 15°C should
- 2368 be included for drug products which may be susceptible to precipitation or low temperature induced changes
- 2369 (e.g. solutions, suspensions and solid dispersions).
- 2370 Based on the assessment of the stability data, other storage precautions should be assessed and
- 2371 precautionary statements added to the labelling if warranted (e.g. "Protect from light", "Protect from
- 2372 moisture", "Store in the overwrap provided"). Precautionary statements should not be a substitute for
- 2373 selecting the appropriate container closure system.
- 2374 If justified, at the time of the application for market authorization the real time data generated under long
- 2375 term storage conditions can be extrapolated according to ICH Q1E to extend the shelf life period.
- 2376 **References:**
- 2377 ICH Q1B, Q1C, Q1D, Q1E
- 2378 Guidelines for Temperature control of Drug Products during Storage and Transportation
- P.8.2 Post-approval Stability Protocol and Stability Commitment 2379
- 2380 The post-approval stability protocol and stability commitment should be provided.
- 2381 When available long term stability data on primary batches do not cover the proposed shelf life granted at
- 2382 the time of approval, or stability data submitted is on pilot scale batches, a commitment should be made to
- 2383 continue the stability studies for primary batches in order to firmly establish the shelf life. If the primary
- 2384 batches are not commercial scale, a commitment should be provided that commercial size production
- 2385 batches will be studied post-approval. These batches would normally be the process validation batches. The
- 2386 long term stability studies for the Commitment Batches should be conducted through the proposed shelf life,
- 2387 and for six months under accelerated conditions on at least three production batches of each strength.
- 2388 A Continuing (i.e. ongoing) Stability Program is a requirement of Division 2 of the Food and Drug
- 2389 Regulations (GMPs) and is implemented to ensure on-going compliance with the authorised shelf life
- 2390 specifications. A minimum of one batch of each strength, if manufactured that year, in each type of container
- 2391 closure system and from each commercial manufacturing site is placed in the continuing stability program
- 2392 each year. If no batches are manufactured during the year, the first batch manufactured in the subsequent
- 2393 year should be placed on stability.

- 2395 The stability protocols for the Commitment Batches and Continuing (i.e. ongoing) Batches should include, but not limited to: 2396
- 2397 a. Number of batches per strength and batch sizes;
- 2398 b. Tests and acceptance criteria;
- 2399 c. Container closure system(s);
- 2400 d. Testing frequency; and
- 2401 e. Storage conditions (and tolerances) of samples.
- 2402 Bracketing and matrixing can be applied if justified. Any differences in the stability protocols used for the
- 2403 primary batches and those proposed for the Commitment Batches or Continuing Batches should be
- 2404 scientifically justified.
- 2405 P.8.3 Stability Data
- 2406 Results of the stability studies should be presented in an appropriate format (e.g. tabular, graphical,
- 2407 narrative). Information on the analytical procedures used to generate the data and validation of these
- 2408 procedures should be included.
- 2409 The summary presented in the QOS should include data presented in a way that it illustrates the stability
- 2410 conclusions (e.g. only highest and lowest values recorded in summary, or values that best represent the data
- 2411 and trends, highest levels of impurity recorded for all batches at the latest timepoint) and discussion on the
- 2412 stability trends. If appropriate, data from different batches or formats can be combined in a single data to
- illustrate conclusions. Only data representative of the stability of the product should be summarized. 2413
- 2414 Information on characterisation of impurities is located in P.5.5.
- 2415 The actual stability results (i.e. raw data) used to support the proposed shelf life should be provided in
- 2416 Module 3 of the drug submission and tabulated by batch and timepoint. For quantitative tests (e.g. individual
- 2417 and total degradation product tests and assay tests), it should be ensured that actual numerical results are
- 2418 provided rather than vague statements such as "within limits" or "conforms".
- 2419 All impurities observed above the reporting threshold should be reported and identified by name if known, or
- 2420 by retention time or applicable code if unknown.
- A Appendices 2421
- A.1 Facilities and Equipment 2422
- 2423 Not applicable (i.e. not a Biotech product)
- A.2 Adventitious Agents Safety Evaluation 2424
- 2425 Information assessing the risk with respect to potential contamination with adventitious agents should be
- 2426 provided in this section.
- 2427 For non-viral adventitious agents:
- 2428 Detailed information should be provided on the avoidance and control of non-viral adventitious agents (e.g.
- 2429 transmissible spongiform encephalopathy agents, bacteria, mycoplasma, fungi). This information can include
- 2430 for example, certification and or testing of raw materials and excipients and control of the production
- 2431 process as appropriate for the material, process and agent.
- 2432 Potential contamination with mycotoxins should be considered for fermentation products from fungi.

2433 2434 2435 2436	For excipients of human or animal origin (e.g. glycerin, gelatin), information should be provided. This information could include certification from a recognized regulatory authority (e.g. EDQM Certificate of Suitability) or appropriate information on source (e.g. species, country of origin, tissue) and processing that minimizes the risk of transmission.
2437	A.3 Excipients
2438 2439 2440 2441	For excipient(s) used for the first time in Canada (novel excipients) in a drug product or by a new route of administration, full details of manufacture, characterisation, and controls, with cross references to supporting safety data (nonclinical and/or clinical) should be provided in this section or in a cross-referenced MF in the CTD format.
2442 2443	If the excipient has been used in products marketed in other jurisdictions, this information can be submitted as a supporting justification for the use.

# R Regional Information 2444

2445	R.1 Production Documentation
2446	R.1.1 Executed Production Documents
2447 2448 2449 2450 2451 2452 2453 2454 2455 2456 2457	Documents for a minimum of 2 batches including 1 batch for each proposed strength should be provided. Copies of the executed production documents (English or French original or translated) for the drug product should be provided for the batches used in the pivotal clinical and/or comparative bioavailability studies. Any notations made by operators on the executed production documents should be clearly legible. When there are multiple pivotal batches (i.e. 2 or more), executed production documentation submitted can be limited to 1 pivotal batch per strength as long as executed documents are provided for a minimum of 2 batches that cover the range of strengths. When 2 or more pivotal batches have been manufactured and a suitable matrixing/bracketing approach is proposed, a minimum of 2 pivotal executed batches per product should be provided and executed documents from a minimum of the highest and lowest strength per manufacturing site should be included. When a batch of a strength which has not been used for a pivotal study is submitted, the executed document for the primary stability batch should be submitted.
2458 2459 2460 2461	The documentation submitted for executed batches should be for products manufactured by a procedure fully representative of and simulating that to be applied to a full production scale batch. For solid oral dosage forms, a pilot scale is generally, at a minimum, one-tenth that of a full production scale or 100,000 tablets or capsules, whichever is the larger.
2462 2463 2464	Generally, executed documents for one batch of each strength should be provided. Representative documentation from each commercial manufacturing site should be provided. Bracketing or matrixing is acceptable, if scientifically justified.
2465 2466	Executed packaging records are not required for non-sterile products. For sterile products, only the primary packaging executed packaging records are required.
2467	High Risk Products:
2468	Documentation for at least one commercial size lot should be submitted (see P 2.3).
2469	Post-NOC Changes:
2470 2471	Information on Post-NOC changes that require executed batch records are addressed in the Post-NOC Changes guidance document.
2472	R.1.2 Master Production Documents (MPDs)
2473 2474	Copies of the drug product MPDs should be provided for each proposed strength, commercial batch size, and manufacturing site

- 2476 The details in the master production documents should include, but are not limited to, the following:
- 2477 a. The name and batch number of the product;
- 2478 b. Dates and times of commencement, of significant intermediate stages and of completion of 2479 production;
- 2480 c. precautions necessary to ensure product quality (e.g. temperature and humidity control, maximum 2481 holding times, total processing time);
  - d. dispensing, processing and packaging sections with relevant material and operational details;
  - e. relevant calculations (e.g. if the amount of drug substance is adjusted based on the potency results or on the anhydrous basis);
  - f. identification of all equipment by type and working capacity (if applicable);
  - g. process parameters (e.g. mixing time, mixing speed, milling screen size, processing temperature range, tablet machine speed, vial filling speed);
  - h. list of in-process tests (e.g. appearance, pH, assay, blend uniformity, viscosity, particle size distribution, LOD, weight variation, hardness, disintegration time, weight gain during coating, leaker test, minimum fill, clarity, bioburden, filter integrity test, 100% visual inspection);
  - i. Notes on special problems including details, for any deviation from the Manufacturing Formula and Processing Instructions;
  - j. sampling plan with regard to the steps where sampling should be done (e.g. drying, lubrication, compression):
  - k. number of samples that should be tested (e.g. blend drawn using a sampling thief from x number of different parts of the blender);
    - frequency of testing (e.g. weight variation every x minutes during compression or capsule filling);
  - theoretical yield and provision for the actual yield.
- 2500 Where any of this information is included in a SOP, MPDs should clearly reference the SOP by name, number 2501 or code. Where documents are updated frequently, a reference to the current version of the document can 2502 be made rather than including a specific version number.
  - For sterile products, instructions for cleaning, sterilization, and if relevant, depyrogenation procedures for equipment and primary container closure system components should be provided in the MPDs or in referenced SOPs. If the production instructions or critical control parameters are present in SOPs, the SOP should be provided. Examples of SOPs which should be provided are:
    - Procedures which contain Bubble Point test parameters (acceptance criteria)
    - Aseptic Filtration of Bulk Solution (e.g. specification of filling speed, filters used)
    - Procedures for aseptic filling, stoppering, lyophilization or autoclave loading and operation parameters, unloading, sealing
    - Procedure for dispensing of Raw Materials (if this contains formulation information)
- 2512 Procedures for operation of critical equipment (e.g. blending vessels, 100% visual testing where the 2513 Acceptable Quality Levels are listed in the SOP).
- 2514 A brief summary of SOP titles listed in production documents should be provided in the submission, and if 2515 requested by the assessor, the SOP should be available.

# **R.2 Medical Devices**

- 2517 Combination products are classified as either medical devices or drugs according to the principal mechanism
- 2518 of action by which the claimed effect to purpose is achieved. Those combination products that have been
- 2519 classified as devices include drug coated devices such as catheters, pacemaker leads, drug impregnated

2482

2483 2484

2485

2486

2487

2488

2489

2490

2491

2492

2493

2494

2495 2496

2497

2498

2499

2503

2504

2505

2506

2507

2508

2509

2510

2511

2520 2521 2522 2523 2524	devices. Those that have been classified as drugs include prefilled syringes, transdermal patches, peritoneal dialysis solutions, implants whose primary purpose is to release a drug. For those combination products classified as drugs, relevant product information should be provided as per this guidance. Where the device forms part of the primary packaging (i.e. is in contact with the product during storage) it should be described under P.7.
2525 2526 2527 2528	If relevant, for novel medical devices used to deliver the dosage form that are external to the drug product (e.g. inhalation devices) a description, details of the composition and specifications should be provided. Data to demonstrate suitability of the administration device should also be provided. If the device is provided with the drug product, it should be described in the CPID-CE.
2529	R.3 Acceptable Compendial Monographs
2530 2531	The compendial monographs listed in this section are recognized as official according to Schedule B to the <i>Food and Drugs Act</i> .
2532 2533	The most recent editions, including all errata, supplements, revisions and addenda, of the following standards:
2534 2535 2536 2537 2538 2539 2540	European Pharmacopoeia (Ph.Eur.) Pharmacopée française (Ph.F.) Pharmacopoeia Internationalis (Ph.I.) The British Pharmacopoeia (B.P.) The Canadian Formulary (C.F.) The National Formulary (N.F.) The Pharmaceutical Codex: Principles and Practices of Pharmaceuticals The United States Pharmacopoeia
2541	Footnote

1. The abbreviation S(A)NDS refers to either an SNDS or an SANDS.