

Montréal, May 15, 2013

Ms. Anne-Marie Gaudet Executive Secretary COFEX/FRP 1550 D'Estimauville, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor Québec (Quebec) G1J 0C1

O/Ref.: 101-53046-03

Subject: Nunavik Nickel Project, Canadian Royalties Inc. Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for the Development of Port Infrastructure and Sediment Management in Deception Bay Additional Information

Dear Ms. Gaudet:

A copy of the above-mentioned report was sent to you in November of 2012. Following its analysis, questions from COFEX-N were emailed to Ms. Gail Amyot of Canadian Royalties Inc. (CRI), on May 1<sup>st</sup>, 2013.

The following provides the requested clarifications and additional information.

Please note that the preliminary design of the permanent wharf presented in the assessment submitted in November 2012 has been revised by CRI's design engineers. The revised plans are included in Appendix 1.

The main changes made to the design of the permanent wharf are:

- The north cell has been moved nearer to the south cell and on the same axis;
- The design of the bridge connecting the shore with the south cell has been revised; a bridge with a central support is proposed;
- Loading and unloading operations will be conducted on sheet pile cells;
- The two cells will be connected by a catwalk wide enough for a side by side ATV to pass;
- Signal lights have been added to the north and south cells;
- De-icing systems for sheet pile walls have been removed as they were deemed unnecessary.

The sheet pile cells' new approximate coordinates and UTM projection coordinates (datum NAD 83, Zone 18 N) are indicated on the included plans. They are:

South cell	
• 62°08'20.7''N	• X = 516631.484m East
• 74°40'50.6''W	• Y = 6889715.450m North
North cell	
• 62°08'22''N	• X = 516594.735m East

• 74°40'54.2"W • Y = 6889756.371m North

It was decided to carry out the ship loading and unloading operations directly from the sheet pile cells. As CRI will own the ship, the need to reduce the time required for these operations is no longer a constraint. These operations will be just as safe; the ship will dock directly on the sheet pile cells which will be protected by defense systems.

Development of the bridge will require the construction of an intermediary support. This will consists in posts driven down right to the bedrock, which will have riprap protection. The latter is required to prevent ice from damaging the posts, and thus to preserve their integrity. The bridge's intermediary support will be constructed from the bridge's deck, which will be temporarily supported by a jack-up barge.

The sheet pile cells will be constructed from the bridge's other span for the south cell and from a barge for the north cell.

It should be noted that in order to counteract the impact of ice on the cells, the sheet pile walls will be strengthened with vertical reinforced concrete panels fixed onto their inner side (plan 506117\_8000\_41D1\_0009, plan 506117\_8000\_42D1\_004).

A reinforced concrete ring will top the sheet pile wall to eventually anchor ladders and defense systems (plan 506117\_8000\_41D1\_0010). Concrete slabs will also be attached. These slabs, of varying lengths and widths depending on their use, will be used to install the following facilities:

- Catwalk supports connecting the two sheet pile cells: one support for each cell;
- Bridge support: one support on the south cell only;
- Anchoring of bollards;
- Anchoring of signal lights and related electric system.

Plans 506117\_8000\_41D1\_0009 and 506117\_8000\_42D1\_0001 show the general layout of these facilities.

This new design has considerably diminished the volumes of sediment needing to be dredged. The installation of cell 1 (north) will require some 15,000 m<sup>3</sup> of dredging (initially 23,000 m<sup>3</sup>), whereas the dredging for cell 2 (south) is estimated

at around 7,000 m<sup>3</sup> (initially 20,000 m<sup>3</sup>). Thus, an approximate total of 22,000 m<sup>3</sup> of sediment will be dredged. The volume was determined by comparing the surfaces using a computer assisted design (CAD) software program.

The volume of rock required for the riprap protection around the cells has also been reduced. It will consist of different-calibre rock placed as shown on plan 506117\_8000\_41-D1\_0007. Around cell 1 (north), the volume is estimated at 13,100 m<sup>3</sup>, covering 2,920 m<sup>2</sup>, whereas the revised volume for cell 2 (south) is 5,700 m<sup>3</sup> over 1,535 m<sup>2</sup>.

The permanent wharf's revised design concept will modify some 5,400 m<sup>2</sup> of fish habitat: the sheet pile cells will cover the same area, that is around 940 m<sup>2</sup>, while the riprap surrounding them will cover around 4,455 m<sup>2</sup>. The intermediary support installed in the tidal range area will also be protected from the impact of ice by riprap, covering some 470 m<sup>2</sup>. Contrary to the initial design, the riprap surrounding the sheet pile cells will be permanently submerged, thus only the riprap around the intermediary support will be above water at low tide.

Therefore, despite the fact that the riprap shall be colonized by aquatic vegetation and epibenthos, thus generating fish habitats for feeding, as well as the spaces between rocks providing protection against predators or resting areas, an area estimated at 5,400 m<sup>2</sup> will be considered and be the subject of fish compensation measures under the Fisheries Act (LCR, 1985, c.F-14) as for fish habitat protection measures.

For any questions regarding this document, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Best regards,

Gail Amyot, Eng. M.Sc. Vice-President Environment, Health and Safety Canadian Royalties Inc.

- Encl. Answers to Questions and Comments
  Appendix 1 Revised Permanent Wharf Plans (signed and sealed)
  Appendix 2 Stantec Consulting Ltd.: Geotechnical Reports
  Appendix 3 GENIVAR: Technical Note: Dredging Methods
- c.c.: Mr. Claude D'Astous, MDDEFP (central) Ms. Alexandra Roio, MDDEFP (central) Ms. Isabelle Dorion, MDDEFP (regional) Mr. Michael Barrett, KRG-KEAC Ms. Mishall Naseer, ARTIN Ms. Natalie Gagné, GENIVAR INC.

COFEX-N QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS

### Issues

1- Detail checks made to the slope stability (slope justification), the dredging and the riprap protection that will be installed.

2- Information regarding the choice and anchoring of structures and their long-term stability (plans show that the material surrounding the 2 sheet pile cells is excavated to be replaced with stone). If the riprap protection is not efficient due to improper calibre of stone or non-compliance with filtering criteria, would the stability of the 2 cells be compromised?

3- Information regarding extreme conditions (studies on agitation, winds, ice, earthquakes, etc.) and the way they are considered in the infrastructure design (conceptual criteria to be used).

## Answers – Issues:

- 1- CRI mandated Stantec Consulting Ltd. (Stantec) to prepare a complete geotechnical report including the required design parameters for the construction of the proposed Deception Bay permanent wharf. A copy of this report is included in Appendix 2 of the present document.
- 2- The stability analyses are presented in the geotechnical report (Stantec, 2013). Calculations were made regarding the type of riprap to be placed around the cells so as to ensure the overall stability of the sheet pile cells considering the loads as well as the impact of ice, in addition to offering protection against the potential scouring of the sea bed generated by ship motors. It is important to note that work will be conducted under the supervision of work site supervisors mandated by CRI to ensure compliance with the requirements of the plans and specifications.
- 3- Extreme conditions were taken into account when designing the permanent wharf and related structures.

#### Detailed Questions

a. The slope will need to be checked to see if it has stabilized after the landslide. Has corrective work been carried out?

Answer:

Following the events of July 2011, large rocks were removed so as to maintain navigability near the bank. As for the slope, it has stabilized naturally. The design that was finally chosen by the engineers, together with CRI specialists, for the development of port infrastructure at Deception Bay is based on an exhaustive characterization of the proposed site, surveys having been conducted in the

summer of 2012, after the landslide. The site chosen for the construction of the sheet pile cells is optimal considering the nature of soils and bedrock as well as the navigational constraints.

b. There is probably a fault/weakness in the slope, is there ongoing erosion?

Answer:

The clay to silty clay layer has a low bearing capacity. This layer shall be dredged prior to the installation of the sheet pile cells following the recommendations of Stantec's 2012 and 2013 geotechnical reports. The sea bed is stable and there are no signs of ongoing erosion.

#### Dredging

a. The clay could be sensitive. We do not have the physical properties of the clay.

Answer:

The clay's properties are clearly defined in Stantec's geotechnical reports (Stantec, 2012; Stantec, 2013).

b. Have detailed geotechnical studies been carried out?

Answer:

The geotechnical reports (Stantec, 2012; Stantec, 2013) are available in Appendix 2 of the present document.

c. Have the geotechnical survey's data been analyzed with recommendations by a geological engineer?

Answer:

The geotechnical reports including recommendations from a geological engineer (Stantec, 2012; Stantec, 2013) are available in Appendix 2 of this document.

d. Has the stability of the excavation slope during dredging work been assessed?

Answer:

The geotechnical reports including slope stability analyses (Stantec, 2012; Stantec, 2013) are available in Appendix 2 of this document.

e. The 3:1 slopes might not be stable (given the presence of clay) during the work period. The dredging volumes could be larger with a greater production of suspended matter.

Answer:

Experts estimate that a 3:1 excavation slope is realistic, but it could reach 5:1, in which case the land-based facilities for the management of sediment have an adequate storage capacity.

f. How can we be sure of the stability of slopes?

Answer:

See Stantec's 2013 geotechnical report included in Appendix 2.

g. The 1-month at 16-h/day dredging scenario, dredging 100 m<sup>3</sup>/hour, is really highly optimistic (the pace of dredging will create lots of turbidity). Is there a more realistic Option B if dredging work was to be slowed down by weather conditions and the schedule could not be changed (critical path)?

Answer:

Sediment dredging could be conducted over a period which could reach hours per day. An estimated 15 days of dredging will be required for each of the sheet pile cells.

A technical note comparing various dredging methods is presented in Appendix 3. CRI favours the use of an environmental clamshell that would reduce the resuspension of fine particles during dredging, which is why this method was presented in the ESIA. However, a hydraulic method could be accepted if the compliance of that water returning to Deception Bay with the water quality criteria applicable to the Deception Bay is demonstrated. As indicated in the technical note, the hydraulic dredging method seems to be faster when conditions are optimal (absence of rock).

The contractor mandated by CRI to carry out the dredging work is aware of the conditions and constraints specific to the Deception Bay. The contract documents stipulate that the contractor must chose the work method to be used and demonstrate that it meets the performance requirements specified in the tender documents.

## Section 7: Project Description

a. Has an agitation report been completed? The wind data only covers 10 years and are over 40 years old. The range of data seems insufficient and unrepresentative.

Answer:

Wind measurements at Deception Bay are rare (private data not available). The winds measured at the Salluit A (airport) weather station, situated over 50 km to the east, are used to give a general portrait, for the period from 1992 to 2012. Specialists had to work with available data.

During the development of the first wharf design in 2010, the environmental conditions were considered when determining the minimum required height of the wharf. This assessment was based on tide variations, wave heights and the possible rise in water levels due to climate changes. The height of the sheet pile cells was set based on these considerations, this has not changed from the first design.

b. Have the structures been designed for extreme conditions (only averages, not extremes, considered)? Have the riprap sizes been based on extreme wave, wind and tide conditions?

Answer:

Riprap is required to counteract the effects of ice and not wind. As disclosed in the geotechnical report, the cells are stable on their own against the wind and waves.

c. Have ship-generated waves been studied? In relation to sediment dynamics: the speed and presence of docked ships could generate erosion (this also depends on the presence of vegetation).

Answer:

Ship-generated waves have not been studied as there is little risk of erosion due to the nature of Deception Bay's banks (outcropping rock or at low depth). Moreover, certain conditions and constraints imposed on navigation and the development of wharves in Deception Bay can indirectly limit the impact of waves generated by ships, namely:

- Ship speed is limited to 7 knots;
- The riprap planned at the foot of sheet pile cells, on the ship side, will prevent sea bed scouring.

Consulted hydraulic engineers and geomorphologists are of the opinion that it is not pertinent to study the effects of ship-generated waves in Deception Bay.

d. Why has the wharf not been designed with moorings? (the dredged volume would thus be reduced).

#### Answer:

Moorings are prescribed when foundation soil allows for pipe driving (sand or clay). When the bottom is rocky, moorings are then developed in the form of massive structures (caissons) which consider applicable loads and constraints (wind, ice, ships, etc.).

Further, the riprap surrounding the intermediary pile will break the ice when the tide falls, which is not possible between moorings and ships.

e. What guarantee is there that the sheet pile cell (which is not anchored to the rock) will not move over time?

Answer:

The design of the sheet pile cells was developed considering all applicable constraints and loads to which factors of safety have been applied. See Stantec's 2013 geotechnical report included in Appendix 2.

f. Why is there no riprap on either side of one of the cells?

Answer:

Plan 506117\_8000\_41-D1\_0006 included in Appendix 1 illustrates the distribution of riprap around the two sheet pile cells.

g. For riprap on clay, how can we be assured that the stone will not sink into the clay (as was the case with the 2011 landslide) – there are no indication regarding the calibre of stone to be used, the filter criteria are not being respected as there is no grain size transition between the clay and the protective stone?

Answer:

During the 2011 event, the stone placed on the sea bed did not sink into the clay layer as anticipated: the riprap remained over the clay layer without penetrating it, overloading the clay layer until its rupture which created the landslide.

In the revised design, the proposed riprap will replace the volume of clay that is removed. While the weight of the riprap is around 10% greater than the clay it replaces, if a landslide was to occur, the stone would form a significant part of the shear plane. The riprap reinforces the slope in comparison with its original condition, thus the specialists consider that the factor of safety against slope failure provided by the riprap is equal to or better than the pre-construction condition.

*h.* Question regarding the anchoring of the permanent infrastructure: the action of ice and waves could destabilize the riprap protection and generate scouring or erosion.

Answer:

As presented in the geotechnical report (Stantec, 2013), the cells are stable on their own against wind and waves. The riprap surrounding the sheet pile cells increases the cells' stability against the impact of ice (shore) and scouring (ships).

*i.* Has the possibility that the base of the sheet piles loosens due to ships' propellers been assessed? Has a solution been considered?

Answer:

The riprap planned for the foot of the cells on the ship side serves to counter this scouring.

j. Question regarding slope stability even after riprap has been added: There may be no problem once the riprap has been added but until then, have the necessary studies been conducted to ensure the slope's stability (wave dimensioning, effects of ice, filter criterion and grain-size transition)?

Answer:

As previously mentioned, experts estimate that a 3:1 excavation slope will ensure slope stability, but it could reach 5:1 on the ship side. The stability studies were conducted considering all applicable constraints. It should be noted that the clay excavation, cell development and riprap installation work will be conducted over a period of around 4 months (June to September 2013), thus before the ice has formed.

k. Have earthquakes been considered? Is risk being managed or have the structures been designed to resist earthquakes? (if the risk of earthquakes, landslides, etc. is not taken into account during the construction, this should be documented, especially when work is conducted in clayey formations).

Answer:

As for analyses in pseudostatic conditions, a site peak ground acceleration (PGA) of 0.102 g was considered (seismic hazard value of 2% obtained from Natural Resources Canada for Deception Bay using a 1/50 year recurrence ratio).

As for pseudostatic slope stability analysis, the following seismic coefficient values were used:  $k_h = 0.051$  g and  $k_v = 0$  g. The recommended value of  $k_h$  is based on Hynes-Griffin and Franklin (1984) criteria which suggest that for pseudostatic analysis, 50% of the PGA is appropriate.

To calculate the factor of safety in pseudostatic conditions, we have assumed that the seismic loading does not act simultaneously with the thermal ice loading, since they are both considered to be extraordinary loads. Analyses for the north cell yield a factor of safety of 1.63, compared to 2.63 under dead loads alone (see additional answer email from Stantec, May 7, 2013).

Since the south cell has a higher factor of safety under dead loads (3.05) than the north cell (2.63), it was not deemed useful to determine its factor of safety in pseudostatic conditions but it will be greater than 1.63.

Appendix 1

Revised Permanent Wharf Plans (signed and sealed)



# **PROJET NUNAVIK NICKEL**

**8000 SERIES** 

**STEEL SHEET PILE CELLS BRIDGED OPTION/ CELLULES DE PALPLANCHES D'OPTIONS** COMBLE

> **ISSUED FOR PERMIT EMIS POUR PERMIS**





ORDRE DES INGÉNIEURS DU QUÉBEC Permis Temporaire / Temporary Licence Nom / Name Christopher Fudge No. 010 PT01760 Nul après / Void after 2013-10-29 Site: NUNAVIK NICKEL PROJECT / NOTHERN QUEBEC

> FOR PERMIT NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

POUR PERMIS PAS POUR CONSTRUCTION

## LIST OF DRAWINGS

	MARINE
06117-8000-41D1-0001	GENERAL NOTES & OESIGN CRITERIA
06117-8000-41D1-0002	SITE PLAN
06117-8000-41D1-0003	SSP CELLS - GENERAL ARRANGEMENT
06117-8000-41D1-0004	OREDGING PLAN
06117-8000-41D1-0005	OREOGING SECTIONS
06117-8000-41D1-0006	RIP RAP/ ROCK FILL BERMS
06117-8000-4101-0007	ROCK FILL SECTIONS
06117-8000-41D1-0008	SSP CELLS PLAN VIEW
06117-8000-41D1-0009	SSSP CELLS OECK PLAN
06117-8000-41D1-0010	LADOER & BULLRAIL DETAILS
06117-8000-41D1-0011	FENDER DETAILS
06117-8000-41D1-0012	MOORING ARRANGEMENT
06117-8000-41D1-0013	MOORING OETAILS
06117-8000-41D1-0014	MOORING DETAILS
06117 8000-41D1-0015	PILE PLAN AND SECTIONS
06117-8000-4201-0001	CONCRETE CAP REINFORCING PLANS
06117-8000-42D1-0002	-NORTH CELL
06117-8000-42D1-0003	CONCRETE CAP REINFORCING DETAILS -SOUTH CELL
06117-8000-4201-0004	MOORING CONCRETE REINFORCING OFTAI

506117-8000-42D1-0005 ICE IMPACT BEAM 506117-8000-42D1-0006 REINFORCED CONCRETE ABUTMENT DETAILS 506117-8000-42D1-0007 SSP CELLS - CONCRETE ABUTMENT DET SSP CELLS - CONCRETE CA

LISTÉ DES DESSINS

42D1-0008

506117-8000-41D1-0001	REMARGUES GÉNÉRALES ET CRITÈRES DE CONCEPTION
506117-8000-41D1-0002	PLAN DU SITE
506117-8000-41D1-0003	SSP CELLULES - GÉNÉRALE ARRANGEMEN
506117-8000-41D1-0004	PLAN DE DRAGAGE
506117-8000-41D1-0005	SECTIONS OF ORAGAGE
506117-8000-41D1-0006	RIP RAP/ ROCK BERMES DE REMPLISSAGI
506117-8000-41D1-0007	BASCULEZ SECTIONS DE REMPLISSAGE
506117-8000-41D1-0008	LES CELLULES SSP PLANIFIER VUE
506117-8000-41D1-0009	PLAN DE PONT SSP CELLULES
506117-8000-41D1-0010	OÉTAILS ÉCHELLE ET BULLRAIL
506117-8000-41D1-0011	DÉTAILS PARE-CHOCS
506117-8000-4101-0012	DISPOSITIF D'AMARRAGE
506117-8000-41D1-0013	LES DÉTAILS D'AMARRAGE
506117-8000-41D1-0014	LES OÉTAILS O'AMARRAGE
506117-8000-4101-0015	PLAN OE PILE ET SECTIONS
506117-8000-42D1-0001	BOUCHON A BÉTON PLANS
506117-8000-42D1-0002	BOUCHON A BÉTON DE DÉTAILS CELLULE OU NORO
506117-8000-42D1-0003	BOUCHON A BÉTON DE DÉTAILS - CELLULE DU SUD
506117-8000-4201-0004	AMARRAGE DE DÉTAILS CONRETS DE RENFORT
506117-8000-42D1-0005	POUTRELLES DE GLACE
506117-8000-4201-0006	RENFORCES COORDONNÉES CULÉE EN BÉTON
506117-8000-42D1-0007	SSP CELLULES COORDONNÉES CULÉE EN BÉTON
506117-8000-42D1-0008	SSP CELLULES COURONNÉMENT EN BÉTON
05 /	APRIL 2013
00 #	
PROJECT	No./ PROJET No. <b>)6117</b>





		4							3		o Iuut		7 8 9 10 CENTIME	TERS	2				
	DESIGN C	RITERIA					<u>GENERA</u>	L NOT	<u>ES</u>				<u>CRITÈRES DE</u>	CONCEP	TION				R
	CODES AND STA	NDARDS				110.000	1.0 CONCRETE	- AND REI	INFORCEMENT				CODES ET NORMES						•
	CAN/CSA-A2	23.3 - DESIGN OF	CONCRETE S	STRUCTURES.	OUES AND SIA	ANUARUS:	1.1 CONCRETE	E SHALL B	BE C-XL CLASS, 3	5MPa.			1. CONCEPTION DU QU CONCEPTION DES S	JAI EST CONFO STRUCTURES EN	RME AUX COU I BÉTON.	DES SUIVANTS	CAN/CSA-A23	.3 – LA	1.
	SERVICE LIFE AN	ND DURABILITY					1.2 CAST IN	PLACE CL	LEAR CONCRETE CO	VER TO THE REIN	FORCING	STEEL SHALL BE	DURÉE DE VIE ET DUR	ABILITE					1.2
	1. THE STRUCT	URE IS DESIGNED	FOR A SERVIC	CE LIFE OF 1	5 YEARS.		BOTTOM S	WS, UNLES	100mm	ED:			1. LA STRUCTURE EST	CONCUE POUR	R UNE OURÉE	DE VIE DE 1	5 ANS		
D	DATA-	UAUS					SIDE SURF	ACES 7	75mm /5mm				DONNEES SUR LES GU	ACES ET LES C	HARGES				
	EXTREME M	AXIMUM LEVEL ICE	E THICKNESS:	2.1m			1.3 NO MORE	THAN 25	OF THE LONGITU	JDINAL REINFORCIN	NG IN ME	MBERS EXCEEDING	EXTREME EPAISSE	UR MAXIMALE I			2 1 m		1.3
	BREAK-UP ICE BUSTLE	ICE THICKNESS: E THICKNESS (AVG.	.):	1.4m 4.3m			BE SPLICE	D AT THE	SAME LOCATION.	THE SAME LOCATIC	UN. AUJA	CENT BARS SHALL NOT	ÉCLATEMENT EPAIS EPAISSEUR DE LA	SEUR DE LA C	GLACE:	oran orane.	1.4m 4.3m		
	LOADS:						1.4 SPLICES I SO THAT I	IN MEMBER	RS LESS THAN OR THAN EVERY THIRD	EQUAL TO 500mr BAR IS SPLICED	M DEEP	SHALL BE STAGGERED ONE SECTION.	CHARGES:		()				1.4
	THERMAL:	<b>чт</b> .		275kN/m			1.5 MINIMUM	LAP LENG	THS FOR ANY REIN	FORCING SPLICES	SHALL I	BE AS FOLLOWS UNLESS	THERMIQUE:				275kN/m		
	ICE LOAD I GLOBAL PR	DUE TO ICE BREAK	KING SHIP:	8.9 MN 700kPg			OTHERWISE	E NOTED.	T	·····			L'IMPACT FLOE: CHARGE DE GLACI	E EN RAISON E	DE NAIVRE BR	ISE-GLACE:	8.6 MN 8.9 MN		1.5
	SEISMIC						BAR	SIZE	BARS OTHER THAN TOP BARS	TOP BARS			SISMIOUF	.E.:			700kPa		•
	1. GROUND ACC	CELERATION:					1	OM 5M	400 500	500 600			1. ACCÉLÉRATION DU	SOL:					
		D ACCELERATION:	IN 50 YEARS	0.16			2	0M 5M	600 1000	800 1300			ACCÉLÉRATION MAX	MALE DU SOL:			0.16		
	AVERAGE RE	TURN PERIOD IN Y	EARS:	475			3	0M 5M	1200 1500	1600 2000			PROBABILITE DE DE PERIODE DE RETOU	PASSEMENT EN R MOYENNE AU	5 ANS: J COURS DES	ANNEES:	2% 475		
	MARINE CRITERIA	1					TOP BAR	S ARE HO	ORIZONTAL REINFOR	CING BARS SO PI	ACED TH	AT MORE THAN	CRITÈRES MARINES						
	1. TIDES REFER SERVICE (CH	ENCED TO CHART S) CHART No. 54	DATUM (CD), 57 ARE AS FO	BASED ON C	ANADIAN HYDR	OGRAPHIC	300mm	OF CONCE	RETE IS CAST IN TI	HE MEMBER BELO	W THE R	EINFORCEMENT.	1. TIOES RÉFERENCES DU CANADA (SHC)	AU ZÉRO DES	CARTES (CD)	) BASÉE SUR	LE SERVICE H	YDROGRAPHIC	
	EXTREME H	IIGH WATER LEVEL	(EHWL):	5.9	m (ESTIMATED)	)	1.6 UNLESS	NOTED OT	THERWISE, ALL COR	NERS TO BE CHAN	MFERED/	FILLETED 25mm.	EXTREME NIVEAU	D'EAU ÉLEVÉ (	ENDE):		5.9 m (EST	MEE)	
	HIGHER HIG HIGHER HIG	H WATER LEVEL, I H WATER LEVEL, I	LARGE TIDE (1 MEAN TIDE:	HHWL): 5.6 4.7	m m		2.0 <u>GROUT</u>						NIVEAU D'EAU SUI HIGHER HIGH WAT	PERIEUR ÉLEVE ER LEVEL, MOY	, GRANOE MAI	RÉE(NDSE): ARÉE:	5.6 m 4.7 m	,	1.E
	LOWER LOW	K LEVEL (MWL): WATER LEVEL, M	EAN TIDE:	2.9 1.0	m m		2.1 GROUT BI	ETWEEN SH	HEET PILE CELLS A	AND PRECAST CON	CRETE I	CE IMPACT	LE NIVEAU MOYEN BAS NIVEAU D'EAU	DE L'EAU (NA J BAS, MOYEN	AL): DE LA MARÉE	Ξ:	2.9 m 1.0 m		
	EXTREME LO	OW WATER LEVEL, LA	(ELWL):	.wic): 0.2 0.0 m	m		2.2 MIX PRO	PORTIONS	FOR GROUT FOR	PRECAST CONCRFT	TE ICF IN	IPACT BEAMS SHALL	BAS NIVEAU D'EAU EXTREME FAIBLE	J BAS, GRANDE NIVEAU D'EAU (	MAREÉ (BND (EFND):	DB):	0.2 m 0.0 m		2.0
۲	2. CURRENT	ET PARALIFI TO	THE BERTH F	ACE:	1.0 KNOT (FS		BE AS F	OLLOWS, T	TO YIELD APPROXIN	ATE ONE CUBIC N	METER:		2. COURANT		-				2.1
	CURRENT S	SET PERPENDICULA	R TO THE BE	RTH FACE:	0.5 KNOT (ES	STIMATED)	CEMENT (1 FLY ASH (	TYPE 10): OR SLAG:	160kg 200kg				PARALLELEMENT E PERPENDICULAIRE	NSEMBLE ACTU ENSEMBLE ACT	EL SUR LE V TUAL SUR LE	VISAGE COUCHE	HETTE: 1.0 KN	ot (estimee) ot (estimee)	2.2
	3. WIND WIND LOADS	FOR ASSESSING M	MOORING LOAD	DS ARE BASED	ON WIND SP	EEDS	CONCRETE WATER	ME: SAND, SS	40kg SD: 1500k	g ka			3. VENT			ADOLO D'ANA	DAGE CONT E		
	AS PROVIDED RETURN PE	D BY ATMOSPHERIC RIOD WIND:	ENVIRONMEN	IT SERVICE.			WATER C	ONTENT S	HALL BE ADJUSTED	NAS REOLIIRED TO		E CROUT HAVING	VITESSE DU VENT (	COMME PREVU	PAR LE SERV	ICE DE L'ENVI	RONNEMENT	ASES SUR LA	
		D (30 SEC DURAT	10N): 1	40 KILOMETER	IS PER HOUR		SUFFICE	NT FLOW C	OF 25± 3 SECOND	S, AS PER CSA-A	A23.2-1E	3.	RETOUR VENT PER	RIODE ENT (30 SEC D	50 Y	rear Kilometers f	AR HEURE		
	FOR ESTABLIS	SHING DECK ELEVA	ATION (ZERO	OVERTOPPING) YEAR	:		AIR ENTR	RANING AD	MIXTURE AS NECES	SSARY FOR AIR CO	ONTENT (	DF 9% ±1%	4. CLIMAT_DES VAGUES	5					
	MAXIMUM W	AVE HEIGHT:	i	0 METERS			2.3 SAND FO OF 2.6 I	MASONRY S	SAND CONFORMING	TO CSA-A23.1 WIT	IN A MAX IAY BE U	SED AS AN ALTERNATE.	POUR ETABLIR ÉLEN PÉRIODE DE RÉTO	ATION DE PON	T (ZÉRO FRAI	NCHISSEMENT)	:		
	5. WAVE LOADS: RETURN PE	RIOD:	5	O YEAR			2.4 UPON AF BF SURS	PROVAL F	ROM THE COMPANY	Y'S REPRESENTATIN	IVE, A PO	RTION OF THE SAND MAY HAVING A MAXIMUM	5. CHARGES DES VACI	L ULS VAGUES	: 1.0m	1			
	SIGNIFICANT	WAVE HEIGHT	:	2.0 METERS			SIZE OF	10mm.					PÉRIODE DE RETO HAUTEUR SIGNIFIC	UR: ATIVE DES VAG	50 Å UES 2.0m	NS			2.3
		•					2.5 GROUT SI SHALL B	hall be t E determi	IESTED IN ACCORDA	ANCE WITH CSA-A: PANY'S REPRESENT	23.1. TH TATIVE.	E TESTING FREQUENCY	DESIGN VESSELS						
							3.0 STEEL						[	T	1	1			2.4
							3.1 FOR SHE	ET PILE S	STEEL GRADES. SFF	SPECIFICATIONS			TYPE DE	PORT EN	LHT (m)	FAISCEAU	FRANC-BOARE	MAXIMAL	
	VESSEL TYPE	DEADWEIGHT TONNES	LOA (m)	BEAM (m)	FREEBOARD	DRAFT	3.2 UNLESS	NOTED OT	HERWISE, ALL MISC	CELLANEOUS METAL	L FABRIC	ATIONS SHALL BE HOT-DIP	NAVIRE				(m)	(m)	2.5 DE
	HANDYSIZE	25.000	120	26.6	(m) 7.05	11.75	GALVANIZ	ED TO CS	SA G164.	DESIGN AND DESIGN			HANDYSIZE	25,000	189	26.6	3.95	11.75	7.
l	10101312E	20,000	103	20.0	3.35	11./5	S.S FABRICAT AND CON	NECTIONS	S SHALL BE IN ACC	CORDANCE WITH CA	AILING O AN/CSA	r ALL STRUCTURAL STEEL S16.1-01.	L'IMPACT_ACCOS	TAGE ET D'AM	ARRAGE DES	CHARGES			3.0
							3.4 WELDING WFI DERS	SHALL CO	ONFORM TO CAN/C	SA W59-03 AND BE APPROVED P	SHALL E	E PERFORMED BY CERTIFIED	VITESSE PERPE	NDICULAIRE NAV	VIRE APPROCH	HÉ DE LA SUR	FACE COUCHE	ITE:	3.2
	BERTHING IM	PACT AND MOORIN	IG LOADS				TO CAN/	CSA W47.	.1–03.			LE STAT HELSING BUREAU	NAVIRE				0.2 m/sec		
	VESSEL APPI	RUACH VELOCITY F	LKPENDICULA	K IU THE BEI	KIH FACE:		3.5 STRUCTU CAN/CSA	RAL STEEL	L SHALL MEET CAN 04 FOR QUALITY.	/CSA G40.20-04	FOR GE	NERAL REQUIREMENTS, AND	CHARGES DES	AMARRAGE:					3.3
	VESSEL:	OADS:			u.∠ m/sec		3.6 GRADES (	OF MATERIA	AL, UNLESS NOTED	OTHERWISE:			AVANT ET ARE SEIN LUNE BO	RIERE AMARRES	A TERRE:	1959	200 TONNES 200 TONNES		3.4
	FORE AND	AFT SHORE MOOR	INGS:		200 TONNES		STR		STEEL AND MISC. I	METAL 350W	32511		BORNES A RE	SSORT DE LIGN	NEES CELLULA	NRES:	100 TONNES		
	BREAST LIN SPRING LIN	E SHORE BOLLARD	DS: S:		200 TONNES 100 TONNES		ANC	HOR BOLT	TS PILES	ASTM A3 ASTM A3 ASTM A2	307 252 GR.		CHARGES DOCK						3.5
							3.7 ALL STEE	EL SHALL	BE CHARPY IMPACT	T TESTED IN ACCO	ORDANCE	WITH CLAUSE 10.23.31 OF	VEHICULE ET D SUIVANTS:	AUTRES CHARG	SES DE PONT	SONT ÉNUME	RES DANS LES	PARAGRAPHES	3.6
							CAN/CSA	SE FOR	A SERVICE TEMPER	RATURE OF -50°C.	STEEL	PIPE.	1. CHARGES UNIFO	ORMES ET DE S	STOCKAGE		50 kPa		
	VEHICLE AND	- D OTHER DECK LO	ADS ARE LIST	ED IN THE FO	LLOWING SUB	SECTIONS:	J.O REFER I	U SPECIFIC	UNDING FUR AUDIT	IUNAL INFURMATIO	אוע								
	1. UNIFORM ANI	D STORAGE LOADS		50 k	<pa< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>3. CHARGEUR DE M</td><td>NAVIRES: TENIR</td><td></td><td></td><td>x</td><td></td><td>3.7</td></pa<>								3. CHARGEUR DE M	NAVIRES: TENIR			x		3.7
		HOLD							<u></u>		Г								2.1
	2. Shir LOADER:								11 0 0 1 1 <del>0</del> - :			FOUR FERMI	3						3.8
							INOT F	OK (	CONSTRU	JCTION		PAS POUR CO	NSTRUCTION						
ŀ					1														
_																	PRC	FESSIONAL SEAL	
																			1 :
												-						PEGA	DEC
																	a chi	STOPHER IL FUDGE	C
																		WWY SALINATURE	DRA
								P1 ISSUED EMIS PC	for permit our permis	2013/04/05								DATE DATE	I. CHE/
2	SSUE REV. DATE (Y/M/D)	PURPOSE OF ISSUE	tran	ISMISSION ISSUE REV THER No No REV	V. DATE (Y/W/D)	purpose of issue	TRANSMISSION Letter No	No	REVISION DESCRIPTION INITIALS: * DESIGNED	DATE (Y/M/D)	• •	No REVISION DESCRIPTION NTIVES: * DESIGNED *	DATE (Y/N/D) • •					$\sim$	C. SCA
Ľ		ISSUE REGISTER				ISSUE REGISTER			REVISION RE	GISTER		REVISION REG	ISTER	No RI	EFERENCE DRAWN	NGS NUMBER			AS
		4							3			1			2				
						I						1							1

EMARGUES G	ÉNÉRALES						
BÉTON ET DES ARM	ATURES						
LE BÉTON OUIT ÊTR	É C-XL CLASSE, 3	5MPa	14015				
COMME SUIT, SAUF I	NOICATION CONTRAI	RE:		K DARATO	RE SUNT	FIACES	
SURFACES INFERIEUR LES SURFACES LATEI SURFACES SUPERIEU	ES 100mm RALES 75mm RES 75mm	I					D
PAS PLUS DE 25% 500mm DE PROFONI ADJANCENTES NE DO	DE LAXÉ LONGITUD DEUR DOIVENT ÊTRI IVENT PAS ÊTRÉ R	INAL DE RENFO É RACCORDÉES ACCORDÉES AU	rt dai Au me Meme	NS LES MA ME ENDRO ENDROIT.	MBRES DÉ DIT. LES B	PASSANT ARRES	
EPISSEURS DANS LE DOIVENT SORTE QUE PRÉMIERE SECTION.	S MEMBRES DE MO PAS PLUS DE CH	DINS DE 500mm AQUE TROISIEME	DE I BARR	Profondei E est épi:	UR ÉGALE SSE À TOU	аот Л	
LONGUEUERS TOUR COMME SUIT, SAUF I	MINIMALE RÉQUISE NDINCATION CONTR	POUR LES EPIS AIRE	SURES	G DE RENF	ort est i	FIXÉ	
TAILLE DE LA BARRE	BAR AUTRE QUE DES BARRES	BARRES SUPERIEURES	7				
10M 15M	400 500	500 600	1				
20M 25M	600 1000	800 1300					
30M 35M	1200 1500	1600 2000					
BARRES SPUERIEUR PLUS DE 300mm DE L'. SAUF INDICATION C LE COULIS	ES BARRES HORIZ( E BÉTON EST COUL ONTRAIRE, TOUS LE	ontales de rei é dans l'élem :s coins sont	NFORT ENT DI CHANF	SONT PLA E RENFORT FERED/ FIL	CES DE S AU-DESS .ETS 25mr	ORTE QUE SOUS DE n.	
LE COULIS ENTRÉ L D'IMPACT GLACE DOF	ESS CELLULES DE F AVIOR UNE RESIS	PALPLANCHES I	ET DU E À LA	BÉTON PR COMPREE	ÉFABRIQUE	E POUTRES DMPa.	° c
PROPORTIONS DU I EST LA SUIVANTE, PO	MÉLANGE DE VCOUL DUR DONNER ENVIF	IS DE BÉTON F RON IN MÉTRE (	RÉFAB	RIQUE POU	JTRELLES	DE GLACE	
CIMENT (TYPE 10): LES CENDRES VOLAN	TES OU DE LATTIER	: 20	iOkg )Oka				
LE FUMÉE DE SILICE SABLE A BÉTON, SSI L'EAU:	: ):	40 15 2	)kg i00kg 100±kg	I			
le teneur en eau debit suffisant d	I DOIT ÊTRÉ ADJUS E LA 25± 3 SÉCO	TÉE AU BESOIN NDES COMME P	POUR	FOURNIR A-A23.2-1	COULIS A	YANT UN	
MÉLANGE DE FORM. ±1%	ATION D'AIR EN TAI	NT QUE DE DES	OIN P	OUR LA TE	neur en	AIR DU 9	× 🗸
SABLE POUR COUL MODULE DE FINESS CSA-A179 PEUT Ê	IS DOIVANT ÊTRÉ C E MAXIMALE DE 2. IRÉ UTILISE COMME	ONFORMES À L 6 OE SABLE A 1 UN SUBSTITUT	NORI GRANU	ME CSA-A	23.1 AVEC CONFORMÉ	UN A	
LORS DE L'APPROE PEUT ETRÉ SUBSTIT UNE TAILLE MAXIMA	ATION DU RÉPRESE 'UE AVEC DES GRA LE 10mm.	NTANT DE L'ÉN NULATS CONFOR	rerpri Rmes /	SE, UNE F À LA NORM	PARTIE DU IE CSA-A2	SABLE 23.1 AYANT	r
LE COULIS DOIT ÊTR TESTS SERA DETERI	RÉ TESTÉE CONFOR MINÉE PAR LE RÉP	MÉMENT À LA N RESENTANT OE	IORME L'ÉNTE	CSA-A-2	3.1. LA FF	ÉQUENCE	
			IFS	SPÉCIFICAT	IONS		
SAUF INDICATION C GALVANISES A CHAI	ONTRAIRE, TOUTES	LES FABRICATIO	NS MÉ	TALLIQUES	DIVERS S	ONT	В
FABRICATION, DE M STRUCTEREL ET LE	ONTAGE CONNEXION S RACCORDS DOIVE	I DES, ET LES INT ÊTRÉ CONFO	détail. Drmes	s de tout à can/cs	L'ACIER SA S16.1-	01.	
SOUDAGE DOIVENT PAR DES SOUDEUR LE BUREAU DE SO	ÊTRÉ CONFORMES S CERTIFIES, LES / JDAGE TO CAN/CS/	AUX CAN/CSA ATELIERS DE FA A W47.1-03.	¥59—0 BRICAT	3 et doiv Ion doit é	ent êtré tré appr	ÉXÉCUTES	
ACIER DE CONSTRU GÉNÉRALES ET CAN	ICTION DOIVENT ST/ /CSA G40.21-04	AISFAIRE CAN/C	SA G40 FÉ.	).20-04 P	OUR EXIG	ENCES	
GRADES DE MATERIA	UX, SAUF INDICATION	ON CONTRAIRE:					
ACIER DE CON BOULONS, ÉCI LES BOULONS	ISTRUCITON ET DIV ROUS ET RONDELLE D'ANCRAGE	ERS METAUX S	350 AST AST	0W FM A325M FM A307 FM A352 C			-
TOUT EN ACIER DO	IT ÊTRÉ TESTE AU /CSA S6 POUR UN	CHOC CHARPY	CONFC	RMÉMENT SERVICE DE	й. À l'artici —50°С, (	.E 23.10.3 DE TUYAUX	51 (
EN ACIER. RÉPORTEZVOUS A	JX SPÉCIFICATIONS	POUR PLUS D	INFOR	ATIONS			
SNC · LAVALIN Cana	• <b>LAVALIN</b> René-Lévesque Bivd. We *6al (Québec) da H2Z 123	st		(n)	$\geq$		
PREPARATION	APPROVAL	PROJECT		NUNAVIKN	ICKEL		^^
gned FUDGE	PROJECT DISCIPLINE ENGINEER		DE	CEPTION	BAY PO	RT	
YOUNG	CLIENT	TILE	IEBVI	SITE	E 2		
CKED	DATE	SITE	2 -	REMARGI	JES GÉNÉ	RALES E	T
FUDGE	2013/03/22	PROJEC		LKLS DE	CUNCEP SUBJECT	HUN SERIAL	REV.
S NOTED	1964 - 1964 - 1975 - 1976 - 1977 - 1976 - 1977 - 1976 - 1977 - 1976 - 1977 - 1976 - 1977 - 1976 - 1977 - 1976	5061	17	8000	41 D1	0001	P1

1




























DOMT BOLLARD	NOTES: 1) ALL BOLLARDS AND CAPSTANS ARE OWNER SUPPLED. 2) SEE DRAWING 506117 8000 42D1 0004 FOR REINFORCING DETAILS TYPICAL ALL BOLLARD FOUNDATIONS. 3) ROCK SLOPE MAY VARY DEPENDING ON FINAL BOLLARD LOCATION INDICATIONS: 1) TOUTES LES BOLLARDS ET CABESTANS ETES PROPRIETARE FOURNI. 2) VOIR 506117 8000 42D1 0004 DESSINS POUR RENFORCER DETAILS TYPIOLES TOUTES LES FONDATIONS BOLLARD 3) PENTE ROCK PEUVENT VARIER EN FONCTION DE BOLLARD EMPLACEMENT FINAL	D
DRING #4 <u>SHORE No. 4 5</u> 00140014		
FOR PI NOT FO	ERMIT DR CONSTRUCTION	
POUR PAS PC	PERMIS OUR CONSTRUCTION	с
MOORING #4 GE SHORE No. 4 6 00140014		
		<del>&lt; C</del>
MOORING #4 GE SHORE No. 4 7 00140014		в
ARD		
MOORING #4 AGE SHORE No. 4 8 00140014	CANADIAN ROYALTIES INC.	
SNC - LAVALIN 455, Rnn - Lévesque Bivd. West Montseler Connodo H22 123       PREPARATION     APPROVAL PREPARATION		*
N. TUCKER / C. FUDGE N. GILLS		
CKED DATE C FUDGE 2013/03/22	DÉTAILS DE AMMARES	
le S NOTED	PROJECT No     SUBDIMISION     SUBJECT     SERIAL     REV.       506117     8000     41 1 D1     0014     P1	





1	-
	D
SS SS SS SS SS SS SS SS SS SS SS SS SS	c
FOR PERMIT NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION POUR PERMIS PAS POUR CONSTRUCTION	B
SINC-LAVALIN (SS, Rand-Léreque Bird, West Montréel (Guébec) Canada H2Z 1Z3 PROJECT   PREPARATION APPROVAL Canada H2Z 1Z3   PROJECT IN CONSCIENCE NUMA CONCRETE CAP REINFORCEMENT SSP CELLUES CONCRETE CAP A BETON RENFORT   YOUNG CANA DIAN   CKED DATE   PROJECT IN CONCRETE CAP A BETON RENFORT   FUDGE 2013/03/22   PROJECT IN SOUDO 42 DI 0001 P1   CONSCRETE CAP A BETON RENFORT	











020878A1HEN -- FRA

1









Appendix 2

Stantec Consulting Ltd.: Geotechnical Reports



Stantec Consulting Ltd. 102 – 40 Highfield Park Drive Dartmouth NS B3A 0A3 Tel: (902) 468-7777 Fax: (902) 468-9009 FINAL GEOTECHNICAL REPORT Proposed Wharf – Site 1 Deception Bay, Quebec

Report Prepared for: Canadian Royalties Inc.

File: 121613564

Date: April 22, 2013

# **Table of Contents**

1.0	INTR	INTRODUCTION1	
2.0	SITE	DESCRIPTION	1
3.0	INVE	ESTIGATION PROCEDURES	1
3.1	GEN	IERAL	1
3.2	MAR	RINE BOREHOLES	2
3.3	LAN	D-BASED AIRTRACK PROBES	3
3.4	SUR	VEYING	4
3.5	JABORATORY TESTING		4
4.0	SUB	SURFACE CONDITIONS	5
4.1	ORG	GANIC SILT TO SILTY SAND	7
4.2	SAN	D WITH GRAVEL	7
4.3	CLA	Y TO CLAYEY SILT	7
4.4	SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL TO SILTY SAND		8
4.5	GNEISS BEDROCK		8
5.0	DISCUSSION		8
5.1	CON	ICRETE CAISSONS	9
5.2	5.2 STEEL SHEET PILE CELLS		10
5.3	PILES AND ROCK ANCHORS		11
	5.3.1	Concrete to Rock Compression Bond Stress	11
	5.3.2	Tensile Capacity Socketed Piles or Rock Anchors	12
	5.3.3	Steel Piles Driven to Bedrock	12
6.0	0 CLOSURE		

#### LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Statement of General Conditions
	Symbols and Terms Used on Borehole and Test Pit Records
	Borehole Records
Appendix B	Grain Size Curves
Appendix C	Drawing No. 101, Borehole Location Plan
Appendix D	Figures 1 to 6, Typical Wharf Sections

### 1.0 Introduction

At the request of Canadian Royalties Inc., Stantec Consulting Ltd. has performed a subsurface investigation for the proposed wharf at port Site 1 for the Nunavik Nickel mine in Deception Bay, Quebec. The investigation consisted of drilling a series of boreholes and air track probes at three different proposed locations and was carried out during the summers of 2011 and 2012.

An interim report containing factual data from the investigation including 49 boreholes, 21 air track probes and selective laboratory testing was submitted September 27 2012. This final report follows an extensive design collaboration with CRI, SNC Lavalin, Ultragen and contractors; it includes all data from the interim report as well as geotechnical design parameters and analysis for the wharf.

This report is specifically and solely for the project described herein and presents all of our findings.

### 2.0 Site Description

The site is located along the southwest coast of Deception Bay in Nunavik region of northern Quebec. Deception Bay is located at approximately latitude 62°08' N and longitude 74°40' W. The Hudson Strait is located to the north east of Deception Bay. There is an existing operational wharf structure located to the northwest of the proposed location of this wharf structure.

The general topography of the area surrounding the bay is described as low mountains with numerous bedrock outcrops observed along the northern and southern coasts of the bay.

Based on previous experience in the area and geological mapping, the principal overburden consists of marine sediments comprised of clay and silt overlying a layer of silty sand with gravel. The bedrock at the site beneath the overburden consists of Felsic and Mafic Gneiss.

# 3.0 Investigation Procedures

#### 3.1 GENERAL

The field program for the 2011 investigation consisted of 17 boreholes (BH01, BH04 to BH13, BH15, BH16, BH28 to BH30, and BH32) and 13 air track holes (AT21 to AT33), which were drilled during the period of September 3 to October 2, 2011. The field program for the 2012 investigation consisted of 32 boreholes (BH101 to BH117, BH408 to BH420, BH423, and BH424) and 8 air track holes (AT118 to AT121, AT421, AT422, AT425, and AT426), which were

#### Stantec FINAL GEOTECHNICAL REPORT, PROPOSED WHARF – SITE 1 DECEPTION BAY, QUEBEC

drilled during the period of July 18 to July 31, 2012. All work for the investigation was supervised by Stantec Consulting Ltd. personnel.

The 2011 borehole program at this location varied from the program which was initially proposed due to the identification of poor soil conditions, primarily deep soft clay, at the west side of the proposed wharf location. For this reason, some of the proposed boreholes on the west side of the site were deleted and boreholes were added to the east side.

The borehole locations for the present investigation are shown on Drawing No. 101, in Appendix C.

#### 3.2 MARINE BOREHOLES

A total of 49 marine boreholes were drilled at this site. The boreholes were drilled from a spud barge using a CME 55 drill rig mounted on skids. Soil samples were recovered at close intervals using a 50 mm diameter split spoon sampler in conjunction with Standard Penetration Tests and undisturbed samples were obtained in 75 mm thin walled Shelby tubes. Bedrock was cored in HQ and NQ size. In some boreholes it was necessary to core through the overburden in order to advance through cobbles and boulders. Boreholes BH29, BH32, BH106, and BH115 had to be terminated and restarted at locations adjacent to the original location because the barge needed to be moved. A second borehole was drilled adjacent to borehole BH101 to obtain a Shelby tube (undisturbed sample) profile of the clay and silt layer.

BH No	Easting	Northing
BITNO:	(m)	(m)
BH01	516502.931	6889802.333
BH04	516522.453	6889763.246
BH05	516562.740	6889771.230
BH06	516552.170	6889748.340
BH07	516593.919	6889757.878
BH08	516583.083	6889734.967
BH09	516625.757	6889741.936
BH10	516615.078	6889719.981
BH11	516570.912	6889739.891
BH12	516569.092	6889729.676
BH13	516530.422	6889739.419
BH15	516577.062	6889709.750
BH16	516552.902	6889716.219
BH28	516574.998	6889744.611
BH29	516656.267	6889727.330
BH29A	516655.002	6889726.889
BH30	516645.131	6889705.969
BH32	516604.652	6889697.788
BH32A	516605.002	6889697.819

The coordinates of the boreholes are provided in the following table:
	Easting	Northing
BH NO.	(m)	(m)
BH101	516548.038	6889808.704
BH101A	516547.860	6889808.222
BH102	516566.333	6889824.013
BH103	516561.116	6889789.955
BH104	516581.530	6889803.838
BH105	516580.849	6889761.790
BH106	516600.405	6889776.857
BH106A	516601.129	6889776.967
BH107	516602.473	6889736.048
BH108	516619.994	6889750.820
BH109	516621.983	6889707.620
BH110	516640.322	6889723.788
BH111	516634.517	6889688.555
BH112	516653.630	6889703.534
BH113	516578.561	6889834.470
BH114	516594.005	6889813.105
BH115	516614.063	6889787.521
BH115A	516613.555	6889787.821
BH116	516634.954	6889759.121
BH117	516535.982	6889791.682
BH408	516636.639	6889698.715
BH409	516665.425	6889692.914
BH410	516657.671	6889683.015
BH411	516687.545	6889677.662
BH412	516679.500	6889665.100
BH413	516715.654	6889655.714
BH414	516706.068	6889644.016
BH415	516737.526	6889638.497
BH416	516727.217	6889627.448
BH417	516758.900	6889621.370
BH418	516749.580	6889611.530
BH419	516713.466	6889621.539
BH420	516721.718	6889615.140
BH423	516649.743	6889669.761
BH424	516643.474	6889675.419

# 3.3 LAND-BASED AIRTRACK PROBES

It wasn't possible to navigate the drill barge into the shallow water area and diamond drilling with sampling from the shore was not possible in the intertidal zone. Consequently, a series of air track probes were performed to estimate the elevation of the bedrock surface within the upper tidal zone on the beach. The coordinates of the air track probes are provided in the following table:

	Easting	Northing
AT NO.	(m)	(m)
AT21	516544.940	6889630.340
AT22	516575.960	6889650.720
AT23	516571.940	6889639.750
AT24	516562.700	6889622.100
AT25	516602.100	6889648.330
AT26	516589.960	6889631.820
AT27	516581.980	6889613.740
AT28	516635.790	6889633.820
AT29	516625.910	6889614.600
AT30	516619.190	6889597.890
AT31	516659.140	6889618.640
AT32	516650.110	6889607.020
AT33	516638.210	6889586.540
AT118	516677.241	6889603.174
AT119	516685.623	6889596.160
AT120	516665.587	6889593.611
AT121	516672.814	6889585.955
AT421	516686.048	6889584.598
AT422	516693.464	6889578.811
AT425	516614.848	6889638.956
AT426	516623.128	6889633.086

# 3.4 SURVEYING

The borehole locations and elevations were surveyed by EBC personnel using a Global Positioning System (GPS). We understand from EBC that elevations are referenced to LNT (Chart) Datum and locations are referenced to Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) (Zone 18) projection.

# 3.5 LABORATORY TESTING

All soil samples were placed in moisture-proof containers and taken to our Dartmouth laboratory for final visual assessment and laboratory classification testing. Laboratory testing included moisture content determinations, Atterberg limits, hydrometers, mini-vanes, grain size analyses and unconfined compressive strength tests on samples of the bedrock. The results of the lab testing performed are provided on the attached Borehole Records and in Appendix B.

# 4.0 Subsurface Conditions

The subsurface conditions encountered in the boreholes are described on the appended Borehole Records. A summary of the various soil strata encountered in the investigation are provided in the following paragraphs, and are outlined in the following table:

BH No.	Ground Surface Elevation (m)	Thickness of Organic Silt to Silty SAND (m)	Thickness of Sand with Gravel (m)	Thickness of CLAY to Clayey SILT (m)	Thickness of Silty SAND with Gravel to Silty SAND (Elevation of Surface) (m)	Depth to Bedrock (Elevation) (m)
BH01	-6.9	2.0	-	~17.6	~1.4 (-26.5)	21.0 (-27.9)
BH04	-9.0	-	1.3	10.9	2.8 (-21.2)	15.0 (-24.1)
BH05	-12.0	-	2.4	4.5	5.3 (-18.9)	12.1 (-24.1)
BH06	-9.0	-	2.5	9.5	1.8 (-21.0)	13.8 (-22.8)
BH07	-11.9	1.2	-	3.7	5.4 (-16.7)	10.3 (-22.2)
BH08	-9.7	~1.3	-	3.4	3.6 (-14.5)	8.3 (-18.1)
BH09	-11.6	~0.9	-	~4.7	~0.8 (-17.2)	6.4 (-18.0)
BH10	-7.6	1.4	-	~2.9	~3.9 (-12.0)	8.2 (-15.9)
BH11	-10.7	3.0	-	~2.6	2.4 (-16.3)	8.0 (-18.7)
BH12	-6.2	3.4	-	5.0	3.6 (-14.6)	12.0 (-18.2)
BH13	-6.8	-	4.1	9.2	1.2 (-20.1)	14.0 (-20.8)
BH15	-4.5	1.9	-	2.8	5.1 (-11.1)	11.8 (-16.3)
BH16	-5.3	1.2	-	8.1	5.1 (-14.6)	14.4 (-19.7)
BH28	-10.4	~1.2	-	3.4	4.0 (-15.1)	8.7 (-19.1)
BH29	-15.4	1.1	-	4.8	>0.4 (-21.3)	-
BH29A	-14.7	-	-	-	>1.4	6.0 (-20.7)
BH30	-9.0	1.2	-	0.8	4.7 (-11.0)	6.7 (-15.7)
BH32	-4.5	1.5	-	>2.8	-	-
BH32A	-4.5	-	-	>1.3	2.5 (-10.1)	8.1 (-12.6)
BH101	-12.0	1.5	-	7.3	5.9 (-20.8)	14.7 (-26.7)
BH101A	-12.2	2.2	-	6.7	>0.36 (-21.1)	-
BH102	-17.0	0.2	-	6.1	4.0 (-23.3)	10.3 (-27.3)
BH103	-13.2	1.8	-	6.3	3.1 (-21.2)	11.2 (-24.4)
BH104	-15.1	3.3	-	4.0	4.3 (-22.4)	11.6 (26.7)
BH105	-9.6	1.5	-	8.1	2.8 (-19.2)	12.4 (-22.0)
BH106	-13.5	2.4	-	>1.9	-	-
BH106A	-13.9	-	-	-	5.4 (-18.6)	10.1 (-24.0)
BH107	-11.9	0.1	-	1.4	4.2 (-13.5)	5.7 (-17.6)
BH108	-14.1	-	-	3.8	1.1 (-19.7)	6.8 (-20.8)
BH109	-6.2	1.7	-	2.6	4.7 (-10.4)	8.9 (-15.1)
BH110	-11.2	1.4	-	1.3	2.8 (-13.8)	5.5 (-16.7)
BH111	-4.6	2.5	-	0.6	3.6 (-7.8)	6.7 (-11.3)
BH112	-9.7	0.9	-	0.8	2.3 (-11.3)	4.0 (-13.7)

BH No.	Ground Surface Elevation (m)	Thickness of Organic Silt to Silty SAND (m)	Thickness of Sand with Gravel (m)	Thickness of CLAY to Clayey SILT (m)	Thickness of Silty SAND with Gravel to Silty SAND (Elevation of Surface) (m)	Depth to Bedrock (Elevation) (m)
BH113	-19.9	-	-	6.5	2.0 (-26.4)	8.5 (-28.4)
BH114	-19.3	0.9	-	5.3	4.6 (-25.6)	10.9 (-30.1)
BH115	-17.7	1.8	-	4.8	>2.7 (-24.3)	-
BH115A	-17.9	-	-	-	>2.0	11.0 (-28.9)
BH116	-16.8	2.3	-	2.2	4.1 (-21.4)	8.7 (-25.5)
BH117	-9.6	4.2	-	8.2	4.9 (-22.0)	17.3 (-27.0)
BH408	-6.2	1.6	-	1.2	4.0 (-8.9)	6.7 (-12.9)
BH409	-10.2	1.2	-	1.5	-	2.7 (-12.9)
BH410	-6.2	1.2	-	0.9	1.3 (-8.3)	3.4 (-9.6)
BH411	-14.3	1.2	-	4.0	-	5.2 (-19.5)
BH412	-8.2	0.9	-	-	0.8 (-9.1)	1.7 (-9.9)
BH413	-14.6	4.5	-	7.7	2.1 (-26.8)	14.3 (-28.9)
BH414	-11.0	2.7	-	8.9	-	11.6 (-22.6)
BH415	-14.4	0.9	-	11.3	2.1 (-26.6)	14.3 (-28.7)
BH416	-11.9	4.3	-	4.7	1.9 (-20.9)	10.9 (-22.8)
BH417	-13.9	3.0	-	8.4	1.7 (-25.3)	13.2 (-27.0)
BH418	-12.1	4.9	-	6.0	0.6*(-23.0)	11.5 (-23.6)
BH419	-6.7	7.5	-	4.7	1.0 (-18.9)	13.2 (-19.9)
BH420	-7.6	6.1	-	5.0	0.6 (-18.7)	11.7 (-19.3)
BH423	-3.6	4.1	-	0.2	0.6 (-7.9)	5.0 (-8.5)
BH424	-3.4	3.1	-	1.7	1.1 (-8.2)	5.9 (-9.3)

\*Silty SAND with Gravel to Silty SAND layer inferred. Split spoon sheared off while driving.

The inferred bedrock elevations from the air track probes are summarized in the following table:

AT #	Ground Surface Elevation (m)	Depth to Inferred Bedrock (m)	Inferred Bedrock Elevation (m)
AT22	2.8	13.9	-11.1
AT23	3.1	12.8	-9.7
AT24	3.7	7.3	-3.6
AT25	2.4	12.2	-9.8
AT26	3.8	12.8	-9.0
AT27	4.4	8.7	-4.3
AT28	3.2	10.0	-6.8
AT29	3.4	6.7	-3.3
AT30	5.1	8.1	-3.0
AT31	3.2	10.5	-7.3
AT32	3.9	6.8	-3.0
AT33	5.8	5.8	0.0
AT118	3.0	10.5	-7.5
AT119	2.4	10.4	-8.0
AT120	3.6	11.2	-7.6

AT #	Ground Surface Elevation (m)	Depth to Inferred Bedrock (m)	Inferred Bedrock Elevation (m)
AT121	3.4	10.4	-7.0
AT421	2.8	>4.3	-
AT422	2.7	10.2	-7.5
AT425	3.3	11.9	-8.6
AT426	3.4	11.6	-8.2

# 4.1 ORGANIC SILT TO SILTY SAND

A surficial layer, consisting predominantly of organic silt to silty sand, was encountered in the majority of the boreholes drilled for this investigation. Trace amounts of sand, gravel and shells were encountered in this layer; occasional cobbles and boulders were also encountered in some of the boreholes. The thickness of the surficial organic silt to silty sand layer ranged between 0.1 and 7.9 metres.

In boreholes BH11 and BH12 the material encountered at the seabed consisted of silty sand to sand. It should be noted that dredging operations had occurred in this area prior to the commencement of the geotechnical investigation.

In borehole BH15 a layer of sandy clay with gravel to sand with silt was encountered beneath the organic silt layer. A grain size analysis performed on a sample of this material yielded 18% gravel, 29% sand, and 53% silt and clay sized particles. The moisture content of the sample of this material was determined to be 20%.

# 4.2 SAND WITH GRAVEL

A layer of cobbles and boulders with a sand and gravel matrix was encountered in boreholes BH04, BH05, BH06, and BH13 at ground surface. Trace amounts of silt were encountered at some of the locations where samples of this material could be obtained.

# 4.3 CLAY TO CLAYEY SILT

A predominantly very soft layer of grey clay to clayey silt was encountered in all of the boreholes drilled for this investigation with the exception of borehole BH412. In some of the boreholes a firmer crust of clay was encountered at the top of the layer. Occasional sand seams were encountered at the bottom of the layer in some of the boreholes. The thickness of the clay ranged between 0.2 and 17.6 metres over the site.

Grain size analyses performed on samples of the clay layer yielded 0% gravel, 2 to 3% sand, and 97 to 98% clay and silt sized particles. Atterberg limits performed on samples of this material yielded plastic limits of 19 and 20, liquid limits of 32 and 39, resulting in plasticity indexes of 13 and 19. The average moisture content of the samples tested was determined to be 35%. The undrained shear strength of the clay was determined using pocket penetrometers

in the field and miniature lab vanes on the Shelby tube samples. The results of these tests are provided on the borehole records in Appendix A.

# 4.4 SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL TO SILTY SAND

A layer of silty sand with gravel to silty sand was encountered below the soft clay in all of the boreholes drilled for this investigation, with the exception of boreholes BH409, BH411, and BH414. Occasional cobbles and boulders were encountered. The relative density was described as loose to dense based on the N-values obtained as part of the standard penetration testing. The thickness of this layer ranged between 0.6 and 5.9 metres.

Grain size analyses performed on samples of the silty sand with gravel to silty sand layer yielded 11 to 32% gravel, 38 to 52% sand, and 30 to 48% silt and clay sized particles. The average moisture content of the samples tested was determined to be 9%.

# 4.5 GNEISS BEDROCK

Grey Felsic Gneiss bedrock was encountered in all of the boreholes with the exception of boreholes BH415 and BH417, where grey Mafic Gneiss was encountered. Seams of Mafic Gneiss were encountered within the Felsic Gneiss in boreholes BH114, BH419, and BH420. The rock mass quality generally ranged between fractured and very sound, however there were some locations where very severely fractured bedrock was encountered. An unconfined compressive strength test performed on a sample of this bedrock yielded a strength of 129 MPa.

# 5.0 Discussion

Design of the proposed wharf for the Nunavik Nickel Mine has many geotechnical challenges including restrictions on dredging in deep soft sediments, sloping bedrock surface contributing to poor sliding stability, and high ice forces.

The overburden for the site consists of relatively thick deposits of soft organic silt and clay deposits over compact to dense silty sand with gravel. Bedrock consists of Gneiss bedrock assumed to have a relatively smooth surface based on observation of outcrops on shore.

Several design concepts have been put forth by the civil engineering designers in consultation with CRI to address the challenges at a feasible cost. The final design is comprised of two free standing circular gravity structures, connected by a bridge. Initially the wharf structures were to be concrete caissons, however; following cost estimating (by others) CRI favoured steel sheet pile cellular structures to comprise the wharf. The shore access consists of two bridge spans supported in the centre by drilled socketed piles. The proposed SSP cell locations shown on Drawing 101 have been optimized considering soil/bedrock conditions as well as navigational

constraints. The following discussion details results of geotechnical analysis for both concrete cribs and Steel Sheet Pile cells.

Values of the geotechnical parameters, used for the purposes of the analyses presented in the following sections, are presented in the following table. The values were estimated based on field and laboratory testing and engineering judgment, guided in some cases by published literature.

Summary of Geotechnical Parameter Values Used in Analyses

Organic Silt to Silty Sand	
undrained shear strength, C <sub>u</sub> (kPa)	lumped with clay to silty clay
unit weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )	lumped with clay to silty clay
Clay to Silty Clay	
undrained shear strength, C <sub>u</sub> (kPa)	10
unit weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )	18
Silty Sand with Gravel to Silty Sand	
angle of internal friction (degrees)	30
unit weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )	19
Gneiss Bedrock	
unconfined compressive strength (MPa)	100
unit weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )	26
Rockfill	
angle of internal friction (degrees)	40
unit weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )	20

# 5.1 CONCRETE CAISSONS

For analyses, the caissons were assumed to be circular, 25 m in diameter with a top deck elevation of 9 m LNT. Subsurface profiles, based on borehole data, are attached in Appendix D, Figures 1, 2 and 3. It was assumed that the soft silt/clay stratum would be dredged down to the silty sand and gravel, and that the caissons would be founded on a crushed rock mattress.

Bearing capacity analyses were performed for the caissons using a spreadsheet developed for the purpose. The results of the bearing capacity analyses are summarized in the following table.

Cummury of Dearing Capacity Analysee Results Consiste Salssons
--

Condition	FoS
North Caisson	
foundation at -14.5m fill and scour protection at -12.5m no ice loading	2.73
foundation at -14.5m fill and scour protection at -12.5m impact ice load = 15,000kN	1.78
foundation at -14.5m fill and scour protection at -12.5m thermal ice load = 7,000kN	2.30

Condition	Fo	S			
foundation at -15.0m fill and scour protection at -12.5m no ice loading	3.11				
foundation at -15.0m fill and scour protection at -12.5m impact ice load = 15,000kN	2.20				
foundation at -15.0m fill and scour protection at -12.5m thermal ice load = 7,000kN	2.39				
South Caisson					
	loader and surcharge not included	loader and surcharge included			
foundation at -14.5m fill and scour protection at -12.5m no ice loading	3.67	3.68			
foundation at -14.5m fill and scour protection at -12.5m impact ice load = 15,000kN	16.70	16.56			
foundation at -14.5m fill and scour protection at -12.5m thermal ice load = 7,000kN	3.30	2.80			
foundation at -14.5m scour protection at -12.5m fill at 0m no ice loading	3.06				
foundation at -14.5m fill and scour protection at -12.5m impact ice load = 15,000kN	>100				
foundation at -14.5m fill at 0m scour protection at -12.5m thermal ice load = 7,000kN	2.44				

As can be seen from the table, adequate factors of safety were obtained for all cases, using a target value of 3.0 under dead loading, and 1.5 under live (ice) loading. In all cases, it was assumed that there will be no fill placed behind (on the landward side of) the caissons above the -12.5m scour protection elevation. For the north caisson, it was necessary to use a founding elevation of el. -15.0 m to obtain the desired factors of safety, whereas for the south caisson a founding elevation of el. -14.5m proved to be adequate.

The global slope/sliding stability of the north caisson was also checked, using Slope/W. Examples of typical caisson geometry and loading configurations are shown in Figures 1, 2 and 3 of Appendix D. Under all loading cases (thermal ice load, impact ice load, dead loads only), a factor of safety of greater than 1.5 was obtained. This being the case, it was deemed unnecessary to explicitly check the global stability of the south caisson.

# 5.2 STEEL SHEET PILE CELLS

The SSP cells would be at the same locations selected for the caissons, and were taken to be 25m diameter. The top deck of the north cell is assumed to be elevation 9 m LNT. At the designers request the deck of the south cell is to be el. 10.4 metres. Slope/W was used to check

the global stability of the cells. Examples of typical geometry and loading used in the analyses are shown in Figures 4, 5, and 6 in Appendix D. The factors of safety obtained are summarized in the following table. In all cases, the factors of safety obtained from the analyses are greater than 2.0.

Condition	FoS		
North SSP Cell			
SSP sheets driven to -19.0m scour protection at -12.5m no ice loading	2.63		
SSP sheets driven to -19.0m	2.96 (sliding offshore)		
scour protection at -12.5m impact ice load = 15,000kN	6.50 (sliding toward shore)		
SSP sheets driven to -19.0m scour protection at -12.5m thermal ice load = 7,000kN	2.26		
South SSP Cell			
SSP sheets driven to -14.0m scour protection at -12.5m no ice loading	3.05		
SSP sheets driven to -14.0m	4.87 (sliding offshore)		
scour protection at -12.5m impact ice load = 15,000kN	9.34 (sliding toward shore)		
SSP sheets driven to -14.0m scour protection at -12.5m thermal ice load = 7,000kN	2.27		

Summary	/ of	Global	Slor	oe/Slidina	Stability	/ Analv	ses	Results	– SSP	Cells
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		0.0.0.0.	- · · ·	, e. e		, ,				

It was deemed to be unnecessary to check bearing capacity of the north cell, in that the geometric conditions and loading are the same as for the caisson option, with the exception that the founding depth is greater in the case of SSP cells which increases the factor of safety against bearing capacity failure. However, since the proposed height of the south cell was increased to 10.4 metres bearing capacity was checked. The results showed that the minimum recommended factor of safety could be achieved if the sheet piles are driven at least to elevation -14.5 metres. Therefore it is recommended that the sheet piles for the south cell should be driven to this depth. Sheet piles for the north cell should be driven to elevation -19 or lower.

# 5.3 PILES AND ROCK ANCHORS

# 5.3.1 Concrete to Rock Compression Bond Stress

The unconfined compressive strength data from previous geotechnical investigations at this site (LEQ and Golder, total of 5 tests) average  $q_u = 116$  MPa. We have assumed an unconfined compressive strength of concrete in the socket to be 35 MPa. Based on this the recommended ultimate bond stress on the walls of the socket would be 2.6 MPa. We recommend a geotechnical resistance factor of 0.4. We also recommend to add approximately 1 metre to the length of the socket to allow for possible weathered and or fractured surface material.

## 5.3.2 Tensile Capacity Socketed Piles or Rock Anchors

It is sometimes more practical to lengthen the pile rock socket to attain the tensile requirements than to drill a separate small diameter anchor. For tension within the rock socket we recommend an ultimate bond stress of 2.0 MPa; a resistance factor of 0.4 should be applied and as previously noted 1 metre of socket should be added to account for the possibility of poor quality rock. In addition to bond, piles in tension must be checked for uplift resistance from the weight of rock and soil. For this we recommend that the weight of rock within a 60 degree cone from the tip of the pile plus the vertical soil column above the rock cone should be included. The design submerged unit weight of rock and soil are recommended to be 16 kN/m<sup>3</sup> and 9 kN/m<sup>3</sup> respectively.

Allowable bond stress for anchors should be 1/30 times the unconfined compressive strength of the grout and should not to exceed 1.3 MPa.

## 5.3.3 Steel Piles Driven to Bedrock

We recommend using a design value for the unconfined compressive strength of rock not exceeding 100 MPa for the purposes of pile design. The ultimate contact stress for the rock may be taken as 500 MPa. We recommend a geotechnical resistance factor of no more than 0.4. Piles should be driven with a hammer delivering an energy of about 400 J/sq. cm. and should be provided with a cast driving shoe intended for use on rock.

For the purposes of structural design of the piles, we recommend that steel stresses be limited to 0.3  $f_{y}$ . Structural design of the piles will likely govern.

# 6.0 Closure

Use of this report is subject to the Statement of General Conditions provided in Appendix A. It is the responsibility of Canadian Royalties Inc., who is identified as "the Client" within the Statement of General Conditions, and its agents to review the conditions and to notify Stantec Consulting Ltd. should any of these not be satisfied. The Statement of General Conditions addresses the following:

- Use of the report
- Basis of the report
- Standard of care
- Interpretation of site conditions
- Varying or unexpected site conditions
- Planning, design or construction

This report was prepared by James S. Mitchell, P.Eng. and Dan R. McQuinn, P.Eng. and reviewed by Brian B. Taylor, Ph.D., P.Eng. We trust that the information contained in it is adequate for your present purposes. If you have any questions about the contents of the report or if we can be of any other assistance please contact us at your convenience.

Yours very truly,

## STANTEC CONSULTING LTD.

James S. Mitchell, P.Eng.

Dan R. McQuinn, P.Eng.

V:\1216\active\121613XXX\121613564 Deception Bay\Final Geotechnical Report - Site 1-Revised April 22\_2012.docx

# APPENDIX A Statement of General Conditions Symbols and Terms Used on Borehole and Test Pit Records Borehole Records

## STATEMENT OF GENERAL CONDITIONS

<u>USE OF THIS REPORT</u>: This report has been prepared for the sole benefit of the Client or its agent and may not be used by any third party without the express written consent of Stantec Consulting Ltd. and the Client. Any use which a third party makes of this report is the responsibility of such third party.

<u>BASIS OF THE REPORT</u>: The information, opinions, and/or recommendations made in this report are in accordance with Stantec Consulting Ltd's present understanding of the site specific project as described by the Client. The applicability of these is restricted to the site conditions encountered at the time of the investigation or study. If the proposed site specific project differs or is modified from what is described in this report or if the site conditions are altered, this report is no longer valid unless Stantec Consulting Ltd. is requested by the Client to review and revise the report to reflect the differing or modified project specifics and/or the altered site conditions.

<u>STANDARD OF CARE</u>: Preparation of this report, and all associated work, was carried out in accordance with the normally accepted standard of care in the state or province of execution for the specific professional service provided to the Client. No other warranty is made.

<u>INTERPRETATION OF SITE CONDITIONS</u>: Soil, rock, or other material descriptions, and statements regarding their condition, made in this report are based on site conditions encountered by Stantec Consulting Ltd. at the time of the work and at the specific testing and/or sampling locations. Classifications and statements of condition have been made in accordance with normally accepted practices which are judgmental in nature; no specific description should be considered exact, but rather reflective of the anticipated material behavior. Extrapolation of in situ conditions can only be made to some limited. extent beyond the sampling or test points. The extent depends on variability of the soil, rock and groundwater conditions as influenced by geological processes, construction activity, and site use.

<u>VARYING OR UNEXPECTED CONDITIONS</u>: Should any site or subsurface conditions be encountered that are different from those described in this report or encountered at the test locations, Stantec Consulting Ltd. must be notified immediately to assess if the varying or unexpected conditions are substantial and if reassessments of the report conclusions or recommendations are required. Stantec Consulting Ltd. will not be responsible to any party for damages incurred as a result of failing to notify Stantec Consulting Ltd. that differing site or subsurface conditions are present upon becoming aware of such conditions.

<u>PLANNING, DESIGN, OR CONSTRUCTION</u>: Development or design plans and specifications should be reviewed by Stantec Consulting Ltd., sufficiently ahead of initiating the next project stage (property acquisition, tender, construction, etc), to confirm that this report completely addresses the elaborated project specifics and that the contents of this report have been properly interpreted. Specialty quality assurance services (field observations and testing) during construction are a necessary part of the evaluation of sub-subsurface conditions and site preparation works. Site work relating to the recommendations included in this report should only be carried out in the presence of a qualified geotechnical engineer; Stantec Consulting Ltd. cannot be responsible for site work carried out without being present.



## SYMBOLS AND TERMS USED ON BOREHOLE AND TEST PIT RECORDS

### SOIL DESCRIPTION

### Terminology describing common soil genesis:

Topsoil	- mixture of soil and humus capable of supporting vegetative growth
Peat	- mixture of visible and invisible fragments of decayed organic matter
Till	- unstratified glacial deposit which may range from clay to boulders
Fill	- material below the surface identified as placed by humans (excluding buried services)

### Terminology describing soil structure:

Desiccated	- having visible signs of weathering by oxidization of clay minerals, shrinkage cracks, etc.	
Fissured	- having cracks, and hence a blocky structure	
Varved	- composed of regular alternating layers of silt and clay	
Stratified	- composed of alternating successions of different soil types, e.g. silt and sand	
Layer	ayer - > 75 mm in thickness	
Seam	- 2 mm to 75 mm in thickness	
Parting	- < 2 mm in thickness	

### Terminology describing soil types:

The classification of soil types are made on the basis of grain size and plasticity in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) (ASTM D 2487 or D 2488). The classification excludes particles larger than 76 mm (3 inches). The USCS provides a group symbol (e.g. SM) and group name (e.g. silty sand) for identification.

### Terminology describing cobbles, boulders, and non-matrix materials (organic matter or debris):

Terminology describing materials outside the USCS, (e.g. particles larger than 76 mm, visible organic matter, construction debris) is based upon the proportion of these materials present:

Trace, or occasional	Less than 10%
Some	10-20%
Frequent	> 20%

#### Terminology describing compactness of cohesionless soils:

The standard terminology to describe cohesionless soils includes compactness (formerly "relative density"), as determined by the Standard Penetration Test N-Value (also known as N-Index). A relationship between compactness condition and N-Value is shown in the following table.

Compactness Condition	SPT N-Value
Very Loose	<4
Loose	4-10
Compact	10-30
Dense	30-50
Very Dense	>50

### Terminology describing consistency of cohesive soils:

The standard terminology to describe cohesive soils includes the consistency, which is based on undrained shear strength as measured by *in situ* vane tests, penetrometer tests, or unconfined compression tests.

Consistency	Undrained Shear Strength								
Consistency	kips/sq.ft.	kPa							
Very Soft	<0.25	<12.5							
Soft	0.25 - 0.5	12.5 - 25							
Firm	0.5 - 1.0	25 - 50							
Stiff	1.0 - 2.0	50 – 100							
Very Stiff	2.0 - 4.0	100 - 200							
Hard	>4.0	>200							



Page 1 of 3

### **ROCK DESCRIPTION**

### Terminology describing rock quality:

RQD	Rock Mass Quality
0-25	Very Poor, Crushed, Very Severely Fractured
25-50	Poor, Shattered and Very Seamy or Blocky, Severely Fractured
50-75	Fair, Blocky and Seamy, Fractured
75-90	Good, Massive, Moderately Jointed or Sound
90-100	Excellent, Intact, Very Sound

Rock quality classification is based on a modified core recovery percentage (RQD) in which all pieces of sound core over 100 mm long are counted as recovery. The smaller pieces are considered to be due to close shearing, jointing, faulting, or weathering in the rock mass and are not counted. RQD was originally intended to be done on NW core; however, it can be used on different core sizes if the bulk of the fractures caused by drilling stresses are easily distinguishable from *in situ* fractures. The terminology describing rock mass quality based on RQD is subjective and is underlain by the presumption that sound strong rock is of higher engineering value than fractured weak rock.

#### Terminology describing rock mass:

Spacing (mm)	acing (mm) Joint Classification Bedding, Laminatio						
> 6000	Extremely Wide	-					
2000-6000	Very Wide	Very Thick					
600-2000 <i>Wide</i>		Thick					
200-600 Moderate		Medium					
60-200 <i>Close</i>		Thin					
20-60	Very Close	Very Thin					
<20	Extremely Close	Laminated					
<6	-	Thinly Laminated					

### Terminology describing rock strength:

Strength Classification	Unconfined Compressive Strength (MPa)
Extremely Weak	< 1
Very Weak	1 – 5
Weak	5 – 25
Medium Strong	25 – 50
Strong	50 – 100
Very Strong	100 – 250
Extremely Strong	> 250

### Terminology describing rock weathering:

Term	Description							
Fresh	lo visible signs of rock weathering. Slight discolouration along major discontinuities							
Slightly Weathered	Discolouration indicates weathering of rock on discontinuity surfaces. All the rock material may be discoloured.							
Moderately Weathered	Less than half the rock is decomposed and/or disintegrated into soil.							
Highly Weathered	More than half the rock is decomposed and/or disintegrated into soil.							
Completely Weathered All the rock material is decomposed and/or disintegrated into soil. The origina structure is still largely intact.								





### RECOVERY

HQ, NQ, BQ, etc.

For soil samples, the recovery is recorded as the length of the soil sample recovered. For rock core, recovery is defined as the total cumulative length of all core recovered in the core barrel divided by the length drilled and is recorded as a percentage on a per run basis.

### N-VALUE

Numbers in this column are the field results of the Standard Penetration Test: the number of blows of a 140 pound (64 kg) hammer falling 30 inches (760 mm), required to drive a 2 inch (50.8 mm) O.D. split spoon sampler one foot (305 mm) into the soil. For split spoon samples where insufficient penetration was achieved and N-values cannot be presented, the number of blows are reported over sampler penetration in millimetres (e.g. 50/75). Some design methods make use of N value corrected for various factors such as overburden pressure, energy ratio, borehole diameter, etc. No corrections have been applied to the N-values presented on the log.

#### **DYNAMIC CONE PENETRATION TEST (DCPT)**

standard size diamond coring bits.

Dynamic cone penetration tests are performed using a standard 60 degree apex cone connected to A size drill rods with the same standard fall height and weight as the Standard Penetration Test. The DCPT value is the number of blows of the hammer required to drive the cone one foot (305 mm) into the soil. The DCPT is used as a probe to assess soil variability.

### **OTHER TESTS**

S	Sieve analysis
Н	Hydrometer analysis
k	Laboratory permeability
Ŷ	Unit weight
Gs	Specific gravity of soil particles
CD	Consolidated drained triaxial
СИ	Consolidated undrained triaxial with pore pressure measurements
UU	Unconsolidated undrained triaxial
DS	Direct Shear
С	Consolidation
Qu	Unconfined compression
Ι <sub>Ρ</sub>	Point Load Index ( $I_p$ on Borehole Record equals $I_p(50)$ in which the index is corrected to a reference diameter of 50 mm)

Ţ	Single packer permeability test; test interval from depth shown to bottom of borehole							
	Double packer permeability test; test interval as indicated							
ļ	Falling head permeability test using casing							
Ţ	Falling head permeability test using well point or piezometer							



·		······································														Page	1 of	3	
E E	<b>e</b> Sta	antec	BO	RE	HO	LE	E F	RECO	ORD	I							Bł	<b>10</b> 1	l
C	LIENT	CANADIAN ROYALTIES	INC.									_	PR	OJEC	ΓNo.	<u>121</u>	<u>6135</u>	64	_
L	OCATION	PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	TE 1, 1	DE	СЕРТ	IOI	N BAY	, QUE	BEC			_	BH	SIZE		H	W		_
DATES: BORING											DA	TUM		CH	ART	1	_		
Ê						SA	MPLES				-	UN		O SHEAI	RSTREM	NGTH - k	Pa		
H(m)	NOI		PLO	E N H		R	RY	ш%	د ۲. ه		. 2	.0	4	0				)	
EPT	EVAT	SOIL DESCRIPTION	ATA	ERL	ΥΡΕ	MBE	OVE	ALUI	EST	WATE	ER CON	TENT &	ATTERB	ERG LI	VITS		₩ <sub>P</sub>	w Ə	_w <b>ו</b>
	ELE		STR	WAT	H	Ŋ	REC	N-N OR-I		DYNA	MIC PE	NETRAT		ST, BLO	WS/0.3m	ר		*	r
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						l mm			STAN	DARD F	PENETR	ATION T	EST, BL	OWS/0.:	3m '0 7	~ ~ ~	•	•
- 0 -	-6.92	Loose to compact black		4													8		90 :  -
		ORGANIC SILT with gravel																	Ē
		- cobbles throughout	0%		SS	1	200	8											F
- 1 -							000	12											E
				2	<u> </u>	2	200	13											E
				5															Ē
- 2 -	-8.90																		Ē
		very son grey CLAY			SS	3	600	Woht											Ē
				1	55			of Roc	s										E
- 3 -				1															Ē
			V	1															E
			$\vee$		ST	4	600	Wght.											F
								of Roo	ls										Ē
- 4 -			K																F
				1	4		<u> </u>		-										E
				1															Ē
- 5 -				1	VAN	E		1											
					L.														E
																			Ē
- 6 -																			
																			Ē
		(		1	ST	5	600	Wght.											
- 7 -				1				of Ro	ds										
									]										
																			F
- 8 -			K					-											F
																			E
				1															E
- 9 -				1					1								<u> </u>		-
					SS	6	600	Wght.											Ē
+ -	]		$\vee$				<u> </u>		<u>u</u> 5										
																			F
10-														NETR	OMET	ER			T
ABH 5	A	M 5 - 20 2012 0.21.10											▲ FIEI ♦ MIN ¥ I II I		NNE 11 RE VA XIAI 7	LSI ANE S	HEAF	R TES	31
<u>f</u>	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:31:16											00						

	LIENT DCATION	CANADIAN ROYALTIES PROPOSED WHARF - SI DRING 2011/09/03 to 2011/	<b>S INC.</b> ( <u>TE 1,</u> /09/04		<u>CEP1</u>			QUE	BEC	 			PRO BH	OJEC SIZE	T No.	<u>121</u> E	D <u>613</u> <u>IW</u> [AR'	пи <u>564</u> 	
	(F				_ 117	SA	MPLES					 UN	DRAINE	D SHEA	R STRE	NGTH -	kPa		
DEPTH(m)	ELEVATION(r	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	WATER LEVEL	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE DYNA		TENT &		ERG LII	6 MITS WS/0.3n	0 	₩ <sub>P</sub> ₩	30 <del> </del> w -0	
-10-							mm			1 STAN	0 2	20 3	30 4	0 5	50 6	3m 60 ′	70	80	<b>9</b> 0
		CLAY, cont'd.																	
- 12-					SS	7	600	Wght. of Roc	s										
- 13-					ST	8	650	PUSH											
- 14-																			
- 16-		- -																	
		-increasing silt content			SS	9	600	Wght. of Roc	ls										
					ST.	10	0	DUSH											
-18-				1	SI	10	75	17											
					 	· 12	300	17	-										
-19-						12	500												
	-26.50	Compact grey silty SAND to				10													
-20-	App'd	silty SAND with gravel	Pid I	<u>۲</u>	55	13	0	18	1		<u> ::</u> :		■ PEN ▲ FIEI ● MIN ★ UU	IETR DVA IIATU TRIA	OMET ANE T RE V/ XIAL	ER EST ANE S TEST	SHEA	<u>:   : :</u> .R TE	:::- :ST

CI	<b>St</b> i	CANADIAN ROYALTIES I	NC.	RE	НО	LE	E F	RECO	ORD				PR	OJEC	Г No.	<u>121</u>	B 613:	H01 564	
Sector         BOREHOLE         RECORD         FUILT N. 12161           CLENT         CANADIAN ROYALTES INC.         PROJECT N. 12161         BH SIZE         EVENT           DATES HORNG         20109903 to 20110904         WATER LEVEL         DATES         DATES         DATES           DATES HORNG         20109903 to 20110904         WATER LEVEL         DATES         DATES					 Г														
	ATES: BC	JRING2011/07/05 to 2011/07			W A	SA		· ····				 UN				VGTH -	kPa	L	
EPTH(m)	VATION(m	SOIL DESCRIPTION	TA PLOT	ER LEVEL	ΡE	MBER	OVERY	ALUE ALUE	THER	WATE		20 			60 	0	₩ <sub>P</sub>	0 	-
<u></u>			STR/	WATI	4	INN	RECO	N-V OR-R	0'F	DYNA STAN	MIC PE	NETRA		ST, BLO	WS/0.3m OWS/0.:	n 3m		*	
-20-		Silty SAND to silty SAND with		'						1	0 2	20	$\frac{30}{30}$	0 5	06		70	80 9	)
	27.02	gravel, cont'd.																	
21-	-21.92	Very sound grey FELSIC																	
		GNEISS:BEDROCK -150 mm Quartz seam at depth of 24.4 metres			NQ	14	83%	RQD 83%	-										
	-							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											
23-					NQ	15	76%	61%											_
	-30.42																		
24-		End of Borehole																	
25-																			
6-																			
- - - 7-																			
/																			
28-																			_
- - - -																			
29-																			-
20																			
50-	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:31:16											■ PEN ▲ FIE ◆ MIN ★ UU	NETRO LD VA IIATU TRIA	OMET NE TI RE VA XIAL 1	ER EST NE S EST	SHEA	R TES	n

Page 3 of 3

CL	<b>// Jl</b>	CANADIAN ROYALTIES	<u>s inc.</u>				- 4							PR	OJEC	T No	. <u>12</u>	<b>161</b> .	<u>356</u>	4
LC	CATION	PROPOSED WHARF - SI	ITE 1,	DE	CEP1	IOL	N BAY	, QUE	BEC_					BH	SIZE	3		HW		
DA	TES: BC	PRING		•••••	_ WA	TER	LEVEL	,						DA	TUM	۱ <u> </u>	CF	IAF	<u> </u>	_
-	Ê					SA	MPLES						UND	RAINE	D SHEA	R STRE	NGTH -	- kPa		
	NO		LO.	EVE		Я	R	»	۲. ۲.			20		4	0	6	.0 ├───		80 —	
	VAT	SOIL DESCRIPTION	ATA	ERL	ΡE	MBE	OVE	ALUI &D	EST:	WATE	RCC	NTEN	Т&А	TTERE	BERG L	IMITS		₩ <sub>P</sub> ┣		
			STR/	VAT	Г Г	NN	SEC.	24-AC		DYNA	MIC F	PENET	RATI	ON TES	ST, BLC	)WS/0.3r	n			4
+				-						. STAN	DARE	PENE	ETRA	TION T	EST, B	LOWS/0.	.3m			
ł	-9.04	CODDIES	01/0	2	1		mm			1	$\frac{0}{1}$	20	30	) 4 	0	50 e	50 1:	70	80	<del>.</del>
-		CODDLES	e Q																	
-			26																	
-			8 Se		SS	1	50	8												
-	-10.36		26	2								: : :								
ł		Very soft grey CLAY		1	SS	2	125	1/300+	nm											
1				]		-	120	1/2001												
-																				<u>: :</u>
-	-																			::
					SS	3	300	Wght.												::
							<u> </u>	of Roc	s			: :								
																				::
					ST	4	525	Wght.												:::
								OI ROO	IS											
				1																<u>.</u>
				1																
				1																:::
				1													╞┊┊	<u>   : :</u>		::
				1	SS	5	600	Wght.												
				1				of Roo	ls											:
				]																
						· · ·								0						
					ST	6	600	PUSH	S					H						
			V,		4			<u> </u>	-				c							
										<u> </u>					1:::					-
																				:
								1.1												
									-											:
				1	SS	7	600	Wght.												
					<b>.</b>		<u> </u>	ofRo	ls											
				1									<u></u>							
				1	<b></b>															
]					III st	8	600	PUSE												
						0			1							<u> </u>				
1		1												PE	NETF		ER.			

CL	<b>Sta</b>	CANADIAN ROYALTIES PROPOSED WHARF - ST 2011/00/04	<b>BO</b> <u>INC.</u> TE 1,	RE DE	HO CEPT		F N BAY	RECO	DRD				PR BH	OJEC SIZE	T No.	<u>121</u> <u>H</u>	Bl 6135 IW	<b>H04</b> 564	•
DA	TES: BC	PRING	1		WA	TER	LEVEL					-	DA	TUM				<u> </u>	-
Ê	N(m)		10	/EL		SA	MPLES				2	0	JRAINEI 4	0 0	6 SIRE	0	кРа 8	0	
DEPTH(I	ELEVATIO	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PL	WATER LEV	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE DYNA STAN	R CON MIC PE DARD F	TENT & J	ATTERE ION TES	ERG LI ST, BLO EST, BL	MITS WS/0.3n .OWS/0.	n 3m	W <sub>P</sub>	w • •	
10							mm			1	0 2	0 3	0 4	0 5	50 <del>(</del>	50 <i>′</i>	70 8	30 (	90
1 V - - - - - - - -		CLAY, cont'd.																	
		- increasing silt content																	
12-	-21.23	Compost arou gilty SAND to			SS	9	450	14											F
		silty SAND with gravel	0 0 0 0 0	2															
13-			0 0 0 0	> >															
14-			0 0 0																
-			0 0 0 0 0		SS	10	175	13											
15-	-24.05		o d 0 d	>	SS	11	200	12/27:		::0: :::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::									
		GNEISS:BEDROCK				12	1009/												
16-					NO	12	0%	85%	1										F
								0%											
17-	-				NQ	14	100%	65%											
-				-															
18-	-27.07	End of Borehole	_=	-	NQ	15	100%	54%								<u></u>			
- - - -																			
19-																			
20-	App'd	M Sep 28 2012 8:31:17	<b>I</b>		<u> </u>	I	L		I		1:::'	1:::::       	■ PEI ▲ FIE ● MIN × UU	NETR LD V/ NATU TRIA	OMET ANE T RE V/ XIAL	TER EST ANE S TEST	SHEAF	R TES	;

Page 2 of 2

<b>y</b>		<b>Antec</b> CANADIAN ROYALTIES	<b>BO</b>	RE	НО	LE	F	RECO	ORD				РБ	OJEC	T No	12	B	H05
	CATION	PROPOSED WHARF - SI	TE 1,	DE	СЕРТ	TION	BAY	, QUE	BEC	,	•		Bł	H SIZE	I I I I.		IW	
D.	ATES: BC	DRING2011/09/09			WA	TER	LEVEL					_	D	ATUM		CF	IAR	<u> </u>
(m)	(m)NC		LOT	SVEL		SA	MPLES	.,0	~		2	u⊧ 20		ED SHEA 40 1	R STRE	NGTH · 0	·kPa {	30
DEPTH	ELEVATI	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA P	WATER LE	ТҮРЕ	NUMBEF	RECOVER	N-VALUE OR-ROD %	OTHEF TESTS	WATE DYNA STAN	IR CON MIC PE	' ITENT 8 NETRA PENETE	ATTER	BERG LI ST, BLC	MITS WS/0.3r OWS/0	n 3m	₩ <sub>₽</sub> <b>I</b>	'w ∾ ⊖ 1 ★
- 0 -	-12.01						mm			1	0	20	30	40 .	50 <del>(</del>	50	70	80 90
		Very loose COBBLES and BOULDERS	0000															
				2														
				2														
- 2 -	-14.40																	
		Very soft grey CLAY			SS	1	0	Wght.										
- 3 -							-	ofRoc	s									
									-									
- 4 -					ST	2	600	PUSH										
					SS	3	600	Wght.										
- 5 -								ofRoo	ls									
					SS	4	600	Wght.	ds.									
- 6-					SS	5	600	Wght.										
	10.05							ofRo	is									
- 7 -	-18.87	Very loose to loose grey silty			SS	6	275	37										
		gravel	0 0 0 0	è.	SS	7	375	11										
- 8 -		boulders											· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
		:	0 0 0 0 0 0	2	SS	8	250	3		•								
- 9 -						0	200	Waht	-									
					ວວ 	7	200	of Ro	ds									
_ 10-					SS	10	200	Wght.										
- MBH 9/28,	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:31:18											■ PE ▲ FIE ◆ MI ★ UL	NETR ELD V/ NIATU J TRIA	OMET ANE T IRE V/ XIAL	EST	SHEA	R TEST

Page 1 of 2

CL	Sta	CANADIAN ROYALTIES I PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	<b>30</b> <u>NC.</u> E 1.	RE	HO CEPT		F N BAY	RECO	ORD BEC				PR( BH	DJECI	T No. 1	E 2161 HW	<b>3H0</b> 3564	5
DA	TES: BO	DRING 2011/09/09			_ WA	TER	LEVEL	·				_	DA	TUM		CHAI	RT	_
	(L)		T			SA	MPLES				2	UNI O	DRAINED	SHEAF	STRENG	TH - kPa	80	
DEPTH(n	ELEVATION	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLC	WATER LEVI	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE DYNA STAN	R CON MIC PE	TENT & NETRAT	ATTERB	ERG LIN ST, BLOV	11TS VS/0.3m DWS/0.3m	W <sub>P</sub>	- <del> </del> 	  ★
-10-			61 LA				mm	of Por		1	0 2	0 3	30 4	0 5	0 60	70	80	9(
		Silty SAND to silty SAND with gravel, cont'd.	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		SS	11	200	8	15	•								
-11-			0. 0.0. 0.0.															
			0000	2	NQ	12	600	-										
-12-	-24.13	Severely fractured to fractured			NQ	13	100	-	-									
		grey FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK			NQ	14	94%	RQD										
-13-								44%										
									-									
-14-					NQ	15	100%	59%										
	-26.46	End of Borehole	-=	-														
-15-										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
								-										
-16-																		
-17-																		
-18-																		
-19-																		
-20-	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:31:18				I		1			1::::	1::::	■ PEN ▲ FIEI ◆ MIN ★ UU	IETRO D VA IIATUI TRIA	DMETEI NE TES RE VAN (IAL TE	R ST IE SHE ST	AR TE	ST

	<b>E Sta</b> lient dcation ates: bo	CANADIAN ROYALTIES <u>PROPOSED WHARF - ST</u> DRING <u>2011/09/12</u>	ВО <u>INC.</u> ГЕ 1,		: <b>НО</b> <u>Серт</u> – WA	TON	<b>BAY</b> LEVEI	(ECC	JKD BEC	, 	PROJECT N BH SIZE DATUM	o. <u>12161</u> <u>HW</u> CHA	<b>ВНО6</b> . <u>3564</u> V RT
Т	Ê					SA	MPLES			UN	DRAINED SHEAR STR	ENGTH - kPa	
DEPTH(m)	ELEVATION(n	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	WATER LEVEL	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	20 WATER CONTENT 8 DYNAMIC PENETRA	40 ATTERBERG LIMITS	60 W <sub>P</sub> Jm	80   w '
	_8 99						mm			STANDARD PENETF	ATION TEST, BLOWS	0.3m 60 70	• 80 د
	-0.99	BOULDERS and COBBLES -intermixed with sand and gravel	2000 C	x x x x									
1			2000 C	1A 0- A 10 0									
	-11.50	Very soft grey CLAY											
 - - - - - - - - -					SS	1	600	Wght. of Ha	nmer				
4 -						2	600	Wght. of Rod	ls				
5 -					ST	3	600	Wght. of Roo	s				
					SS	4	600	Wght. of Roo	ls				
5 -					ST	5	600	PUSH					
7 -					SS	6	600	Wght. of Ha	nmer				
		1			SS	7	600	Wght.					
,		gravel content starting at depth of 7.9 metres			SS	8	600	Wght.	mmer				
9					SS	9	600	Wght. of Ha	mmer				
10	App'd	M Sep 28 2012 8:31:19									■ PENETROME ▲ FIELD VANE ◆ MINIATURE ¥ UU TRIAXIAL	TER TEST /ANE SHE . TEST	JAR TES

Page 1 of 2

CL	Sta	CANADIAN ROYALTIE PROPOSED WHARF - SI	<b>BO</b> <u>S INC.</u> <u>(TE 1,</u>	RE DE	EHO CEPT		F N BAY	RECO	ORD				PR Bł	COJEC H SIZE	T No.	<u>121</u> H	Bł 6135 W	<b>HO</b> (	<b>3</b> -
DA	TES: BC	PRING	1		WA	TER	LEVEL		1							Сн			
Ê	N(m)		oT	Щ		SA	MPLES				2	0		10 SHEA	R STREI	0 0	kPa 8(	0	
DEPTH(I	ELEVATIO	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PL	WATER LEV	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE DYNA STAN	R CON MIC PE	TENT & NETRA PENETF	& ATTER	H BERG LI EST, BLC TEST, BI	MITS WS/0.3n .0WS/0.	n 3m	W <sub>P</sub>	W O	 ∎
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					mm			1	0 2	20	30	40 5	50 6	i0 7	0 8	0	90
		CLAY, cont'd.			SS	10	600	16											
					SS	11	600	14											
				1	55	12	600	15											Ē
· -					00	12	000	15											
12-	-21.00	~			IST	13	50	PUSH											
- - - - -		Compact grey silty SAND to silty SAND with gravel -occasional cobbles and		2	SS	14	100	14			•							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
13-		boulders	0 0 0	-	SS	15	25	19											Ē
1			0 0 0 0																Ē
	-22.81		0		_SS	16	150	53/200	mm										Ē
14-		Severely fractured to sound			HQ	17	80%	RQD 40%	-										:
		GNEISS:BEDROCK			HQ	18	100%	29%	ļ										
-				-															
15-				-	HQ	19	100%	91%											Ē
-									-										ŀ
-			E																
16-					HQ	20	100%	86%											
-																			Ē
17	-25.83	End of Borehole	F	<b>-</b>															
1/-		Life of Dorenoic																	E
-18-																			Ē
-~																			Ē
																			Ē
19-																			: [
																			Ē
20							<u> </u>		1		<u> :::</u>		:   : : :	:   : : :		<u> ::::</u>			÷F
	App'd	<u></u>											■ PE ▲ F1E ◆ M1 ¥ UL	INETR ELD V/ NIATU J TRIA	OMET ANE T RE VA XIAL	ER EST ANE S TEST	HEAF	RTE	51

9	<b>Sta</b>	antec	BO	RE	НО	LE	F	RECO	ORD	1								В	H0	7
C	LIENT	CANADIAN ROYALTIES	<u>S INC.</u>											PR	OJEC	T No	). <u>12</u>	<u>1613</u>	<u>564</u>	_
	OCATION	<u>PROPOSED WHARF - SI</u> <u>PRING</u> <u>2011/09/06</u>	<u>TE 1,</u>	DE	<u>CEPT</u> - WA	TON TER	<u>I BAY</u> LEVEL	, QUE	BEC					BH DA	SIZE TUM	_	] ] ]	<u>HW</u> HAR'	T	_
	Ê					SA	MPLES						UND	RAINE	D SHEA	R STR	ENGTH	- kPa		
TH(m)	TION(	SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLO1	LEVE	111	Я	ERY	Э. С	TS ER			20			0		50 +		30 +	
DEP	ELEVA		STRATA	WATER	ТҮРЕ	NUMB	RECOV	N-VAL( OR-RQI	OTH TES	WATE DYNA STAN	ER CO AMIC P IDARD		IT & A RATI	ON TES	ERG LI ST, BLC EST, BI	MITS WS/0.3 LOWS/0	3m 0.3m	•••p	- <del>•</del>	i ★ ●
- 0 -	-11.87						mm			1	10	20	30	0 4	0 :	50	60	70	80	90
		Very loose black ORGANIC SILT with sand - shells throughout			SS	1	250	Wght. of Har	nmer											
	-13.09	Soft to stiff grey CLAY															•         •         •         •           •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •         •           •         •         •			
- 2 -					SS	2	250	5				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					Image: second			<u>                                     </u>
- 3 -					SS	3	450	3		•										
- 4 -					ST	4	150	PUSH												
- 5 -	-16.75	Compact to dense grev silty			I I ST	-5	150	PUSH	-											
		SAND to silty SAND with gravel - occasional cobbles and	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2	SS	6	300	51	S	Ċ	þ		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
- 6 -		boulders		2	SS	7	400	20	S		0									
- 7 -				2 2 2 2	SS	8	250	34						•						
				ð	HQ	9	325	-												
- 8 -				2 2	SS	10	325	27					•							
			0 0 0 0 0	2 																
- 9 -			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0						-											
<u>-10</u>			0 0 0	2 5	HQ	11	175													
<sup>a</sup> MBH 9/28/	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:31:19												FIE MIN	NETR LD V/ NATU TRIA	OME ANE IRE \ XIAL	TER TEST /ANE TES	SHEA	NR TE	ST

(III) EFERATION(III) 2.16	SOIL DESCRIPTION Silty SAND to silty SAND with \gravel, cont'd. Fractured to sound grey FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK		WATER LEVEL	HQ NQ NQ	SA RH NUMBER 12 13 14 15	MPLES	ЭЛТИЛ-Ч Г - RQD 65% 68%	OTHER TESTS	WATEI DYNAM STANE	2 R CONT IIC PEN IARD PI ) 2:	UNI ENT & IETRAT ENETRA 0 3	ATTERE ATTERE ATION TE: ATION T	D SHEAF 0 BERG LIN ST, BLOV EST, BLOV	8 STREN 60 //ITS /VS/0.3m DWS/0.3 0 60	IGTH - I ) ј 3m 0 7	<b>Pa</b> 81       WP       I	0 w 0 .0
NOILERATION 2.16 4.90	SOIL DESCRIPTION Silty SAND to silty SAND with gravel, cont'd. Fractured to sound grey FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK End of Borehole		WATER LEVE	HQ NQ NQ	иливеки 12 13 14	▶           mm           0           90%           100%           77%	элтул-ч - RQD 65%	OTHER	WATER DYNAN STANE 1(	2( 	) IENT & IETRAT ENETR 0 3	ATTERE	0 BERG LIN ST, BLOV EST, BLO 60 5	60 //ITS /VS/0.3m DWS/0.3 0 6/	) 3m 0 7	81 WP I	0 W 30
<u>2.16</u> 4.90	Silty SAND to silty SAND with \gravel, cont'd. Fractured to sound grey FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK			NQ NQ NQ	12 13 14 15	mm 0 90% 100% 77%	- RQD 65% 68%			(ARD PI	ENETR 0 3	ATION T	EST, BL 10 5	0 60	3m 0 7	0 8	30
<u>2.16</u> 4.90	Silty SAND to silty SAND with \gravel, cont'd. Fractured to sound grey FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK			HQ NQ NQ	12 13 14 15	0 90% 100% 77%	- RQD 65% 68%										
4.90	\gravel, cont'd. Fractured to sound grey FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK			NQ NQ NQ	13 14 15	90% 100% 77%	RQD 65% 68%										
4.90	FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK			NQ NQ NQ	13 14 15	90% 100% 77%	RQD 65% 68%										
4.90	End of Borehole			NQ	14	100%	65% 68%										
<u>4.90</u>	End of Borehole			NQ	14	100% 77%	68%										1:::
<u>4.90</u>	End of Borehole			NQ	14 15	100% 77%	68%			::::	::::		1 : : : :				
4.90	End of Borehole			NQ	15	77%											
4.90	End of Borehole			NQ	15	77%		1	::::								
-	End of Borehole						77%										
1																	
1																	
-																	
	·																
		rdSep 28 2012 8:31:19	rdSep 28 2012 8:31:19	rdSep 28 2012 8:31:19	bdSep 28 2012 8:31:19	A M Sep 28 2012 8:31:19	Nd M Sep 28 2012 8-31-19	Nd Sep 28 2012 8:31:19		NI M Sep 28 2012 8:31:19	Vid Mark Sen 28 2012 8:31:19	Vi	A Sep 28 2012 8:31:19	PENETRA PENETRA Sep 28 2012 8:31:19	vd	Magenta Sep 28 2012 8:31:19	PENETROMETER     FIELD VANE TEST     MINIATURE VANE SHEAF     MINIATURE VANE SHEAF

	<b>Sta</b> Lient DCATION ATES: BC	CANADIAN ROYALTIES I PROPOSED WHARF - SITE DRING 2011/09/07	8 <b>0F</b> <u>NC.</u> E 1, I	<b>RE</b>	EHO CEP1	LE ION	F N BAY	RECO	DRD		PROJECT No BH SIZE DATUM	BH08 <u>, 121613564</u> <u>HW</u> CHART	
	ê					SA	MPLES			UND	RAINED SHEAR STRE	ENGTH - kPa	-
DEPTH(m)	ELEVATION(m	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	WATER LEVEL	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	20 WATER CONTENT & A DYNAMIC PENETRATIO	40 ( TTERBERG LIMITS	50 80 	Ľ
	0.73						mm			STANDARD PENETRA	TION TEST, BLOWS/0	).3m 🕒	、
- 0 -	-11.05	Very loose ORGANIC SILT - shells encountered			SS	1	50	Wght. of Roc	ls		40 50		
2 -		Very soft to very stiff grey CLAY			ST	2	475	Wght.					
					SS	3	575	Wght. of Roc	s			***	-
3 -					ST	4	600	Wght.	S	•	c d		R
4-		- 50 mm sand seam encountered			SS	5	600	Wght.	s		0		
5-	-14.45	Compact to dense grey silty	000		ST	6	250	PUSH					
		gravel	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		SS	7	250	13		•			
6 -			0.0.0.0 0.0.0		SS	8	0	16		•			
						,							
1 1 1 1 8 1			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		SS	9	150	64/17:	5mm				
	-18.06	Very severely fractured to severely fractured grey FELSIC			NQ	10	97%	RQD					
9-		GIVEISS. DEDITOCK			NQ	11	79%	41% 0%					
-10-					NQ	12	90%	33%					
- •	App'd	MSep 28 2012 8:31:20					r.				PENETROME FIELD VANE 1 MINIATURE V UU TRIAXIAL	TER IEST ANE SHEAR TEST TEST	

	Sta	CANADIAN ROYALTIES	<b>BO</b> I <u>INC.</u> Έ 1,	RE DE	EHO CEPT		E F	REC(	ORD	)	PROJECT BH SIZE	BH( No. <u>121613564</u> <u>HW</u>	)8 L
D	ATES: BO	DRING 2011/09/07	1		WA	ATER			1				
DEPTH(m)	ELEVATION(m)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	TRATA PLOT	ATER LEVEL	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	LECOVERY	N-VALUE NR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	20 WATER CONTENT & DYNAMIC PENETRAT	40 ATTERBERG LIMI	60 80 	
				>			mm	-0		STANDARD PENETR	ATION TEST, BLO	WS/0.3m	•
- 10 -		FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK, cont'd.			NO	13	95%	0%					9
-11-	-21.01												
- 12-		End of Borehole											
-13-													
-14-													
- 12-													
-16-													
-17-													
- - - -													
- 18-													
- 19-													
-20-	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:31:20				<u> </u>	<u> </u>				■ PENETRC ▲ FIELD VAN ◆ MINIATUR ¥ UU TRIAX	METER JE TEST E VANE SHEAR TI JAL TEST	ES.

																	Page	e 1 of	1	
٩	<b>De Sta</b>	antec <sup>r</sup>	30	RE	НО	LE	F	RECO	ORD	I								В	HC	19
C	LIENT	CANADIAN ROYALTIES	NC.											PRO	DJEC	T No	. <u>12</u>	<u>1613</u>	564	·
L	OCATION	PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	<b>E 1,</b> ]	DE	<u>CEPT</u>	ION	N BAY	, QUE	BEC					BH	SIZE		]	<u>IW</u>		
Ľ	ATES: BC	DRING		···	_ WA	TER	LEVEL							DA	TUM		CI	<u>IAR</u>	T	
	· (F					SA	MPLES					ι	JNDR	AINED	SHEA	R STRE	NGTH	- kPa		
<u>e</u>	ON(r		LoT	N N		~	≿	%	~		2	20		4(	)		50 		80	
μ	VATI	SOIL DESCRIPTION	TAF	П Ц	Щ	ABEI	OVEF		IHE!	W/ATE	RCON		8 AT	TERR	FRGU	MITS		W <sub>P</sub>	w	
ö	ELE ELE		TRA	VATE		NN	RECC	N-VP R-R	o ⊨	DYNA		INETR		N TES	T, BLO	WS/0.3	m	•		*
	-		 	>			<u>сс</u>			. STAN	DARD	PENE	TRATI	ON TE	EST, BL	.OWS/0	.3m			•
0 ·	-11.58						mm			1	0 2	20	30	4	0 5	50 (	50	70	80	9
-	+ 	Very loose grey ORGANIC	Ш	1																
-	-	- trace shells			SS	1	475	Wght												
1	-12.49		_HI					ofRo	ls											
1 -		Very soft grey CLAY	V																	
/-			$\langle \rangle$																	
2 -	-			1																<u>.</u>
_				1																
			$\bigvee$	]																
3 -			$\vee$											:::						::
	-		$\mathbb{V}$						-											
-	- 	- gravel encountered at top of	$\mathbb{Z}$		ST	2	300	PUSH	ſ					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
4 -		Shelby Tube sample			<u> </u>				-					:::						
•																				
-				1																
~				1																:::
з ·																				
-	-17.19		$\vee$																	
	-	Loose grey silty SAND to silty	0,6		GG	2	25	337.14												
6 -		SAND with gravel			22	3	25	of Ro	ds											<u></u>
	-18.01	·			n															:::
	-	Fractured to sound grey																		
7 -					HQ	4	100%	RQD											-	<u>;;</u> ;;
			E					02%												
-																				
8 -			E																	
•					HQ	5	100%	89%												
-	-			1																
n	-								-											
9.	-				но	6	81%	56%												
	21.26			1		0	0170	5070												
	-21.20	End of Borehole		1																
.0		Helfman - Alexandria		I			1	I	1	1	1:::	:1::	<u>::</u> ]			 ∩M⊏⁻		<u>:1::</u>		<u>::</u>
													▲ ◆	FIEL		NE T	EST	SHF4	RT	-9-
	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:31:21											×	ΰÜ	TRIĂ	XĨĂĹ	TEST			-0

CL LC	JENT CATION	CANADIAN ROYALTIES II PROPOSED WHARF - SITE RING 2011/09/07	<u>NC.</u> E 1,	DE	CEPT	TER	<b>BAY</b>	, QUE	BEC				PR BH	OJECT SIZE	Г No. <u>-</u>	<u>1216</u> <u>Н</u> СН	<u>5135</u> W ART	<u>64</u>
T	Ê				YY <i>_</i>	SA	MPLES	, <u> </u>				 UN		D SHEAF		GTH - ki	Pa	
	ELEVATION(r	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	WATER LEVEL	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE	R CON	0 TENT 8		0 BERG LIN ST, BLOV	60 //ITS /VS/0.3m		8( 	) W O *
	-7.64	2					mm			STANI	Dard f 0 2	PENETF 20	RATION T 30 4	EST, BLA I-O 5	ows/o.ar 0 60	n ) 71	8 0	• :0 !
		Loose grey ORGANIC SILT with sand - shells encountered throughout																
	0.00	- occasional cobbles and boulders			SS	1	325	5										
	-9.09	Very soft grey CLAY			SS	2	375	7								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
					55	3	50	3	-									
					ST	4	600	Wgt. of Roo	ls									
					-													
	-11.98	Loose to compact grev silty			- <del>ST</del>		0	PUSH										
		SAND to silty SAND with gravel	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		SS	6	100	8		•								
				•	SS SS	7	250	9	Smm									
					НО	9	925											
									_									
					HQ	10	0											
	-15.87			2	SS SS	11 12	150 75	18 50/75	nom									
		Sound to very sound grey FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK																
					HQ	13	100%	RQD 75%										
				-														

		······································										Pag	e 2 of	2
y	<b>Sta</b>	antec	BO	RE	НО	)LE	E F	RECO	ORD	.			BI	H1(
CI	LIENT	CANADIAN ROYALTIES	INC.								PROJECT	No. <u>12</u>	16135	564
LC	DCATION	PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	<u>[E 1, ]</u>	DE	CEPT	<b>FIO</b> ]	N BAY	, QUE	BEC		BH SIZE		HW	
D/	ATES: BC	DRING <u>2011/09/07</u>			WA	ATER	R LEVEL	·			DATUM	C	HAR'I	Ľ
(u	A(n)		L L	Щ		SA T				20	JNDRAINED SHEAR 40	STRENGTH 60	- kPa 8	30
DEPTH(n	EVATION	SOIL DESCRIPTION	ATA PLO	rer Lev	ΥPE	JMBER	OVERY	ALUE RQD %	DTHER	WATER CONTENT	& ATTERBERG LIM	TS	W <sub>P</sub>	₩ ₩
	Ē		STR	WA	L	Ĩ	REC	Ч, Ч,		DYNAMIC PENETR	ATION TEST, BLOW	/S/0.3m /WS/0.3m		*
-10-					-		mm			10 20	30 40 50	60	70 8	80
10		FELSIC												
		UNEISS. DEDRUCK, colli d.			HQ	14	100%	91%						
-11-			E											
	-18.84	End of Borehole	_=										<u> </u>	
]														
12-														
ł														
	-													
13-														
	-													
14-														
-														
-														
15-														
•														
-														
16-												<u></u>		
-														
-														
17-														
-														
-	,													
18-														
-														
-														
19-								1						
<u> </u>														
20-	App'd	M Sen 28 2012 8-31-22	1					• •	• •		<ul> <li>■ PENETRO</li> <li>▲ FIELD VAI</li> <li>◆ MINIATUF</li> <li>★ UU TRIAX</li> </ul>	METER NE TEST RE VANE	SHEAF	R TES

ي	<b>Sta</b>	antec <sup>E</sup>	30	RE	НО	LE	F	RECO	ORD	į									В	H1	1
CI	LIENT	CANADIAN ROYALTIES I	NC.										_	P	ROJE	СТ	No.	<u>121</u>	<u>613</u> :	<u>564</u>	
LC	OCATION	PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	<u>E 1,</u>	DE	CEPT	ION	N BAY	, QUE	BEC					В	H SIZ	ΈE.				 T	
D/	ATES: BC	DRING2011/09/05	1		_ WA	TER	LEVEL	/						D	ATU	M _				<u> </u>	_
Ê.	N(m)		Ъ Б	Ē		SA		[				2	) )	IDRAIN	40 40	EARS	60	GIH-I	(Pa {	30	
рертн(	ELEVATIO	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PL	WATER LEV	TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVER	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WA DYI	TER		'ENT 8 NETRA	ATTEI	RBERG	LIMIT	-S 5/0.3m		w <sub>P</sub>	W 	₩ 1
	10.74		+				mm	<u> </u>		ST/	NDA	RD P	ENETF	RATION	1 TEST, 40	BLOV	0.3v 60	m ) 7	70	, 80	• 00
- 0 -	-10.74	Very loose grey silty SAND					-														E
· -																					
-					22	1	125	Waht	1												
- 1 -				, , ,	22	1	423	of Roc	ls												
																					Ē
						-															
- 2 -					ST	2	0	PUSH	Γ												
- 3 -	-13.74																				
		Soft to firm grey CLAY	$\mathbb{Z}$		SS	3	325	2		•											
- 4 -				1																	
-																					
			K																		
- 5 -			K		ST	4	375	PUSH	C												
	16.20			1	II																
	-10.30	Loose grey silty SAND to silty		5					1												
- 6 -	-	SAND with gravel		2	NQ	5	75														Ē
				2					-												
							-														
- 7 -				2																	
			0 0 0	?	22	6	0	0													
	-18 60		0 . 0 .	o o	00																
- 8 -	10.09	Fractured to very sound grey						1													
		FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK		-	NO	7	080/	POD													
		of 9.17 m			איז		2070	96%													
- 9 -								<u> </u>	-												
									-												
-10-	App'd	MSep 28 2012 8:31:22			a.(( U		<b>-</b>		<b></b>		L	<u> </u>	-li- 41	■ PI ▲ FI ◆ M ¥ U	ENET ELD INIAT U TR	ROI VAN IURI IAXI	METI IE TE E VA AL T	ER ST NE S EST	SHEA	R TE	ST

		•	·····									Page	e 2 of 2	
y	<b>E St</b> a	antec	30	RE	НО	LE	E F	RECO	ORD	)			BH	11
C	LIENT	CANADIAN ROYALTIES I	INC.								PROJECT	No. <u>12</u> 1	61356	4
L	OCATION	PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	E 1,	DE	CEPI	[]OI	N BAY	, QUE	BEC		BH SIZE	<b>I</b>	IW	
D	ATES: BO	DRING			WA	ATER	LEVEL	· <u> </u>			DATUM	CE	IART	·
	Ê					SA	MPLES			ο	INDRAINED SHEAR	STRENGTH -	kPa	
H(m)	ŇOL		PLO	EVE		R.	RΥ	ш%	] # v		40			<u> </u>
DEPT	EVAT	SOIL DESCRIPTION	ATA	IER I	ΥPE	JMBE	DVE	/ALU RQD	DTHE	WATER CONTENT	& ATTERBERG LIM	тѕ	W <sub>P</sub> W	w ł
	EL		STR	WA	F	ž	REC	-γ-β-		DYNAMIC PENETR	ATION TEST, BLOW	S/0.3m		*
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					mm			10 20	30 40 50	ws/0.3m	70 80	• 90
-10-		FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK,		-	NQ	8	100%	65%						T
		cont'd.												Ē
	-21.59			-										<u> </u>
-11-		End of Borehole												
• -														
1														
-12-														
														E
-														
-13-														
-														
-14-														
1.7														Ē
-15-														
10														
-10-														
17														
-1/-														
10														
-10-														
_10														
19-														
20-		1										METER		
	Annid	Sen 28 2012 8-31-22									<ul> <li>MINIATUF</li> <li>WU TRIAX</li> </ul>	E VANE	SHEAR	TEST
		<u> </u>				·····-						01		

	<b>E Sta</b> LIENT DCATION ATES: BC	CANADIAN ROYALTIES PROPOSED WHARF - SI DRING 2011/09/05	<b>BO</b> 5 INC. TE 1,	<b>RE</b> DE	ΗΟ <u>CEP1</u> W <sup>A</sup>	TER	N BAY	KECC	DRD BEC				PR BH DA	OJEC I SIZE ATUM	T No.	<u>121</u> <u>F</u>	B <u>613</u> <u>[W</u> [AR]	H12 564 Г
	c C			Ι.		SA	MPLES					UN	DRAINE	D SHEA	R STRE	NGTH -	kPa	
	ELEVATION(n	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	WATER LEVEL	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE		0 FENT & NETRAT		0 BERG LI ST, BLO	MITS WS/0.3r	0 	8 W <sub>P</sub>	0 
	-6.19						mm			. STANI 1	0 2	enetr 0 3	ation 1 30 4	теят, ві 10 <u>5</u>	.ows/o. 50 (	.3m 50 î	70	۹ 80
)		Very loose to compact black SAND - trace organic silt - shells throughout			SS	1	150	Wght. of Roc	ls									
				•	SS	2	200	13										
	-8.50	Soft to stiff grey CLAY			SS	3	250	5		•								
لعنيميا ويعن					ss -	4	225	2		•								
					ST	5	525	Wght. of Roo	ls									
• • •					SS	6	600	Wght. of Roo	ls									
					ST SS	7	525 600	PUSH Wght										
	-14.57	Compact gray silty SAND to			-ST	9	0	of Ro PUSE	ds									
		silty SAND with gravel - cobbles and boulders throughout	0 0 0 0 0	2	SS	10	250	54							•			
0			0 0 0 0 0		- <del></del>	12	50	50/50	Pom									
	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:31:23											■ PE ▲ FIE ● MII × UU	NETR LD V/ NIATU TRIA	OME ANE T IRE V XIAL	TER EST ANE { TEST	SHEA	R TE

Page 1 of 2

<b></b>																Page	2 of	2	
ي ا	<b>Sta</b>	antec <sup>B</sup>	80	RE	НО	LE	E F	RECO	ORD	I							Bł	H1:	2
CL	LENT	CANADIAN ROYALTIES I	NC.		·							_	PR	OJEC	T No.	<u>121</u>	<u>6135</u>	<u>564</u>	
LC	OCATION	PROPOSED WHARF - SITE	E 1,	DE	СЕРТ	<u>IOI</u>	N BAY	, QUE	BEC				BH	I SIZE		E	<u>[W</u>		
DA	ATES: BC	DRING 2011/09/05			WA	TER	LEVEL						DA	ATUM		СН	ART	[	_
	Ê					SA	MPLES					UN	DRAINE	D SHEA	R STREI	NGTH -	kPa		
Ш Н	NOI		PLO	EVE		Ř	RY	ш×	ЦЧ		2	0		10 		)		0 	
EPT	EVAT	SOIL DESCRIPTION	ATA	ERL	ΥΡΕ	MBE	OVE	ALUI	EST	WATER		FENT &	ATTER	BERG LI	MITS		₩ <sub>P</sub> I	w <del>o</del>	
	ELE		STR	WAT	Н	ŊŊ	REC	N-V OR-I		DYNAM	IC PEI	NETRA	TION TE	ST, BLO	WS/0.3n	ı		4	r
							mm		-	STAND	ARD P	ENETR	ATION .	TEST, BL	.ows/0.	3m	70 (	•	) ,
10-		Silty SAND to silty SAND with	e je	,					1					+0 .  ::::				<u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>	
-		gravel, cont'd.		2	NO	13	575	_											
-					1.5	10													-
11-				>															:
1				2		14													
				2	NQ	14	0	-											:
12-	-18.15	Severely fractured to very			<b></b>						<u></u>								
		sound grey FELSIC																	:
-		GNEISS:BEDROCK	E		HQ	15	100%	RQD											
13-]			E					93%			<u></u>						<u> </u>		:
				-															:
-				-															:
14			E		HQ	16	100%	34%			· · · · ·						<u></u>	<u> </u>	
			E	-															:
-	-20.97		E																
15-		End of Borehole																	
-							-												
-																			
16-											<u></u>								-
-																			
17-																			
1																			
-																			
10																			
101																			
-																			
19-																			
		· ·																	
·20-		L	. <b>.</b>	<b>L</b>					4			1:::	■ PE	NETR	OMET	ER			<u> </u>
													≜ FIE MI		ANE T	EST	SHEAF	R TE	S
	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:31:23											≂ UL	I RIA	AIAL	IEST			
<u> </u>	Ct:	antec E	301	RE	НО	LE	F	RECO	ORD							Tage	B		
----------------	------------------------------	---	----------------------------	---------	-------------	------	-------	-----------------	-------------	----------------	--------	-----------------	--------------------------------	----------------------------------	-------------------------------	-----------------------------	---------------------------	----------	
CI LCI D	LIENT OCATION ATES: BC	CANADIAN ROYALTIES I PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	INC. E 1, 1 9/10	DE	<u>CEPT</u>	TER	I BAY	, QUE	BEC				PR BH	OJEC I SIZE	T No.	<u>121</u> I CH	<u>6135</u> IW IART	- 	
	2					SA	MPLES					UN	DRAINE	D SHEA	R STRE	NGTH -	kPa	 	
PTH(m)	ATION(n	SOIL DESCRIPTION	TA PLOT	R LEVEL	ЭС	IBER	VERY	LUE 2D %	'HER STS		2	:0 	4	10 	6	0	8 W <sub>P</sub>	0 w v	
DE	ELEV		STRA	WATE	Т	NUN	RECO	N-VA OR-R(	LO II	DYNAI STANI	NIC PE	NETRA PENETR	ATTERI FION TE ATION 1	ST, BLC FEST, BL	MITS WS/0.3r _OWS/0.	n 3m	•	ا *	
- 0 -	-6.81		6.0		-		mm	-		1	0 2	20 3	<u>30</u> 4	40 <u></u>	50 e	50	70 8	30 90	
		Loose to compact grey SAND and GRAVEL -boulders and cobbles throughout			SS	1	50	Wght. of Roc	ls										
- 1 -		-trace silt	0.0.0		SS	2	, 75	24											
			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		SS	3	150	11											
			0 0 0 0 0 0		SS	4	0	Wght. of Har	nmer										
- 3 -			0 0 0 0 0 0		SS	5	50	2		•									
		- 900 mm boulder at depth of 3.2 metres	0 0 0 0 0 0					-											
- 4 -	-10.92		0.0 0.0																
		Very soft grey CLAY			SS	6	600	8		•									
- 5 -					SS	7	350	3											
					SS	8	275	PUSH											
- 7 -					ST	9	600	PUSH											
· · · ·					SS	10	600	Wght.											
- 8 -								of Roo	ls										
					SS	11	600	Wght. of Ha	nmer										
- 9 -				1	SS	12	600	Wght. of Ha	nmer										
-10-																			
1101M	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:31:24			-								■ PE ▲ FIE ◆ MII × UU	NETR LD V/ NIATU I TRIA	OME ANE T IRE V XIAL	TER EST ANE : TEST	SHEAF	۲EST	

															]	Page 2	2 of	2	
9	<b>Sta</b>	antec	BO	RE	HO	LE	F	RECO	ORD	)							Bŀ	113	3
CI	LIENT	CANADIAN ROYALTIES	INC.							·····.		I	PROJ	ECT	No.	1216	135	<u>64</u>	
LC	OCATION	PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	<u>FE 1,</u>	DE	<b>CEP1</b>	<u>IOI</u>	N BAY	, QUE	BEC			]	BH S	IZE		H	W		-
D.	ATES: BC	DRING	09/10		_ WA	TER	LEVEL	· <u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		]	DAT	UM .		CHA	<u>ART</u>		-
	(ш)					SA	MPLES				L	INDRA	INED S	HEAR	STREN	GTH - kf	°a		
H(m	NOL		PLO	NH NH		εR	RΥ	ш%	н s		20		40				80	) 	
)EPT	EVAT	SOIL DESCRIPTION	ATA	ER I	YPE	MBE		ALU	THE EST	WATER CO	NTENT	& ATTI	ERBEF	RG LIMI	rs	V I	V <sub>P</sub> ' V ⊢€	∾ Э	w₁ –∎
	ELE		STR	WAT	Т	z	REC	N-V OR-I		DYNAMIĆ F	ENETR	ATION	TEST,	BLOW	S/0.3m			*	
							mm	1		STANDARE	20	RATIC	N TES	T, BLO	NS/0.3r	n 70	. o	•	00
-10-		CLAY, cont'd.		1	SS	13	600	Wght.											Ē
				1	·			of Har	nmer										E
					SS	14	600	Wght.											Ę
-11-								of Hai	nmer		: : : :								F
		· ·																	Ē
-12-				1	SS	15	600	Woht			-								ł
				]		10		of Har	nmer										E
					SS	16	600	Wght.											F
-13-								of Ha	nmer										F
-	-20.07	Compact grey silty SAND to	-	5					-										Ē
		silty SAND with gravel	0 0 0		SS	17	175	12		•									E
-14-	-20,86		0 0 0 0		SS	18	125	50/251	nm					<u> </u>					F
		Very severely fractured to			HQ	19	43%	RQD											F
		GNEISS:BEDROCK						0%											Ē
-15-																			Ē
					HQ	20	100%	72%											
-																			Ē
									-										Ē
10-																			E
					НQ	21	57%	57%											F
			Ē	1															Ē
-1/-	-24.06			_															Ē
		End of Borehole																	E
																			E
-18-																	<del></del>		E
																			Ē
																			Ę
-19-																			÷F
																			Ē
-20		a an				<u> </u>	.l	1	1		:: ::	:: : 	::: : >=>:=	····				1:::	-
7716 11		11														ST		TEG	-
MB	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:31:24										× (	JUT	RIAX	AL T	EST			<u>'</u>
						_													

																Page	: 1 of	2
g	<b>Sta</b>	antec <sup>E</sup>	30	RE	НО	LE	F	RECO	ORD								В	H1
CL	.IENT	CANADIAN ROYALTIES I	NC.				·					-	PR	OJEC	T No.	<u>121</u>	613	<u>564</u>
LC	OCATION	PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	E 1,	<u>DE</u>	СЕРТ	ION	N BAY	<u>, que</u>	BEC			-	BH	SIZE	<u> </u>	H	<u>IW</u>	
DA	ATES: BC	DRING	<u> </u>	1 1	WA	TER	LEVEL	/ <del></del>				-	DA	TUM		CH		<u> </u>
	l(m)		5			SA	MPLES	·			20	UNE	RAINEI	D SHEA ()	R STREI	NGTH - N	kPa f	80
	ATION	SOIL DESCRIPTION	TA PLO	R LEV	БЕ	ABER	VERY	LUE AD %	THER STS									w w
	ELEV		STRA	WATE	Ţ	NUN	RECC	N-VA OR-R	IO 및	DYNAM			ION TES	ST, BLO	WS/0.3n	n 2		-0
	-4.50						mm			10	20	NE 184	0 4	но <u>5</u>	50 6	3m 50 '	70	80
		Very loose black ORGANIC SILT	I.		SS		25	1/300	nm									
							25	X7.1.										
					55	2	25	of Ha	nmer		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	-6.41	Compact grey sandy CLAY			SS	3	225	Wght. of Roc	s									
		with gravel - shells throughout			SS	4	450	25	S		0	•						
					SS	5	350	50/12:	Smm									
	-8.31				SS	6	400	18	-		•							
		Very soft grey CLAY			SS	7	300	17			•							
																		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
					SS	8	150	Wght. of Ro	ds			· · · · · ·						
					ST	9	500	PUSH	I									
	11 15				SS	10	600	Woht										
	-11.13	Very loose to compact grey silty SAND to silty SAND with		12.2.				of Ro	ds									
		gravel - occasional cobbles and		2 2 2	SS	11	300	12										
		boulders	0 0 0 0 0	ò	SS	12	300	9		•						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			0 0 0 0	2	SS	13	150	14			•							
					SS	14	175	16			•							
			0.0 0.0		SS	15	200	16	4		•							
)]	App'd	M Sep 28 2012 8:31:25	<u>b  d</u>	2	-	<u>I</u>	L	<u> </u>			<u></u>	       	PEi AFIE MIN KUU	NETR LD VA NATU TRIA	OMET ANE T RE V XIAL	ER EST ANE S TEST	SHEA	<u>.   :</u> \R 1

ي	<b>Sta</b>	antec <sup>B</sup>	SO	RE	НО	LE	E F	RECO	ORD								Bł	H15
CI	LIENT	CANADIAN ROYALTIES I	NC.	DF	СЕРІ		N BAV		BEC				PR	OJEC	T No.	<u>121</u>	<u>6135</u> w	64
	ATES: BC		<u>, 1</u>		<u> </u>	TER	LEVEL	, <u>QUE</u>	<u>DEC</u>			_	BH DA	SIZE		СН	ART	<u>[</u> ]
	Ê					SA	MPLES					UN	DRAINEI	D SHEA	RSTREN	IGTH - I	kPa	
DEPTH(m)	ELEVATION(	SOIL DESCRIPTION	TRATA PLOT	VATER LEVE	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE NR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE	R CON		4 ATTERE	0 BERG LI ST, BLO	60 	)	8( 	0 w w • • •
			S	5			mm	-0		STAN	DARD P	ENETR		EST, BL	.OWS/0.3	ßm		٠
-10-		Silty SAND to silty SAND with	e j e	>	V						0 2	20 2	30 4		50 6	0 7	$\frac{0}{1}$	;0 90
		gravel, cont'd.		2	SS NQ	16 17	100 150	Wght. of Roc	s									
-11-					SS	18	150	19										
	-16.29		0	2	SS	19	200	50/501	nm									
-12-		Severely fractured to sound grey FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK			NQ	20	80%	RQD 29%										
-13-							 											
					NQ	21	90%	73%										
-14-																		
					NQ	22	100%	69%										
-15-	-19.69	·																
		End of Borehole																
-16-																		
-17-								-										
- 18-																		
-19-																		
71/07/4 HGW	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:31:25					<u> </u>		<u> </u>				■ PEI ▲ FIE ◆ MIN X UU	NETR LD VA NATU TRIA	OMET ANE TE RE VA XIAL T	ER EST NE S EST	 HEAF	TEST

CI	CATION	CANADIAN ROYALTIES PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	<b>BO</b> <u>INC.</u> Έ1,		EHO CEPT		BAY	RECO	DRD BEC		PROJECT	BH1 No. <u>121613564</u> <u>HW</u> CHAPT
	ATES: BC	ORING	<u> </u>		WA	SA		<u> </u>		UN	DATUM	IRENGTH - kPa
DEPTH(m)	ELEVATION(m	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	WATER LEVEL	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	20 WATER CONTENT & DYNAMIC PENETRA	40 ATTERBERG LIMITS	60 80 W <sub>P</sub> W S H O
_	-5.31						mm			STANDARD PENETR	ATION TEST, BLOW	S/0.3m (
		Very loose ORGANIC SILT - trace sand and gravel - shells throughout	000	2	SS	1	50	Wght. of Roc	ls			
	-6.48	D'ANA		2.2.4.4	SS	2	300	Wght. of Roc	s			
		rum grey ULA Y			SS	3	500	6		•		
2 -	-7.62	Very soft grey CLAY			SS	4	575	3				
		- sand and trace gravel seams throughout			SS	5	600	Wght. of Roc	s			
					SS	6	600	Wght. of Hai	nmer			
- - - -					SS	7	600	Wght.				
					SS	8	325	Wght.	nmer			
					SS	9	600	Wght. of Ha	nmer			
					SS	10	600	Wght. of Ha	nmer			
• • • •												
1 1					SS	11	600	Wght.				
					SS	12	550	of Ro Wght.	ds			
         	-14.56					_1.2		of Ha	nmer			
		Very dense silty SAND to silty SAND with gravel - numerous cobbles and	0 0 0		- <del>-35</del>	-13-	-30=	+>0/30	HOTU			
.0-	App'd	MSep 28 2012 8:31:25		<u></u>		<u> </u>	I	.!	1	<u></u>	■ PENETROM ▲ FIELD VANI ◆ MINIATURE ¥ UU TRIAXIA	IETER E TEST VANE SHEAR TE L TEST

CI	<b>E DU</b> JENT DCATION	CANADIAN ROYALTIES I PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	<u>NC.</u> E 1,	DE	CEPT	TION	N BAY	, QUE	BEC			PR BH	OJEC I SIZE	T No.	<u>121</u> <u>H</u>	6135 [W	<u>564</u>	-
DA	ATES: BC	DRING <u>2011/09/14</u>	1		WA	TER	LEVEL	·	1	l		DA	TUM		CH	AR	<u> </u>	-
EPTH(m)	VATION(m)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	TA PLOT	ER LEVEL	ЪЕ	ABER		ALUE QD %	THER ESTS	WATER CO				60		≪Pa 8 ₩ <sub>P</sub>	30 	1
	ELE		STRA	WATE	<u> </u>	NUN	RECO	N-V/ OR-R	οĦ	DYNAMIC P STANDARD	ENETRA PENETF	TION TE	ST, BLO TEST, BL	WS/0.3m .OWS/0.3	m	•	*	,
10		houlders throughout	ol. it				mm			10	20	30 4	10 <u>5</u>	50 60	) 7	'0 8 	80	9
• • • •		Silty SAND to silty SAND with gravel, cont'd. - numerous cobbles and		r - -	HO	14	1150											
	1	boulders spaced approximately 150 mm apart			~	~ '												+
2-																		
3-	м.																	
4					HQ	15	925											
	-19.69	Fractured moderately weathered grey FELSIC																
5		GNEISS:BEDROCK - iron staining throughout																-
6-					HQ	16	92%	RQD 53%										
	-21.87	End of Borehole	_															
7																		
8																		. I
9- - - - - -																		
20	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:31:26				<u> </u>		<u> </u>				■ PEi ▲ FIE ◆ MIN × UU	NETR LD VA NIATU TRIA	OMETI NE TE RE VA XIAL T	ER ST NE S EST	HEAI	R TES	

CI	Sta	CANADIAN ROYALTIES I	NC.	RE	HO	LE		RECO	DRD					PR	OJE	CT N	o. <u>12</u>	B 1613	H2 564	:8
LC D	DCATION ATES: BC		<u> </u>	<u>DE</u>	<u>CEPI</u> - WA	TER	LEVEL	, QUE	<u>rec</u>					BH DA	I SIZ ATUI	Е М	I CH	<u>IW</u> IAR	Т	
	(î	· · · ·				SA	MPLES						UND	RAINE	D SHE	EAR STR	ENGTH	kPa		
DEPTH(m)	ELEVATION(	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLO	<b>NATER LEVE</b>	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE DR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE	ER CO		NT & J	ATTER	10   BERG ST, BL	LIMITS .OWS/0.	60 <del> </del> 3m	W <sub>P</sub>	80 	<b>I</b>
	-10.41			-			 mm			STAN		20	IETR/		TEST, I 40	BLOWS/	0.3m 60	70	80	•
- 0 -	-10.41	Very loose grey to brown ORGANIC SILT										20							00	
- 1 -	-11.63	Very soft to stiff grey CLAY			SS	1	300	14												<u>-   </u>
2-					ST	2	325	PUSH												
					SS	3	300	.8		•										
					SS	4	425	6		•										
· 4 -					SS	5	600	4												
	-15.06	Very loose to compact grey silty			ST.	6	600	DUCU												
5 -		SAND to silty SAND with gravel	0000		51		000	rusn												
6-					SS	7	200	22												
	-																			
• 7 -					SS	8	100	3		•										
8-					SS	9	400	3		•										
	-18.79	Very severely fractured to			SS	10	100	50/12:	Smm											
9-		sound, moderately weathered FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK - iron staining observed				11	059/													
		throughout				11	9370	66%	-											
-10-	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:31:26		<u></u>			I	I			<u>  : :</u>		1		NET LD V NIAT	ROME VANE URE V AXIAL	TER TEST /ANE . TEST	SHEA	:   : : \r Te	EST

<u> </u>	<b>St</b> i	antec	BO	RE	НО	LE	E F	REC	ORD	·			BH28
	LIENT	CANADIAN ROYALTIES PROPOSED WHARE - SI	<u>S INC.</u> TE 1	DE	серт		N RAV	OUF	BEC		PROJECT	No. <u>12</u>	<u>1613564</u>
D.	ATES: BO	DRING 2011/09/08	<u>, 17 19</u>		_ WA	ATER	LEVEL	, <u>vor</u>			DATUM		IW IART
	(L)	· · ·		_		SA	MPLES			UND	RAINED SHEAR	STRENGTH	- kPa
DEPTH(m	ELEVATION	SOIL DESCRIPTION	TRATA PLO	ATER LEVE	TYPE	NUMBER	ECOVERY	N-VALUE R-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	20 WATER CONTENT & A	40	TS	80 W <sub>P</sub> W W H-O-1
			0 V	3			~~	20		STANDARD PENETRA	TION TEST, BLC	WS/0.3m	•
-10-		FELSIC GNEISS: BEDROCK									0 40 50	60	70 80 90
	-21 36	cont'd.			ΗQ	12	100%	0%					
-11-	-2,1.50	End of Borehole											
-12-													
							-						
-13-													
	н 												
- 14-													
15-													
							-						
-16-													
					-								
-17-													
-18-													
-19-													
D MBH 9/28/12	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:31:26									PENETRO FIELD VAN MINIATUR UU TRIAX	METER NE TEST E VANE IAL TEST	SHEAR TEST

y	<b>Sta</b>	antec <sup>E</sup>	301	RE	НО	LE	F	RECO	ORD								В	H29	
C	LIENT	CANADIAN ROYALTIES I	<u>NC.</u> F 1 1	DF	сері		JRAV		BEC				PF	ROJEC	CT No	. <u>121</u> T	<u>1613:</u>	564	
	ATES: BC	DRING 2011/09/13	روا نا		<u> </u>	TER	LEVEL	, <u>U</u> UE		<u></u>			D.	A SIZI ATUM	= 1	CH	I W IAR	ſ	
	(n					SA	MPLES					U	NDRAIN	ED SHE	AR STRI	ENGTH -	kPa		
(m)	TION(		PLO]	EVE		н Н	ΞRΥ	ш%	н Но		2	20		40 		50 <del> </del>		30 	
DEP1	EVA-	SOIL DESCRIPTION	RATA	TER	ТҮРЕ	UMBE	COVE	VALU -RQD	OTHE TEST	WATE	R CON	ITENT (	& ATTEF	BERG L	IMITS.		₩ <sub>₽</sub> ₩	w v 0 1	v⊥ I
	Ē		STI	A A A	_	z	RE	A R		DYNAI STANI	MIC PE DARD I	NETRA PENETI	TION TE	EST, BLO TEST, E	OWS/0.3	im ).3m		*	
- 0 -	-15.44		++++++		1		mm			1	0 2	20	30	40	50	60	70	80 90	0
		Very loose grey to black ORGANIC SILT																	-
	1	- trace sand	ļ		00	1	150	W7-1-4											-
- 1 -	-16.53	- shells throughout			- 22	1	450	of Har	nmer										-
-		Very soft grey CLAY	$\mathbb{Z}$		SS	2	150	Wght.											-
					· ·			of Har	nmer										-
- 2 -																			-
			$\mathbb{Z}$																-
					51	3	575	Wght.	s										-
- 3 -					SS	4	600	Wght.											
	14		$\vee$					of Roc	s										-
																			-
					SS	5	600	Woht											-
								of Roo	s										-
- 5 -					SS	6	600	Wght.					÷   : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :						-
							*	of Ro	ls										-
	_21 33		$\vee$		ST	7	200	PUSH											_
- 6 -	-21.55	Compact grey GRAVEL with	0.0		SS	8	75	60/200	mm				<u> </u>	: : : : : : : : : : : :	<u>: : : : :</u> : : : : :				-
	-21.07	sand End of Borehole	/																-
-		- hole terminated because barge																	-
- 7 -		needed to be moved																	-
		,																	Ē
																			Ē
- 8 -																			Ē
																			-
- 9 -																			-
																			Ē
																			Ē
<sub>2</sub> -10-								<u> </u>	1										Ē
MBH 9/28,	App'd -	Sep 28 2012 8:31:27											■ PE ▲ FIE ◆ MI ★ UU	NETF ELD V NIATU J TRIA	ROME ANE JRE V AXIAL	TER FEST ANE S TEST	SHEA	R TEST	

CL LC DA	DENT DCATION	CANADIAN ROYALTIES PROPOSED WHARF - SI DRING 2011/09/13	<u>5 INC.</u> TE 1,	DE	CEPI WA	TION TER	N BAY	, QUE	BEC				P] B D	ROJE H SIZ ATUN	CT No E	<u>121</u> E	6135 [W [AR]	<u>64</u> Γ	
Т	(u					SA	MPLES					ι	INDRAIN	IED SHE	AR STRE	NGTH -	kPa		
UEPIH(m)	ELEVATION(r	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	WATER LEVEL	түре	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE	ER CON	20   ITENT	& ATTER	40 RBERG	6 LIMITS .OWS/0.3	i0 	8 W <sub>P</sub>	0 w •	
	-14 66	ana a					mm			STAN	DARD I	PENET 20	RATION	1 TEST, 1 40	BLOWS/0	.3m 50 ′	70 8	• • 05	x
	-14.00	Advanced casing to start Borehole again																	
																			E
																			F
	-19.33																		
		COBBLES and BOULDERS - silty sand matrix	000	8	HQ	1	550	-											
	00.00																		
-	-20.68	Severely fractured to sound moderately weathered grey			НQ	2	100%	RQD 84%											
		FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK - iron staining throughout																	
-						5													
					ĤQ	3	100%	42%											
-	-23.19																		
-		End of Borehole																	
-																			
0+	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:31:27							1				<u> </u>						

	Sta	CANADIAN ROYALTIES PROPOSED WHARF - SIT 2011/09/13	<b>30</b>   INC. E 1,	RE	HO CEPT	LE		RECC	DRD						PRC BH	)JEC SIZE	Г No.	121 	E 1613 1W	6 <b>5</b> 64	30
	ATES: BC	RING2011/07/13			WA	SA	MPLES						 U	NDRA		SHEA	R STRE		· kPa		
DEPTH(m)	ELEVATION(m	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	WATER LEVEL	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WA DYI	TER			& ATT	40 TERBI	) ERG LII T, BLO	6 MITS WS/0.31	n 10 11 11	W <sub>P</sub>	80 +	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
	-8.97						mm			ST/	anda 10	RD P	ENET	тати 30	ON TE 4(	EST, BL D 5	ows/0 0 (	.3m 50	70	80	• 90
- 0 - - - - - - - -		Very loose black ORGANIC SILT - shells throughout			SS	1	300	1													
- 1 -	-10.21	Very soft grey CLAY			SS	2	325	2		•											
- 2 -	-10.98				ST	3	250	PUSH													
		Loose to compact grey silty SAND to silty SAND with gravel	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2	SS	4	250	11													
- 3 -			0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2	SS	5	150	12													
- 4 -			000	2	SS	6	300	21					•								
 -				2 2 2	SS	7	75	15				•									
- 5 -					SS	8	375	11							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
- 6 -				2. 	SS	9	125	8			•										
	-15.68	Very severely fractured to very	0 0 0 0		SS	10	400	8			•										
- 7 -		sound grey FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK			НQ	11	97%	RQD 0%													
- 8 -																					
					НQ	12	100%	80%			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										
- 9 -	10.05	End of Porcholo			HQ	13	100%	100%													
-10-	_ <u>-18.93</u> App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:31:28				<u> </u>		<u> </u>							PEN FIEL MIN UU	IETR D VA IATU TRIA	OME ANE T RE V XIAL	TER EST ANE TEST	<u>: ::</u> SHE	<u></u>	EST

G	à C+-	ontoc E	30	RE	НО	LE	: F	RECO	ORD								R	Н?
	6 3 Là																	
CL	LIENT	DODOSED WHADE SIT	I <u>NC.</u> F 1	DE	СЕРТ	יחוי			DEC			_	PR	OJEC	Г No.	<u>1216</u>	<u>)135</u> W	<u>564</u>
	CATION	$\frac{1}{2011/09/14}$	<u>C I,</u>	DE			I EVEL	,QUE	DEC				BH	SIZE		CH.	<u>vv</u> 4 R7	 Г
				Τ	VV P			· ····									Pa	<u> </u>
	N(m)		5	/EL		- SA		·			2	0	4	0	6(	)	8	0
	ELEVATIO	SOIL DESCRIPTION	TRATA PL	ATER LEV	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	ECOVERN	I-VALUE R-RQD %	OTHER TESTS			ENT &		ERG LIN	MITS NS/0.3m	N	 ∧ <sub>P</sub> 	w •
_			N	8			<u>۳</u>	~0		STANE	ARD P	ENETR	ATION T	EST, BL	OWS/0.3	ßm		
, 1	-4.47				_		mm			1(	) 2	0 3	30 4	0 5	0 6	0 7	3 0	30
1		Loose black ORGANIC SILT	И	1					-									
		- trace shells and wood			SS	1	325	7		•								
	-5.92				SS	2	550	6		•								
1		Firm grey CLAY	$\mathbb{V}$															
-		- trace shells	$\mathbb{Z}$		<del>r ST</del>	-3-	<del>  0  </del>	PUSH			<u></u>							
-	t i		$\mathbb{Z}$		SS	4	0	Wght.										
		- 300 mm boulder encountered							IS									
		at depth of 2.0 metres					0.50	-	-									T
بالبنا					SS	5	250	7	-									
-	-8.51	Manage & and OI AN	-4	1	SS	6	600	3			:::: :::::		::::			::::		+
1	-8.74	End of Borehole	¥	+				(			<u></u>							+
		- barge needed to be moved as low tide was approaching																
1																		
5-																		+
-																		
-																		
' -																		+
1																		
-	,																	
1																		
-																		
-																		
-																		
									ĺ									
[																		
													■ PEN ▲ FIE ◆ MIN				HEAF	R'

																Page		2
<u> </u>	<b>Sta</b>	antec <sup>r</sup>	301	RE	НО	LE	E F	RECO	ORD	)						E	3H3	2A
CI	LIENT	CANADIAN ROYALTIES	INC.		<u>,</u>							_	PF	ROJEC	T No.	<u>1216</u>	61356	<u>64</u>
LC	DCATION	PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	<u>E 1,</u> ]	DE	CEPT	IOI	N BAY	, QUE	BEC				BI	I SIZE			W DT	
	ATES: BC	DRING			WA	TER	LEVEL	· ····	1			-	D	ATUM		CHA	<u>XRT</u>	······
<u>ب</u>	N(m)		ы	Ē	1	SA	MPLES				20	) )		ED SHEA 40	rstrer 6(	) )	'a 80	
DEPTH(I	EVATIO	SOIL DESCRIPTION	RATA PL	TER LEV	түре	UMBER	COVERY	VALUE RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATER	CONT	ENT &	ATTER	BERG LI	MITS	١	 ∨ <sub>P</sub> v ⊢€	v
		the state of the desired state of the state	ST	۸۷			RE	1 Z R	-	DYNAMIC STANDA	C PEN	ETRA	FION TE	EST, BLO TEST, BL	WS/0.3m .OWS/0.:	ı Sm		*
- 0 -	-4.47						mm			10	2	) 3	30	40 5	50 6	0 70	80	) 9
		Advanced casing to start borehole again																
· 1 -																		
2-									•									
3 -									-		· · · ·							
-																		
4 -	-8.79																	
-		Very soft grey CLAY - sand seams encountered			SS	1	25	Wght. of Har	nmer		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
2 -	-10.08				SS	2	600	Wght. of Har	nmer									
6-		Compact grey silty SAND to silty SAND with gravel	000		SS	3	450	11										
• • •		- occasional cobbles and boulders	0 0 0 0 0 0		SS	4	325	20										
7		- shells in top 100 mm of layer			SS	5	50	19										
-					SS	6	275	50/12	5 5 mm									
8 -	-12.57	Severely fractured to fractured			HQ	7	500		-									
- - - - 9 -		grey FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK			HQ	8	100%	RQD 23%										
					НО	9	100%	72%										
10-	App'd	M Sep 28 2012 8:31:29		<u></u>	<u> </u>				<u>i</u>			L	■ PE ▲ FIE ◆ MII × UL	NETR LD VA NIATU J TRIA	OMET NE TI RE VA XIAL T	ER EST NE SH EST	IEAR	TES

CL LO	<b>F DU</b> ient cation	CANADIAN ROYALTIES PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	<u>INC.</u> TE 1,	DE		ΓΙΟΝ	N BAY	, QUE	BEC	, 	PROJECT No BH SIZE	с <u>121(</u> Н	51356 <u>W</u>	2 <b>A</b>
DA	TES: BC	DRING <u>2011/09/14</u>		1	_ W.	ATER	LEVEL				DATUM	CH	ART	
Ê	N(m)		OT	Æ		SA	MPLES			20	40 (	=NGTH - к 50	Pa 80	
DEPTH(	ELEVATIO	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PL	WATER LEV	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER	WATER CONTENT & / DYNAMIC PENETRAT	ATTERBERG LIMITS	m	W <sub>P</sub> W	*
							mm			STANDARD PENETRA	TION TEST, BLOWS/0	).3m 60 71	0 80	•
10+	-14 83	FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK,												
	-14.05	cont'd. End of Borehole												
-									-					
2														
-														
1														
Ī														
-														
1														
_														
5-														
-														
,														
-														
'														
-														
) <del>]</del>														
											FIELD VANE			TECT
	App'd	<u>Ma</u> Sep 28 2012 8:31:29								1	UU TRIAXIAL	TEST		3

														Page	1 of 2	
٩	<b>Ø</b> Sta	antec	BO	RE	НО	LE	F	RECO	ORD					I	3H1(	)1
0	LIENT	CANADIAN ROYALTIES	INC.								I	PROJEC	T No.	1210	5 <b>1356</b> 4	1
L	OCATION	PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	Е 1,	DE	CEPI	ION	<u>N BAY</u>	, QUE	BEC		1	BH SIZI	Ξ	H	<u>W</u>	
I	DATES: BO	DRING			WA	TER	LEVEL	·			I	DATUN	1	CH	ART	
	Ê					SA	MPLES				UNDRAI	NED SHE	AR STRE	NGTH - k	Pa	
H H	NO		LO.	EVE		R	RY	%	цц	20		40	6	0 	80	
EPT	EVAT	SOIL DESCRIPTION	ATA	ER L	ΥΡΕ	MBE		ALUI	EST	WATER CONTEN	IT & ATTI	ERBERG L	IMITS.	١	N <sub>P</sub> W	w 
	E		STR	WAT	-	NN	REC	2-7 0R-1		DYNAMIC PENET	RATION	TEST, BL	OWS/0.3r	m		*
	12.01	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					mm			STANDARD PEN	ETRATIO	N TEST, E	LOWS/0	.3m ≤0. 71	n ⊗∩	•
- 0 -	-12.01	Very loose grey to dark grey						·								
L		ORGANIC SILT with sand	1		SS	1	275	Wght.								Ē
				-				OI KOC	IS							E
- 1 -	1				SS	2	150	Wght.	6			<u> </u>				
	-13.53			?					10							
		Very soft grey CLAY														
- 2 -																E
					SS	3	500	Wght.								E
								ofRoc	ls							
- 3 -	-			1	55	Л	600	Waht								
				1		+	000	of Roc	ls							E
																E
- 4 -			$\mathbb{Z}$									<u></u>			····	
	1				T		-									
				1	ST	5	600	Wght.		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••						
- 5 -	1			1				of Roo	ls							
	1				SS	6	350	Wght.								
	-							OT ROC	15							
6																
ľ				1				<u> </u>	4.							
				1	SS	7	600	Wght.								
L 7.	•							of Roo	ds							
'					SS	8	600	Wght.								
	1							ofRo	ds							
	1															
<b>F</b> ° <sup>-</sup>	]			1					-							
					SS	9	600	Wght.								
	<u>  -20.80</u>	Loose to compact grev SAND	-	3				of Ro	ds							
<b>Г</b> <sup>9</sup>	]	with silt to silty SAND with	ر م م		SS	10	100	10		•						
+ .	-	gravel	0 0						-							
	1 .	boulders	o i q. i	2												
10 - 10		1	<u></u>	<del>.</del>					3	L	F F	PENET	ROME	TER		
MBH	App'd	<u>M</u> Sep 28 2012 8:28:25									♦ N × (	UNIATU JU TRI/	JRE V AXIAL	ANE SI TEST	HEAR T	EST
_											_		-			

									·				. <u></u>			Page	2 01	2	
<u> </u>	<b>Sta</b>	antec <sup>1</sup>	BO	RE	HO	LE	E F	RECO	ORD								BH	10 <sup>.</sup>	1
C	LIENT	CANADIAN ROYALTIES	INC.										PR	OJEC	T No.	121	<u>6135</u>	64	
	OCATION	PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	<u>E 1,</u>	DE	CEPI	<u>IOI</u>	<u>N BAY</u>	, QUE	BEC			_	BH	SIZE			<u>W</u>		_
D.	ATES: BC	DRING2012/07/21	1		WA	ATER						 L IN							
(Ê	N(m)		OT	Æ		5A 					2	0	4	0	61	)	8	0	
РТН(	ATIC	SOIL DESCRIPTION	TA PL	RLE	Щ	BER	VER	DD %	HER								w <sub>P</sub>	w	w
DE	ELEY		TRA	VATE	₽	NUN	KECO	N-VA DR-R(	52	DYNA	NIC PE			SERG LI	WS/0.3n	1			1 ★
				>			mm			STANE	DARD F	ENETR	ATION T	EST, BL	.0WS/0.3	3m		(	•
-10-		SAND with silt to silty SAND	o . •	>							) 2	$\frac{20}{1}$	$\frac{30}{1}$	10 5		0 7	0 8	;0 [:::	90 E F
		with gravel, cont'd.		2	SS	11	250	8		••••									
			0 0 0		22	12	175	14											
-11-				)	66	12		14											
			0	2															Ē
-12-				) )	SS	13	0	14			•								
				à D	00	1.4		1.4											ļ
-13-			0 0 0		22	14	0	14											
				5															
				2			-												Ē
-14-			0 0 0		SS	15	125	12			•								
			0 10 0 0	2 2		16	200	<u>co/10</u>											
	-26.72	Vorse serverally fractured to		2.11	55	16	300	60/10	omm -										
-15-		severely fractured slightly																	
		weathered grey FELSIC			NQ	17	100%	RQD											Ē
								1770											
-16-																			
					NQ	18	89%	30%											
	20.10			-															
-1/-	-29.10	End of Borehole		-															
-18-																			
-19-																			
-20-	<b> </b>																<u> </u>		
17/6 HS													■ PEI ▲ FIE ♦ MIN	LD VA	NE TI RE VA	EST NE S	HEAF	र TF:	st
M	App'd	<u>Mm</u> Sep 28 2012 8:28:25					······						×ÜÜ	TRIĂ	XIĀLĪ	EST			

														·			P	age 1	of	1	
e S	<b>Sta</b>	antec	BO	RE	НО	LE	E F	RECO	ORD	)								Bŀ	<del>1</del> 10	)1/	4
C	LIENT	CANADIAN ROYALTIE	<u>s inc.</u>										-	Pl	ROJE	ECT N	Io. <u>1</u>	<u>216</u>	135	<u>64</u>	_
L	OCATION	PROPOSED WHARF - SI	TE 1,	DE	CEPT	<u>101</u>	N BAY	, QUE	BEC				-	B	H SIZ	ZE _		H	V		_
D	ATES: BO	DRING2012/07/31			_ WA	TER	LEVEI	·	8	1			-	D	ATU	М _		CHA	RT	_	_
(	E)		Ŀ			SA	MPLES					20	UN	DRAIN	ED SH 40	EAR ST	RENG <sup>-</sup>	TH - kP	a Q(	۱	
LH(m	LION		PLO	LEVE		£	ERY	ш%	н К С						+0				-+		_
느	EVA <sup>-</sup>	SOIL DESCRIPTION	ATA	TER	YPE	JMBE	SOVE	/ALU RQD	DTH	WATE	ER CO	NTE	ENT &	ATTE	RBERG	LIMITS		N N	P \	∾ Э——	, _
-	EL		STR	WA <sup>-</sup>		Ŋ	REC	N- ∩R-	01	DYNA	MIC P	ENE	TRA	FION T	EST, B	LOWS/	).3m			*	7
_	12.20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·				mm			STAN	DARD	20 PEI	NETR		TEST,	BLOWS	5/0.3m	70	8	<b>ا</b>	) c
) -	-12.20	Very loose dark grey										20									2
1		ORGANIC SILT with sand																			
1		-shells throughout	/																		
1 -				1															:::	<u> </u>	
1					0.0		•														
ļ					SS	1	200	Wght.	Is												
2-	_1/ /1					<u> </u>	600	W-14	ſ.											<u></u>	-
	-14.41	Very soft grey CLAY		1	55	2		of Roc	ls												
			$\bigvee$	] [								:									
; 1												:									
						-	605		1												
			- K		ST	3	600	Wght.	Is												
							· · · ·		15												:
				1					_												
1				1	ST	4	600	Wght.													-
								of Roc	ls												-
					ST	5	600	Wght.							-						
-   -					<u> </u>			ofRoc	İs												
,																					-
) -				1				<u> </u>	-												-
			V		ST	6	600	Wght.													•••••
								ot Roo	ls												:
/ ]					ST	7	600	Wght.													<u>.</u>
					<u>I</u>			ofRo	ds												
	-			1																	
3 -				1			(00	337.1.	1												
					ST ST	8	600	Wght.	ds												•••••
1.1.1	-21 00								1												
) -	21.05	Grey silty SAND with gravel	 p.f.e		ST	9	150	PUSH	Í												
	-21.43	End of Borehole	PI14	1	4				+												÷
4																					
.0-			l																		
		/												■ PE ▲ Fi	ENET	ROM	ETER	<b>२</b> न			
	App'd	Man Sep 28 2012 8:28:25												♦ M × U	INIAT J TR	URE IAXIA	VAN L TE	È SH ST	EAR	TES	3
	<u>rr ~</u>																			-	_

<b></b>																		Fage	1 01	2	
<u> </u>	<b>Sta</b>	antec <sup>B</sup>	0	RE	HO	LE	F	RECO	ORD										BH	10	2
CI	LIENT	CANADIAN ROYALTIES II	NC.		CEDT		TDAV		DEC				_	I	PRC	DJEC	Г No.	<u>121</u>	<u>.613</u> ;	564	
	OCATION ATES: BC		<u>, 1</u> , .	DE	<u>–</u> WA	TER	LEVEL	, QUE	BEC					1	3H : DA T	SIZE TUM		CH	<u>I W</u> [AR]	<u>г</u>	_
	(F		1			SA	MPLES						UN	NDRA	NED	SHEAI	R STRE	NGTH -	kPa		
H(m)	I)NOL		PLOT	EVEI		Ř	RY	ш%	<u>ყ</u> ა			20	)		40	)	6	0	8	30 <del> </del>	
DEPT	EVAT.	SOIL DESCRIPTION	RATA	TER	ΓΥΡΕ	UMBE	COVE	VALU -RQD	OTHE	WATE	ER C	ONT	ENT 8	ATTI	ERBE	ERG LI	MITS		₩ <sub>₽</sub> ┣──	w •	_w ∎
	Ш		STE	M		z	Ш К Ш	, Ч К		DYNA STAN	AMIC		IETRA ENETF	TION	TEST	T, BLO' ST, BL	WS/0.3n OWS/0.	n 3m		7	k •
- 0 -	-17.04		 				mm		L	1	0	2	0	30	40	) 5	0 6	0 3	70	80	90
-	17.22	SILT	$\mathbb{V}$		SS	1	500	Wght.				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
		Very soft grey CLAY	K					of Roc	s												
- 1 -				1	SS	2	600	Wght. of Roc	s												<u>;</u> }
			$\mathbb{Z}$					-													
- 2 -				1																	
					55	2	600	Waht													
						5	000	of Roc	s												
- 3 -					SS	4	600	Wght.							<u></u>						
			K					of Roc	ls												
					SS	5	0	Wght.													
4			$\mathbb{Z}$				1.50	of Roc	ls												Ē
					SS	6	150	Wght. of Roc	ls												
- 5 -			$\mathbb{V}$												:: :: ::						
					SS	7	450	Wght.	of												
								Hamn	ler												
- 6 -	-23.34																				
		Very loose to compact grey silty SAND with gravel to SAND			SS	8	275	14													
- 7 -		with silt		2	2 <b></b>																-
		boulders			SS	9	150	10			•										
-																					
- 8 -																					F
				> >																	
- 9 -				>	SS	10	0	1/600	mm												Ē
-					SS	11	100	3		•											
				5					-												
$\frac{1}{2}$		L	[.]d.]	.]				<u> </u>			: [ :	:::	<u> :::</u>	: : F	EN			ER	<u>:   : : :</u>	:   : : :	
1BH 9/.														- F ♦ N	IEL /INI			EST	3HEA	R TE	st
ćL	App'd_	<i>F V</i> Sep 28 2012 8:28:26								<u> </u>						AINA		101			

		·												Pag	ge 2 o	f 2	
S.	<b>E</b> Sta	antec	BO	RE	HO	LE	E F	RECO	ORD	)					Bł	<del>1</del> 10	2
с	LIENT	CANADIAN ROYALTIE	<u>S INC.</u>									PR	OJECT	No. 12	21613	<u>1564</u>	
L	OCATION	PROPOSED WHARF - S	<u>ITE 1, '</u>	DE	CEPI	<u>IOI</u>	N BAY	, QUE	BEC			BH	I SIZE		HW		_
D	ATES: BO	DRING2012/07/29			WA	ATER	LEVEL	. <u></u>				DA	TUM	<u> </u>	HAR	<u>T</u>	
	Ê			L		SA	MPLES				L C	JNDRAINE	D SHEAR	STRENGTH	H - kPa		
H(m)	NOI		PLO.	EVE		ĸ	RY	%	] ແ ທ		20	4	.0 	60		80 +	
DEPT	EVAT	SOIL DESCRIPTION	ATA	ERL	ΥΡΕ	MBE	OVE	ALUI	THE	WATER CO	ONTENT	& ATTERI	BERG LIMI	TS	<sup>₩</sup> ₽	w ———————	w 
	E		STR	WAT	н	l	REC	N-V OR-I		DYNAMIC	PENETR	ATION TE	ST, BLOW	S/0.3m			×
		, mai					mm			STANDAR		TRATION 1	TEST, BLO	WS/0.3m	70	80	•
-10-	_27 33	Silty SAND with gravel to	o c	2	- 55	-12	75	50/75	nm		20						90
	-21.55	SAND with silt, cont'd.			NO	12	1000/	DOD									E
		Severely fractured to fractured				15	100%	45%									Ē
-11-		GNEISS:BEDROCK															
																	Ē
					NQ	14	95%	76%									
-12-																	
	-29.56																Ē
		End of Borehole															Ē
-13-			н. 1														
									×								
																	E
-14-																	<u>: : F</u>
-																	Ē
-15-																	Ē
-16-																	
																	Ē
																	Ē
-17-																	
																	E
																	Ë
-18-																	÷
																	Ē
-19-																<u>.  </u>	<u> </u>
																	E
	1																E
⊴-20-		- Andreastic and -							<u> </u>								
MBH 9/28/1	Ann'd	Sep 28 2012 8:28:26										■ PE ▲ FIE ◆ MII ★ UU	NETRO LD VAN NIATUR TRIAX	METER NE TEST E VANE IAL TES	T SHEA	AR TE	:ST
o L	• -PP •																_

<b>y</b>	<b>Sta</b>	<b>ANTEC</b> CANADIAN ROYALTIES	<b>BO</b>	RE	НО	LE	F	RECO	ORD					יםם	ിന്ന	T No	121	BH 6134	<b>103</b> 564
	DCATION	PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	<u>ТЕ 1,</u>	DE	СЕРТ	ION	BAY	, QUE	BEC					BH	SIZE	I INO.	E	[W	<u>, v</u> ,
D	ATES: BC	DRING 2012/07/20			_ WA	TER	LEVEL	·						DA	TUM		СН	AR	<u>Γ</u>
(m)	(m)NC		LOT	:VEL		SAI	MPLES		~			20	UND	RAINEI	O SHEAT	R STREI	NGTH - )	kPa 8	0
DEPTH	ELEVATIO	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA P	WATER LE	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVER	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE DYNA STAN	R CON MIC PE		IT & A RATII	TTERB ON TES TION T	ERG LII ST, BLO EST, BL	ı WITS WS/0.3n OWS/0.:	ı 3m	₩ <sub>₽</sub> ₩	w \ •
	-13.16						mm			1	0	20	3(	) 4	0 5	0 6	0	70	30 9
		Very loose dark grey ORGANIC SILT with sand			SS	1	350	Wght. of Roc	ls										
- 1 -					SS	2	550	Wght. of Roc	s										
	-14.99	Very soft to soft grey CLAV					<del></del>												
		Very son to son grey CLATT			SS	3	350	Wght. of Roc	s										
- 3 -					SS	4	500	Wght. of Roc	s										
	1 • •	ана стана br>Стана стана стан			ST	5	650	Wght.	-										
- 4 -								of Roo	s										
					SS	6	425	Wght. of Roo	s										
- 5 -									-										
- 6 -					SS	7	400	Wght. of Roo	ls										
					SS	8	600	1											
- 7 -	-				ST	9	250	Wght. of Ro	ds										
					SS	10	600	Wght. Hamn	of er			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
- 8 -	-21.24	Compact grey SAND with silt to silty SAND with gravel		2					-										
			000		SS	11	125	17											
			000		SS	12	275	22											
<u>-10</u>			0	2. 9					-										
INTER THE	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:28:27												FIE MIN UU	NETR LD VA IIATU TRIA	OMET NE T RE VA XIAL	ER EST ANE S EST	SHEA	R TES

Page 1 of 2

CI	<b>Sta</b>	CANADIAN ROYALTIES I PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	B <b>O</b>   INC. E 1,	<b>RE</b>	E <b>HO</b> CEPI		F N BAY	RECO	ORD				PR BH	OJEC I SIZE	T No.	<u>121</u> E	BH <u>613:</u> IW	103
D	ATES: BC	DRING <u>2012/07/20</u>	1	<u> </u>	_ WA	TER	LEVEL											<u> </u>
DEPTH(m)	ELEVATION(m)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	WATER LEVEL	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE	2 R CON	0 TENT &	ATTERE	BERG LI	MITS WS/0.3r	0 	W <sub>P</sub>	;0 
				-			mm	-		. STANE 1(	DARD P	ENETR		TEST, BL	.0WS/0.	.3m 50 - 2	70	• ۵۸ (
-10-		SAND with silt to silty SAND with gravel, cont'd.	0 0 0 0 0 0	2	SS	13	0	35	•									
11-	24.20		0 0 0	2	SS	14	350	35										
10	-24.39	Very severely fractured to sound slightly weathered grey FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK			NQ	15	44%	RQD 0%										
12-					NQ	16	63%	53%										
13-																		
14-					NQ	17	100%	81%										
	-27.56	End of Borehole	=	]														
15-																		
16-																		
17-																		
18-																		
19-1 1 1 1 1 1																		
20	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:28:27							<u> </u>				■ PE ▲ FIE ◆ MIN × UU	NETR LD VA NIATU TRIA	OMET NE T RE V/ XIAL	FER EST ANE S TEST	SHEAI	R TES

	<b>E</b> Sta LIENT DCATION	CANADIAN ROYALTIES II PROPOSED WHARF - SITE DRING 2012/07/29	NC.	RE	HO CEPT	LE <u>TEP</u>	BAY	RECO	DRD BEC				-	PI Bi	ROJE H SIZ	ECT 1 ZE _	No. 1	<u>1210</u> Н СН	BH 6135 W AR1	<b>10</b> 4 564 Г	4
						SA	MPLES	, <u> </u>				-	- UNI	DRAIN	ED SH	EAR ST	RENG	TH - k	Pa		
DEPTH(m)	.EVATION(m	SOIL DESCRIPTION	RATA PLOT	TER LEVEL	ГҮРЕ	UMBER	COVERY	VALUE -RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE	ER CO	20 	ENT &	ATTEF	40 + RBERG	i limits	60 +		8 W <sub>P</sub>	0 	
	Ē		STI	WA		z	RE	Ъ.Я		DYNA STAN	MIC I	PENE D PE	ETRAT	TON TI ATION	EST, B TEST,	LOWS/ BLOW	0.3m S/0.3m	1			k D
- 0 -	-15.07		1113				mm			1	0	20	3	0 1 : : : :	40	50	60	7	0 8	80	90
	-15.81	SILT with sand to SAND with organic silt			SS	1	250	11													
- 1 -		Loose to compact dark grey to grey silty SAND			SS	2	350	80/225	mm												
		-trace gravel -occasional cobbles and boulders -300 mm boulder at depth of 1.2			NQ	3	350	-													
4 - - 		metres			SS	4	0	9													
- 3 -	-18.37	Intermixed layers of very soft			SS	5	0	14													
- 4 -		grey CLAY and grey SILT -sand seams encountered below depth of 6 metres			SS	6	200	Wght. Hamn	of er												
					SS	7	400	Wght. Hamn	of er												
- 5 -					ST	8	0	PUSH													
· 6 -			$\mathcal{H}$		SS	9	600	Wght. of													
					SS	10	475	Rods Wght. <del>of Ro</del> d	s												
- 7 -	-22.39	· · · ·			SS	11	600	3													
· -		Very loose to compact grey SAND with silt to silty SAND with gravel	0 0 0 0 0	2 2	SS	12	200	12			•										
0		-occasional cobbles		2	SS	13	225	2		•											
- 9 -			00000	2. 2. 2.	SS	14	150	Wght. Hamn	of												
			0.0.0 0.0.0		SS	15	525	12			•										
-10-	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:28:27	ioi. Lit		<u></u>	L	1	<u>ı</u>	I			<u>::</u> 1		■ PE ▲ FII ◆ MI × Ul	ENET ELD NIAT J TR	TROM VANE URE		R ST IE S IST	HEAI	R TE	st.

Page 1 of 2

CI	<b>Sta</b>	CANADIAN ROYALTIES PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	<b>BO</b>   INC. 'E 1,	RE DE	HO CEPT		N BAY	<b>RECO</b>	DRD				PR BH	OJECT SIZE	[ No.	<u>121</u> <u>H</u>	BH 6135 [W	<b>104</b> 564
DA	ATES: BC	DRING2012/07/29		1	WA	TER	LEVEL						DA	TUM		CH	ART	<u>[</u>
(m)	vTiON(m)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	A PLOT	LEVEL	ш	SA JEB	MPLES	D %	ler TS	- 	2	20 	IDRAINE 4			GTH - k ) 	دPa 	0 
	ELEVA		STRAT/	WATER	ТҮРІ	NUME	RECOV	N-VALI OR-RQI	OTH TES	WATE DYNA STAN	R CON MIC PE DARD F	TENT & NETRA' PENETR	ATTERE	BERG LIN ST, BLOV EST, BL	/ITS /VS/0.3m OWS/0.3	ŝm	F	
0			- GE LA				mm			1	0 2	20 3	30 4	0 5	0 6	) 7	<u>'0 8</u>	30
		SAND with silt to silty SAND with gravel, cont'd.	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		SS	16	25	6										
			0 0 0 0		SS	17	250	12			•							
	-26.68	Very sound slightly weathered		* * *	SS	18	75	50/75r	nm	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		GNEISS:BEDROCK			NQ	19	96%	RQD 96%										
						20	1000/	020/										
	-29.19				ΝQ	20	100%	98%										
		End of Borehole																
;																		
7-																		
- - - - 8-																		
	:																	
		·																
	Annid	hen Sen 28 2012 8-28-28							,				■ PEI ▲ FIE ◆ MIN ★ UU	NETRO LD VA NATU TRIA	OMET INE TE RE VA KIAL T	ER EST INE S EST	HEAI	r ti

Page 2 of 2

CL	6 Sta	CANADIAN ROYALTIES PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	5 <b>U</b> INC. E 1,		CEPT		N BAY	KECC , QUE	JKD BEC				-	PR BF	OJEC I SIZ	CTN E_	o. <u>12</u>	В <u>161</u> НМ	H1( <u>3564</u> /	)5 
	TES: BC	ORING2012/07/20	1		WA	SA							- UNE		D SHE	/1 AR STR	ENGTH	H - kPa	<u></u>	
TH(m)	ATION(m	SOIL DESCRIPTION	A PLOT	( LEVEL	ш	BER (	/ERY	D%	HER STS			20	1	2	ю 		60	 Wp	80 	 W
DE	ELEV		STRAT	WATEF	ТҮР	NUME	RECOV	N-VAL OR-RQ		WATI DYNA STAN	ER CO AMIC F IDARI		ENT & / ETRAT	ATTERI ION TE ATION "	BERG I ST, BL TEST, E	LIMITS OWS/0. BLOWS/	3m '0.3m	Ļ	<u> </u>	1 ★
0 +	-9.60		-				mm			1	0	20	3	0 4	40	50	60	70	80	90
		Black to dark grey ORGANIC SILT with sand -shells throughout																		
1 -					SS	1	400	Wght. of Roc	s											
	-11.12	Very soft grey CLAY									•••									
2-		-occasional sand seams			SS	2	250	2		•						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
					SS	3	550	1												
5																				
4					ST	4	650	Wght.												
								of Roo	ls											
					SS	5	600	Wght. of Roo	s											
					CT.	6	(50)	W. l.t												
					51	0	030	of Roo	s											
						7	600	Walt	-											
11							000	of Roo	ls											
1 1 1 1																				
										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										
- - - -						8	200	Hamn	of									<u></u>		
+ + + +	-19.18	Very loose grey SAND with silt			SS	9	250	3												
10	Ann'd	-occasional cobbles and	<u>. t t t 1</u>	<u>. </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>.</u>	<b>!</b>		<u>.   : :</u>	::1	<u> </u>	PE FIE MII	NETI LD V NIATI	Rome Ane Ure Axial	ETER TES VANE	<u>:: :</u> [ : She ]T	EAR T	EST

y		ANADIAN ROVALTIE	BO	RE	НО	LE	F	RECO	ORD				, DD	OTTC	·T ٦-	121	BH	10:	5
	DCATION	PROPOSED WHARF - S	<u>SITE 1,</u>	DE	СЕРТ	IOI	NBAY	, QUE	BEC				PK BH	I SIZE	1 INO. E	<u> </u>	[W		-
D	ATES: BC	DRING 2012/07/20		1 1	_ WA	TER	LEVEL	·	1				DA	ATUM	[	CH	AR	Γ	-
Ê	۲(m)		L L	Ē		SA	MPLES	I			2	uni 0	DRAINE 4	D SHEA	R STREN	vgтн - 0	kPa 8	30	
DEPTH(r	ELEVATION	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLO	WATER LEV	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATER C		ENT &	ATTERI FION TE	H BERG L IST, BLC	IMITS DWS/0.3m	 1	W <sub>P</sub>	w •••••••	
							mm	· · ·		STANDAF	rd p 2	enetr 0 3	ation 1 30 4	тезт, в 40	LOWS/0.: 50  6	3m 0 7	70	<b>ء</b> 80	, 90
-10		boulders SAND with silt, cont'd.			SS	10	100	Wght.	ds										
11-					SS	11	175	2		•									
					SS	12	150	50/751	nm -										
12-	-21.97																		
-13-		Severely fractured to very sound grey FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK			NQ	13	74%	RQD											
								59%											
-14-					NQ	14	100%	100%								····			
- 4	-24.13	End of Porcholo																	Ē
-15-		Life of Borenoie									· · · · ·								
• • •	•										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
·16-																			
-1/-																			
·18-																			
- - -																			
-19-																			
• • •																			
-20-	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:28:28	 			I	I	I				1:::-	■ PE ▲ FIE ◆ MII × UU	NETF LD V NIATU I TRIA	OMET ANE T JRE VA	EST ANE S TEST	SHEA	R TE:	ST

									;			<u> </u>				Page	1 of	1	_
<u>y</u>	<b>Sta</b>	antec	BO	RE	НО	LE	F	RECO	ORD	)						ļ	BH′	106	ł
CI	LIENT	CANADIAN ROYALTIES	INC.	<u></u>								-	PR	OJEC	T No.	121	6135¢	64	
LC	OCATION	PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	<u>E 1,</u>	DE	СЕРТ	ION	N BAY	, QUE	BEC			_	BH	I SIZE		H	W		
D	ATES: BO	PRING 2012/07/30			WA	TER	LEVEL					•	DA	TUM		CH	ART		
	(n			L		SA	MPLES					UNI	DRAINE	D SHEA	R STRE	NGTH - k	Pa		
H(m)	)NOI		10	EVE		æ	۲ ۲	%	] ~ "		20 +		4	10 	6	0		)	
EPTI	VAT	SOIL DESCRIPTION	VTA I	ERL	PE	MBE			THE	WATER C	ONTE	NT &	ATTERI	BERG LI	MITS		<sup>№</sup> ₽ \ <b> (</b>	∾ Э——-	w <sub>1</sub> ન
	ELE		STR/	NAT	F	Ŋ	REC	N-N-N-N-N-N-N-N-N-N-N-N-N-N-N-N-N-N-N-		DYNAMIC	PENE	ETRAT	ION TE	ST, BLO	WS/0.3m	ı		*	
		neder		_						STANDAR	DPE	NETR/		TEST, BL	.0WS/0.:	3m	_	٠	
0 -	-13.50	Very loose grey ORGANIC			CC	1	150	50/25			20	3	0 4	40 5  ::::	50 6	0 7		0 9	10 F
1		SILT with sand			22	1	150	50/251											Ē
. –	-	-trace gravel		-							:::								F
1 -		-occasional cobbles and boulders																	Ē
		-600mm boulder at depth of 0.3	; []		~~~														
-		metres		-	SS	2	200	Wght.	of										F
2																			E
-	15.04		4		SS	3	425	Wght.	. of										E
-	-15.94	Very soft grey clayey SILT	-11	1				Hamn	ner										F
																			È
3-			Ŵ	1				1	-										F
			И		SS	4	600	Wght.			:::								Ē
-		•	Ш		·			ofRo	ds										Ē
4 -	-17.69		Н		SS	5	600	Wght.											F
]	17.84	Very soft grey CLAY	7	1				ofRo	ds										F
		End of Borehole																	F
5-		-needed to restart borehole as																	E
-		casing wasn't straight																	E
-																			F
6 -																			E
~ - -																			F
-																			F
,																			Ē
1																			E
-																			E
_								-											F
8 -																			t
1																			F
1																			Ē
9-																			F
1																			
1																			F
10-			<u> </u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1											F
		/											■ PE	NETR	OMET	EST			
	App'd	MSep 28 2012 8:28:29												NIATU J TRIA	RE VA XIAL 1	NE S EST	HEAR	TES	Ţ
	·															•			-

<u> </u>	<b>Sta</b>	CANADIAN POVALTIES	BO	RE	НО	LE	F	RECO	ORD	1				0.000		B	H1(	0 <b>6A</b>
	LIENT DCATION	PROPOSED WHARF - SI	<u>ΓΕ 1,</u>	DE	СЕРТ	ION	BAY	, QUE	BEC				PR BH	OJEC: ( SIZE	Г No.	<u>121</u> H	<u>0135</u> [W	<u>)04</u>
D.	ATES: BC	DRING <u>2012/07/30</u>		,	_ WA	TER	LEVEL					_	DA	TUM		СН	ART	<u>[</u>
C C	l(m)		Ц			SA	MPLES				2	UN O	DRAINE 4	D SHEAF	R STREN	IGTH - )	<pa 8</pa 	0
DEPTH(m	ELEVATION	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLC	WATER LEVI	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS		R CON MIC PEI	TENT &		BERG LIN	//ITS //S/0.3m	,	W <sub>P</sub>	w w 
	-13.92						mm			. STANE 1(	DARD P D 2	ENETR	атіон т 30 4	EST, BL 10 5	ows/0.3 0 6	sm 0. 7	70 8	• 30 90
		Advance casing to where BH106 was terminated																
- 1 -																		
- 2 -																		
- 3 -								- -										
- 4 -	-18.57	New loose to compact error sil		2														
- 5 -		SAND with gravel to SAND with silt -occasional cobbles and		· · · · · ·	SS	6	150	70/225	mm									
- 6 -		boulders -325 mm boulder encountered at depth of 5.2 metres	0 0 0 0 0	2.0.0	NQ	7	375	-										
			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0															
				2.0.0	SS	8	175	3		•								
- 8 -			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		SS	9	200	6										
- 9 -			0 0 0 0 0		SS	10	125	17										
			0 0 0 0 0		SS	11	350	65/22:	5mm									
1 DINITIZ TIGINI	App'd	<u>M</u> Sep 28 2012 8:28:29																

Page 1 of 2

LC DA	CATION TES: BC	PROPOSED WHARF - 5 PRING 2012/07/30	<u>SITE 1,</u>	DE	CEP1 	T <b>IO</b>	N BAY	, QUE	BEC				BH DA	SIZE TUM		H CH	<u>W</u> 4R7	
	N(m)		OT	百		SA	MPLES				20	UNDR	AINED	) Shear	STREN	GTH - ki	<sup>2</sup> a 8	0
	ELEVATIO	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PL	WATER LEV	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVER	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS			IT & AT TRATIO	TERBI	ERG LIN T, BLOV	1ITS VS/0.3m	 V 	 V₽ 	w O
		······					mm			10	20 20	30	4(	) 50	0 6(	n ) 7(	) {	30
	-23.98	Silty SAND with gravel to SAND with silt, cont'd.		•	NQ	12	100%	RQD										
		Fractured to sound slightly weathered grey FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK			NO	13	100%	79%										
	-25.63			•			10070											
-		End of Borehole														· · · · · ·		
-									-						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
																		-
																		$\frac{1}{1}$
														· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
•																		
				-														
																		-
					4													

	<b>E</b> Sta lient dication ates: bo	CANADIAN ROYALTIES PROPOSED WHARF - SIT DRING 2012/07/21	<b>BO</b> INC. E 1,	RE	EHO CEPT	LE ION	<b>BAY</b> LEVEL	RECO	DRD				PR BH D/	ROJEC H SIZE ATUM	T No.	121 H CH	BH 6135 IW [AR]	<b>10</b> <sup>-</sup> 564 Г	7
	(c					SA	MPLES					UN	DRAINE	ED SHEA	R STRE	NGTH -	kPa		
DEPTH(m)	ELEVATION(m	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	WATER LEVEL	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE		TENT &		40 BERG LI	6 MITS WS/0.3n	)	8 W <sub>P</sub>	0 W 0	
	-11.95		Í				mm			1	.0 2	20 3	30 ·	40 :	50 θ	50 7	70 \$	<b>8</b> 0	<b>9</b> 0
	-12.00	Very loose dark grey ORGANIC SILT Very soft grey CLAY	R		SS	1	150	Wght. of Roc	ls										
1 -	10.45				SS	2	275	Wght. of Roc	s										
	-13.45	Very loose to compact grey SAND with silt to silty SAND			SS	3	225	24				•							
		-occasional cobbles	0 0 0 0 0 0		·SS	4	350	28											
3				2	SS	5	0	10			•								
			0 0 0 0 0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SS	6	150	5											
4 ]					SS	7	75	5		•									
5 -				5 5	SS	8	325	2		•									
	-17.64	Sound to very sound slightly				10	100%	ROD											
6-		weathered grey FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK						83%						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
7 -					NQ	20	100%	93%											
	-19.47	End of Borehole												· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
8 -					-														
- - - 9 -																			
																			<u></u>
10-	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:28:30	<u> </u>	1	L.I		<u>I</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u>]:::</u>	:1:::	<u>:1:::</u>	<u>:   : : : :</u>	1::::		<u>:   : : :</u>	

	<b>Sta</b>		BO	RE	НО	LE	F	RECO	ORD								101	BH	108	;
CI	LIENT DCATION	PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	<u>INC.</u> TE 1,	DE	СЕРТ	IOI	N BAY	, OUE	BEC					PR( BH	DJEC SIZE	T No.	<u>121</u> H	<u>613:</u> [W	<u>564</u>	
D	ATES: BC	RING 2012/07/20			- WA	TER	LEVEL							DA	TUM		СН	AR	<u>r</u>	•
	Ê	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.			SA	MPLES						UND	RAINEI	D SHEA	R STRE	NGTH - I	ĸPa		-
DEPTH(m)	LEVATION(I	SOIL DESCRIPTION	RATA PLOT	ATER LEVE	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	ECOVERY	-VALUE Radd %	OTHER TESTS	WATE		20  NTENT	T & A		ERG LI		0	W <sub>P</sub>	;0 	- - -
	ш	same.	ST	Ň		2	R R	z ö		STAN	DARD	PENE	TRA		EST, BLO	.OWS/0.3	n 3m		*	
- 0 -	-14.05						mm			1	0	20	3(	) 4	0 5	50 e	50 7	0	80 9	) T
		Very soft grey CLAY																		
• 1 -																				
· 2 -					SS	1	450	Wght. of Roo	s				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
					SS	2	550													
3 -																				
· -	-17.86	Very loose grey clayey SAND																		
		-trace gravel -occasional cobbles			SS	3	300	13			•									
. 5 -					SS	4	325	3	-	•										
·	-19.71	Compact to dense SAND with														· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
• 6 -		gravel and silt to silty SAND	0 0 0 0	2	SS SS	5 6	175	31 50/75	mm											-
 - -	-20.83	Severely fractured to fractured		-																
- 7 -		grey FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK			NQ	7	78%	RQD 38%												
- 8 -																				
					NQ	8	77%	57%												
- 9 -	-23.17	End of Doroholo	_	-									<u></u>						<u> </u>	
• • •																				
-10-	A nut 1	1/4 San 28 2012 8.20.20			<u> </u>		- <b>I</b>	- <b>I</b>	t		.1	<u>.: I.:.</u>	· · ·	1			1	<u></u>	<u></u>	-

	CATION	CANADIAN ROYALTIES I PROPOSED WHARF - SITI 2012/07/19 to 2012/07	<b>30</b> <u>NC.</u> E 1, 7/20	RE	HO CEPT			RECO	DRD BEC				PI BI	ROJE H SIZ	CTN ZE _	lo. <u>12</u>	В <u>161</u> <u>Н</u> W	H10 3564 /	)9 !
	ATES: BC	DRING <u>2012/07/17 to 2012/07</u>			WA	SA	MPLES					 UI	D. NDRAIN	ED SHI	M EAR STI	RENGTH	- kPa		
DEPTH(m)	ELEVATION(m	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	WATER LEVEL	түре	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE	ER CON		& ATTEF	40 + RBERG EST, BI	LIMITS	60 	w <sub>P</sub>	80 	
	-6.15						mm			. stan 1	DARDI 0 2	peneti 20	ration 30	теят, 40	BLOWS	5/0.3m 60	70	80	• 90
- () -	-	Black to dark grey ORGANIC SILT with sand -trace shells			SS	1	150	2		•									
- 1 -					SS	2	375	2		•									
	-7.83	Very soft grev CLAY								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
- 2 -					SS	3	0	3											
- 3 -					SS	4	350	1											
					ST	5	450	Wght. of Roc	ls										
- 4 -	-10.42	X7 1 1			ST	6	525	Wght.					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
		silty SAND to silty SAND with gravel		2	SS	7	250	of Roo 17	ls										
	-	-trace clay -occasional cobbles and boulders	0 0 0 0		SS	8	125	9											
- 6 -				· · · ·	SS	9	275	7	-	•									
				2 2	SS	10	75	13			•								
- 7 -			0 0 0 0 0 0 0		SS	11	0	4		•									
- 8 -					SS	12	0	.8	-										
				? > >	 SS	13	400	64											
- 9 -	-15.09	Fractured to very sound grey FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK			NQ	14	80%	RQD											
– 10-	App'd	<u>     Sep 28 2012 8:28:30</u>			<b>1</b>    <b>1</b>	L.,,,_,,,,,	L.,	13370	I			<u></u>	■ PE ▲ FII ◆ MI ¥ UI	ENET ELD V NIAT J TR	ROMI VANE URE IAXIAI	ETER TEST VANE L TES	SHE	<u></u>	EST

<b></b>															Page	2 01	2	
e e	<b>Sta</b>	antec <sup>e</sup>	801	RE	НО	LE	E F	RECO	ORD	)					I	3H <sup>,</sup>	109	)
C	LIENT	CANADIAN ROYALTIES I	<u>NC.</u>									PRO	DJECI	No	1216	<u>5135</u>	<u>64</u>	-
	OCATION	<u>PROPOSED WHARF - SITE</u> 2012/07/19 to 2012/07	<u>E 1, 1</u> //20	DEC	CEPI	<u>101'</u>	<u>N BAY</u>	, QUE	BEC		-	BH	SIZE		H CH	<u>W</u> лрт		-
	ATES: BC	DRING2012/07/1/ to 2012/07			W A	S IER				1				STREN	GTH - k	Pa		-
ε.	N(m)		10	Ц.		54				20	0.10	40	)	60	0.111 1.	8	)	
PTH(	ATIC	SOIL DESCRIPTION	LA PL	LE R	Щ	BER	VER	LUE 2D %	HER			1			y	N <sub>P</sub>	W	wL
DE	ELEV		TRA	/ATE	Σ	NUN	ECO	N-VAI	ЪЩ	WATER CONTE	ENT & A	ON TES	ERG LIN T, BLOV	11TS VS/0.3m			G *	-1 ,
			S I	5	· .			-0		STANDARD PEI	NETRA	TION TE	EST, BLO	OWS/0.3	m		•	•
-10-		FEI SIC CNEISS BEDDOCK	<u> </u>				mm			10 20	30	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{5}{1}$	$\frac{0}{0}$	) 70	0 8	0	90
		cont'd.																
																		E
-11-					NQ	15	100%	92%										-
	-17.58	<b>E</b> 1 ( <b>D</b> 1 1	E		<u>    .</u>													
		End of Borehole																
-12-																		
																		Ē
-															· · · · ·			Ē
-13-																		F
								. *										Ē
-14-										EC         BH SIZE         HW           DATUM         CHART           UNDRAINED SHEAR STRENGTH - KPa         20         40         60         80           20         40         60         80         Wp         W           WATER CONTENT & ATTERBERG LIMITS         Wp         W         W           DYNAMIC PENETRATION TEST, BLOWS/0.3m         *         \$           10         20         30         40         50         60         70         80         90           10         20         30         40         50         60         70         80         90           10         20         30         40         50         60         70         80         90           10         20         30         40         50         60         70         80         90           10         20         30         40         50         60         70         80         90           10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10								
																		E
																		Ē
-15-					-													Ē
							NBAY, QUEBEC       BH SIZE       HW         R LEVEL       DATUM       CHART         AMPLES       UNDRAINED SHEAR STRENGTH - kPa         20       40       60       80         W       Yeg       Water content & Attenderg LIMTS       Wp       Wult         0       Yeg       Yeg       Water content & Attenderg LIMTS       Imm         10       20       30       40       50       60       70       80       Imm         5       100%       92%       Imm       Imm											
16																		
					,													E
																		Ē
																		Ę
																		E
-18-																		<u>;</u>
																		Ē
-19-											<u></u>							F
																		Ē
F :																		
-20-		19 Million - State - S				<u> </u>	.l	1										<u>:</u> F
07/6 1		/										FIEL			ER ST		, TC	
ICIM	App'd	LySep 28 2012 8:28:31									>		TRIA		EST			2

	Sta	CANADIAN ROYALTIES	<b>ΒΟ</b> INC. ΓΕ 1,	RE DE	HO		F N BAY	RECO	DRD					PR BH	OJEC I SIZE	T No.	<u>121</u> H	BH 6135 W	<b>110</b> 564
D.	ATES: BC	DRING			WA	TER		/				<u> </u>							·
DEPTH(m)	ELEVATION(m)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	VATER LEVEL	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE DR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WAT			Г & А RATIO		0 } BERG LI ST, BLO	6 MITS WS/0.3r	0 	8 	0 w '
	11 16			-			mm			STAN		PENE	TRA		TEST, BL	.ows/0.	3m	<i>7</i> 0 (	•
- 0 -	-11.10	Very loose dark grey to black ORGANIC SILT with sand -shells throughout			SS	1	175	Wght. of Roc	ls			20							
- 1 -	-12.53	Very soft grey CLAY			SS	2	75	Wght. Hamn	of										
- 2 -						2	477	XX7-1-											
	-13.83	Compact grey SAND with silt			SS	3	475 550	Wght. of Roo 13	ls				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
 - - -		-trace clay -occasional cobbles and boulders							-										
- 4 -		<b>.</b>			SS	5	0	12			•								
- 5 -	16.65				SS	6	275	14			•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
· 6 -	-16.67	Very severely fractured to severely fractured slightly weathered gray FEL SIC			NQ	7	100%	RQD 57%											
		GNEISS:BEDROCK			NQ	8	65%	41%											
· / - · · ·																			
8					NQ	9	55%	5%											
- 9 -	-19.95	End of Borehole																	
10	App'd	<u>Ma</u> Sep 28 2012 8:28:31												FIE MIN UU	NETR LD V/ NIATU TRIA	OMET ANE T RE V XIAL	TER EST ANE S TEST	HEAF	RTES

		. · · ·															Page	1 of	1	
e J	<b>e</b> Sta	antec	BO	RE	НО	LE	ER	RECO	ORD									BH	11	1
CI	LIENT	CANADIAN ROYALTIES	INC.					······						PRC	JECI	Г No.	<u>121</u>	<u>613:</u>	564	
LC	DCATION	PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	<u>Е 1,</u>	DE	СЕРТ	IOI	N BAY	, QUE	BEC					BH	SIZE		Н	W		_
D	ATES: BC	DRING			WA	TER	LEVEL					_		DA	ГUМ	<u></u>	CH	<u>AR</u>	Γ	_
	(u		.			SA	MPLES					U	INDR/	AINED	SHEAF	R STREI	NGTH - I	<pa< td=""><td></td><td></td></pa<>		
(m)	)NO		S			ŝ	۲ ۲	%	r		2	20 †		40		6	0	8	:0 	
חברור	ILEVATI	SOIL DESCRIPTION	IRATA F	ATER LI	түре	NUMBER	ECOVEF	I-VALUE R-RQD %	OTHEF TESTS		R CON		& ATI	FERBE		/ITS		₩ <sub>P</sub> ┣───	w 0	 ⊷
	ш	1	S	×			R	20		STAN		PENET	RATIO	ON TE	ST, BLO	OWS/0.	3m		1	•
	-4.62						mm			1	0 2	20	30	4(	) 5	0 6	i0 7	0	80	9
/ -		Loose to compact dark grey				_														-
L.r.		ORGANIC SILT with sand	<b>1</b> ]		- 55	I	225	6												
		-trace gravel																		:
-		-sitens unoughout			SS	2	450	24			<u></u>									-
				]																
																				•••••
1 1 1																				
1.1.1			И		99	. 2	250	21												+ • • •
-	-7.16				ວດ	3	250	.51												•••••
-		Stiff grey CLAY	$\overline{}$	]																
-	_7 77			]	88	4	425	14			· • • · · ·									
		Loose to compact SAND with		5	. 16	-														• • • • •
-		silt to silty SAND with gravel		?																
1 1		-occasional cobbles			SS	5	150	23				۲								
			p	,					-											
			oj i	Ś	SS	6	50	20				•								
				2																
				>	22	7	275	6		:::: :::::		:::			<u></u>					
				>	55															
			0, 		00	0	200	20												
1			o d	2	22	8	200	32												
			0																	
111							100	FO (1 0)												
	-11.33			2		9	100	50/100	umm											
1		Fractured to very sound grey		-										:::						
-		FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK																		
-				-	NQ	10	100%	RQD	:											
								100%												
-				1																
1-1-1				-					1											
1.1.1				1	NO	11	100%	67%												
1.1	12 76				<															<u>.</u>
1	-13./0	End of Borehole	- =	<b>-</b>										<u> </u>						
1																				
)-				1		L		L		+	1:::	:1::	<u>:  </u>					<u> 1:::</u>	<u>  :</u>	
										1				FIEL						~~-
	App'd	Ju Sep 28 2012 8:28:32											×	UU.	TRIA		TEST	ΠΕΑ	K IE	5
																				—

g	<b>Sta</b>	antec <sup>E</sup>	301	RE	НО	LE	E F	RECO	ORD	) _								BH	11
CI LC	LIENT DCATION	CANADIAN ROYALTIES I PROPOSED WHARF - SITI	<u>NC.</u> E 1, 1	DE	CEPT	IOI	N BAY	, QUE	BEC		• •		•	PR BH	.OJEC I SIZE	T No.	<u>121</u> H	613: W	564
DA	ATES: BC	ORING 2012/07/18 to 2012/07	/19		_ WA	TER	LEVEL		1	<u> </u>				DA	TUM		СН	AR'	Γ
-	(m)		H			SA						20	UND	RAINE	D SHEA	R STREI	NGTH - I O	kPa ۶	30
DEPTH(n	ELEVATION	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLO	VATER LEV	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE DR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE DYNA			NT & A	ATTERI	BERG LI	MITS WS/0.3n	n	W <sub>P</sub>	W 0-
	0.66						mm			STAN			IETRA		TEST, BL	.ows/0.	3m 50 7	20	0 ∩
0 +	-9.00	Compact grey to dark grey	╢┟																80  ::
	-10.57	ORGANIC SILT -trace shells			SS	1	600	11		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
		Very soft grey CLAY	$\mathbb{Z}$		SS	2	500	2		•									
	-11.34																		
2 -		SAND to silty SAND with			SS	3	175	22											
والعارفة		-occasional cobbles			SS	4	350	13											
; -																			
-			000		SS	5	200	15											
↓	-13.65	Severely fractured to very			<del>-SS</del>	-6-	-25	<del>50/25</del> 1	nm										
		sound grey FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK			NQ	7	100%	RQD 100%											
5		- sands seams and quartz seams encountered			NQ	8	91%	91%	].										
	• .						· · · · ·												
5-					NQ	9	82%	34%											
	-16.19																		
- - -		End of Borehole							-										
' - - -	-																		
3 -																			
•   • • •																			
0			_	<u> </u>					1			::	<u></u>		:1:::				<u>: </u> :

Le D	OCATION ATES: BC	<u>PROPOSED WHARF - SI</u> PRING <u>2012/07/28 to 2012</u>	ITE 1, 1 /07/29	DEC	EPT WA	TER	<b>NBAY</b> LEVEL	, <b>Q</b> UE	BEC			_	]	BH : DA1	size fum		I 	<u>IW</u> IAR	T	
(u	4(m)		DT			SA	MPLES	r			2	ر 10	JNDRA	ined 40	SHEAF	R STREM	идтн - 0	kPa	80	
DEPTH(n	ELEVATION	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLO	WATER LEV	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE DYNA	R CON		& ATT	ERBE	ERG LIN T, BLOV	viits WS/0.3m	- <u>-</u>	Wp I		
•	-19.89	· · · · ·					mm			. STAN 1	DARD F 0 2	PENET 20	rratio 30	ом те 4(	ST, BL	ows/0.: 0 6	3m i0	70	80	
0		Very soft grey CLAY			SS	1	200	Wght. of Roo	ls											
- 1 -				ar.	SS	2	600	Wght. of Roc	s											
					ŜS	3	600	Wght. of Roc	s					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
2 - - - -	-				SS	4	600	Wght. of Roo	ls					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3-					ST	5	600	Wght. of Roc	ls											
4						:														
• •					SS	6	600	Wght.												
5 -					SS	7	600	of Roo Wght.	S											
6-		I						of Ro	ls											
- - - - -	-26.42	Loose to compact grey silty	0 0		SS	8	600	Wght. of Ro	ds											
7 -		SAND with gravel -occasional cobbles	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		SS	9	325	7												
8 -					SS	10	200	9												
- - - -	-28.42	Sound grey FELSIC			SS	11	50	50/50	nm											
9-		GNEISS:BEDROCK			NQ	12	85%	RQD												-
CL LC DA	<b>EST</b>	CANADIAN ROYALTIES PROPOSED WHARF - SIT DRING 2012/07/28 to 2012/0	BOI INC. E 1, 1 7/29	RE	: <b>НО</b> Сері – W4	<b>LE</b> TION	F N BAY LEVEL	RECO	ORD		PROJECT N BH SIZE DATUM	BH1 <sup>-</sup> 5. <u>121613564</u> HW CHART	13							
--	------------	--	-------------------------------	------------	-----------------------------	-------------------	---------------------	---------------------	----------------	---	---	--	--							
	я ш					SA	MPLES			UNI	RAINED SHEAR STR	ENGTH - kPa								
DEPTH(m)	ELEVATION(	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOI	WATER LEVE	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	20 WATER CONTENT & DYNAMIC PENETRAT STANDARD PENETRA	40 ATTERBERG LIMITS ION TEST, BLOWS/0.	60 80 W <sub>P</sub> W H O 3m	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~							
10							mm			10 20 3	0 40 50	<u>60</u> 70 80	90							
10	20.01	FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK, cont'd.			NQ	13	96%	87%												
	-30.91	End of Borehole				· · · · ·														
2-																				
3-																				
4-																				
5-																				
6-																				
.7-																				
- - - 18-																				
- - - - - - - - - - - -																				
·20-	App'd	Jul. Sep 28 2012 8:28:33	-, <b>-</b>		. ,						■ PENETROME ▲ FIELD VANE ● MINIATURE \ K UU TRIAXIAL	TER TEST /ANE SHEAR T . TEST	EST							

y	<b>6</b> Sta	antec <sup>E</sup>	301	RE	НО	LE	F	RECO	ORD								BH	114	•
	LIENT DCATION	CANADIAN ROYALTIES I PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	I <u>NC.</u> E 1, 1	DEO	CEPT	TED	BAY	, QUE	BEC				PR BH	OJEC I SIZE	T No.	<u>121</u> <u>F</u>	<u>6135</u> IW [AR]	<u>;64</u> 	
	ATES: BC				WA			/ <u></u>				— EIN				NGTH -	kPa	<u> </u>	-
H(m)	lON(m)		PLOT	EVEL		SAI L		ш%	ყ. ყა		2	.0 	4	10 1	6	0	8	0	
DEPT	ELEVAT	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA	WATERI	ТҮРЕ	NUMBE	RECOVE	N-VALU OR-RQD	OTHE TEST	WATEI DYNAN STANE	R CON /IC PE )ARD F	TENT & NETRAT PENETR	ATTERI	BERG LI ST, BLC FEST, BL	MITS WS/0.3r _OWS/0.	n 3m	W <sub>P</sub>	₩ ✦ ★	w -1
	-19.30						mm			1(	) 2	20 3	30 4	40 5	50 <del>(</del>	50 '	70 8	30 9	90
		Very loose grey sandy SILT with gravel to silty SAND with gravel	0.0 0.0 0.0		SS	1	50	Wght. of Roc	ls										
1-	-20.21	Very soft grey clayey SILT			SS	2	100	Wght. Hamm	of er										
					SS	3	425	Wght.	c										
2-	-21 74				SS	4	450	Wght.											
	-21.74	Very soft grey CLAY			SS	5	600	Wght.	15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
3 -					SS	6	600	Wght.	IS										
4-						-		of Roc	ls										
						7	600	Waht											
5-								of Roo	s										
-					SS	8	600	Woht	of										
6-	-25.55	Loose to compact grey silty						Hamn	er										
7-		SAND with gravel to SAND with silt			SS	9	300	6	-	•									
, .					SS	10	150	5											
8-			000		SS	11	75	6		•									
9			0 0 0 0 0		SS	12	225	15	-										
			0 0 0 0 0		SS	13	325	6		•									
10-	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:28:33											■ PE ▲ FIE ◆ MII ★ UL	NETR LD V/ NIATU I TRIA	OMET ANE T IRE V. XIAL	TER EST ANE S TEST	SHEAF	RTES	;

CL LO	IENT CATION	CANADIAN ROYALTIES PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	<u>INC.</u> ГЕ 1,	DEC	CEPT	IOI	N BAY	, QUE	BEC				PR BH	OJEC. SIZE	Г No.	<u>121</u> H	<u>613</u>	<u>564</u>	
DA	TES: BO	RING			_ WA	TER	LEVEL	. <u> </u>	1	<b></b>			DAINE			CH		<u> </u>	_
•	ELEVÀTION(m)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	VATER LEVEL	түре	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE DR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE	2 R CON	20 TENT &			60 	)	₩ <sub>P</sub>	80 +	
+		n na kala na ka	00	>			mm			. STAN	DARD	PENETR		EST, BL	OWS/0.3	Sm	70	80	
)+ - - - -		Silty SAND with gravel to SAND with silt, cont'd.	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	, , ,	- <del>SS</del>	-14-	-0-	<del>50/25</del> 1	nm					0 5				80	
	-30.15	Fractured to sound slightly weathered grey FELSIC			NQ	15	175 100%	- RQD											
بالببيبان		-275 mm zone of dark grey MAFIC GNEISS encountered at denth of 11.8 metres						77%											
					NQ	16	97%	38%										•         •         •         •           •         •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •         •           •         •         •         •         •	
	-32.33	End of Borehole	=																-
																		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
																		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
• • • •																			
																			-
								-											
1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·																	

	<b>Sta</b> LIENT OCATION ATES: BC	CANADIAN ROYALTIES I PROPOSED WHARF - SITI 2012/07/30	BOI NC. E 1, 3	RE	HO CEPT	LE TION	<b>BAY</b> LEVFI	RECC	DRD BEC					PR BH	OJE I SIZ	CT N E	o. <u>12</u>	В <u>161</u> <u>н</u> w нан	H1' <u>356</u>	15 4
	<u> </u>		Ι.			SA	MPLES						UNE	ORAINE	DSHE	AR STR	ENGTH	- kPa		
DEPTH(m)	ELEVATION(n	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	WATER LEVEL	түрЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE	R COI		IT & J	4 ATTERI	HO H BERGI	LIMITS OWS/0.	60 	W <sub>P</sub>	80 	€
	-17.69						mm			. STAN 1'	DARD 0	рел 20	E1R# 3	0 4	10 10	50	<sup>r0.3m</sup>	70	80	• 90
		Very loose dark grey to black ORGANIC SILT with sand -shells throughout			ST	1	450	PUSH												
- 1 -					ST	2	425	PUSH												
	-19.52				ST	3	600	PUSH										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
- 2 -		Very soft grey clayey SILT -shells throughout																		
- 3 -	-20.87				SS	4	550	Wght. of Roc	ls											
		Very soft grey CLAY			SS	5	600	Wght. of Roc	s											
- 4 -					SS	6	575	Wght.												
					SS	7	600	of Roc Wght.	s											
								of Roo	ls											
- 6 -					ST	8	550	Wght. of Roc	ls											
	-24.29	Very loose to compact grey			SS	9	400	3	-	•										
- 7 -		SAND with silt to silty SAND with gravel -occasional cobbles and	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		SS	10	225	12			•									
- 8 -		boulders	0000		SS	11	325	12			•									
			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		SS	12	50	2		•										
- 9 -	-26.96		000		SS	13	300	50/751	- mm											
		End of Borehole -barge needed to be moved for an onshore blast	-																	
	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:28:34												PE FIE MII	NETI LD V NIATI	Rome /Ane Ure Axial	TER TEST VANE . TES	SHE	AR T	EST

	DCATION	PROPOSED WHARF - SIT           DRING         2012/07/30 to 2012/0	<u>INC.</u> TE 1, 1 7/31	DEO	CEP1 _ W4	<b>FION</b> ATER	<b>N BAY</b> LEVEL	, QUE	BEC		PROJECT No BH SIZE DATUM	<u>121613564</u> <u>HW</u> <u>CHART</u>
	m)					SA	MPLES			UND	RAINED SHEAR STR	ENGTH - kPa
	ELEVATION(	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLO	WATER LEVE	TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	20 WATER CONTENT & A DYNAMIC PENETRATI	40 ( TTERBERG LIMITS	50 80 
I	-17.91	. ##rname					mm			10 20 30	40  50	60 70 80
		Advanced casing to where BH115 was terminated					· · ·	-				
		· · · · ·	-									
						- Andrew Contraction of the second seco						
• • • • • • •	-26.90											
		Grey SAND with silt to silty SAND with gravel -cobbles and boulders throughout	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0									

	<b>D</b> JU	CANADIAN ROYALTIES I PROPOSED WHARF - SITI 2012/07/30 to 2012/07	<u>NC.</u> E 1, 7/31	DE	CEP1	TEP	N BAY	, QUE	BEC				PR BH	OJEC I SIZE	[ No.	<u>121</u> <u>H</u>	613: <u>IW</u> IAR	<u>564</u>	-
					VV F	SA	MPLES	·				 UN	DRAINE	D SHEAF		IGTH -	kPa	<u></u>	-
DEPTH(m)	ELEVATION(n	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	WATER LEVEL	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE	ER CON	CO TENT &	4 ATTERE	0 BERG LIN ST, BLOV	60 ///TS //S/0.3m	)	W <sub>P</sub>	30 	
_10_							mm			. SIAN 1	0 2	20 3	30 4	езт, вс 10 5	0 6	sm 0 '	70	<b>8</b> 0	) 9(
- 10	-28.88	SAND with silt to silty SAND with gravel, cont'd.	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		NQ	14	850	-											
·11-	20.00	Very severely fractured slightly to moderately weathered grey FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK			NQ	15	71%	RQD 14%											
-12-																			
-13-	21.60				NQ	16	97%	17%											
14	-51.00	End of Borehole	-					-											_
- 14-		•																	
- 16-																			
- 1/-																			
-18-																			
- 19																			
-20-	App'd	hin Sep 28 2012 8:28:34		<u>.</u>		I	<u></u>	1			<u>[:::</u>	:]:::	<u>: :::</u>		<u>1::::</u>	<u> :::</u>	<u>:1:::</u>	<u>: :::</u>	

	Sta	CANADIAN ROYALTIES	<b>BO</b> INC. E 1,	RE	HO		F N BAY	RECO	ORD	 		-			PR BH	OJEC SIZI	CT N	b. <u>12</u>	E 216 HV	3H <sup>•</sup> 135 <u>N</u>	<b>11</b> ( 64	6
	ATES: BC	DRING2012/07/31	·   ·	 	WA	SA							 U	NDR		TUN D SHE	1	ENGTH	ILA I-kP			
E)	m)NC		LOT	LEL								20	0		4	0		60		8(	)	
DEPTH	ELEVATIO	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA P	WATER LE	түре	NUMBER	RECOVER	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WAT DYN/ STAI	ER ( AMIC	I CONT PEN RD PI	TENT	& AT ATIO RAT	TERE	BERG L ST, BLO EST, B	.IMITS DWS/0. LOWS/	1 3m 0.3m	W F	'P \ (	∾ ∋	
- 0 -	-16.79		_				mm				10	2	0	30	4	0	50	60	70	8	0	90
		Very soft grey clayey SILT			SS	1	150	Wght. of Roc	s													
- 1 -	-17.93	D. I. ODGUNIG			SS	2	200	Wght. of Roo	ls													
		SILT with sand to silty SAND -frequent shell fragments			SS	3	600	Wght.	of									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
- 2 -	-19.13	Very soft grey clavey SILT						Hamn	ler													
		Very soft grey elayey Sh21			SS	4	600	Wght.	le				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
	-20 40				SS	5	600	Wght.														
- 4 -		Very soft grey CLAY			×			of Roo	ls													
	-21.36				SS	6	600	Wght. of Roo	ls													
- 5 -		Very loose to compact grey SAND with silt to silty SAND with gravel	0 0 0 0 0 0		SS	7	75	4	-			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										
		-occasional cobbles	0 0 0 0 0 0		SS	8	50	8											• • •			
- 6 -									-													
					SS	9	100	9												· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
- 7 -		:			SS	10	325	6														
- 8 -			0 0 0 0 0		SS	11	25	10			•											
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	-25.45				SS	12	400	4														
- 9 -		Severely fractured to fractured slightly to moderately weathered grey FELSIC			NQ	13	100%	RQD														
		GNEISS:BEDROCK -occasional sand seams						31%														
-10-	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:28:35	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		I	I	L	- <u>L</u>		:1:		1::;		PEI FIE MIN UU	NETF LD V IIATU TRIA	ROME ANE JRE \ XIAL	TER TEST /ANE TES	- - T		L	ST

<u> </u>	<b>St</b>	antec <sup>r</sup>	30	RE	НО	LE	E F	REC	ORD	)							BH	116
	LIENT OCATION	CANADIAN ROYALTIES I PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	<u>NC.</u> E 1,	DE	CEPT	[ <b>10</b> ]	N BAY	, QUE	BEC				PR BH	.OJEC I SIZE	Г No.	<u>121</u> H	6135 W	<u>;64</u>
D	ATES: BO	DRING <u>2012/07/31</u>		1	W/	ATER	LEVEL	/		1			DA	TUM		СН	ART	<u>[</u>
	(L)					SA	MPLES				2	UN O		D SHEA	R STREN 61	NGTH - I )	kPa 8	0
DEPTH(n	ELEVATION	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLO	<b>WATER LEV</b>	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE DYNAI	R CON	TENT &	ATTERI TION TE	BERG LI ST, BLO	WITS	 1	W <sub>P</sub>	• • • • • •
							mm			STANE	DARD F	PENETR		TEST, BL	.0WS/0.:	3m 0 7	0	•
-10-		FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK.												+0 2  ::::				
		cont'd.			NQ	14	74%	37%										
-11-								-										
				- T - T - T - T - T - T - T - T - T - T	NQ	15	100%	39%										
-12-																		
	-29 59				NQ	16	100%	50%										
-13-		End of Borehole	- <u>-</u>					1										
								· · ·										
-14-										· · · · ·								
							*											
-15-										· · · · · ·								
-16-																		
-17-																		
-18-																		
-19-																		
-20-	App'd_	Sep 28 2012 8:28:35		-1	<u> </u>			1	<u> </u>		1:::	1::	■ PE ▲ FIE ● MII ➤ UU	NETR LD VA NIATU TRIA	OMET NE TI RE VA XIAL T	ER EST NE S EST	HEAF	R TEST

9	<b>Sta</b>	antec <sup>B</sup>	0	RE	НО	LE	F	RECO	ORD									BH	11	7
	LIENT DCATION	<u>CANADIAN ROYALTIES IN</u> <u>PROPOSED WHARF - SITE</u> DRING 2012/07/21	<u>NC.</u> 21, 1	DE	CEPT	TEP	I EVET	, QUE	BEC	-				PR BH	OJEC SIZE	T No.	<u>121</u> H CH	<u>.613:</u> IW [AR'	<u>564</u> Г	-
					vv A	SA	MPLES	, <u></u>				_	UND	RAINE	D SHEA		NGTH -	kPa		_
DEPTH(m)	ELEVATION(n	SOIL DESCRIPTION	IRATA PLOT	ATER LEVEL	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	ECOVERY	I-VALUE R-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE		20 + •TEN	T & A			6 MITS	0	8 W <sub>P</sub>	30     W 	
			ی ا	S		_		20		STAN	DARD	PENE	ETRA	TION T	EST, BI	LOWS/0.	3m			Ð
- 0 -	-9.58	Very loose black to dark grey								1	0	20	30	0 4	ю : [::::	50 e	50 /	70	80	90 :F
		ORGANIC SILT with sand -shells throughout			SS	1	250	Wght. of Roc	s											
- 1 -				, , ,																
· 2 -	-11.76	Very loose to compact grey silty			SS	2	150	Wght. Hamn	of er											
		-trace clay -shells throughout			SS	3	425	Wght. Hamr	of er											
 		Shons unoughout		•	SS	4	150	16			•									
- 4 -	-13:75				SS	5	275	2		•										
		Very soft grey CLAY -sand seams encountered below depth of 10.2 metres			SS	6	0	Wght. of Roo	ls .											
- 5 - 					SS	7	475	Wght. of Roo	ls											
- 6 -					ST	8	675	Wght.												
								of Roo	ls				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
- 7 -					55	9	600	of Roo	s											
- 8 -																				-
	:				SS	10	600	Wght. of Ro	ds											
-9-					SS	11	600	Wght. of Ro	ds											
-10-	Ann'd	Sep 28 2012 8:28:36	Z											PEI FIE MIN		COMET ANE T JRE V, XIAL	TER EST ANE S TEST	SHEA	R TE	ST

ي	<b>Sta</b>	antec	BO	RE	HO	LE	E F	RECO	ORD				BH11	7
CL	LIENT DCATION	CANADIAN ROYALTIES	<u>5 INC.</u> TE 1,	DE	CEPI	TION	N BAY	, QUE	BEC		PROJECT 1 BH SIZE	No. <u>12</u> 1 <b>I</b>	613564 IW	<u> </u>
DA	ATES: BC	PRING		,	WA	TER	LEVEL	· <u></u>			DATUM _	CI	IART	
	(Ľ		L L			SA	MPLES	·····		UN 20	DRAINED SHEAR S	RENGTH -	kPa 80	
EPTH(m	VATION	SOIL DESCRIPTION	ATA PLC	ER LEVI	ſΡΕ	MBER	OVERY	ALUE RQD %	THER ESTS	WATER CONTENT &			+ ₩ <sub>P</sub> w +	v
	ELE		STR/	WATI	F	Ñ	REC	N-V OR-F		DYNAMIC PENETRA	TION TEST, BLOWS/ ATION TEST, BLOW	'0.3m 'S/0.3m		*
-10-		athanana					mm			10 20 3	0 40 50	60	70 80	9(
		CLAY, cont'd.			SS	12	400	Wght.						
-11-									15					
					SS	13	600	Wght. of Roo	ls					
-12-	-21.98	X7					· .							
-13-		SAND with silt to silty SAND with gravel	0 0 0 0		ST	14	0	PUSH	-					
		-occasional cobbles and boulders	α σ ο	)	SS	15	225	1		•				
-14-			0 0 0 0		SS	16	150	Wght. Hamn	of					
			000											
-15-			00		SS	17	250	8						
			0.00		SS	18	400	80/22	5mm					
-16-	-25.56	COBBLES and BOULDERS			NQ	19	250	-						
	-26.90	Very severely fractured slightl	v		NÇ	20	100%	50%						
-18-	-27.61	weathered grey FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK												
		End of Borehole												
-19-														
<u>-20</u>														
u MBH 9/28/	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:28:36									<ul> <li>■ PENETROM</li> <li>▲ FIELD VANI</li> <li>◆ MINIATURE</li> <li>▼ UU TRIAXIA</li> </ul>	IETER E TEST VANE AL TEST	SHEAR TE	EST

CI	<b>E Sta</b> Lient	CANADIAN ROYALTIES	<b>BO</b> INC. E 1.	RE DE	EHO CEPI		F BAY	<b>RECO</b>	DRD BEC				PR	OJEC SIZE	T No.	<u>121</u> H	BH4 61350 W	108 64	
D	ATES: BO	DRING 2012/07/22	,		WA	TER	LEVEL	, <u> </u>				_	DA	TUM		СН	ART		
	Ê					SA	MPLES					UN	DRAINE	D SHEA	R STREI	NGTH - I	Pa	······.	•
	ELEVATION(r	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	WATER LEVEL	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE	2 R CON /IC PE	0 TENT & NETRAI		0 BERG LII ST, BLO	6 MITS WS/0.3n	D 	80 	v v >	 \ 
	-6.17						mm			. STANE 1(	) ARD F	ENETR	ATION T	EST, BL	.0ws/0.	3m 107	n 80	• 1 9	ሰ
	0.17	Loose grey to dark grey silty SAND to sandy SILT			SS	1	100	6		•									
		-trace gravel -trace shells			SS	2	450	13			•								
	-7.74	Very soft grey CLAY			SS	3	600	3											
	9.01				SS	4	300	3		•									
	-8.91	Compact grey SAND with silt to silty SAND with gravel			SS	5	50	15											
		boulders	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2·2	SS	6	275	13			•								
		- 325 mm boulder		2.2.															
			0 0 0 0 0 0	5	SS	7	50	50/751	nm										
		- 300 mm boulder		2. 2. 2.															
	-12.88			5 · · · · ·	SS	8	100	56/17:	5mm										
		Sound to very sound slightly weathered grey FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK			NQ	9	98%	RQD											
								87%	-										
				-	NQ	10	85%	74%											
	-15.21	End of Borehole																	
- - - 0-																			
Ÿ	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:28:36											■ PEI ▲ FIE ◆ MIN × UU	NETR LD VA IIATU TRIA	OMET ANE T RE V XIAL	ER EST NE S FEST	HEAR	TEST	

	<b>Sta</b> LIENT DCATION ATES: BC	CANADIAN ROYALTIES <u>PROPOSED WHARF - SIT</u> DRING <u>2012/07/22</u>	B <b>O</b> INC. Έ 1,	<b>RE</b>	E <b>HO</b> <u>CEP1</u> – <sup>WA</sup>	LE	N BAY	, QUE	DRD				PR( BH DA	OJEC SIZE TUM	Г No. 	<u>121</u> Н СН	BH 6135 [W [AR]	<b>409</b> <u>564</u> Г	•
-(m)	(m)NO		LOT	EVEL		SA	MPLES	%	<b>6</b> 60		20	UNE	DRAINEE	D SHEAF	R STREI	NGTH - I )	دPa 8	0	
DEPTI	ELEVAT	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA F	WATER L	түре	NUMBE	RECOVEI	N-VALUE OR-RQD	OTHE TESTS			ENT & / ETRAT	ATTERB	ERG LIN ST, BLOV	/ITS //S/0.3n	1	W <sub>P</sub> ┣───	₩ ↔	ч -1
0	-10.19						mm			10	20	) 3	0 4	0 5	0 6	io 7	'0 {	30 9	90
U - - - - - - - -		Very loose dark grey ORGANIC SILT with sand -shells throughout			SS	1	100	Wght. of Roc	s										
: 1 - 1 -	-11.41				SS	2	0												
		Soft grey CLAY -trace gravel							-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
1					SS	3	25	1/9001	nm										
	-12.93	Severely fractured to sound	-[_		<b>-</b> 55	4	-50	50/751	ann -										
3 -		slightly weathered grey FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK			NQ	5	100%	RQD 50%											
- - 4 - -					NO	~	020/	700/			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
	-15 12				NQ	0	93%	/8%0											
-	-13.12	End of Borehole																	ŧ
• • • • •																			
- - - -																			
- - - -																			
			an san an a																
10-	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:28:37					<u>.</u>						<u></u>		1:::	<u></u>	<u>1</u>		

	<b>DENT</b>	CANADIAN ROYALTIES PROPOSED WHARF - SIT DRING 2012/07/22 to 2012/0	<u>INC.</u> E 1, 7/23	DE	<u>CEP1</u>	TION	N BAY	, QUE	BEC				PR BH DA	OJEC I SIZE ATUM	Г No. 	<u>121</u> E	6135 [W [AR]	+ ι C 564 Γ
T	(H					SA	MPLES					UN	DRAINE	D SHEAI	R STREI	NGTH -	kPa	
DEPTH(m)	ELEVATION(r	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	WATER LEVEL	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS		2 R CON /IC PE	0 TENT & NETRAT		0 BERG LII ST, BLO	6 VITS WS/0.3n	0	8 W <sub>P</sub>	0 w •••••
	-6.22						mm			1(	) 2	enetr :0 3	ation t 30 4	EST, BL IO 5	ows/0. 0 6	3m 10 7	70 E	• 30 . (
0 + 0 + 0 + 1		Very loose grey sandy SILT			SS	1	0	Wght. of Roc	s									
	-7.44				SS	2	350	Wght. of Roc	ls									
	8 20	Very son grey CLAY			SS	3	600	Wght. Hamn	of er									
	-0.50	Compact grey SAND with silt to silty SAND			SS	4	400	8		•						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	-9.57				SS SS	5	225	14	Smm									
		Very sound grey FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK			NO	7	98%	ROD	111111									
								92%										
.,	11.01				NQ	8	100%	100%										
	-11.81	End of Borehole	_					<u> </u>		· · · · ·								
,																		
- - - 7 -																		
.0+	App'd_	Sep 28 2012 8:28:37		<u></u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	L	J				<u> </u>	■ PEI ▲ FIE ◆ MIN × UU	NETRO LD VA NATU TRIA	OMET NE T RE VA	ER EST NE S TEST	HEAF	RTES

r						_										Page	1 of	1	
9	<b>Sta</b>	antec	BO	RE	EHO	LE	E F	RECO	ORD								BH	<b>4</b> 1	1
C	LIENT	CANADIAN ROYALTIES	INC.									_	PF	ROJEC	CT No	. <u>12</u> 1	613	564	*****
L	OCATION	PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	<u>E 1,</u>	DE	CEPI	IOI	N BAY	, QUE	BEC				BI	H SIZE	Ξ	ŀ	<u>IW</u>		_
D	ATES: BC	DRING			WA	TER	LEVEL					_	D	ATUM	1	CE	<u>IAR'</u>	Г	
	í (u					SA	MPLES					U	DRAIN	ED SHEA	AR STRE	NGTH -	kPa		
H(m)	NOI		PLO'	EVE		R	R	%	പ്പ പ		20	)		40 +		50 †	8	:0 	
EPT	EVAT	SOIL DESCRIPTION	ATA	ERL	ΥΡΕ	MBE	OVE	ALUI	EST	WATER		ENT 8		RBERG L	IMITS		₩ <sub>P</sub> ₽	w •	i
	ELE		STR,	WAT	Г.	Ŋ	REC	N-N OR-I		DYNAN	IIC PEN	IETRA	TION TE	EST, BLC	OWS/0.3	m		1	ŧ.
	14.20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					mm			. STAND	ARD PI	ENET	RATION	TEST, B	LOWS/0	.3m	70	•	
- 0 -	-14.30	Very loose grey to dark grey		-						::::			30	40	50 0			80  :::	90 :
		ORGANIC SILT	IH		SS	1	325	Wght.											Ē
	15 21		И	1	[	···-		of Roc	IS										E
- 1 -	-15.52	Very loose grey sandy SILT to			SS	2	600	Wght.				:::							E
-	-15.52	silty SAND						OI ROC	is										
		-trace grave		]			· · ·												Ē
- 2 -		Very soft grey CLAY			SS	3	600	Wght.	of										÷Ē
-								Hamn	er										E
- 3 -				1															÷
-				1	1														Ē
			V	]	ST	4	600	Wght.	do.										F
									15										Ē
					SS	5	600	Wght.											
								of Roc	ls										÷È
_					SS	6	600	Wght.											Ē
- 3 -	-19.48	Serveral restriction	_[_	1				of Roo	ls										Ē
		weathered grey FELSIC							1.										E
		GNEISS:BEDROCK																	Ē
6-					NO	7	90%	ROD											÷Ē
					- · <b>~</b>			43%											E
																			Ē
- 7 -									1					: : : : : : : :					
							010/	1001											Ē
					NQ	8	81%	46%											E
- 8 -	<u>-22.45</u>																		: -
		End of Borehole																	Ē
																			Ē
- 9 -											<u></u>								Ē
					-														Ē
																			F
																			Ē
1/97/6		1											■ PE			TER			
MBH	Ann'd	Sep 28 2012 8:28:37											♦ MI × UU	NIATU J TRIA		ANE S TEST	SHEA	R TE	st
	pp.a_2									1									

						·							Page	1 of 1	[
y	<b>Sta</b>	antec '	BO	RE	HO	LE	E F	RECO	ORD	)				BH4	12
Cl	LIENT	CANADIAN ROYALTIES	INC.								. PR	OJECT ]	No. <u>121</u>	61356	54
L	OCATION	PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	<u>Е 1,</u>	DE	CEPI	<u>IOI</u>	N BAY	, QUE	BEC		. Bł	ISIZE .	<u> </u>	[W	
D.	ATES: BC	DRING			WA	TER	LEVEL	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			. DA	TUM _	<u>CH</u>	ART	
(	(u)		Ŀ			SA	MPLES			20	UNDRAINE	D SHEAR ST	RENGTH -	kPa 80	
TH(m	TION		PLO	LEVI		ER	ERY	ы %	н Ц Ц Ц Ц Ц			+			
DEP	EVA.		<b>ATA</b>	TER	ТУРЕ	UMB	COV	VALL	OTH TES	WATER CONTE	NT & ATTER	BERG LIMITS	3		;
	Ш		STI	WA		z	RE	Ϋ́Α		DYNAMIC PENE STANDARD PE	TRATION TE	ST, BLOWS/	0.3m S/0.3m		*
	-8.22	• · ·					mm			10 20	30	40 50	60 1	70 80	) (
- 0 -		Very loose black to dark grey	И	1											
		-shells throughout			SS	1	350	Wght.							
. 1 _	-9.06	Loose to compact grey silty	-  /						15						
1 -		SAND			SS	2	100	8		•					
· -	-9.87	-shells throughout			SS	3	200	37							
۔ ر		Sound to very sound grey			NQ	_4_	100%	ROD							
4		TELSIC UNEISS. BEDROCK						10070	-						
· _	* -				NQ	5	100%	71%							
2															
5									4						
-					NO		0.40/	0.00							
					NQ	0	94%	86%		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
. 1	-12.54			1											
-		End of Borehole													
5															
5															
-															
6-															
Ĭ															
-															
7															
′ - -															
-															
8								1							
									1						
1															
9															
1 10-															
10															
	Annid	Ann Sen 28 2012 8-28-38													
	whha 👼	<u>wr</u> 00p 20 2012 0.20.30						-		L					

<b></b>																			Page	310	of 2		-
Je Je	<b>Sta</b>	antec <sup>E</sup>	301	RE	НО	LE	E F	RECO	ORD											B	H4	13	
C	LIENT	CANADIAN ROYALTIES I	NC.												P	RO.	JEC	Г No	. <u>12</u>	<u>161</u>	<u>356</u>	<u>4</u>	
L	OCATION	PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	E 1, ]	DE	СЕРТ	IOI	<u>N BAY</u>	, QUE	BEC						В	ΗS	SIZE		]	HW	7	<u> </u>	
D	ATES: BC	DRING	7/24		_ WA	TER	LEVEI			r			_		D	AT	UΜ		CF	IAI	<u> </u>		
	E)		-			SA	MPLES						20	UNI	ORAIN	IED S	SHEAF	R STRE		- kPa	80		
TH(m	TION		PLC	LEVI		Щ	ERY	ш%	ម្ពីស				+-			+-							-
DEP	EVA.	SOIL DESCRIPTION	<b>ATA</b>	TER	ΓΥΡΕ	JMBI	NOC	ALU	OTH	WA <sup>-</sup>	TER	CON	1TEI	NT & .	ATTE	RBEI	RG LI	∕IITS		••• •	0 0		1
	ц		STF	WA.		ž	RE(	Ϋ́Ϋ́Ϋ́Υ		DYN	MA		ENE	TRAT		EST	, BLO	NS/0.3	m			*	
	-14.60						mm			. 51A	10		рен 20	ند المار 3	0	40	51, BL	0 0	.3m 50	70	80	• 9	6
- 0 -	1 1100	Very loose dark grey to black																					_
		ORGANIC SILT with sand			SS	1	200	Wght.	of														-
		-saoing organic odour	1					Hamn	er														-
- 1 -	15.05				SS	2	450	Wght.						<u></u>									
	-15.97	Very loose black SAND with	-11				1	of Roc	s														
		organic silt																					-
- 2 -		-strong organic odour	Ш								:												
					SS	3	600	1			:												-
	-17.34	Compact dark grey silty SAND	- ://   -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -								:												-
- 3 -		with gravel to SAND with silt	0.0	2	SS	4	600	12						<u></u>									
		-organic odour		A >					-														-
		-snens inroughout																					-
- 4 -		275 mm boulder	0 0											:::: ::::									2
	-19.10		00																				
-		Very soft grey CLAY			22	5	600	Waht															F
- 5 -			$\mathbb{Z}$					of Roo	ls		:						<u></u>						F
			$\mathbb{Z}$		SS	6	300	Wght.															F
								of Ro	ls														F
6-				1						::: :::	:	<u> </u>	:   : :   :				<u></u>						ŀ
					ST	7	0	Wght.															F
			$\mathbb{Z}$						15														F
- 7 -			K								_	:::: ::::					<u></u>						Ē
-									-														F
				1	SS	8	600	Wght.															F
8-			$\vee$				1	lof_Ro	ds								<u></u>					<u></u>	F
			$\mathbb{V}$		ST	9	600	PUSH	Ľ														E
 				1			+	+	1														
- 9 -				1	SS	10	600	Wght.														<u></u>	ł
			$\mathbf{V}$	]				OT RO	os														E
			$\vee$																				ŀ
-10-			$\mathbb{Z}$						<u> </u>														ŀ
10412														!	∎ Pi ▲ Fi	ENE Eli	ETR D VA	OME'	TER EST				
IIGIN	س App'd	M Sep 28 2012 8:28:38												:	♦ M × U	UNI/ UT	ATU RIA	RE V XIAL	ANE TEST	SHE	AR	[ES]	ľ
L	<u> </u>																						L

CI	Sta	CANADIAN ROYALTIES PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	<b>BO</b> <u>INC.</u> <u>E 1.</u>	RE DE	HO CEPI		F N BAY	RECO	ORD					PR BF	OJEC I SIZI	CT No E	. <u>121</u> 	BH 613: 1W	<b>41</b> 564	3
	ATES: BC	DRING2012/07/23 to 2012/0	7/24		W#	ATER	LEVEL	<u></u>									CE	KPa	<u> </u>	_
DEPTH(m)	ELEVATION(m	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	NATER LEVEL	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE DR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE	R CC		NT &	ATTERI 10N TE	HO H BERG L	( IMITS DWS/0.3	60 	W <sub>P</sub>	;0    W 	
							mm			. STANE 1	dare 0	о реі 20	NETR/	ATION 1	те <mark>ст</mark> , е 40	LOWS/0	.3m 50	70	80	• 90
-10-		CLAY, cont'd.																		
-12	-26.79	Compact grey silty SAND with	-		SS	11	450	Wght.	s											
-13-		gravel to SAND with silt -occasional cobbles and boulders	0 0 0 0 0 0		SS	12	150	11												
				n . n . n .	SS	13	0	50/251	mm											
-14-	-28.93	Fractured to sound slightly weathered grey FELSIC	0 0 0 0						-											
-15-		GNEISS:BEDROCK			NQ	14	82%	8QD 59%												
-16-	-31.06				NQ	15	88%	88%												
 -17-		End of Borehole																		
-18-																				
-19-																				
-20-	App'd	Ja Sep 28 2012 8:28:38				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	]	<u> </u>					PE FIE MII	NETF ILD V NIATU J TRI/	ROME ANE 1 JRE V AXIAL	TER EST ANE S TEST	SHEA	R TE	:::= :st

U CI	Sta	CANADIAN ROYALTIES	BO	RE	НО	LE	F	RECO	ORD					PRO	OJEC	Т No	B 1216	3 <b>H4</b> 135(	1 <b>14</b>
	DCATION	PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	<u>E 1,</u>	DE	CEPT	TEP	I EVEL	' <mark>, Q</mark> UE	BEC					BH	SIZE	<u> </u>	<u>HV</u> CHA	<u>v</u> .rt	
	ε Έ		.		_ •• F	SA	MPLES	/ <u></u>					UNDF		D SHEA	RSTRENG	TH - kPa	a	
DEPTH(m)	ELEVATION(I	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	WATER LEVEI	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE	ER CON MIC PE	20  NTEN ENETI	T & AT	40 TTERB	0 SERG LII	60 MITS WS/0.3m	W <sub>I</sub>	80 	v •
	-11.02	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					mm			. stan 1	DARD	pene 20	trat 30	10N T 4	EST, BL -0 5	.0WS/0.3n 50 60	70	8(	• ) 9
	-11.48	Very loose dark grey ORGANIC SILT with sand			SS	1	200	Wght. of Roc	ls										
- 1 -		SAND with organic silt -shells throughout	1		SS	2	250	3		•									
		-strong organic odour																· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
- 2 -					SS	3	25	Wght. Hamn	of er										
- 3 -	-13.66	Intermixed layers of very soft grey CLAY and grey SILT			SS	4	425	1											
		-sand seams encountered below depth of 10 metres			SS	5	450	Wght. of Roo	ls										
- 4 -					SS	6	450	7		•									
					SS	7	600	Wght. of Roe	ds										
					SS	8	600	Wght. of Ro	ds				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
- 6 -					SS	9	300	Wght. of Ro	ds										
					SS	10	600	Wght. of Ro	ds										
					SS	11	600	Wght. of Ro	ds										
- 8 -					SS	12	600	Wght. of Ro	ds										
					ST	13	600	Wght. of Ro	] ds										
					SS	14	600	Wght. of Ro	ds										
-10-	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:28:39							<u> </u>				■ ▲ ×	PEN FIEI MIN UU	NETR LD VA IIATU TRIA	OMETE ANE TE: RE VAN XIAL TE	R ST NE SH ST	EAR	TES

CI	Sta	CANADIAN ROYALTIES	<b>BO</b> <u>5 INC.</u> TE 1,	RE DE	HO CEPT		F N BAY	RECO	DRD		PROJECT No BH SIZE	BH414 <u>121613564</u> <u>HW</u>
DA	ATES: BC	DRING2102/07/25			WA	ATER		/ <u></u>			DATUM	
(m) T	m)NO		LOT	EVEL		ۍ د		%	<i>щ</i>	20	40 (	50 80
DEPTI	ELEVAT	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA F	WATER L	ТҮРЕ	NUMBE	RECOVE	N-VALUE OR-RQD	OTHEI TESTS	WATER CONTENT & DYNAMIC PENETRAT	ATTERBERG LIMITS	W <sub>P</sub> W V I O
- 10-							mm			10 20 3	<u>60 40 50</u>	60 70 80 90
- 10 - - -		CLAY and SILT, cont'd.			SS	15	450	Wght. of Roo	ls			
-11-					SS	16	425	Wght.	a			
• • • •	-22.58	Severely fractured to fractured					-		.5			
12-		slightly to moderately weathered grey FELSIC										
• • •		GNEISS:BEDROCK -quartz mineralization throughout			NQ	17	100%	RQD 38%				
13-		unougnout										
	25.04				NQ	18	100%	70%				
-14-	-25.04	End of Borehole										
- - -												
·15-												
16-												
-17-												
· · ·												
-18-									-			
- - - -												
19-												
- - - -												
-20	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:28:39				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			■ PENETROME ▲ FIELD VANE T ◆ MINIATURE V × UU TRIAXIAL	TER TEST ANE SHEAR TEST TEST

	DENT DCATION ATES: BO	PROPOSED WHARF - SITE           2012/07/24	<u>.</u> 21,	DE	CEPT	TER	<b>NBAY</b> LEVEI	7, QUE	BEC				PR BH D	OJEC I SIZE	T No.	121 F E	<u>013</u> IW IAR	<u>504</u> <u>Γ</u>
	(LL)		_			SA	MPLES				2	UN	DRAINE	D SHEA	R STRE	NGTH -	kPa	
	ELEVATION	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLO	WATER LEVE	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE			ATTER	HU   BERG LI IST, BLC	0 MITS WS/0.3r	U   n	 W <sub>P</sub> H	\$0 + - - - - - - - -
t	-14 41	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					mm			. STANI 1(	() 2	ENETR	ATION	тезт, ві 40	.ows/0 50 6	3m 50 '	70	80
	-14.79	Very loose grey ORGANIC SILT with sand			SS	1	550	Wght.										
	-15.32	Very loose grey silty SAND -trace gravel -trace shells			SS	2	600	Wght.	of									
		Soft grey CLAY																
	-16.39	Very loose grey SILT with sand to silty SAND		-	SS	3	600	Wght.										
		-shells throughout -trace gravel			SS	4	600	of Roc 5	ls	•								
	-18 10																	
		Very soft grey CLAY																
					SS	5	600	Wght. Hamn	of er									
	94 - C						(00	Walt										
	-				51	-0	600	of Roc	s									
					55	/	600	wght. of Rod	İs									
					ST	8	600	Wght.	- Is									
					SS	9	600	Wght. of Roc	as									
					SS	10	600	Wght. of Roe	ds									
	-				SS	11	600	Wght. of Roo	ds									

G CL		CANADIAN ROYALTIES I	<b>30</b>   NC.	RE	НО	LE	: F	RECO	ORD				PF	ROJE	CT No	<u>. 121</u>	BH 613	<b>41</b> 564	5
LO	CATION	PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	E 1,	DE	СЕРЈ	TON	N BAY	, QUE	BEC			_	BI	H SIZ	Е	H	IW		
DA	TES: BO	RING2012/07/24		1 1	_ WA	TER	LEVEL		1			_	D	ATUN	<u> </u>	CH	IAR'	Γ	_
2	(u)		5			SA	MPLES	· · ·			2	۱U 0		ED SHE 40	AR STRI	ENGTH - 60	kPa 8	0	
DEPTH(n	ELEVATION	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLO	WATER LEV	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE	R CON MIC PEI	FENT 8		BERG EST, BL	LIMITS OWS/0.3	<u> </u>	W <sub>P</sub>	w •	
$\rightarrow$		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	+				mm			. STANI	DARD P	ENET	RATION	TEST, I	SLOWS/0	0.3m	70	۰ مە	•
10		CLAY. cont'd.		+								.0  ::::		40				80	90 EF
							-												
					ST	12	600	PUSH											Ē
2-	-26 58				SS	13	400	Wght.	c										
Ī		Compact grey silty SAND with		5		· · ·	 			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
-		graver to SAIND with shi			SS	14	175	10											
3-					-55	15	100	85/250	mm										
	-27.87	COBBLES and BOULDERS		2	NQ	16	425	-											Ē
4-		-possible bedrock at 13.9 metres																	
Ĩ	-28.74		8		NQ	17 :	500mn	<u>1</u> 1											È
-		Very severely fractured to sound slightly weathered dark					100%	RQD											
5-		grey MAFIC GNEISS BEDROCK						0%											-
-		UNLISS.DLD KOCK				10	1000/	660/											Ē
					LNQ -	10	100%	0070											
6-																			F
																			Ę
7		- 125 mm Quartz seam at denth			NO	19	100%	89%											
		of 16.9 metres																	Ē
	-32.04	End of Borehole	Ē																
8-																			Ē
-																			
-																			
9																			F
$\frac{1}{1}$																			
201		uu																	
	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:28:40											■ PE ▲ FIE ◆ MI ★ UL	ENETI ELD \ NIAT J TRI	ROME /ANE URE V AXIAL	TER TEST /ANE \$ TEST	SHEAI	R TE	ST

	<b>Sta</b>	CANADIAN ROYALTIES I PROPOSED WHARF - SITT 2012/07/24	<b>30</b> INC. E 1, 1	RE	HO CEPT	LE ION	F BAY	RECO	DRD			- 	PR( BH	DJECT SIZE	Г No. 	<u>121</u> <u>Н</u> СН	BH4 6135 W AR1	<b>416</b>	
	E		-		WA	SA	MPLES	· <u> </u>	[			UN		SHEAF	R STREP	VGTH - k	(Pa	·	
DEPTH(m)	ELEVATION(n	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	WATER LEVEL	TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE	2 R CON MIC PE	0 TENT & NETRA	4( ATTERB	) ERG LIN ST, BLOV	6( 	)	80 WP I	0 w •	- W H
	-11.93						mm	-		1 STANL	) 2	20 .	30 4	езт, вы 0 5	0 6	3m 07	0 8	ے و 03	ю
		Very loose dark grey ORGANIC SILT with sand -trace gravel			SS	1	75	Wght. of Roc	s										
- 1 -		-shells throughout -strong organic odour			SS	2	575	Wght. Hamn	of er										
					SS	3	150	2		•							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	-14.29	Very loose to loose grey silty	00		SS	4	525	10											
- 3 -		SAND with gravel to SAND with silt -shells throughout			SS	5	600	Wght.	of										
					SS	6	75	Hamn 4	ler	•									
- 4 -	-16.20	wa wa one - na manana ana	0 0 0																F
		Very soft grey CLAY			SS	7	400	Wght. Hamn	of										
					SS	8	600	Wght. of Ro	ds										
- 6 -		200 1111 0 1			ST	9	300	PUSH									****		
		depth of 6.2 metres			SS	10	175	2		•									
					SS	11	600	Wght. of Ro	ds										
- 8 -					SS	12	600	Wght. of Ro	ds										
	20.02																		
- 9 -	-20.92	Loose to compact grey silty																	44
		SAND with gravel to SAND with silt		2	SS	13	250	10											
-10-	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:28:41	<u></u>	- <u>I</u>							1:::	<u>. 1 : : :</u>	■ PEN ▲ FIEI ◆ MIN × UU	IETRO D VA IATUI TRIA	JMET JNE TI RE V/ XIAL 1	ER EST NE S TEST	HEAF	R TES	

	<b>Sta</b>	CANADIAN ROYALTIES I PROPOSED WHARF - SIT DRING 2012/07/24	<b>30</b> INC. E 1,	RE	:HO <u>CEP1</u> – WA	TER	N BAY	KECC	DRD				P B C	PROJ BH S DATI	ECT IZE UM	No.	<u>121</u> Н СН	BH <u>613:</u> IW [AR]	<b>416</b> 564 T	<b>j</b>
T	(E					SA	MPLES					υ	NDRAI	NED S	HEAR	STREN	IGTH - I	kPa		_
DEPTH(m)	ELEVATION(I	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	WATER LEVEI	TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS				& ATTE	40 		60 + TS S/0.3m	) 	W <sub>P</sub>	;0   	
10		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					mm			. 51AN	.0	20	30	40	50	ws/0.3	m 07	70	80	, 9(
- 10		Silty SAND with gravel to SAND with silt, cont'd.	0 0 0 0 0 0	F .	SS	14	350	5												
11	-22.83		ol  o 	·	SS	15	75	56/200	mm											
		Fractured to very sound grey FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK				16		DOD												
12					μγ	10	//%	70%												
- - - - - -					NQ	17	100%	100%												
13-				-																
-	-25.85				NQ	18	100%	70%												
14-		End of Borehole																		
12-																· · · · ·				
16-																				:
								. s												
17-																				
18-																				
19- - -																				
-20-	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:28:41											■ P ▲ FI ◆ M X U		TRO VAN TUR RIAX	METI NE TE E VA IAL T	ER SST NE S EST	HEA	R TES	ST

y	<b>St</b> i	antec	BO	RE	НО	LE	F	RECO	ORD	Ì						-	BH	417	7
	LIENT	CANADIAN ROYALTIES PROPOSED WHARF - SI	<u>5 INC.</u> ГЕ 1.	DE	СЕРТ	TION	N BAY	. OUE	BEC				PR BH	OJEC I SIZE	T No.	<u>121</u> F	<u>.613:</u> <del>I</del> W	<u>564</u>	-
D.	ATES: BC	DRING	07/26		_ WA	TER	LEVEI					_	DA	ATUM		CE	[ <u>AR</u>	Γ	_
	(m)		1	1		SA	MPLES				n	UN	IDRAINE	D SHEA	R STREM	NGTH -	kPa ,	20	
DEPTH(m	ELEVATION	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLC	WATER LEVI	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE DYNA	R CON	TENT &	ATTER	BERG LI	MITS WS/0.3m	n	W <sub>P</sub>	,0       	
	-13.88						mm			. STANI 1	DARD F 0 2	ENETR	30 4	TEST, BL 40        5	.ows/0.: 50  6	3m 50	70	∎ 80	▶ 90
		Very loose dark grey ORGANIC SILT			SS	1	350	Wght. of Roo	s										
- 1 -					SS	2	600	Wght. of Roc	ls										
- 2 -	-15.43	Very loose grey silty SAND with gravel			SS	3	200	Wght.	of										
	I	-trace shells	0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0	2	SS	4	300	Hamn 1	er										
- 3 -	-16.93	Very soft grey CLAY					-			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
					SS	5	600	Wght.											
					SS	6	600	Wght.	s										
- 5 -					SS	7	600	Wght.	-										
- 6 -									15										
					SS	8	350	Wght.											
- 7 -									45										
- 8 -					SS	9	600	Wght. of Ro	ds										
								-										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
- 9 -					SS	10	600	Wght. of Ro	ds										
2-10-									-										
MBH 9/28	App'd	<u>Sep 28 2012 8:28:41</u>											■ PE ▲ FIE ◆ MII × UU	NETR LD VA NIATU I TRIA	OMET NE TI RE VA XIAL 1	ER EST ANE S TEST	SHEA	R TES	зт

r														•	Page	2 of	2	_
e e	<b>e</b> Sta	antec <sup>E</sup>	301	RE	HO	LE	E F	RECO	ORD						]	BH	41 <sup>.</sup>	7
CI	JENT	CANADIAN ROYALTIES I	NC.									PI	ROJEC	T No.	121	6135	564	_
LC	OCATION	PROPOSED WHARF - SITI	E 1,	DE	CEPI	IOI	N BAY	, QUE	BEC			B	H SIZE	l	H	Ŵ		-
D	ATES: BC	DRING	1/26		WA	TER	LEVEL	, <u> </u>				D.	ATUM	·	CH	AR	[	-
_	E)					SA	MPLES				U	NDRAIN	ED SHEA	RSTREN	IGTH - K	«Pa		
H(m)	NOI		PLO'	EVE		ц	RY	<u>ы</u> %	പ്പം	<sup>2</sup>	20 <del> </del>		40 +		)	8	0	-
EPT	EVAT	SOIL DESCRIPTION	ATA	ER L	ΥΡΕ	MBE	OVE	ALUI	EST	WATER COM	TENT	& ATTEF	RBERGL	MITS		₩ <sub>P</sub> I	w O	1
	ELE		STR	WAT	F	Ŋ	REC	N-N P-N P-R		DYNAMIC PE	ENETR/	ATION TI	EST, BLC	WS/0.3m			1	r
							mm			STANDARD	PENET	RATION	TEST, B	_OWS/0.3	Sm o m		•	
10-		CLAY cont'd	+		CC	11	600	Waht			20	30	40				so  :::	9 7
-				1	22	11	000	of Roc	ls									
-				1														
11-												<u> </u>						-
-	-25.31																	
-		Loose grey silty SAND with	00	-	ST	12	600	Wght.	  -									
12-		gravel						OI RO	is									
12	:	1	0  0		55	12	200	Waht										
-	.1				22	15	500	of Roc	is									
			0															
13-	-27.04	<b>T</b> 1 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1																
-		MAFIC GNEISS: BEDROCK																
-						1.4	0.00/		-									
.4-				-	NQ	14	89%	RQD 78%										
-	1																	
-																		
5-					NQ	15	100%	73%										-
-					-				-									
-									-									• • • •
16-	-29.98	-quartz seam from depth of			NQ	16	100%	67%										
		End of Borehole																
-																		
7-																		•••••
`` :																		
-																		
	:																	
8-																		÷ • • •
-																		
-																		
9-																		
-																		•••••
Ī																		:
20						I	<u> </u>				:   : :							:
		/										■ PE	ELD V	OMET	ER EST			
	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:28:41										♦ MI X UI	INIATU J TRIA	IRE VA XIAL T	NE S EST	HEAF	R TE	57
																		-

	Sta	antec E	<b>SO</b>	RE	НО	LE	F	RECO	ORD	)			חס	റന്നും	ዮ እ፣~	12 <sup>.</sup>	BH	<b> 41</b> 564	8
	DCATION	PROPOSED WHARF - SITI	E <b>1</b> ,	DE	СЕРТ	IOI	N BAY	, QUE	BEC			_	PR BH	SIZE	I NO.	<u> </u>	<u>IW</u>	504	<u> </u>
D.	ATES: BO	DRING			– WA	TER	LEVEI	· <u> </u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	DA	TUM		CF	IAR	<u>T</u>	_
	(m)		_			SA	MPLES					UN		D SHEAI	R STRE	NGTH ·	- kPa	00	
DEPTH(m	ELEVATION	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLO	WATER LEVE	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE		TENT &		SERG LI	MITS WS/0.3r	n	W <sub>P</sub>	∾ ₩	
	10.10			-	- <u></u>		mm			STANE	DARD F		ATION T	EST, BL	ows/0.	.3m	70	00	•
- 0 -	-12.13	Very loose dark grey																80	90 F
		ORGANIC SILT with sand -occasional cobbles		•	SS	1	350	Wght. of Roc	s .										
1-		-snells throughout			SS	2	600	Wght. of Roc	ls										
					SS	3	50	7		•				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
2	-14.64		Y			4	600	3								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
3 -	,	Very loose grey SILT with sand to sandy SILT -shells throughout																	
					SS	5	400	Wght	of										
• 4 -					SS	6	600	Wght	of										
	-17.01				ST	7	0	PUSH											
5-		Very soft grey CLAY			SS	8	600	Wght.	-										
6-								of Ro	1S										
.					ss	9	600	Wght.	-										
.7-							-	of Ro	ls										
8-	-				ST	10	600	Wght.											
9									uo V										
· · ·																			
-10-									-				PEI		 0ME1	ÍER			
	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:28:42											▲ FIE ◆ MIN × UU	LD VA IIATU TRIA	NE T RE V/ XIAL	EST ANE TEST	SHEA	R TE	EST

CI LI LI	<b>E</b> Sta	CANADIAN ROYALTIES PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	<b>BO</b> <u>INC</u> TE 1,	<b>RE</b> DE	EHO CEPT	LE <u>TOM</u>	N BAY	RECO 7, QUE	DRD				-	PRO BH	DJEC SIZE	T No.	<u>121</u> I	BH 1613 1W	<b>141</b> 564 T	8
	ATES: BC			T	W A	SA	MPLES						UNE		D SHEA	R STRE		kPa	<u> </u>	
DEPTH(m)	ELEVATION(n	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	WATER LEVEL	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATI DYN4	ER CC		NT & A	4(	) ERG LI	6   MITS WS/0.3r	0 n	W <sub>P</sub>	30 +	
- 10-							mm			. STAN 1	idari 10	20	NETRA	0 4	=ST, BL 0 _ 5	.ows/0. 50 θ	3m 50	70	80	₽ 90
	23.00	CLAY. cont'd.			SS	11	600	Wght. of Roc	s											
-11-	-23.61	Inferred to be silty SAND with gravel		2	SS	12	-	27					•							
-12-		Fractured moderately weathere grey FELSIC GNEISS: BEDROCK			NQ	13	100%	RQD 53%												
					NQ	14	93%	67%												
-13-						15	100%	619/												
-14-	-26.02	End of Borehole	_=		UNQ	15	100%	01%		· · · · ·										
-																				
-15-																				
-16-																				
-17-	÷																			
	·											· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
- 18-	2 				×															
-19-																				
-20-	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:28:42				I	<u>I</u>	I	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	::		PEN FIEL MIN	IETR DV/ IATU TRIA	OMET ANE T RE V/ XIAL	EST ANE ST TEST	SHEA	<u>:   : :</u> ,R TE	ST

CL	Sta	CANADIAN ROYALTIES I	80 NC.	RE	HO	LE	F	RECO	ORD		PROJECT No	BH	<b>419</b>
LC	CATION	PROPOSED WHARF - SITE	E <b>1</b> ,	DE	СЕРТ	ION	BAY	, QUE	BEC		BH SIZE	HW	
DA	TES: BC	DRING2012/07/24	1		WA	TER	LEVEL			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DATUM	CHAR	<u> </u>
	(L)		F			SA	MPLES			UNE 20	DRAINED SHEAR STRE	NGTH-kPa	20
DEPTH(#	ELEVATION	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLC	WATER LEVI	TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATER CONTENT & A	ATTERBERG LIMITS	W <sub>P</sub>	₩ ₩ <sub>1</sub> ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
	6 74						mm			STANDARD PENETRA	ATION TEST, BLOWS/0	.3m 50 70	• 00 08
-0-	0.74	Very loose to loose dark grey to black ORGANIC SILT with			SS	1	0	Wght.	s				
- 1 -	-7.76	Very loose to loose black			SS	2	200	Wght. of Roc	s				
		SAND with organic silt -strong organic odour -shells throughout			GG	2	25	Walt	a f				
· 2 -					55	5	25	Hamm	er				
· 3 -						т 							
- - -				· / / ·	SS	5	0	Wght. Hamn	of er				
- 4 -	-11.06				SS	6	300	1	-				
· -		SAND with organic silt to ORGANIC SILT with sand	1		SS	7	375	Wght. Hamn	of er				
 - - -	-12.28	-strong organic odour -shells throughout			SS	8	400	5					
- 6 -		SAND to SAND with silt -shells throughout			SS	9	0	8					
- - - -		-trace gravel			SS	10	200	7					
7-	-14.26				SS	11	0	1	-				
: - 8 -		Very soft grey SILT			SS	12	600	Wght. Hamn	of er				
- - - -	-15.53				SS	13	200	Wght. Hamn	of er				
- 9 -		Very soft grey CLAY			SS	14	600	Wght. of Ro	ds				
10-													
	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:28:43									<ul> <li>PENETROME</li> <li>FIELD VANE 1</li> <li>MINIATURE V</li> <li>✓ UU TRIAXIAL</li> </ul>	TER EST ANE SHEA TEST	R TEST

	ENT	CANADIAN ROYALTIES D PROPOSED WHARF - SIT 2012/07/24	3 <b>0</b> INC. E 1,	RE	HO CEPT		F NBAY	RECO	DRD				PF BI	ROJE( H SIZ	CTN E_	o. <u>12</u>	Bł 1613 HW TAF	<b>-141</b> 3564 	9
	TES: BU				W <i>P</i>	SA	MPLES	· <u>· · · · ·</u>	1			 UN		ATUN ED SHE	AR STR	RENGTH	- kPa		
DEPTH(m)	ELEVATION(m	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	WATER LEVEL	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE	R CON	20 		40 + BERG I EST, BL	LIMITS OWS/0	60 	W <sub>P</sub>	80 	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					mm			. STAN 1	DARD I 0	PENETF	ATION 30	TEST, E 40	slows	/0.3m 60	70	80	• 9(
·10-		CLAY, cont'd.	$\overline{\nabla}$		SS	15	550	Wght.										Ĩ	Î
- - - - - -		cand seams at depth of 10.8			SS	16	600	of Roc Wght. of Roc	s										
11-		metres																	
- - - 12-					SS	17	600	Wght.	s										
	-18.93	Loose grey silty SAND with	-																
12		gravel to SAND with silt -occasional cobbles	0000	2	SS	18	325	8											
13-	-19.90	Very severely fractured to		- 21 - 1 - 1		10	75												
- - - 14-		fractured slightly to moderately weathered grey FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK			DNG	. 19	100%	RQD											
		-two seams of MAFIC GNEISS encountered			NO	20	95%	10%											
15-				-															
- - - -							· · ·												
16-					NQ	21	91%	65%											
	22 71																		
17-	-23.71	End of Borehole							-										
		•																	
18-																			
• • •	·																		
19-																			
<u>_</u>																			
20-	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:28:43											■ PE ▲ FIE ◆ MI ★ UL	ENETI ELD V NIATI J TRI	ROMI VANE URE AXIAI	ETER TEST VANE TES	SHE	AR TI	EST

	<b>EXAMPLE NT</b>	CANADIAN ROYALTIES I PROPOSED WHARF - SITE DRING 2012/07/25	<b>BO</b> <u>NC.</u> E 1,	RE DE	E <b>HO</b> CEPT	LE ION	F N BAY	RECO	DRD			PR BH	OJEC I SIZE	Г No.	<u>121</u> <u>H</u> CH	BH 6135 W ART	<b>42</b>	D 
	2					SA	MPLES				UN	DRAINE	D SHEAF	R STREM	NGTH - H	Pa		_
DEPTH(m)	ELEVATION( <sup>III</sup>	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	WATER LEVEL	TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE OR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	2 WATER CON DYNAMIC PE			0 BERG LIN	60 /// ///TS ///S/0.3m	)	8 W <sub>P</sub>	0 w •	
	-7.61	,					mm			10 2	20 3	30 4	10 5	0 6	on 0 7	0 8	.0 '0	<b>9</b> 0
		Very loose to loose black ORGANIC SILT with sand -shells throughout			SS	1	50	Wght. of Roc	ls									
1-					SS	2	400	Wght. of Roc	s									
2	-9.13	Very loose to loose SAND with organic silt							- -									
, .		-shells throughout -occasional cobbles -strong organic odour			SS	3	25	6		•								
					SS	4	400	3		•								
- - - 1 -	-11.50	Black ORGANIC SILT																
	-12.11	-trace gravel			SS	5	225	9										
		Very loose to loose dark grey silty SAND with gravel -shells throughout		2.2.2.	SS	6	600	4		•								
- - - - -	-13.71				SS	7	350	6										
	-14.26	Very soft grey SILT			55	8	600	Woht										
- - - -		Very soft grey CLAY -sand seam at depth of 9.24 metres						of Rod	s									
- - - - -																		
5 <b>-</b>					SS	9	600	Wght.	ls									
9 -					SS	10	600	Wght.	ds									
10-	App'd	Sep 28 2012 8:28:44			-	<b>.</b>						■ PE ▲ FIE ◆ MIN × UU	NETRO LD VA NIATUI TRIA	OMET NE TE RE VA	ER EST NE S EST	HEAF	RTE	ST

ي ا	<b>Sta</b>	antec	BO	RE	НО	LE	E F	RECO	ORD								BH	420	)
	LIENT DCATION	CANADIAN ROYALTIES PROPOSED WHARF - SIT	<u>INC.</u> [E 1,	DE	CEPJ	TER	N BAY	, QUE	BEC				PR BH	OJEC SIZE TI™	Г No.	<u>121</u> Н СН	6135 [W AR]	<u>;64</u> Г	•
	C C					SA	MPLES		1					D SHEAI	R STRE	NGTH - I	<pa< th=""><th></th><th>-</th></pa<>		-
DEPTH(m)	ELEVATION(n	SOIL DESCRIPTION	TRATA PLOT	VATER LEVEL	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE )R-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE	2 R CON MIC PE	0   TENT & /	4 ATTERB	0 ERG LIF	61 1 WITS WS/0.3n	) 	8   W <sub>P</sub> 	0 W ••••••••	
				5			mm	-0		. STAN		ENETR	ATION T	EST, BL	OWS/0.	3m o a		•	0
-10-		CLAY, cont'd.			SS	11	600	Wght. of Roo	s									<u>so</u>	
-11-	-18.68	Loogo grou silty SAND with			SS	12	600	Wght. of Roc	ls										
	-19.29	gravel	0 0 0 0		SS	13	50	50/501	nm			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
12-		severely fractured grey FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK			NQ	14	44%	RQD	-										
13-		encountered						070											
• • • •					NQ	15	97%	36%											
-14-	-21.86																		
· -	21.00	End of Borehole																	
-15-	-																		
-16-																			
-17-																			
10 1 1 1 1 1																			
19-																			
-20-	App'd	LaSep 28 2012 8:28:44		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	I		1			1	■ PEN ▲ FIEI ● MIN ■ UU	NETRO LD VA IIATU TRIA	OMET NE T RE VA	ER EST ANE S TEST	HEAF	RTES	-

			-													Pag	e 1 c	f 1	
ولي	<b>6</b> Sta	antec	BO	RE	НО	LE	EF	RECO	ORD								Bł	-142	23
CI	LIENT	CANADIAN ROYALTIES	INC.		CEDT								Pl	ROJE	CT No	. <u>12</u>	<u>161:</u>	<u>3564</u>	1
LC DA	OCATION ATES: BC		<u>IE I,</u>	DE	<u>CEPI</u> WA	<u>TO</u>	<u>n bay</u> Level	, QUE	<u>BEC</u>				B	H SIZ	.Е м	C	<u>H W</u> HAF	۲۲	
	(F		.			SA	MPLES					— U		ED SHE	AR STR	ENGTH	- kPa		
	ELEVATION(	SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	VATER LEVEI	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N-VALUE DR-RQD %	OTHER TESTS	WATE	2 R CON		& ATTER	40 + RBERG EST, BL	LIMITS .OWS/0.:	60 + 3m	W <sub>P</sub>	80 	
-	256						mm			STAND			RATION	ITEST, I	BLOWS/	0.3m	70	<u>م</u>	•
	-3.30	Very loose black ORGANIC SILT			SS	1	100	1					30	40	30			80	
	<u>-</u> <b>.</b> /	-shells throughout Compact black SAND with organic silt			SS	2	350	14			•								
		-trace gravel -occasional cobbles -shells throughout			SS	3	275	32					•						
	-6.02	-strong organic odour			SS	4	225	25											
		Loose grey SILT with sand to silty SAND with gravel -occasional cobbles																	
			0 0 0 0 0		SS	5	25	9											
-	-7.70		 		SS	6	450	7											
	<u>-7.90</u>	_Very soft grey CLAY Dense grey silty SAND with gravel		2	SS	7	175	63/250	mm										
	-0.34	-occasional cobbles Severely fractured to sound slightly weathered grey FELSIG GNEISS:BEDROCK -iron staining			NQ	8	75mm	- ROD											
					NQ	9	98%	77% 47%											
	10.09																		
	-10.98	End of Borehole																	
1																			
0	App'd	Jun Sep 28 2012 8:28:44																	

r												-						Page	÷1 c	of 1	
y	<b>Ø</b> Sta	antec <sup>E</sup>	BO	RE	НО	LE	F	RECO	ORD	)									B	H42	<u>2</u> 4
C	LIENT	CANADIAN ROYALTIES I	<u>NC.</u>										_	F	RO	JECI	'No.	<u>12</u> 1	<u>[61</u> ]	<u>3564</u>	<u> </u>
L	OCATION	PROPOSED WHARF - SITI	E 1,	DE	СЕРТ	ION	N BAY	' <mark>, Q</mark> UE	BEC				_	E	SH S	SIZE		H	<u>IW</u>	,	
D	ATES: BO	DRING 2012/07/25		•	_ WA	TER	LEVEL	/ <del></del>	· · ·				-	Ι	DAT	UM	<u> </u>	CE	IAI	<u> T                                   </u>	
	(u		.			SA	MPLES						UN	DRAII	NED	SHEAR	STRE	NGTH -	kPa	B	
(L)	ON(r			EVEI		~	≿		· ~			20			40		6	0		80	
DEPTH	ELEVATI	SOIL DESCRIPTION	TRATA F	VATER LI	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVER	N-VALUE	OTHEF	WATE DYNAI	R CC	NTE PENE	ENT &	ATTE	ERBE TEST	rg lin , blov	, IITS /S/0.3п	ı	w <sub>P</sub> ┣─	O	∾ ⊢ ★
	2 27			2			 			STANI	DARI	D PE	NETR		N TES	ST, BLC	) SWS/0.:	3m	70	<u>م</u>	•
- 0 -	-3.37	Very loose dark grey					1.50					20			40						
	-4.06	ORGANIC SILT with sand -shells throughout			- 55	1	150	wght.	ls												
- 1 -		Very loose grey SILT to SILT with sand			SS	2	525	4													
		-gravel in top 550 mm -trace shells			SS	3	425	2	3	•	· · · · · · · · ·										
- 2 -					SS	4	300	1									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
							475	<b>XX7 1</b>													
- 3 -	-6.52				55	2	4/5	Wgnt. Hamn	oi ier								<u> </u>				
		Very soft grey CLAY			SS	6	600	Wght. Hamr	of ner			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
- 4 -					SS	7	225	Wght.	of												
	-8.20	-sand seams below depth of 4.4 _metres			SS	8	575	Hamn 9	ler												
- 5 -		Loose grey silty sand with	0 0 0		<u> </u>				-												
		-occasional cobbles	0 0 0 0		SS	9	25	7													
- 6 -	-9.29	Severely fractured to fractured			-SS			50/10	Ømm												
		slightly weathered grey FELSIC GNEISS:BEDROCK		-	NQ		100mr 100%	- RQD 42%								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
						12	000/	720/													
					NQ	12	98%	13%													
	-11.07	End of Borehole	-=	-					<u> </u>			::	<u>:::</u> :::			<u></u>					
- 8 -																					
- 9 -													<u> </u>								
-10-		/	<u> </u>	<u> </u>					<u> </u>		<u> :</u>			E ■ P ▲ F	'ENI			ER EST	<u>:   : :</u>		
	App'd	<u></u> Sep 28 2012 8:28:45					·							× L	aini. Ju t	ATUF RIA>		EST	SHE	AR TI	EST

E

Stantec FINAL GEOTECHNICAL REPORT, PROPOSED WHARF – SITE 1 DECEPTION BAY, QUEBEC

> APPENDIX B Grain Size Curves

.

....



-

-

## Unified Soil Classification System ASTM D 2487/2488

				S	oil Fractio	ns	Soil Description
Curve	BOREHOLETESTPIT	SAMPLE		Gravel	Sand	Silt/Clay	
	BH 15	Sa 4	2.11 - 2.72	18%	29%	54%	Sandy CLAY with Gravel
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Job No.: 121613564

Stantec



-

.

<u> </u>				S	oil Fractio	ns	Soil Description
Curve	BOKEHOLE/IESTMI	SAMPLE	DEPTH (m)	Gravel	Sand	Silt/Clay	Son Description
	BH 4A	Sa 6	6.1		3%	97%	CLAY
	BH 8	Sa 4	3,05 - 3.66		2%	98%	CLAY
	1 1						

Stantec

Job No.: 121613564


Unified Soil	Classification	System	ÁS	TΜ	D	2487/24	488
		-					

...

----

· ·

0				Soil Fractions			Soil Description
Curve	BOREHOLE/TESTPIT	SAWIFLE	DEPTHQU	Gravel	Sand Silt/Clay		
	BH 4	Sa 11	14.43 - 15.04	32%	38%	30%	Silty SAND with Gravel
	BH 7	Sa 6	5.11 - 5.71	14%	38%	48%	Silty SAND
	BH 7	Sa 7	5.71 - 6.32	11%	52%	37%	Silty SAND

Job No.: 121613564

Stantec

Stantec FINAL GEOTECHNICAL REPORT, PROPOSED WHARF – SITE 1 DECEPTION BAY, QUEBEC

> APPENDIX C Drawing No. 101, Borehole Location Plan



(121613564 deception bay/121613564-101.dwg PRINTED: Apr 22,

Stantec FINAL GEOTECHNICAL REPORT, PROPOSED WHARF – SITE 1 DECEPTION BAY, QUEBEC

> APPENDIX D Figures 1 to 6, Typical Wharf Sections



Project No. 121613564



Project No. 121613564









De :	<u>McQuinn, Dan</u>
A :	Gail Amyot
Cc:	Natalie Gagne; Jean Corbeil; Joel Desmeules; briantaylor@accesswave.ca
Objet :	RE: questions from COFEX
Date :	7 mai 2013 14:33:09

Gail,

please find attached response to geotechnical questions by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans. Each question is preceded by a bullet and succeeded by our response. If any clarification is need please do not hesitate to contact us.

• How can we be sure of the slopes' stability? Can the clay to silty clay layer support/take up the weight of the riprap? Can you calculate the security factor of the slope (after the excavation and with the riprap)?

We have not specifically calculated the slope stability for each condition within the clay to silty clay surrounding the proposed SSP cells. However, in general the rock fill /riprap will only replace the volume of clay that will be removed. Although the weight of rock fill is assumed to be approximately 10 percent more than the clay that it replaces the rock would form a significant part of the shear plane and would therefore reinforce the slope relative to its original condition. Hence, we expect the final factor of safety against slope failure to be equivalent to or better than the pre-construction condition.

Stability calculations conducted for the riprap fill around the centre bridge pier indicate a need for revision of this detail and we have been in contact with the designers on this matter. Revisions may include reduction of the fill height or, benching of the fill to improve the slope stability or a combination of these. Other solutions could include strengthening the pile bent to reduce the need for armouring or surrounding the pier with a steel sheet pile barrier. The final solution would be submitted as an addendum to the design specifications.

• Can you calculate the global stability in pseudo static condition?

For earthquake loading, we have used a site peak ground acceleration (PGA) of 0.102g. This value was obtained from Natural Resources Canada for Deception Bay using 2%/50 years probability. For pseudostatic slope stability analysis,  $k_h = 0.051g$  and  $k_v = 0g$  were used. The recommended value of  $k_h$  is based on Hynes-Griffin and Franklin (1984) criteria which suggests that for pseudostatic analysis, 50% of the PGA is appropriate.

We have assumed that the earthquake loading does not act simultaneously with the thermal ice loading, in that they are both considered to be extraordinary loads. Our analyses for the north cell yield a factor of safety of 1.63 under earthquake loading, compared to 2.63 under dead loads alone. The Slope/W output for the global stability analysis is attached.

Since the south cell has a higher factor of safety under dead loads than the north cell, we infer that the south cell factor of safety under earthquake loading will be greater than 1.63.

Using similar assumptions, bearing capacity analysis of the South cell (the critical case under static conditions) under a pseudostatic earthquake loading gives a factor of safety against bearing capacity failure of 3.

• Is there settlement anticipated under the riprap?

We would expect consolidation settlement of the clay to silty clay where the future net pressure exceeds the existing in situ pressure. However, settlements would be within tolerable limits.

• What is the bearing capacity of the clay to silty clay layer?

We have assumed the undrained shear strength of the clay to silty clay to be 10 kPa. Based on this the unfactored bearing capacity would be 50 kPa. In some locations this is a conservative value considering that the sediment layer that failed in 2011 was estimated to be supporting in excess of 5 metres of fill, approximately equivalent to 100 kPa. However, the borehole and test pit data indicate that there is variation in the strength of the silty clay layer, although no trends or patterns could be determined. Accordingly, for analysis and design purposes we have adopted the aforementioned value of 10 kPa.

#### Reference

Hynes-Griffin, M.E., and Franklin, A.G. 1984. "Rationalizing the seismic coefficient method", Miscellaneous Paper GL-84-13, U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS

From: Gail Amyot [mailto:gail.amyot@canadianroyalties.com]
Sent: Friday, May 03, 2013 1:45 PM
To: McQuinn, Dan
Cc: Natalie Gagné; Jean Corbeil; Joel Desmeules
Subject: guestions from COFEX

#### Hi Dan

We recently got some other questions from DFO related to the port conception and geotecnical stability of the location.

Could you please provide us answers to the followings:

- How can we be sure of the slopes' stability? Can the clay to silty clay layer support/take up the weight of the riprap? Can you calculate the security factor of the slope (after the excavation and with the riprap)
- Can you calculate the global stability in pseudo static condition?
- Is there settlement anticipated under the riprap?
- What is the bearing capacity of the clay to silty clay layer?

As you might know we are in the final stretch of permitting process; so rapid answer will be appreciated.

Best regards

Gail Amyot

CONFIDENTIALITY WARNING:

This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information which is privileged, confidential, proprietary or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or the person responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are strictly prohibited from disclosing, distributing, copying or in

any way using this message. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender, and destroy and delete any copies you may have received.

------

#### AVERTISSEMENT:

Ce message est destiné uniquement à la personne ou à l'organisation à laquelle il est adressé et il peut contenir des informations privilégiées, confidentielles ou non divulgables en vertu de la loi. Si vous n'êtes pas le destinataire du présent message ni la personne chargée de remettre le présent message à son destinataire, il vous est strictement interdit de le divulguer, de le distribuer, de le copier ou de l'utiliser de quelque façon que ce soit. Si vous avez reçu la présente communication par erreur, veuillez en aviser l'expéditeur et détruire ou effacer tous les exemplaires que vous avez reçus.



Appendix 3

GENIVAR: Technical Note: Dredging Methods

# **TECHNICAL NOTE**

RECIPIENT:	Ms. Gail Amyot, Eng. M.Sc.				
SENDER:	Ms. Natalie Gagné, Eng. M.Sc., GENIVAR				
COPY:	Mr. François Hazel, Biologist				
DATE:	2013-04-19				
SUBJECT:	Nunavik Nickel Project, Canadian Royalties Inc. (CRI)				
	Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for the				
	Development of Port Infrastructure and Sediment				
	Management in Deception Bay				
	Dredging Techniques				
	O/Ref.: 101-53046-03_100				

## 1.0 CONTEXT

Following the submission of the Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for the Development of Port Infrastructure and Sediment Management in Deception Bay, in December 2012, Fisheries and Oceans Canada analysts requested further information regarding the recommended dredging method as well as the measures proposed for sediment containment.

The aim of this technical note is to provide the information on which GENIVAR Inc. (GENIVAR) based its choice of dredging technique. The following sections give an overview and compare the different dredging options, highlighting the solution seeming to be the most appropriate for work required in Deception Bay.

Besides the dredging methods, the control measures proposed in the ESIA for the sediment dispersion plume will also be presented and commented with regard to their effectiveness for Deception Bay.

## 2.0 DREDGING TECHNIQUES

The most common dredges can be divided into three categories: mechanical dredges, hydraulic dredges and specialized dredges (1992; Herbich, 1992; Bray, 2008).

### 2.1 Mechanical Dredges

Mechanical dredges are used both for hard and soft materials. They remove sediment by direct application of mechanical force to the bottom surface. There are three types of mechanical dredges, namely clamshell dredges, dipper dredges and backhoe dredges.

Table 1 presents the main characteristics of each type of dredge, while their main advantages and disadvantages are in Table 2.

**Clamshell dredge:** This dredge is among the most common in the world and is sometimes considered to be an environmentally-friendly dredge (Bray, 2008). Clamshell dredges comprise a floating platform which can be self-propelled or be towed. It is mounted onto a crane which is itself installed on a pontoon stabilized using spuds or an anchor system. The crane can be cable or hydraulic. The pontoon can be equipped with a space to receive the dredged material, but most often, for transportation purposes, the dredged material is placed on scows or barges. Clamshell dredges are compact and relatively precise. In addition, they offer great flexibility in rough waters, as they can move vertically. However, clamshell dredges can lead to resuspension of sediment at several points of the dredging work, for instance at the moment of impact with the bottom surface, or during its penetration of the sediment, the lifting of the material and any spillage of dredged material from barges or scows, as well as during their disposal in open water, if applicable. According to Herbich (1992), it is preferable to use watertight clamshell dredges from an environmental point of view, as they reduce turbidity by 30 to 70% compared to other dredges.

**Dipper dredge:** This dredge is comparable to a power shovel mounted onto a pontoon with three spuds. The two front spuds are used to raise the pontoon alongside its dredging position, while the back spud keeps the dredger in a fixed position. It is most often used to extract soft rock and indurated sedimentary deposits, as well as recovering submerged infrastructure. There is however extensive loss of fine material when raising the bucket.

**Backhoe dredge:** This dredge is similar to excavators used on land except that at sea, it is installed on the reinforced deck of a scow. The dredge's bucket is attached to a mechanical arm and sediment is excavated by dragging the bucket towards the dredge. Dredged material can be dumped into scows, trucks or directly on the shore. This dredging method is very precise, but its main disadvantage is that fine material is easily resuspended. It should be noted that the hopper scows used during the dredging have a draft of around 2.4 m when filled with sediment. Thus, there needs to be an additional depth allowance of 3.7 m for the opening of hoppers when dumping.

	Mechanical Dredges				Hydraulic Dredges		Environmental Dredges	
	Clamshell Dredge	Dipper Dredge	Backhoe Dredge	Plain suction Dredge	Cutter-Suction Dredge	Hopper Dredge	Horizontal Auger Dredge (Mud Cat™)	Suction Bucket Dredge (Amphibex™)
Types of dredged materials	Fine, consolidated, gravel and sand sediment	Broken weak rock and dense sediments	All types	Mud, sand, loose, gravel	Mud, sand, gravel, compact material	Sandy, unconsolidated and non-cohesive	Fine sediments	All types
Maximum Water Depth	40 m	12 m	12 m	25 m	25 m	20 m	6.1 m	6.5 m
Precision of Dredging	35 to 50 cm	35 to 50 cm	10 cm (with newer equipment)	10 to 20 cm	10 to 20 cm (depending on required production)	Vertical: 15 to 25 cm (with improved equipment, otherwise 0.5 to 1 m) Horizontal: 3 to 10 m	10 to 20 cm	5 cm
Yield	30 to 500 m³/h	30 to 200 m³/h	30 to 200 m³/h	50 to 1000 m³/h	50 to 1000 m³/h	50 to 500 m³/h	90 m³/h	100 m³/h
Resuspension	Average	Extensive	Average	Low at dredging site	Low at dredging site	Extensive	Extensive	Average
Water Content of Dredged Material	Low	Low	Low	Extensive	Extensive	Extensive	Extensive	Average
Dredged Material Transportation	Scows, trucks	Scows, trucks	Scows, trucks	Pipeline	Pipeline	Integrated	Pipeline	Scows, trucks, pipeline

# Table 1 Characteristics of Main Types of Dredges Used in the St. Lawrence River

Source: Alliance Environnement (2004).

Table 2	Main Advantages and Disadvantages of Mechanical Dredges Used in the St. Lawrence River
---------	--

	Advantages	Disadvantages
General	<ul> <li>Low disturbance of excavated soil with a high level of solids and a low level of water.</li> <li>Minimal facilities necessary for transportation, treatment and disposal of material.</li> <li>Safe work near wharfs and other fixed installations.</li> <li>Effective for removal of polluted sediment near the shore or in a floodplain.</li> <li>Flexibility of execution in loose or compacted material and where there are obstacles or debris.</li> <li>Unit costs generally lower than for hydraulic dredges for dredging small volumes of sediments.</li> <li>Good dredging precision in shallow water.</li> <li>Barge discharging in open water generating less turbidity than hydraulic dredging.</li> <li>Easier to transport dredged material over long distances.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Relatively low production rate, getting lower as depth increases.</li> <li>Relatively high sediment resuspension rate in the water column, particularly when working in fine and non-cohesive materials.</li> <li>Low effectiveness in fluid sediment or where there are debris.</li> <li>Can make navigation cumbersome.</li> <li>Additional handling required when open water disposal is impossible.</li> <li>Worker safety (possibility of direct contact with contaminated materials, if applicable).</li> </ul>
Clamshell Dredge	<ul> <li>Maneuverability.</li> <li>Similar water content in material to in-situ sediments.</li> <li>Dredging possible in very deep water.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Mixing of sediment layers.</li> <li>Average to extensive resuspension, especially for very fine and non-cohesive materials.</li> </ul>
Dipper Dredge	<ul><li>Stability of pontoon.</li><li>Can excavate in very cohesive rock or material.</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Difficult to operate in bad weather.</li> <li>Low yield.</li> <li>Extensive resuspension in fine and non-cohesive materials.</li> </ul>
Backhoe Dredge	<ul> <li>Stability of pontoon.</li> <li>Avoids mixing of sediment layers.</li> <li>Dredged sediment maintains own density.</li> <li>Provides great dredging precision.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Difficult to operate in bad weather.</li> <li>Low yield.</li> <li>Average to extensive resuspension especially for fine and non-cohesive materials.</li> </ul>

Source: Alliance Environnement (2004).

### 2.2 Hydraulic Dredges

Hydraulic dredging is carried out using a machine that breaks up the sediment then sucks it up through a pipe with a strong flow of water. They are generally mounted on barges equipped with centrifugal pumps powered by a diesel or an electric motor. Pumps are connected to 15 to 122-cm diameter pipelines, kept at the surface by floaters. Hydraulic dredges suck up and discharge sediment in the form of liquid mud whose water content hovers around 90% (USACE, 2008). There are three main types of hydraulic dredges: plain suction dredges, cutter-suction dredges and hopper dredges. Some of the characteristics of these dredges are presented in Table 2. The main advantages and disadvantages of the various pieces of hydraulic equipment are contained in Table 3.

**Plain suction dredge:** This dredge uses a centrifugal pump and generally moves using a system of anchor cables.

**Cutter-suction dredge:** This dredge is equipped with a powerful rotating apparatus installed at the end of a suction pipe. The cutting step serves to break up hard and cohesive material into debris which are then sucked up. For optimal yield, the bucket ladder and the cutter must be used at their full capacity, which means that the minimum thickness of sediment must reach between 1 and 3 m (Alliance Environnement, 2004).

**Hopper dredge:** This machine differs from the other two types of hydraulic dredge, as it is installed on self-propelled ships. They transport dredged material on board instead of channelling them to a discharge site via a pipe. Dredged material is sucked up using a suction pipe to be then poured into hopper's space where solids settle. The excess water with low amounts of suspended matter (SM) is discharged into open waters by overflow weirs and solids are kept on board to then be dumped at an authorized aquatic site. As this type of dredging does not use any type of anchoring, the elevation of the dredged area is often very uneven, which requires overdredging to obtain the desired depth throughout.

	Advantages	Disadvantages
General	<ul> <li>High rate of production (up to several hundreds of cubic meters per hour)</li> <li>Lower sediment resuspension rate in water column than with mechanical dredges, at the dredging location</li> <li>Use not affected by current speed</li> <li>In polluted sediment, minimizes risks to workers and population due to pipeline transportation</li> <li>Unit costs are generally lower when excavating large volumes than for mechanical dredges, especially for large-scale capital work</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>High percentage of water in excavated material (80 – 90%)</li> <li>Large surfaces needed for disposal of dredged material and water treatment</li> <li>Impossible to remove most debris hydraulically</li> <li>Navigation possibly impeded by the dredge as well as the pipeline</li> <li>More turbidity generated by open water disposal via pipeline than barge disposal by mechanical dredges</li> <li>Possible impact of gas in the sediment on the pumps</li> <li>Long-distance transportation (more than a few kilometres) impossible</li> <li>Loud noise sometimes generated</li> </ul>
Plain Suction Dredge	Significant yield in fine and loose sediment	Possible mixing of sediment layers
Cutter Suction Dredge	<ul><li>Compatible with a large array of materials</li><li>Precision and uniformity of excavation</li></ul>	Possible extensive resuspension at dredging site if pumping power is not equal to the cutter power
Self-supporting Suction Dredge	<ul> <li>Low sensitivity to swells and waves</li> <li>Does not impede navigation</li> <li>Makes long-distance transport easier and requires no additional handling when open water disposal is possible</li> <li>Maximum use of compartments due to overflow</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Type of dredge which can only be used on non-contaminated sand that can be discharged into open waters (generally reserved for excavating large volumes in the St. Lawrence's navigation channel)</li> <li>Dredging depth limited by the draft of the boat and bucket ladders</li> <li>Requires overdredging (several passes to obtain an even surface)</li> </ul>

### Table 3 Main Advantages and Disadvantages of Hydraulic Dredges Used in the St. Lawrence River

Source: Alliance Environnement (2004).

# 3.0 RECOMMENDED DREDGING TECHNIQUE

There are three (3) dredging methods that can be considered for the dredging work at Deception Bay, namely:

- Mechanical dredging using an environmental clamshell dredge;
- Suction cutter hydraulic dredge; or
- Suction hydraulic dredge.

Given the advantages and disadvantages listed in Tables 2 and 3, mechanical dredging seems to be a better choice than hydraulic dredging. The main disadvantage of using a clamshell is the relatively high rate of sediment resuspension in the water column, especially when fine, non-cohesive materials are present. However, resuspension is greatly reduced by the use of an environmental clamshell, as it becomes leak-free once closed. Furthermore, it also prevents resuspension of dredged materials in the water column when the clamshell is raised or when it crosses the water surface, or when the clamshell is hanging in the air between the dredging point and the dumping point. Sediment resuspension remains an issue at the clamshell's point of contact with the sea bed, but at this depth, there is almost no current. The scow used for transporting the materials to the shore must of course be leak-free.

The environmental clamshell dredge is suitable for land dumping of dredged material. Its advantage is that the water content of the dredged materials is similar to that of the sediment in place. This is a significant advantage as this limits the amount of water to be managed at the dumping site. The amount of excess water depends on the volume of the clamshell and its fill rate. Based on data compiled during dredging work using this method, the clamshell's fill volume varies from 39% to 70%, thus the volume of water varies from 61% to 30%. Another advantage that should not be ignored is that mechanical dredging using a clamshell preserves the integrity of the dredged material, which reduces the settling time of the sediment in the basin, thus making management of excess water easier: limiting the amount of disturbance of the consolidated clay reduces the amount of SM in the overlying water.

Hydraulic dredging could be used; however, the large quantities of water generated by this method have a major impact on the facilities at the disposal site. Values contained in literature suggest that a percentage of solids of around 8% to 12% (USACE, 2008) should be considered, thus the water content would be about 90%.

The materials excavated from Deception Bay consist mainly of consolidated clay, meaning a cutter-suction dredge must be used to render the materials uniform and easy to pump. It should be noted that heterogeneous materials could be encountered; variable-sized rocks could also be observed, which would greatly impede the efficiency of this method. There could also be a great turbidity of the water at the point of contact while using this method if the suction level is inadequate.

Another consideration is that the dredged materials' disintegration could increase significantly during the settlement of solids once transferred to the land-based sediment management site. The increase in water turbidity would oblige CRI to oversize the sedimentation facilities and, given the size of suspended particles (micron-sized), this could make reaching water quality criteria difficult. CRI will only authorize hydraulic dredging if the contractor demonstrates that the hydraulic method used will be combined with other operations that will make it possible to meet water quality criteria: water returned to Deception Bay shall at all times have a SM rate below 30 mg/l.

## 4.0 CONFINEMENT METHOD

Sediment dispersion during dredging can be controlled through one of two (2) approaches:

- Engineered containment methods:
  - Cofferdam, sheet piles
  - · Containment curtain: geomembranes, geotextiles, pneumatic (bubbles)
  - Use of geotubes
- Controlling operations

Engineered containment methods consist of erecting physical barriers to isolate the work zone. At first, we can exclude the construction of a rock or sheet pile cofferdam considering the major impacts it would have on the environment.

In the case of Deception Bay, using containment curtains would not be very effective. Indeed, a containment curtain is frequently used in lakes or maritime or port areas that are sheltered from the wind and oceanographic conditions (IADC/CEDA, 1999; Bray, 2008). At Deception Bay, the worksite is not sheltered from the wind and waves. Moreover there are 5 m tides at this location. According to Bray (2008), the site's meteorological and oceanographic conditions could restrict the use of such mitigation measures. Indeed, when climatic conditions are not perfect (waves under 1 m and tides under 3 m), the retention rate of a containment curtain is around 25% to 40% (Bray, 2008). Notwithstanding the method's low effectiveness, in the climatic context of Deception Bay where difficult oceanographic conditions are frequent, deploying a containment curtain would be dangerous for the workers, as the complexity of deployment would require specialized equipment and an experienced contractor (Bray, 2008). Moreover, when such work is conducted at depths of more than 3 to 5 m (20 m in this case), using a curtain requires additional flotation devices. Thus, for technical and safety reasons, the possibility of using a containment curtain for controlling sediment dispersion was rejected.

Using a bubble curtain is one alternative to using a containment curtain with membrane. However, this technique is only effective when conditions are perfect, which is rarely the case in Deception Bay. Further, it requires injecting large quantities of air, and thus consumes a great deal of energy. Noise generated by compressors is also a nuisance factor that cannot be ignored.

As engineered containment methods have low effectiveness in the context of Deception Bay, it was deemed preferable to apply measures aimed at controlling the dredging operations so as to minimize sediment dispersion at the source. These control measures include:

- controlling and limiting the clamshell's speed of ascent;
- using a clamshell with reasonably leak-proof jaws;
- using a leak-proof scow for transporting dredged material to the shore;
- avoiding overfilling the scow containing the dredged material;
- avoiding overdredging;
- developing a staging area for materials on the shore, ensuring that quality criteria are respected for the water sent back to the bay.

These control methods will be included in the specifications provided to bidding contractors.

## 5.0 CONCLUSION

CRI favours mechanical dredging using an environmental clamshell (leak-proof). Hydraulic dredging will only be authorized if it is shown that the method suggested by the contractor maintains the water quality criteria at all times.

Considering climatic and sea conditions, as well as the nature of the dredging in Deception Bay, controlling sediment dispersion through work methods seems to be more effective than using engineered containment methods.

## 6.0 **BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REFERENCES**

- ALLIANCE ENVIRONNEMENT INC. 2004. Étude d'impact sur l'environnement, Dragage du chenal Tardif à Notre-Dame-de-Pierreville – Municipalité de Pierreville, 2004. Rapport final soumis au ministère de l'Evironnement du Québec et à Pêches et Océans Canada. 84 p. et annexes.
- ANCHOR ENVIRONMENTAL CA, L.P. 2003. *Litterature Review of Effets of Resuspended Sediments due to Dredging Operations*. Prepared for Los Angeles Sediments Task Force.
- BRAY, R.N. 2008. Environmental Aspects of Dredging. Taylor and Francis, New York. 386 p.
- BRAY, R.N., A.D. BATES et J.M. LAND. 1997. *Dredging A Handbook for Engineers*. 2e édition. Butterworth-Heinemann, Boston. 434 p.
- FLETCHER C.A., STEVENSON J.R., DEARNALEY M.P., 2001. *The Beneficial Use of Muddy Material*. Report SR 579.
- HERBICH, J.B. 1992. *Handbook of Dredging Engineering*. McGraw-Hill Inc., Montréal. Pagination multiple.
- PIANC. 2010. PIANC Report 108. Dredging and Port Construction Around Coral Reefs. 75 pages.
- USACE. 2008. Technical Guidelines for Environmental Dredging of Contaminated Sediments. US Army Corps of Engineers, Engineer Research and Development Center. ERDC/EL TR-08-29.
- USACE. 1983. Dredging and Dredge Material Disposal. EM1110-2-5025.