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Summary

The Initiative

This report presents the findings from the evaluation of the Recruitment and Integration of French-Speaking Immigrants to Francophone Minority Communities Initiative (hereafter called the Initiative), which falls under the Roadmap for Canada’s Linguistic Duality (hereafter called the Roadmap) unveiled in 2008.

Under the Initiative, CIC is committed to investing $30 million over a period of five years to facilitate the recruitment and integration of French-speaking newcomers to Francophone Minority Communities (FMCs). To do this, the Department has focussed on three areas of activity: coordination and research, promotion and recruitment, and settlement and integration service delivery.

Methodology

The evaluation assesses the relevance, the results to date and the efficiency of the Initiative. The evaluation is based on four principal sources of data: a series of interviews with various groups of stakeholders who participated in the implementation of the Initiative, a document review, an analysis of administrative data and a series of case studies conducted across Canada.

Findings and recommendations

The Initiative remains relevant.

In 2003, in the context of the Strategic Framework that they adopted, CIC and FMCs wagered that Francophone immigration could help to strengthen the Francophonie outside Quebec by enriching it with new experiences, realities and economic strengths. By addressing the decrease in the relative weight of the FMCs, Francophone immigration would also make it possible to consolidate the institutional network of these communities.

Nearly 10 years later, the efforts invested in this vision have been successful. The number of French-speaking newcomers settling in FMCs has increased, and FMCs are better equipped to facilitate the settlement and long-term integration of French-speaking newcomers.

In that context, the Initiative has proven relevant. Although progress has been made in the past decade, the objectives set in 2003 (and set out in the 2006 Strategic Plan) have not yet been met. The partners’ efforts must therefore continue.

The Initiative reflects the priorities of CIC and the federal government.

CIC is in a unique situation. The Department, like all federal departments, must respect the commitment to adopt positive measures to enhance the vitality of OLMCs (Part VII of the Official Languages Act), but that is also one of the objectives of the Immigration and Refugee Protection Act.

Therefore, CIC must develop a vision and a strategy enabling it to respect its legislative obligations. The adoption of the Strategic Framework in 2003 and the Strategic Plan in 2006 helped the Department to create such a vision, and the Initiative played a complementary role by giving the Department and its partners tools to implement the vision.
The Initiative reflects the federal government’s unique role in immigration.

As it is currently structured, the Initiative adequately reflects the framework arising from various agreements between the federal government and the provinces, especially those signed with Quebec, Manitoba and British Columbia. The Initiative includes almost no activities in Quebec, and settlement services in Manitoba and British Columbia receive no funding. The immigration agreements with both of those provinces recognize the importance of enhancing the vitality of FMCs, and the Initiative gave stakeholders the tools they needed to coordinate their efforts, including through Francophone immigration networks.

Coordination is now done at the regional and national levels. Ensuring links and maintaining a consistent vision are some of the challenges facing all stakeholders.

By 2002, CIC and its partners had already established the Steering Committee, which encouraged coordination at the national level. The work of the Steering Committee led to the development of the Strategic Framework in 2003 and the Strategic Plan in 2006.

The Initiative helped expand coordination to the regional level. At the time of this evaluation, there were 13 Francophone immigration networks and one working committee, which enabled stakeholders in different parts of the country to work together, share ideas and come up with a vision and an action plan for their respective regions. The experience gained to date demonstrates the soundness of such regional strategies to develop programs and policies supporting settlement that reflect the socio-economic reality of the community in which the Francophone newcomer has settled.

Obviously, the increase in the number of these regional structures made it challenging at the national level to maintain a global vision of the Francophone immigration file outside Quebec. The Francophone immigration networks must now build on the gains made to date. In particular, they should maximize opportunities for discussion not only between themselves, but also with the Steering Committee, to ensure that national efforts are aligned with those at the regional level.

**Recommendation 1:** That CIC ensure that the collaborative platforms at the regional and national levels are harmonized, particularly between the Steering Committee and the Francophone immigration networks.

Research contributed to a better understanding of the challenges that Francophone newcomers face.

When beginning its work in 2003, the Steering Committee had very little research on Francophone immigration outside Quebec. Although considerable research had been undertaken in the area of immigration over the years, the particular nature of FMCs remained largely missing from this work. Today, in 2012, the situation is very different. During the period covered by this evaluation (2008 to 2011), more than 50 research projects on OLMCs were carried out. The reality of newcomers who settle in a minority community is much better documented, which allows stakeholders to adjust their programming accordingly.

However, the disappearance of Metropolis in Canada is still a challenge for researchers. This forum played a critical role in the sharing and promotion of research on immigration in minority settings. CIC must state how it intends to assume its supporting role in these research projects. In particular,
the Department should more clearly state its objectives regarding the research that it funds in relation to Anglophone newcomers in Quebec. Stakeholders must develop new strategies in order to continue their efforts to promote and share research projects.

**Recommendation 2**: That CIC set out a research and knowledge-sharing strategy concerning the settlement and integration of newcomers in OLMCs.

*Over the years, Destination Canada has continued to expand its activities, adding other, mostly complementary, promotional activities. However, the selection process criteria can create some barriers that could limit the impact of promotional activities.*

The Initiative made it possible to undertake major promotional activities abroad, including the flagship event, Destination Canada. These activities have received much continued support from various immigration stakeholders, including provincial governments, employers, post-secondary institutions and FMCs themselves. However, it seems necessary at this point to clarify the expectations regarding the impact of promotional activities. If more Francophone newcomers can be convinced to settle in FMCs, they must be allowed to immigrate to Canada permanently.

Promoting FMCs is a vital step in a strategy designed to recruit more French-speaking newcomers. However, standing between the interested French-speaking newcomer and FMCs is a selection process that can become a barrier, resulting in a significant negative impact on achieving Initiative objectives. The strategy around Destination Canada should thus include considerations directly related to the selection process, as well as to the main goal of the Initiative, which is to facilitate the long-term settlement of French-speaking immigrants.

**Recommendation 3**: That CIC develop a strategy to better link promotion and recruitment activities, including Destination Canada, to the considerations relating to the selection and long-term settlement of French-speaking newcomers in FMCs.

*Statistics confirm that, since 2003, the number of French-speaking newcomers who settle in FMCs has increased. However, it is impossible at this time to accurately measure the exact increase.*

The measures explored in this evaluation report confirm this increase. CIC and its partners achieved the interim target of increasing the number of French-speaking immigrants outside Quebec to 1.8%.

The 2003 Strategic Framework and the 2006 Strategic Plan have allowed CIC and FMCs to set an objective as to the number of French-speaking newcomers who should settle in FMCs. There are several merits to this approach, since it should enable stakeholders to measure progress with respect to this especially complex endeavour. The challenge at this time is that there is no single method, validated and adopted by consensus, to calculate the number of “French-speaking newcomers,” according to the definition in the Strategic Plan. Nearly 10 years after establishing the objective of 4.4%, it is highly desirable that all partners working in this area be able to agree on an appropriate measure.

Efforts have been made to specify the best strategy to measure the achievement of the objectives of the Strategic Plan, and this work must continue.
Recommendation 4: That CIC, in collaboration with appropriate partners, determine the formula that it intends to use to measure the number of French-speaking immigrants who settle in FMCs.

FMCs are better equipped to welcome French-speaking newcomers.

CIC invested a considerable amount of money to improve the capacity of FMCs to support the settlement of French-speaking newcomers. Under the Initiative, the number of service providers and the range of services offered both grew considerably. These services are now much better adapted to the reality of French-speaking newcomers.

Yet, statistics show that for most service providers, French-speaking newcomers make up a limited proportion of their total clientele. In this regard, cooperation and sharing between service providers (facilitated by the Francophone immigration networks, among others) are still key to maintaining an internal capacity to offer services that meet the needs of this target clientele.

The Initiative benefited from existing structures in the area of Francophone immigration.

Thanks in part to the work of the Steering Committee, the Implementation Committee and other working groups, stakeholders in the field of Francophone immigration have been cooperating for almost 10 years. This experience helped establish close working relationships under the Initiative. The data collected for this evaluation indicates that the roles and responsibilities, particularly between CIC (including the regional offices) and the service providers, in order to implement activities that received funding for the Initiative, were defined appropriately.

The data collected to date by CIC’s various databanks and systems on the activities undertaken as part of the Initiative can be used to draw a useful, albeit incomplete, portrait of achievements.

As this evaluation report demonstrates, some existing data can be used to document the type and level of services offered to French-speaking newcomers, as well as the other activities undertaken to consolidate the capacity of FMCs to support the settlement and integration of French-speaking newcomers in their communities. This data is one of the most important sources of information for this evaluation.

Nevertheless, the data currently collected is not complete. Some weaknesses that need to be addressed have been described in this report. It is important to note the considerable progress that has been made to date in order to better understand and document the activities undertaken through the Initiative. The challenge now is to build on these gains, in order to refine this portrait and better depict the progress made.

Recommendation 5: That CIC develop a strategy to guide the performance measurement of the Initiative, and that the Department align and strengthen the systems and tools for monitoring and collecting data (for example, the SAP financial system, CAMS and the regional reports) that are currently used to support this strategy.
## Evaluation of the recruitment and integration of French-speaking immigrants to francophone minority communities initiative - Management response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Accountability</th>
<th>Completion date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. That CIC ensure that the collaborative platforms at the regional and national levels are harmonized, particularly between the Steering Committee and the Francophone immigration networks.</td>
<td>CIC agrees with the recommendation. The Department recognizes the need to review the governance of the Francophone immigration networks to align their activities with the strategic directions determined by the CIC-FMC Steering Committee. This review will help to further develop the network coordination model and take into account the objectives identified by the Steering Committee, while ensuring that variations in regional issues and needs are considered. CIC will include in the network mandates explicit responsibilities regarding the production of action plans at the regional level, which are guided by the priorities identified at the national level (plans and priorities of the Settlement Program). Accountability mechanisms will also be emphasized to ensure that the CIC-FMC Steering Committee is informed of the networks’ achievements and that the local issues are considered in the development of the strategic directions.</td>
<td>• Directives on Francophone immigration networks, including a logic model and performance measurement framework. • Guidelines for the Francophone immigration networks that are based on the logic model and the performance measurement framework in order to clearly identify the expected outcomes of the Francophone immigration networks and ensure that a results follow up mechanism is established so that the platforms for collaboration are harmonized between the Steering Committee, the Implementation Committee and the Francophone immigration networks.</td>
<td>Integration IPMB</td>
<td>2012/2013 (Q3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. That CIC set out a research and knowledge-sharing strategy concerning the settlement and integration of newcomers in OLMCs.</td>
<td>CIC agrees with the recommendation. The Francophone immigration file will continue to benefit from research to feed into the policy development and evaluation process. The research structure that is being implemented at CIC establishes a model for annual research activities and partnerships. This partnership network between CIC and various stakeholders, including universities, will make it possible to bring together stakeholders from various backgrounds (universities, communities, governments) annually in order to provide an update on immigration research and activity in FMCs. This model will help to maintain the connection between research and policy development.</td>
<td>• Develop a strategic framework of research on OLMCs. • Develop an active network of partnerships with universities and research centres to work on Francophone immigration issues (for example, Moncton and Saint-Boniface).</td>
<td>Research and Evaluation (resp.) / in collaboration with Integration</td>
<td>2012/2013 (Q4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Recommendation

**3. That CIC develop a strategy to better link promotion and recruitment activities, including Destination Canada, to the considerations relating to the selection and long-term settlement of French-speaking newcomers in FMCs.**

CIC agrees with the recommendation. Promotion and recruitment activities, in particular Destination Canada – Job Fair, information sessions and international job and study fairs help to ensure better dissemination of the available selection tools. The 9th edition of Destination Canada will inform participants about the possibilities of immigrating to FMCs and the tools available to facilitate their recruitment, settlement and integration to FMCs. The amendments made recently to the procedure for processing work permit applications from Francophones recruited through job fairs, as well as future changes to the immigration program for applicants who have acquired recent work experience in Canada will enable qualified Francophone workers to enter Canada more easily, on a temporary basis, and then to become permanent residents. Overall, these changes will facilitate the arrival of Francophone workers, as well as the retention of those already in Canada, in order to increase their long-term settlement within FMCs.

- Develop a communication strategy to promote, in Canada and abroad, the selection and integration tools available to facilitate the recruitment and integration of Francophone immigrants.
- For the 2013-2018 period, optimize the linkages between promotion and recruitment activities and considerations with respect to the selection and integration process of French-speaking newcomers to FMCs. This will be carried out in the renewal of the next Francophone immigration strategy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accountability</th>
<th>Completion date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Communications (resp.) / in collaboration with Immigration, International Region and Integration</td>
<td>2012/2013 (Q3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration (resp.) / in collaboration with Immigration and International Region</td>
<td>2012/2013 (Q4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**4. That CIC, in collaboration with appropriate partners, determine the formula that it intends to use to measure the number of French-speaking immigrants who settle in FMCs.**

CIC agrees with the recommendation. The Department recognizes the need for a measure to count the number of French-speaking immigrants. Consultations with the various departmental stakeholders and its partners in connection with the Initiative will be organized to identify the best methods for making the most of the available resources and databases, particularly those in the Research and Evaluation Branch. Once the decision is made regarding the best methods to use, the Department will draw up a data development strategy.

- Consult with the stakeholders involved in the Initiative (inside CIC and its partners) to identify the best methods for measuring the number of French-speaking immigrants that settle in FMCs, according to the definition of the 2006 Strategic Plan.
- Evaluate the needs of the stakeholders involved and create a plan to develop a measure to meet these short- and long-term needs.
- To meet the short-term needs, develop the best measure possible by using existing CIC data.
- To meet the long-term needs, collect the information required to create variables for a more specific measure of French-speaking immigrants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accountability</th>
<th>Completion date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research and Evaluation (resp.) / with the support of the Integration Branch</td>
<td>2012/13 (Q3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2012/13 (Q4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2012/13 (Q4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2013/14 (Q2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation</td>
<td>Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 5. That CIC develop a strategy to guide the performance measurement of the Initiative, and that the Department align and strengthen the systems and tools for monitoring and collecting data (for example, the SAP financial system, CAMS and the regional reports) that are currently used to support this strategy. | CIC agrees with the recommendation. The Department will strengthen the measures taken to ensure ongoing monitoring of the initiatives to foster Francophone immigration in FMCs. | • Develop a strategy to guide the performance measurement for the collection of financial and non-financial data.  
• Take into account data collection needs during the development/amendment of new data collection systems, especially iCARE and CAMS, to ensure that it responds to the various accountability processes applicable to the Department.  
• Remind financial and program officers about the financial coding of funded initiatives to support the Initiative. | Integration  
R & E, IPMB (for CAMS)  
Finances (resp.) and IPMB | 2012/2013 (Q3) |
1. Introduction

This report presents the findings from the evaluation of the Recruitment and Integration of French-Speaking Minorities to Francophone Minority Communities Initiative (hereafter the Initiative).

This evaluation meets the requirements of the federal government’s Policy on Evaluation and the requirements defined under section 42.1 of the Financial Administration Act, which requires a federal department to “conduct a review every five years of the relevance and effectiveness of each ongoing program for which it is responsible.”

Moreover, this evaluation contributes to the work of the Department of Canadian Heritage to evaluate the Roadmap for Canada’s Linguistic Duality 2008–2012: Acting for the Future (hereafter the Roadmap), through which the Department of Citizenship and Immigration Canada (CIC) received funding for the Initiative.

1.1. Report structure

This report is made up of four main sections, including this introduction, which describes the context of the Initiative, its key components and the logic between them. Section 2.0 describes the methodology retained for evaluating the Initiative. Section 3.0 describes the key findings of the evaluation. Lastly, section 4.0 provides the key conclusions and recommendations from the evaluation.

1.2. Initiative context

The Initiative was implemented in a specific context that must be clarified and described for the purpose of this evaluation.

Evolution of the Francophone immigration file

As Figure 1 illustrates, the Francophone immigration file at the federal level has evolved significantly since 2002. In short:

- 2002: The Minister of CIC announced the creation of the Citizenship and Immigration Canada – Francophone Minority Communities Steering Committee (hereafter the Steering Committee), which brings together representatives from CIC, other federal departments, provincial governments and Francophone Minority Communities (FMCs).

- 2003: The Steering Committee published its Strategic Framework to Foster Immigration to Francophone Minority Communities (hereafter the Strategic Framework). This framework includes five objectives:
  - Increase the number of French-speaking immigrants to give more demographic weight to FMCs (objective: that at least 4.4% of immigrants settling outside Quebec are French-speaking).
  - Improve the capacity of FMCs to receive French-speaking newcomers and to strengthen their reception and settlement infrastructures.
  - Ensure the economic integration of French-speaking immigrants into Canadian society and into FMCs in particular.
• Ensure the social and cultural integration of French-speaking immigrants into Canadian society and into FMCs.
• Foster regionalization of Francophone immigration outside Toronto and Vancouver.¹

2003: That same year, the federal government unveiled its *Action Plan for Official Languages* (APOL). This initiative included an investment of $9 million over five years (2003 to 2008) to CIC to put forward initiatives specifically for the recruitment and integration of French-speaking newcomers to FMCs.

2006: The Steering Committee published its *Strategic Plan to Foster Immigration to Francophone Minority Communities* (hereafter the Strategic Plan), which is “a long-term plan to work toward achieving the overall objectives of the Strategic Framework released by the Citizenship and Immigration Canada – Francophone Minority Communities Steering Committee in November 2003.”

2008: The federal government unveiled the Roadmap, an initiative that helped to maintain the annual financing of $2 million provided under APOL and that added $10 million over five years. Moreover, CIC benefited from this initiative to reserve $10 million from the funds financing settlement services to support Francophone immigration outside Quebec (see Table 1 for more details).

**Figure 1: Evolution of the Francophone immigration file at the federal level**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Establishment of the Steering Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Action Plan for Official Languages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Publication of the Strategic Framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Publication of the Strategic Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Roadmap for Canada’s Linguistic Duality</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Commitments under the roadmap**

The Roadmap represents the federal government’s strategy to support the vitality and development of official language minority communities (OLMCs). With respect to the area of immigration, the Roadmap has the following objectives:

• Support integration services for French-speaking newcomers “by facilitating their access to French services adapted to their needs.”

• Offer support to research in order “to better target issues related to Francophone immigration outside of Quebec, and to address the various needs of the communities, the provinces and territories, and employers.”

¹ The 2006 Strategic Plan eliminated the reference to Toronto and Vancouver included in this objective of the Strategic Framework.
• Intensify “efforts to facilitate recruiting and integration, particularly by supporting Francophone immigration in New Brunswick, the only officially bilingual province in Canada.”

• Strengthen “partnerships among communities, provinces and territories, employers, educational institutions, and organizations that recruit abroad.”

Quebec’s particular situation

Specifically regarding immigration, the Roadmap focuses on French-speaking newcomers in FMCs and does not include objectives related to Anglophone immigrants settling in Quebec. This situation reflects the parameters set out in the Canada-Quebec Accord Relating to Immigration and the Admission of Temporary Residents signed in 1991, through which the Government of Quebec has selection authority and the responsibility for its own settlement services.

Scope of the evaluation

It is important to note that the scope of the Strategic Plan is larger than the activities undertaken by CIC under the Roadmap. The Strategic Plan calls upon stakeholders other than CIC, including federal departments and provincial and territorial governments; therefore, achieving the objectives described in the Strategic Plan is not the sole responsibility of CIC, but rather requires a concerted effort from a multitude of stakeholders.

Consequently, the subject of this evaluation is not the Strategic Plan as a whole; it is rather the activities undertaken by CIC through the Initiative and funded in part by the Roadmap, in order to advance the Strategic Plan objectives.

1.3. Description of the Initiative

CIC is committed to investing $30 million over five years to facilitate the recruitment and integration of French-speaking newcomers to FMCs. To do so, the Department has focussed on three areas of activity: coordination and research, promotion and recruitment, and settlement services. This subsection outlines these components as well as their expected outcomes.

Coordination and research activities

In terms of coordination, the Initiative mainly supports the work of the Steering Committee and the Implementation Committee of the Strategic Plan. These committees bring together representatives of the federal, provincial and territorial governments and of community groups. Their work involves all of the actions taken in compliance with the Strategic Plan, including those funded by the Roadmap.

Research work was also carried out to explore the various immigration issues within OLMCs, including, for example, barriers faced by newcomers settling in those communities and the benefits of immigration to those communities.

---

2 Note that the Atlantic Canada Opportunities Agency (ACOA) received $10 million under the Roadmap to support Francophone immigration to New Brunswick. Since this initiative does not fall under CIC, it is not covered by this evaluation.

**Promotion and recruitment activities**

Promotion and recruitment activities abroad include mainly those related to the annual Destination Canada event that aims to promote FMCs to Francophones who are considering immigrating to Canada.

Other activities are also organized, including information sessions for potential French-speaking immigrants, activities for students interested in studying in Canada, networking trips enabling CIC representatives and CIC partners abroad to hold meetings within FMCs in order to promote their promotion activities, as well as tours by the European press to raise awareness of economic and social opportunities in Canada.

**Settlement activities**

Settlement activities represent the area in which the largest sums were invested during the period covered by the Roadmap. In that respect, there were two key types of activities:

- **Direct services**: Direct services cover the entire integration process of a French-speaking newcomer, including analyzing their needs, language training, orientation and economic integration assistance. A service provider may specialize in a particular area or offer several of these services.

- **Indirect services**: These activities are intended to strengthen the capacity of the service providers so that they may provide services that respond specifically to the needs of French-speaking newcomers. Tool and resource development, as well as staff training are examples of this type of activity. Activities may target the community as a whole, such as awareness campaigns in schools or cultural fairs, which foster connections between the host community and French-speaking newcomers.

Moreover, Francophone immigration networks may be found under these “indirect services.” The purpose of these networks is to enable various stakeholders at the local and regional levels—particularly organizations offering support to French-speaking newcomers—to work more closely with one another and to coordinate their efforts.

**Expected outcomes**

As illustrated in the logic model (see the Technical Appendices), the activities described above are expected to contribute to the following three immediate outcomes:

- Coordination, collaboration and research activities among key partners are maintained;
- French-speaking potential immigrants are aware of opportunities to immigrate to FMCs;
- French-speaking newcomers obtain strengthened settlement services in FMCs.

If these immediate outcomes are met, in the medium term there will be an increase in the number of French-speaking immigrants who settle in FMCs, and the FMCs will have strengthened capacity to receive these newcomers.

**Financial resources**

Table 1 illustrates the distribution of funds allocated to support the Initiative. Three key sources fund the activities of the Initiative:
• **Recurring funds of the 2003 Action Plan:** The *Action Plan for Official Languages (APOL)* 2003–2008 set out an allocation of $9 million over five years, and $2 million per year on a recurring basis. Under the Roadmap, these recurring funds were maintained. For the five-year period covered by the Roadmap, this represents a total sum of $10 million ($6.55 million in Vote 1 and $3.45 million in Vote 5).

• **Funds related to the Roadmap (2008):** The Roadmap added another $10 million in funding. For administrative reasons, this additional amount was divided over the last four years of the period covered by the Roadmap, that is, an average of $2.5 million per year, beginning in 2009–2010 (Vote 1).

• **Funds from the Settlement Program:** CIC committed to retain $10 million from its Settlement Program to support Francophone immigration in FMCs, starting in 2009–2010 (Vote 5).

Of the total $30 million, $16.6 million was allocated through Vote 1. These resources were distributed in the five regions and at national headquarters, including the Integration Branch, the Integration Program Management Branch, the Immigration Branch and CIC’s International Region, in order to cover operating expenses (salary and others) related to coordination, research, promotion and recruitment, network and settlement services activities.

The remaining $13.4 million was allocated through Vote 5 to support the activities undertaken by service providers to foster the integration of French-speaking newcomers. This amount consists of $3.45 million over five years ($690,000 per year) from the APOL recurring funds and $10 million over four years (up to $2.5 million per year) absorbed from settlement funds from existing budgets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recurring funds from the <em>Action Plan for Official Languages</em></td>
<td>$2 M</td>
<td>$2 M</td>
<td>$2 M</td>
<td>$2 M</td>
<td>$2 M</td>
<td>$10 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Roadmap for Canada’s Linguistic Duality 2008-2013</em></td>
<td>$2.5 M</td>
<td>$2.5 M</td>
<td>$2.5 M</td>
<td>$2.5 M</td>
<td>$10 M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funds retained from CIC’s settlement services</td>
<td>Amount up to $10 million</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$10 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$30 M</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Clients targeted**

While the Roadmap targets all of the OLMCs, the Initiative focuses only on FMCs. For reasons described in this report, the federal government is not participating in the planning and delivery of settlement support services in Quebec. Consequently, its role focuses outside of Quebec, thus covering all of the FMCs.

For the purposes of this evaluation, all of the French-speaking newcomers outside Quebec were examined. The size and profile of this population are discussed further in section 3.2 under Results.

---

4 The Quebec Region began to receive funding in 2009–2010 and was limited to $63,183 per year to support research activities for Anglophone minority communities.
2. Methodology

The methodology used in this evaluation seeks to determine to what extent the logic described in the technical appendices was carried out as planned. To do this, the evaluation used four lines of evidence to examine the evaluation questions below (see the Technical Appendices for the complete evaluation matrix).

Table 2: Evaluation questions

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Is there a continued need for the recruitment and integration of French-speaking immigrants into FMCs?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Is the initiative aligned with CIC and GoC priorities?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Is this initiative consistent with federal roles and responsibilities?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Have the main partners undertaken coordination, collaboration and research activities to support the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>implementation of the initiative?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Are French-speaking foreign nationals aware of opportunities to immigrate to FMCs?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Do Francophone immigrants obtain strengthened settlement services in French in FMCs?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Have the initiatives helped to achieve the objectives set in terms of the number of French-speaking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>immigrants going to FMCs?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Have FMCs improved their settlement and reception services capacity to facilitate the recruitment,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>reception, integration and retention of French-speaking immigrants in FMCs?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Is the Initiative guided by a clear mandate and specific roles, responsibilities and objectives?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Are communications, relationships and information-sharing among program stakeholders effective?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Is the management of the initiative coordinated and supported by the tools, resources (human and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>financial) and mechanisms needed to ensure effective delivery?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Are performance measurement, monitoring and reporting for this initiative sufficient to ensure Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>accountability?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This evaluation specifically focuses on the achievement of the immediate and intermediate outcomes of the Initiative, which in turn contribute to the immediate outcome of the Roadmap: “Community Development.” The horizontal evaluation of the Roadmap, coordinated by the Department of Canadian Heritage, will examine the full scope of intermediate results under the Roadmap.

2.1. Key informant interviews

Preliminary interviews

In order to adequately delineate the activities to be assessed in this evaluation, a series of preliminary interviews were carried out. In all, five semi-structured preliminary interviews were completed with CIC representatives.

Key interviews

Following the preliminary interviews, 22 key interviews were completed with 33 key stakeholders in order to obtain informed opinions and perceptions on the relevance, design and implementation, as well as the effectiveness of the Initiative. The stakeholders had the option to participate in the interview alone or as part of a group.
The key stakeholders were selected in consultation with program representatives, based on their knowledge and their participation in the Initiative. To obtain diverse perspectives on the Initiative, the key interviews sample included representatives from various key stakeholder groups:

- CIC representatives: Integration Branch, Immigration Branch, Integration Program Management Branch, and representatives from the regional offices and the international region, Mission in Paris (n=19).
- Members of the Steering Committee and the Implementation Committee (n=5);
- Representatives from the regional coordination networks who were not consulted during the case studies (n=7); and
- Other key stakeholders: Representatives from the Department of Canadian Heritage (n=2).

To prepare for the interview, each stakeholder received a guide with the questions to be discussed. The interviews were conducted in person or by telephone, in the stakeholder’s official language of choice. All data collected was analyzed using NVivo software in order to identify the themes associated with each of the evaluation questions addressed by this line of evidence. (For the interview guides, see the Technical Appendices.)

2.2. Document review

All of the documents relevant to the Initiative were analyzed. The document review helped answer all the questions in the evaluation matrix. The review provided information regarding the relevance of the Initiative, the activities undertaken as part of the Initiative, and the outputs produced and results achieved through the three components of the Initiative.

The list of documents consulted includes:

- The Strategic Plan to Foster Immigration to FMCs
- The Strategic Framework to Foster Immigration to FMCs
- The Roadmap for Canada’s Linguistic Duality 2008–2013
- Speeches from the Throne
- All of the Initiative planning documents, including Treasury Board submissions
- All of the documents on the implementation of activities related to the three components of the Initiative
- Funding records (project proposals, interim and final reports, etc.)
- Background material on the mandate of the various committees (Implementation Committee, Steering Committee)
- Other corporate documents and studies considered relevant

NVivo software was used to organize and analyze the considerable volume of data collected for the document review. (For more information on the documents reviewed, see the Technical Appendices.)
2.3. Administrative data analysis

The analysis of administrative data from a number of administrative systems used by CIC helped answer questions 6, 7 and 8 of the evaluation matrix. The administrative systems are:

- **SAP, CAMS and Regional Reports:** The data from the Integrated Financial and Material Management System, also called SAP, and Regional Reports were analyzed to review actual expenditures (Votes 1 and 5) of the Initiative, and have been compared to the allocated budget. The information from SAP (Vote 5) was compared to the data compiled in the Contribution Agreement Management System (CAMS), as well as to the information on the activities identified in the regional reports forwarded to the Integration Program Management Branch. The information was synthesized from these three data sources in order to create a complete list of projects related to the Initiative, funded by CIC throughout the course of the Roadmap. Only financial data for the 2008–2009 to 2010–2011 projects were available at the time of the evaluation. As a result, the data analysis and the list of projects are for this three-year period.

- **FOSS:** Data from the Field Operations Support System (FOSS) were analyzed in order to better understand the profile of newcomers settling in OLMCs, particularly French-speaking immigrants settling in FMCs. For lack of a more precise definition, FMCs refer to all communities outside Quebec where French-speaking people reside. The statistics on French-speaking newcomers were estimated based on a combination of variables, including mother tongue, official languages spoken and country of birth. The method used to derive this estimate is described in detail in section 3.2 of the Results. In Quebec, the statistics on English-speaking newcomers were estimated based on the number of permanent residents who declared English to be their only official language spoken. The statistics presented on newcomers in OLMCs cover the period from 2003 to 2011.

- **iCAMS:** The data from the Immigration Contribution Accountability Measurement System (iCAMS) were used to calculate and compare the number of clients who received a needs assessment, referral, support, or information service (NARSI) or a community connection service (CC) in an official language or in other languages. In addition, the data on SPOs from this system were analyzed in order to better understand the distribution of services received in French in the census metropolitan areas (CMAs) across Canada, and to contribute to the capacity estimate for the delivery of settlement services in French in regions where CIC is responsible. The statistics presented on clients who received settlement services (NARSI and CC) cover the period from 2005–2006 to 2010–2011.

---

5 SAP is a financial data system in which all of CIC’s committed funds are recorded; it also serves as a central repository of financial data for all contribution agreements.

6 CAMS is an internal database used to track contribution agreements from the proposal stage to the conclusion of an agreement. CAMS includes information on service provider organizations (SPOs) that have submitted a proposal; the funding program involved; the goals, activities and expected outcomes of the proposed project; and the decision on the proposal. It also includes financial data provided by means of an interface with SAP.

7 FOSS is CIC’s primary immigration database. It contains information on temporary and permanent residents who have entered Canada, such as the immigration category, date of birth, gender, country of birth, mother tongue, official languages spoken, etc.

8 iCAMS is an online system that enables SPOs to forward information about their services and clients to CIC.
2.4. Case studies

Eleven case studies were conducted in the following cities: Moncton, St-Léonard, Halifax, Ottawa, Toronto, Winnipeg, Regina, Edmonton, Calgary, Brooks and Vancouver. Several factors influenced the selection of cities for the site visits:

- The concentration of Francophone immigrants in FMCs (e.g.: a number of urban centres with a relatively high concentration of Francophone immigrants and a few rural centres with a lower concentration were selected);
- The location of Francophone immigration networks and program representatives;
- The distribution of funds for settlements services; and
- The funding arrangements (e.g.: the provinces with alternative funding arrangements were included).

The case studies were made up of three main components:

- An in-depth review of documents and internal systems;
- Interviews with relevant stakeholders; and
- Focus groups with clients who received settlement services funded by CIC.

With the exception of questions 2 and 3, the case studies helped answer all of the evaluation matrix questions.

In all, 43 interviews were completed with 59 stakeholders, including representatives from CIC’s regional and local offices, community organizations, Francophone immigration networks and provincial governments.

In addition to interviews, a total of 10 focus groups were conducted in each of the cities visited (except Brooks). These groups were made up of six to ten French-speaking newcomers (including some refugees).

The documents, interviews and notes relating to the case studies were analyzed through using NVivo software in order to facilitate organizing the information and comparing the perspectives of the various key stakeholder groups. (For tools in support of case studies, see the Technical Appendices.)

2.5. Methodological limitations

The main methodological limitations are largely related to the analysis of administrative data.

The review of data from SAP, CAMS and the information identified in the regional reports showed some inconsistencies as to what constitutes a project under the Initiative (Vote 5). As a result, the data from these three data sources were integrated in order to make a single list of projects related to the Initiative. Consequently, the level of investment for the various projects identified on the list does not directly correspond to the budget allocations for these activities, but reflects the scope of activities related to the goals of the Initiative in a more comprehensive way.

The definition adopted in the Strategic Plan clearly states the criteria to be used to define a French-speaking immigrant. However, there is currently no one single validated and accepted method to measure this definition. The evaluation had to estimate the population of
French-speaking newcomers in minority communities by using approximate measurements derived from data in FOSS. FOSS does not note the official language of choice or the language used by the newcomer. Only the information on official languages spoken (based on unverified self-identification, and excluding any information on the proficiency level or usage) and mother tongue was available at the time of evaluation. The estimate of the number “French-speaking” newcomers as defined in the Strategic Plan raised an issue in this evaluation, which is explored in section 3.2 of the report on Results.

The figures in iCAMS may underestimate the level of service provided in French for NARSI and CC activities. Other evaluations on the components of the Settlement Program demonstrated the under-representation of service providers in iCAMS, which could cause an under-representation of clients for certain years in the period observed in this evaluation. Moreover, in July 2010, the rules regarding the method of reporting for service providers was changed. Thus, although the results from iCAMS indicate the overall direction of trends regarding the level of service provided in French, the results must be interpreted with caution.

9 Sources: Evaluation of the Immigrant Settlement and Adaptation Program (September 2011) and Evaluation of the Host Program (September 2010).
3. Evaluation findings

3.1. Relevance

This section presents the evaluation findings related to the relevance of the Initiative. The information is grouped by evaluation question and based on all of the research methods described in section 2.0.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Is this initiative consistent with federal roles and responsibilities? (Q.3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The initiative reflects federal government roles and responsibilities with respect to immigration and integration and the role of the provinces and territories in this regard. The federal government is also best placed to facilitate the coordination of efforts in this area. The federal government’s efforts also reflect its obligations to contribute to the vitality and development of OLMCs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The federal government has had a longstanding constitutional responsibility in the area of immigration. Even if it is a shared jurisdiction in which provinces can intervene through legislative, regulatory or programming measures, the federal government exercises overriding authority. In other words, provincial governments can intervene in the area of immigration, provided that this intervention remains aligned with the federal government’s efforts.

In the area of settlement support for newcomers, Canada has developed a regionally-adapted model:

- *Canada-Quebec Accord relating to the Immigration and Temporary Admission of Aliens*, signed in 1991, gives Quebec selection powers and control over its own settlement services.
- The agreements signed between Canada and Manitoba and British Columbia respectively enable these two provinces to implement their own settlement support programs for newcomers, provided that these programs are consistent with the purposes of the settlement support programs that the federal government develops in the other provinces or territories.

The Initiative directly reflects this policy framework. First, as previously mentioned in subsection 1.2, the Initiative has virtually no activities in Quebec. In addition, the Initiative does not directly fund settlement activities in Manitoba or British Columbia. It should be noted, however, that the agreements signed between Canada and these two provinces include specific provisions for OLMCs in these two provinces, committing their respective provincial governments to promote and facilitate the settlement of French-speaking newcomers.

Furthermore, during consultations held for this evaluation, all the groups emphasized the federal government’s unique role with respect to language development for newcomers to the country. The federal government is clearly alone in its understanding of the bigger picture of immigration in Canada. Beyond the agreements that it signs with the provinces, the federal government is able to facilitate the coordination and sharing of information and best practices among all stakeholders in the field of immigration, including service providers from across the country. The federal government.

---

10 Section 95 of the *Constitution Act*, 1867 provides that “any Law of the Legislature of a Province relative to Agriculture or to Immigration shall have effect in and for the Province as long and as far only as it is not repugnant to any Act of the Parliament of Canada.”

11 On April 12, 2012, CIC announced the federal government’s intention to resume the management of settlement services in Manitoba and British Columbia. The change will take place in compliance with the current terms. See: [www.cic.gc.ca/english/department/media/releases/2012/2012-04-12.asp](http://www.cic.gc.ca/english/department/media/releases/2012/2012-04-12.asp)
government also has a lead role in providing reception and settlement assistance to refugees, which of course includes French-speaking refugees.

The CIC representatives consulted for this evaluation emphasized that the federal government has historically played a crucial role in promoting official languages in Canada. In the immigration context, many provincial governments primarily target economic objectives—not always objectives that are related to the promotion of OLMCs—as was shown in the recent evaluation of the Provincial Nominee Program.\textsuperscript{12}

The steps CIC has undertaken in official languages are consistent with its legislative obligations under the \textit{Official Languages Act} and the \textit{Immigration and Refugee Protection Act}. Accordingly:

- Section 41 (Part VII) of the \textit{Official Languages Act} commits the Department, as well the federal government on the whole, to “enhancing the vitality of the English and French linguistic minority communities in Canada and supporting and assisting their development.” To accomplish this, all federal departments are expected to take “positive measures to implement this commitment.”

- In addition, the \textit{Immigration and Refugee Protection Act}, which CIC enforces, specifies at paragraph 3.(1)(b.1) that its purpose is “to support and assist the development of minority official languages communities in Canada.”

These obligations were first reflected in the 2003 Action Plan for Official Languages and, subsequently, in the 2008 Roadmap for Linguistic Duality.

In summary, the Initiative is consistent with not only the distribution of roles and responsibilities in the immigration field, but also the federal government’s fundamental role in the promotion of official languages and linguistic duality.

### Is the initiative aligned with CIC and GoC priorities? (Q.2)

The Initiative is still aligned with CIC and GoC priorities with respect to Official Language Minority Communities (OLMCs). This commitment can be found within CIC’s Program Activity Architecture, and, across the federal government, within the \textit{Roadmap for Linguistic Duality}. However, other federal and provincial government departments should be more involved.

The contribution of immigration to the development of OLMCs was first recognized formally through the adoption, in 2001, of the new \textit{Immigration and Refugee Protection Act} (see paragraph 3.(1)b, quoted above). This Act created a legislative obligation for the federal government—specifically for CIC—to implement the measures required for immigration in Canada to contribute to the development of OLMCs, not to their demographic weakening.

CIC’s priority focuses on Francophone minority communities outside Quebec, considering the limited role of the federal government in the context of immigration and integration in Quebec (as previously mentioned).\textsuperscript{13} Specifically with regard to immigration, the 2003 Action Plan for Official Languages confirmed that Francophone immigration to OLMCs was becoming a policy priority:

With the Action Plan, the Government will do more in this area. In concert with its provincial, territorial and community partners, it will conduct market studies and design promotional materials for distribution abroad. In addition, it will support information


\textsuperscript{13} The \textit{Immigration and Refugee Protection Act} came into force on June 28, 2002.
centre projects for French-speaking immigrants and distance education French courses sensitive to newcomers’ needs.14

As this report noted in section 1.2, the Roadmap renewed the federal government’s policy commitment to Francophone immigration by focusing on promotion abroad, integration services, and research and coordination.

This political commitment was operationalized through CIC’s Program Activity Architecture (PAA)—a document that has not only been adopted by CIC’s highest authorities, but also by the Treasury Board Secretariat of Canada on behalf of the federal government. The third strategic outcome of the PAA aims for “newcomers and citizens [to] participate to their full potential in fostering an integrated society.” To that end, program activity 3.1 focuses on the settlement and integration of newcomers and includes sub-component 3.1.2.7, which describes the sub-sub-activity of “Support for Official Language Minority Communities”, which ensures the coordination of all the Initiative’s activities.

The operationalization of this government priority—promotion, recruitment and settlement of French-speaking newcomers in OLMCs—is not exclusively a CIC effort. The CIC representatives consulted in the conduct of this evaluation stressed the importance of the role played by other federal departments in this regard. Specifically, they noted the role played by other departments with respect to issues related to health (Health Canada), to economic integration (Human Resources and Skills Development Canada) and to foreign students (Foreign Affairs and International Trade Canada).

The CIC representatives also stressed the essential role played by the provincial governments, particularly through the Provincial Nominee Program. In that regard, the recent evaluation of the Provincial Nominee Program (PNP), which was mentioned previously, noted that “there has been limited focus on the federal objective of encouraging the development of Official Language Minority Communities (OLMCs), with only three PTs identifying it as a priority for their PNP s.” On that basis, the following recommendation was made in the evaluation:

CIC should work with PTs to strengthen the focus on the PNP objective of encouraging the development of Official Language Minority Communities (OLMCs).

Given the limited success in meeting this objective the department should review how to best incorporate it into the program design and delivery.15

In short, the activities for facilitating the recruitment and integration of French-speaking newcomers to OLMCs are consistent with the priorities of the federal government, particularly CIC, in addition to calling on other stakeholders, such as the provincial governments.

Is there a continued need for the recruitment and integration of French-speaking immigrants into FMCs? (Q.1)

Recruitment and integration needs of French-speaking immigrants in FMCs remain. In 2006, the GoC and the FMCs adopted a Strategic Plan which aims, among other things, to increase the proportion of French-speaking newcomers settling in these communities. This objective directly aligns with the Department’s legislative obligations with respect to the development and vitality of OLMCs.

Canada’s population is steadily growing, and immigration contributes to this in a significant way. Thus, unless a sufficient number of French-speaking newcomers settle in FMCs, their demographic weight is expected to decline. In this regard, Census data reported that between 1991 and 2006, the total population of FMCs increased by about 50,000 in absolute numbers. However, as shown in Figure 2, the relative weight of these FMCs decreased from 4.8% of the total population outside Quebec in 1991 to 4.1% in 2006.

This reduction creates several challenges and, in particular, could have a negative impact on the institutional development of FMCs. All of the groups consulted in the conduct of this evaluation indicated that the recruitment of French-speaking newcomers is a significant strategy for maintaining and consolidating a number of Francophone institutions—particularly schools—but also other services such as health care. The recruitment of French-speaking newcomers was also seen by the groups consulted as pursuing economic objectives, in order to meet the need for bilingual personnel and to support innovation by allowing new approaches to be integrated into a Canadian context.

Figure 2: Proportion of the Francophone population outside Quebec (mother tongue)

On the basis of this logic, the 2003 Strategic Framework and the 2006 Strategic Plan established a specific target that 4.4% of newcomers outside of Quebec should be French-speaking (the concept of what defines a “French-speaking” immigrant is discussed in more detail in evaluation question 7). The 4.4% figure represents the demographic weight of FMCs at the time of the 2001 Census (see Figure 3), the only figure available when the Strategic Framework was being developed in 2003. As described in greater detail in this report at evaluation question 7, although the number of newcomers settling in FMCs has increased since 2003, the 4.4% target has not yet been reached.

Also, the interprovincial migration of Francophones between Quebec and the rest of the country has a limited impact on the number of French-speaking newcomers, according to census data. In other words, if some Quebec Francophones move outside Quebec, the reverse is also true. Thus, as shown in Figure 3, the interprovincial migration of Francophones (whether newcomers or not) between Quebec and the rest of Canada fluctuated somewhat between 1991 and 2006, resulting in
a net gain of 2,700 towards FMCs. The province that benefited the most from the interprovincial migration of Francophones was Alberta, followed by British Columbia and Ontario.

**Figure 3:** Net interprovincial migration of Francophones outside Quebec to Quebec

![Net interprovincial migration of Francophones outside Quebec to Quebec](chart)

Source: Census data, 1991 to 2006

### 3.2. Results

This section of the report focuses specifically on the results achieved through the Initiative. Once again, the information is based on all of the research methods used for this evaluation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Have the main partners undertaken coordination, collaboration and research activities to support the implementation of the initiative? (Q.4)</td>
<td>Coordination and collaboration have continued to mobilize many resources involved in the Initiative. The Steering Committee continued to offer a national platform for collaboration to facilitate information sharing and coordination among the various federal, provincial and community players. In addition, discussion forums are now in place in all regions of the country. The challenge now is to ensure coordination between the national and regional levels. Research has also helped provide a better understanding of the main characteristics of Francophone immigration outside Quebec. That said, it is difficult to predict what mechanism will ensure the promotion of this research in the absence of Metropolis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are communications, relationships and information-sharing among program stakeholders effective? (Q.10)</td>
<td>Coordination and collaboration have continued to mobilize many resources involved in the Initiative. The Steering Committee continued to offer a national platform for collaboration to facilitate information sharing and coordination among the various federal, provincial and community players. In addition, discussion forums are now in place in all regions of the country. The challenge now is to ensure coordination between the national and regional levels. Research has also helped provide a better understanding of the main characteristics of Francophone immigration outside Quebec. That said, it is difficult to predict what mechanism will ensure the promotion of this research in the absence of Metropolis.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To facilitate the presentation of findings related to this question, coordination and cooperation activities are addressed separately from research activities.

**Coordination and collaboration activities**

With regard to coordination and collaboration, the Initiative benefited from the current national coordination structures and the activities of the Francophone immigration networks.

**The Steering Committee and the Implementation Committee**

The Steering Committee was created in 2002. As such, it is not a structure that is directly attributable to the Initiative. However, the Fédération des communautés francophones et acadienne (FCFA) du Canada receives funds from CIC through a contribution agreement in support of the Steering Committee, the Implementation Committee and working groups that support the operationalization of the Steering Committee’s decisions.
In addition to preceding the Initiative, the Steering Committee’s mandate goes beyond the framework of the Initiative. The implementation of the entire 2006 Strategic Plan is the Steering Committee’s mandate. There are about 60 members on this committee, including representatives from federal departments other than CIC, as well as representatives from CIC, provincial governments and communities.

Although the work of the Steering Committee exceeds the scope of the Initiative, the data gathered for this evaluation shows that this work facilitated the implementation of the Initiative. In fact, the CIC representatives consulted all stressed the importance of the coordination effort made by the Steering Committee and the Implementation Committee. No other platform allows federal, provincial and community representatives to discuss the directions to foster in the Francophone immigration file. CIC representatives also stressed that the Steering Committee sets a national vision for Francophone immigration in OLMCs that reflects the specific characteristics of each region, particularly in light of the agreements signed in Manitoba and in British Columbia.

The community representatives consulted for this evaluation largely echoed the CIC representatives’ input. They systematically value the contribution made by the Steering Committee in the implementation of activities in support of Francophone immigration, which of course includes all activities funded by the Initiative.

Although the scope of this evaluation does not include a detailed analysis of the Steering Committee’s strengths and weaknesses, it was noted that all of the consulted groups reported the challenge associated in working within a structure with some 60 members. Operational burden becomes inevitable. Despite this, it is hoped that these structures will be maintained and will be adapted in the future to facilitate the participation of municipal authorities, whose role in the settlement of newcomers has become increasingly recognized.

**Francophone immigration networks**

The Initiative also made considerable investments across the country in support of the work of Francophone immigration networks.

At the time of the evaluation, there were 13 Francophone immigration networks and one working committee:

- In the Atlantic region, there are Francophone immigration networks in Prince Edward Island, Nova Scotia and New Brunswick, in addition to an Atlantic network led by the Société nationale de l’Acadie. Though it is not formally a network, there is also an immigration working committee in Newfoundland.

- Ontario has three Francophone immigration networks—one for the Eastern region, one for the West South Central region and one for the Northern region of the province.

- In the West, there are Francophone immigration networks in Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta and British Columbia.

- Finally, in the North, there are Francophone immigration networks in Yukon and the Northwest Territories.

All of the groups consulted for this evaluation reported that consolidating the Francophone immigration networks throughout the country was a major achievement of the Initiative. Considering the range of partners that must cooperate to effectively support the settlement of
French-speaking newcomers in OLMCs, these networks represent a unique coordination structure that could not have been achieved without the Initiative.

Each network develops its own action plan for documenting the needs of French-speaking newcomers within the targeted area, as well as the distribution of roles and responsibilities in order to facilitate their settlement and longer-term integration.

The CIC representatives and community groups consulted for this evaluation noted that, in Manitoba and British Columbia, where the development of settlement programs is largely the responsibility of provincial governments, francophone immigration networks made it possible to articulate a shared vision for stakeholders, taking into account this particular context.

Francophone immigration networks also make it possible to coordinate the participation of various stakeholders in promotional activities abroad through the Destination Canada initiative.

As for the challenges Francophone immigration networks are facing, the consultations held in relation to this evaluation led to the following points:

- The financial stability of the networks is uncertain. Not only is funding difficult to predict, but it is also often granted late within a fiscal year, limiting the capacity of organizations to implement their planned activities.

- Some CIC representatives noted that the networks’ activities are not always well adapted to national approaches. There is a certain disconnect between work done at the regional and national levels. In addition, the lack of consistency between the networks intensifies this issue, as it makes it difficult to have a good overall view of the work of the networks.

Some CIC representatives and community organizations also noted that the networks must go beyond generating awareness in order to undertake activities that have a direct impact on the recruitment and integration of French-speaking newcomers. Each network must be able to give itself real and achievable goals and be able to adequately document the activities and the impact of its work.

**Research activities**

**Overview of research activities**

Documenting the settlement and integration process of French-speaking newcomers is also a priority under the Initiative. To do this, CIC funded almost 50 research projects on this issue during the first three years of the Initiative. It is important to note that many of these projects were funded outside the framework of this Initiative, although they specifically deal with immigration to OLMCs.

All of the groups consulted for this evaluation recognized the positive contribution the research projects have made. These activities made it possible to build statistical portraits of newcomers in minority communities, to document best practices and to explore the consultation structures that have been used to date to coordinate efforts in the area of settlement support. The research projects also addressed various immigration-related topics, such as education, health, integration and multiculturalism.

Some of these research projects were carried out in close collaboration with other federal departments; namely, a research project on the economic integration of French-speaking newcomers done in collaboration with the Department of Human Resources and Skills.
Development Canada and a study done in collaboration with Statistics Canada on the demographic, linguistic, social and economic characteristics of Francophone immigration.

**Research conducted in Quebec**

Lastly, it should be noted that approximately $63,000 was committed annually by the Initiative to the Quebec regional office in order to support research projects on the settlement of Anglophone newcomers in the province. This was the only funding the Initiative granted for activities taking place in Quebec or affecting Anglophone newcomers in minority communities in the province.

Since 2003, on average, Quebec has welcomed slightly over 8,000 newcomers a year who are able to speak English but not French. This group accounted for an average of 17% of all newcomers settling in Quebec. The research supported by the Quebec regional office therefore made it possible to document the challenges these newcomers have to face.

As mentioned earlier, the *Canada-Quebec Accord* provides Quebec with selection authorities and with responsibility for its own settlement services. As a result, the scope of possible CIC actions in Quebec with regard to support for English-speaking minority communities is limited.

CIC maintains ties with the representatives of Quebec’s Anglophone communities to, among other things, meet its legal obligations. In addition, through the *Roadmap*, CIC allocated funds for research projects in support of Quebec’s Anglophone communities. This funding is not targeted toward OLMCs, but is nonetheless part of a larger strategy to research and share knowledge about the settlement and integration of newcomers in OLMCs. However, given that the agreement signed between Canada and Quebec provides that this province is exclusively responsible for the development and implementation of settlement programs, it is difficult to determine the impact of these research activities on the programming offered to Quebec newcomers.

**Metropolis events**

The groups consulted for this evaluation stressed the significant contribution of the Metropolis research project, which made it possible for an extensive network of researchers to share their work on a multitude of immigration topics and on the settlement and integration process. In recent years, Metropolis has held events specific to the issue of Francophone immigration in OLMCs. For example, on February 29, 2012, Metropolis held a pre-conference session on Francophone immigration in Canada.

Funding for Metropolis events, which was provided by CIC and the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council (SSHRC), came to an end on March 31, 2012. In light of this, the stakeholders consulted for this evaluation spoke about the importance of developing a new structure to pursue information sharing activities between researchers with an interest in immigration.

---

**Are French-speaking foreign nationals aware of opportunities to immigrate to FMCs? (Q.5)**

CIC has implemented several initiatives that give foreign citizens a better understanding of opportunities within FMCs. Destination Canada remains a particularly popular event, and administrative data show the sustained engagement of various stakeholders in the country (federal government, provincial governments, community organizations, etc.).
**Destination Canada**

**Description of activities**

Destination Canada is an annual event to promote Francophone immigration to OLMCs. Launched in 2003 by CIC, the event is organized by the Canadian Embassy in Paris and supported by public agencies for employment and international mobility in France and Belgium (Pôle emploi international, the Service public wallon de l’emploi et de la formation (Forem), the BIJOB/Actiris Brussels International Jobcentre for the Brussels-Capital region and the Flanders public employment service (VDAB)).

The main objective of Destination Canada is to establish direct ties between Francophones residing in certain targeted Francophone countries and employers and other stakeholders from various FMCs. This event aims to promote the socio-economic advantages of the various FMCs to people who are seeking to immigrate to Canada.

In addition to the funds invested in Vote 1 within CIC to organize the activities related to Destination Canada, the Department signed memoranda of understanding with the provinces and territories to financially support their participation in Destination Canada activities. During the first three fiscal years covered under the Roadmap, a total of $671,510 was invested through these memoranda of understanding.\(^{16}\)

The activities held as part of Destination Canada are as follows:

- The organization of a Canadian delegation that travels to Europe to meet potential French-speaking immigrants. For example, and as shown in Table 3, a delegation of 100 people travelled to Europe during Destination Canada 2011. The delegation included representatives from eight provinces and two territories, as well as representatives from employers, economic development organizations and municipalities. A total of 110 companies were present or represented during this edition of Destination Canada.

**Table 3: Destination Canada statistics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Canadian participation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provinces and territories represented</td>
<td>10 P / 2 T</td>
<td>10 P / 2 T</td>
<td>9 P / 2 T</td>
<td>8 P / 2 T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Canadian participants</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of companies present or represented</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position profiles</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of positions</td>
<td>+ 1,300</td>
<td>+ 1,500</td>
<td>+ 1,500</td>
<td>+ 1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Activities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant applications</td>
<td>10,100</td>
<td>12,600</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>12,381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of participants</td>
<td>2,388</td>
<td>2,200</td>
<td>2,600</td>
<td>2,695</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Destination Canada Activity Reports (CIC International Region)

---

\(^{16}\) On April 12, 2012, CIC indicated the federal government’s intention to stop funding the participation of the provinces, territories and stakeholders in promotional and recruitment activities to foster immigrant settlement in FMCs. As such, from now on, they will be responsible for their participation in these recruitment activities.
• Once on site, members of the Canadian delegation meet people who qualified to participate in the Destination Canada forums. As indicated in Table 3, just over 12,000 people applied to participate in this forum in 2011. Of those, about 2,700 people were selected to participate.

• In 2011, the employers who participated in Destination Canada arrived in Europe with 315 position profiles to fill in Canada. A “position profile” may include more than one offer of employment. As such, in 2011, the 315 position profiles represented more than 1,500 positions to be filled.

• In addition to the activities directly organized by the Canadian Embassy in Paris, provincial governments may also organize complimentary activities. For example, in 2010, the delegates from New Brunswick organized eight presentations to groups of 250 people and conducted 200 individual meetings.

Scope of activities

Initially, in 2004, Destination Canada targeted France and Belgium and, a few years later, Tunisia. Stakeholders consulted for this evaluation stated that if these three countries are unquestionably important partners, it may be useful to expand the scope of Destination Canada to appeal to other Francophone countries.  

Table 4: French-speaking newcomers\(^{18}\) (outside QC) for the top 10 source countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country of birth</th>
<th>Total number who immigrated to a FMC between 2003 and 2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lebanon</td>
<td>4,844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic Republic of the Congo</td>
<td>4,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>4,365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>3,340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritius</td>
<td>3,176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>3,052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>1,639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republic of Cameroon</td>
<td>1,552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burundi</td>
<td>1,440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other countries</td>
<td>11,117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All French-speaking newcomers</td>
<td>39,848</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: RDM, permanent residents, February 2012 (FOSS)

\(^{17}\) The visa office in Damascus planned a Francophone promotional event in Beirut (Lebanon) in 2011, but the region’s political instability prevented the event from being held. The office in Damascus has since closed.

\(^{18}\) The number of French-speaking newcomers was calculated using the third measurement, described in the section on question 7.
As shown in Table 4, of the top 10 source countries for French-speaking newcomers settling in FMCs, only France is currently being directly targeted by Destination Canada. These figures also indicate that a significant proportion of French-speaking newcomers come from sub-Saharan African countries, such as the Democratic Republic of the Congo, the Republic of Cameroon, Burundi and Rwanda. In fact, of all French-speaking newcomers who settled in FMCs between 2003 and 2011, approximately 40% came from the sub-Saharan African region, which is not targeted by Destination Canada’s activities.

Other promotional activities

While Destination Canada may be considered the flagship activity in the area of promotion, CIC also undertakes other activities with the same objective throughout the year.

Information sessions and Salon de l’étude

Each year, CIC organizes a series of information sessions for potential French-speaking immigrants and foreign students. These sessions are intended to raise awareness about Canada’s different regions and their sectors of economic activity. Participants can learn more about temporary or permanent immigration programs and settlement services offered. Sessions dedicated to students make it possible to inform them about the various programs of study offered in Canada, including related opportunities for temporary work.

In 2009, over 50 information sessions were held in France and Belgium, bringing together over 3,000 participants. In 2011, the number of information sessions increased to 83, with nearly 4,000 participants from France, Belgium and Switzerland. In addition, information sessions and pre-departure sessions were added in Bucharest (Romania), Chisinau (Moldova), Mexico City (Mexico), Rabat (Morocco), Sofia (Bulgaria) and Tunis (Tunisia).

Media trips

Organized by Public Affairs at the Canadian Embassy in Paris, in collaboration with CIC, media trips provide an opportunity for foreign journalists to travel to FMCs and document their “Canadian” experience. To date, journalists from France, Belgium, Switzerland and Africa have participated in this activity. Activities such as meetings are organized between journalists and Francophone organizations that work to support the settlement of French-speaking newcomers. The meetings also provide an occasion to promote business opportunities in FMCs.

One trip took place in 2009–2010, and three trips took place during the 2010–2011 fiscal year. After these trips, articles were published in several Francophone newspapers and magazines abroad. For the purposes of this evaluation, it was not possible to measure the impact of these articles on the expected outcomes of the Initiative.19

Networking trips

Networking trips provide an opportunity for CIC representatives and partners abroad to hold meetings in FMCs in order to market their promotional activities, such as Destination Canada. These meetings are particularly geared toward Canadian employers to encourage them to benefit from Destination Canada’s activities by providing them with information on promotional activities and on how to participate. These trips also provide CIC and its partners with an opportunity to

---

19 CIC recently indicated its intention to cease funding for these media trips.
better understand the labour-force needs of employers in FMCs. The stakeholders consulted for this evaluation stressed the importance of employers actively participating because, as previously mentioned, an offer of employment substantially increases the quality of an application to immigrate.

In 2008–2009, a networking trip was organized in the Atlantic Provinces. In 2009–2010, networking trips were organized in Saskatchewan, British Columbia, Ontario and New Brunswick. In 2010–2011, three trips took place in eight provinces and territories across Canada.

**Conveying Canada’s linguistic reality**

The challenge of convincing a Francophone who lives in a foreign country to settle in a FMC is being addressed through the promotional activities supported by the initiative. Once selected as a permanent resident in Canada, the next challenge is to integrate into a community with a socio-economic and linguistic profile suited to that individual. The newcomers consulted for this evaluation reported that there are still many misperceptions of Canada’s linguistic reality.

New technologies have made it increasingly possible for French-speaking newcomers to obtain information on the FMCs that they are preparing to join. During group discussions held with newcomers, participants indicated that they consulted various websites, including some service provider websites. Other participants turned more towards promotional activities such as Destination Canada, or family members who have already settled in Canada, or an immigration consultant.

It is clearly difficult to fully understand all aspects of bilingualism and linguistic duality in a country as vast as Canada. For some French-speaking newcomers consulted for this evaluation, the choice to settle in Canada but outside Quebec was motivated by the desire to learn the English language (namely to broaden their economic horizons), while continuing to use French. For others, the priority was to settle in a community with a strong Francophone presence in order to be able to continue living in French. Finally, for other French-speaking newcomers, only once settled in a province other than Quebec did they realize the importance of learning the English language. The various expectations, combined with the linguistic reality of the selected FMC, can lead to situations in which the expectations of a French-speaking newcomer will not necessarily be met.

---

**Have the initiatives helped to achieve the objectives set in terms of the number of French-speaking immigrants going to FMCs? (Q.7)**

Since the adoption of the Strategic Framework in 2003, the federal government and FMCs have pursued an objective (4.4%) that has still not been attained. However, there has been an increase in the number of French-speaking newcomers settling in FMCs. In fact, the data available at the time of the evaluation show that the interim objective of 1.8% set by CIC was attained. However, the method that should be used to measure this objective must be discussed and validated with relevant stakeholders.

It is important to note that the promotional activities undertaken through the Initiative (like Destination Canada) do not necessarily facilitate the selection process. Moreover, it is not possible to establish a direct causal link between these activities and the progress observed in terms of the number of French-speaking newcomers settling in FMCs.
**Setting an objective**

In 2003, the Strategic Framework established the objective that “at least 4.4 percent of immigrants to Canada outside Quebec are French-speaking in 2008.”\(^\text{20}\) The logic underlying this objective is that, if 4.4% of all newcomers who settle outside of Quebec are French-speaking, immigration will contribute to maintain the relative demographic weight of FMCs.

According to the 2001 census, 4.4 percent of the Canadian population residing outside Quebec had French as their mother tongue.

Objective 1 of the Strategic Framework indicates that, if FMCs are to benefit from immigration and maintain their long-term demographic weight, they will have to attract and retain at least the same percentage of French-speaking immigrants (4.4 percent).\(^\text{21}\)

Thus, the 4.4% objective is based on the demographic weight of the entire Canadian Francophone population outside Quebec, which was measured on the basis of mother tongue, using data from the 2001 census.

Based on experience acquired since 2003, CIC redefined its objective regarding the number of French-speaking newcomers settling in FMCs. Accordingly, the Department set short- and long-term objectives:

- That 1.8% of the total number of immigrants to Canada settling outside Quebec are French-speaking by 2013.
- That 4.4% of the total number of immigrants to Canada settling outside Quebec are French-speaking by 2023.\(^\text{22}\)

**Measuring progress**

The definition of a French-speaking newcomer has evolved since the Strategic Framework was introduced in 2003. The accepted definition was developed in the 2006 Strategic Plan.

A French-speaking immigrant is an immigrant whose mother tongue is French, or whose first official language is French if the mother tongue is a language other than French or English.\(^\text{23}\)

As previously mentioned, the definition adopted in the Strategic Plan clearly indicates the criteria to be used to identify who is a French-speaking immigrant, but there is currently no one single validated and accepted method to count the number of French-speaking immigrants using that definition. The challenge is in the interpretation of the second part of the definition, namely “[if the immigrant’s] first official language is French if the mother tongue is a language other than French or English.”

---


\(^{21}\) Citizenship and Immigration Canada. (2006). Strategic Plan to Foster Immigration to Francophone Minority Communities. Ottawa, p. 4


The Strategic Plan highlighted the importance of the measure by noting that “CIC must improve its capacity to measure immigrants’ knowledge of Canada’s official languages in order to determine more precisely the changes in demographics for immigration to FMCs.”

Despite this challenge, there is a clear trend: the number of French-speaking newcomers settling outside of Quebec has been on the rise since 2003.

For the purposes of this evaluation, three measures were used to examine trends in the number of French-speaking newcomers settling outside Quebec. First, the “mother tongue” criterion was considered because it makes up the first part of the definition set out in the 2006 Strategic Plan. Next, two derived measures that incorporate the second part of this definition (mentioned above) were considered. These last measures offer two different interpretations of the second part of the definition.

It is important to point out that FOSS does not contain data that could directly measure the concept of the “first official language” contained in the second part of the definition. The number of newcomers who meet this criterion must be estimated. The only language knowledge variables at this time are mother tongue and official languages spoken. The two derived measures, which incorporate the second part of the definition in the 2006 Strategic Plan, are based on these two variables, but with a few variations, based on different interpretations of this definition.

- **The first measure** of French-speaking immigrants includes permanent residents whose mother tongue is French.

- **The second measure** of French-speaking immigrants combines the population of permanent residents whose mother tongue is French with a second population of permanent residents whose mother tongue is a language other than French and whose first official language is French (excluding those who speak both French and English). This measure takes into account that there are French-speaking permanent residents in Canada whose mother tongue is a language other than French. However, it does not take into account that there also may be permanent residents whose mother tongue is other than French or English, who can speak these two languages, but whose first official language spoken is French.

- **The third measure** of French-speaking immigrants, which is explored in greater depth in this evaluation, expands on the last measure by adding a third population of permanent residents whose mother tongue is a language other than French or English, and whose official languages spoken are French and English, but who come from a country that has been designated “Francophone.” This measure attempts to include permanent residents who have the ability to

---


25 It is important to note that CIC recently amended the application for permanent residence form (IMM8). The revised form includes a new field on knowledge of official languages that could be considered in the future to estimate the population of French-speaking newcomers, but this field will require validation.

26 This measure was used by CIC for a presentation to the Standing Committee on Official Languages in 2012.

27 For the purposes of this evaluation, 43 countries and territories were designated “Francophone countries,” where French was either an official language or a common language used. They include: Algeria, Andorra, Belgium, People's Republic of Benin, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Federal Republic of Cameroon, Central African Republic, Republic of Chad, Comoros, Democratic Republic of the Congo, People’s Republic of the Congo, Djibouti, France, French Guiana, French Polynesia, Republic of Gabon, Guadeloupe, Equatorial Guinea, Guinea, Haiti, Côte d’Ivoire, Lebanon, Luxembourg, Madagascar, Republic of Mali, Martinique, Mauritania, Mauritius, Mayotte, Monaco, Morocco, New Caledonia, Niger, Réunion, Rwanda, Senegal, Seychelles, Saint Pierre and Miquelon, Switzerland, Republic of Togo,
speak both official languages, but only those who would more likely use French in their daily lives.

Figure 4 provides an illustration of the trend in Francophone immigration outside Quebec through these three measures.

- Using the first measure of mother tongue only: the number of newcomers settling outside Quebec increased from 728 in 2003 to 1,614 in 2011 (for a total of 12,653 during this period).
- Using the second measure: the number of newcomers settling outside Quebec increased from 1,830 in 2003 to 3,543 in 2011 (for a total of 25,726 during this period).
- Using the third measure: the number of newcomers settling outside Quebec increased from 2,968 in 2003 to 5,279 in 2011 (for a total of 39,848 during this period).

**Figure 4:** Number of French-speaking newcomers in FMCs using the three measures

If these figures are converted into a percentage of the 1.8 million newcomers who have settled outside Quebec since 2003, according to the second measure, the Initiative attained the interim objective of 1.8% in 2011, and according to the third measure, the Initiative attained it in 2004. (See Figure 5).

**Figure 5:** Percentage of French-speaking newcomers in FMCs using the three measures

Tunisia, Vanuatu. Source: en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_countries_where_French_is_an_official_language and cuip.uchicago.edu/~ddelaney/paysfrancophone.html#note

28 It is important to note that this measure was not adopted or approved by CIC or FMCs. This measure was developed for the purposes of this evaluation to estimate the number of newcomers whose “first official language” is French.
**Profile of French-speaking newcomers**

Based on the third measure, some trends were noted in the profile of French-speaking newcomers who settled in FMCs between 2003 and 2011. These trends were compared with trends observed for all newcomers outside Quebec (see Table 5).

**Table 5: Profile of French-speaking newcomers in FMCs (2003–2011)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>French-speaking newcomers in FMCs (according to the third measure)</th>
<th>All newcomers outside Quebec (including those who speak French)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n = 39,848)</td>
<td>(n = 1,819,229)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immigration category</td>
<td>• 51% are economic class immigrants (principal applicants or their spouses and/or dependants)</td>
<td>• 58% are economic class immigrants (principal applicants or their spouses and/or dependants)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 23% arrived through family reunification</td>
<td>• 27% arrived through family reunification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 22% are refugees</td>
<td>• 11% are refugees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country of birth (top three source countries)</td>
<td>• Lebanon (12%)</td>
<td>• People’s Republic of China (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Democratic Republic of the Congo (11%)</td>
<td>• India (14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• France (11%)</td>
<td>• Philippines (11%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group</td>
<td>• 54% are 25 to 44 years old</td>
<td>• 48% are 25 to 44 years old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 17% are 15 to 24 years old</td>
<td>• 15% are 15 to 24 years old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 16% are 0 to 14 years old</td>
<td>• 21% are 0 to 14 years old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of education</td>
<td>• 32% have a university degree (bachelor’s, master’s or doctorate)</td>
<td>• 35% have a university degree (bachelor’s, master’s or doctorate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intention to work</td>
<td>• 58% arrived in Canada intending to work</td>
<td>• 51% arrived in Canada intending to work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The intention to work of the remaining 42% was unknown (69% of this group are children 15 years of age and under, students 15 years of age and older, and retirees 15 years of age and older)</td>
<td>• The intention to work of the remaining 49% was unknown (71% of this group are children 15 years old and under, students 15 years of age and older, and retirees 15 years of age and older)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: RDM, permanent residents, February 2012 (FOSS)

**Impact of promotional activities**

The very process of selecting immigrants to Canada presents a systemic limitation to the success of promotional activities (such as Destination Canada). Destination Canada and other activities are designed to promote immigration to FMCs, the logic being that once convinced of the advantages of FMCs, a Francophone living abroad will apply to immigrate to a FMC. At this stage, however, the Francophone applicant has no particular status, and the application is considered like any other application to immigrate to Canada. While Destination Canada and other related activities have an impact on overseas promotion of Francophone immigration to FMCs, these efforts have no impact on the immigrant selection process.

In order to maximize the chances that a Francophone living abroad may in fact immigrate to a FMC, Destination Canada organizers have relied on high levels of participation by employers with job offers in hand. Having a job offer does not guarantee that an application will be approved, but it does strengthen the applicant’s file. Some provisions also facilitate the entry of temporary workers. Temporary residents are targeted by the Initiative to the extent that they can transition to permanent residence (for example, through the Canadian Experience Class). However, temporary...
residents (with the exception of live-in caregivers) are not eligible for CIC-funded settlement services.  

This disconnect between promotional activities and the selection process is one reason why it is impossible to accurately determine the number of French-speaking newcomers in FMCs who arrived as a direct result of Destination Canada activities. Anecdotally, some newcomers who were consulted for this evaluation (in focus groups) said that they had taken part in Destination Canada activities and that those activities had an impact on their decision to immigrate to a FMC. However, information that could be used to assess this impact, is not collected systematically during the selection process. Nevertheless, even without being able to establish a causal link, the number of French-speaking newcomers in FMCs has generally increased since 2003, that is, over the last eight years in which Destination Canada activities have been held.

Do Francophone immigrants obtain strengthened settlement services in French in FMCs? (Q.6)  
Have FMCs improved their settlement and reception services capacity in order to facilitate the recruitment, reception, integration and retention of French-speaking immigrants in FMCs? (Q.8)  
The activities funded by CIC in relation to the objectives of the Initiative have led to a strengthening of settlement services. The Department provided financial support over and above the ongoing commitment set out in the Roadmap. The work done in each region has strengthened the capacity of Francophone communities to integrate French-speaking newcomers. Moreover, the funded activities have enabled the communities to better understand the challenges faced by French-speaking newcomers in FMCs.

Financial investments  
During the first three years of the Initiative (2008–2009 to 2010–2011), CIC mobilized considerable amounts of money in order to improve the capacity of communities and service providers to support French-speaking newcomers who settle in FMCs. Two types of investments were made:

- First, some activities funded by the Initiative sought to better equip service providers so that they can meet the needs of French-speaking newcomers as adequately as possible. This may include training activities or the development of teaching materials. Similarly, some activities sought to increase the host community’s awareness of the reality of French-speaking newcomers in order to facilitate their integration. Both of these types of activities are “indirect activities” aimed at French-speaking newcomers.

- Second, the Initiative has provided financial support for offering “direct services” to French-speaking newcomers, including providing them with language training, helping them search for employment, or meeting their needs at the initial settlement stage.

29 On June 1, 2012, CIC published guidelines for processing work permit (WP) applications exempt from the requirement to provide a labour market opinion, submitted by Francophone temporary foreign workers (TFWs), to work in a province or territory other than Quebec. These provisions are intended for applicants who have been recruited through Destination Canada or other job fairs organized jointly by the federal government and FMCs and who fall under National Occupational Classification (NOC) O, A and B. In this situation, the officer can assess the WP application under R205(a), Canadian Interests – Significant Benefit, when it is assessed that the person’s level of French language capacity is such that the person can work and/or contribute to the community in French (see Operational Bulletin 429, CIC, June 1, 2012).
Both direct services and indirect activities are systematically offered by third parties or service providers who have signed contribution agreements with CIC. To this end, and as mentioned in subsection 1.3 of this report, CIC has two sources of funds to support these third parties:

- The first, an annual amount of $690,000 ($3.45 million over five years), was assigned to CIC through the OLAP and maintained under the Roadmap. These are actually new resources assigned specifically to support Francophone immigration in FMCs.

- CIC is also committed to allocating (through existing settlement program funds) $10 million over four years for this same purpose, namely, to support the integration and settlement of French-speaking newcomers in FMCs.

Thus, of the $13.45 million allocated over five years for direct services and indirect activities, the Department had planned to invest a little over $7 million in the three fiscal years covered by this evaluation (see Table 6).

Table 6: Planned investments to support French-speaking newcomers who settle in FMCs (Vote 5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2008-09</th>
<th>2009-10</th>
<th>2010-11</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New funds under the OLAP and the Roadmap</td>
<td>$690,000</td>
<td>$690,000</td>
<td>$690,000</td>
<td>$2,070,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing settlement program funds</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Up to $2,500,000</td>
<td>Up to $2,500,000</td>
<td>Up to $5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$690,000</td>
<td>$3,190,000</td>
<td>$3,190,000</td>
<td>$7,070,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: CIC administrative data

In fact, the investment in direct services and indirect activities specifically for the integration and settlement of French-speaking newcomers in FMCs has greatly exceeded $7 million. CIC’s financial data indicates that nearly $54 million has been invested to support activities for French-speaking newcomers who settled in FMCs. As shown in Table 7, 63% of these resources were invested in direct services for French-speaking newcomers, 26% in indirect activities, and 11% in activities with both direct and indirect components. With respect to regional distribution, 80% of the amount was invested in Ontario.  

Table 7: Actual investments in direct services and indirect activities specifically for French-speaking newcomers in FMCs, by category (Vote 5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Direct Services</th>
<th>Indirect Activities</th>
<th>Both Components</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008-09</td>
<td>$8,641,498</td>
<td>$3,790,377</td>
<td>$404,358</td>
<td>$12,836,233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-10</td>
<td>$11,398,913</td>
<td>$4,256,137</td>
<td>$925,241</td>
<td>$16,580,291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-11</td>
<td>$13,940,868</td>
<td>$5,869,975</td>
<td>$4,723,789</td>
<td>$24,534,632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$33,981,279</strong></td>
<td><strong>$13,916,489</strong></td>
<td><strong>$6,053,388</strong></td>
<td><strong>$53,951,156</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: CIC administrative data (SAP, CAMS and regional reports)

30 In 2006, the Government of Canada increased funding for settlement in Ontario. The funds were granted in the context of the Canada-Ontario Immigration Agreement. An intermediate result of the strategic plan in relation to the Agreement was that communities, including OLMCs, welcome, retain and support newcomers. The needs of the Francophone population were also highlighted in this plan.
In addition, the investments described in this section include only those amounts directly for FMCs. CIC also provided financial support enabling service providers to offer services in both official languages, without specifically targeting FMCs. For example, investments were made to ensure communication in both official languages (via a website, for instance). This type of expense, estimated at about $62.1 million for the first three years of the Initiative, is not the focus of this evaluation. Therefore, a more thorough analysis of these expenses was not conducted.

Direct services to support settlement were strengthened

The amounts invested during the first three years of the Initiative helped to expand the range and reach of services for French-speaking newcomers in FMCs. The data collected in the context of this evaluation indicate that these services can be grouped into two main categories:

- **Settlement support**: These activities include information and orientation programs for French-speaking newcomers, job search workshops, tools (telephone line, video, etc.) offering information on life in Canada, gathering places for French-speaking immigrant women, information workshops for French-speaking immigrant men, job search training, and meetings to prepare for the citizenship exam, as well as conversation circles, programs specifically for French-speaking youth, support services for new French-speaking students, and even mentoring activities.

- **“Language learning” activities**: These activities include basic and advanced French and English language courses.

The expansion of the type of services offered was accompanied by an increase in the number of service providers offering services in French, in every region targeted by the Initiative. As illustrated in Table 8, the number of service providers serving at least one newcomer in French jumped from 30 in 2005–2006 to 71 in 2010–2011. This increase can be seen in every region, but is most prevalent in Ontario, where the number has more than doubled in six years.

### Table 8: Number of providers offering French services, in certain provinces and territories*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action plan</th>
<th>Roadmap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NL</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEI</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ON</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YK</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>30</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Based on the number of providers who served at least one newcomer in French. MB and BC are not included in these statistics because they are responsible for implementing their own settlement services. These figures are based on data provided by service providers and reflect the level of services offered, not necessarily the level of demand for such services.

Source: CIC administrative data (iCAMS, Narsi and CC services only).
For some service providers, French-speaking newcomers represent a significant proportion of their clientele. For others, French-speaking newcomers represent just a fraction of their clientele. Table 9 shows that French-speaking newcomers made up at least 10% of the clientele for 18 service providers outside Quebec, and 1% to less than 10% of the clientele for 45 providers. For the remaining service providers, French-speaking newcomers represented less than 1% of their total clientele.

Table 9: Number of providers with a clientele served in French (2010-2011)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of clientele who are French-speaking newcomers</th>
<th>1% or more</th>
<th>At least 10%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEI</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ON</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YK</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: CIC administrative data (iCAMS, NARSÍ and CC services only).

Administrative data on the number of clients served in French in recent years (2005–2006 to 2010–2011) suggests a sharp upward trend. For example, the number of newcomers who received assistance information and orientation on life in Canada in French jumped from 529 in 2005–2006 to 2,610 in 2010–2011 (these figures do not include Manitoba and British Columbia). Other areas that saw large increases in the number of newcomers served in French include needs assessments, referral services and counselling sessions. However, a number of service providers offer their services in a variety of languages other than French and English, in order to provide support in the newcomers’ mother tongue.

In terms of challenges for the future, the groups consulted for this evaluation offered the following observations (some of the observations not only apply to the context of FMCs, but also have a more general application):

- Some communities are still having difficulty achieving and facilitating the integration of international students who want to stay Canada after completing their studies.

- Service provider representatives highlighted the need to expand partnerships with employers. This issue is especially important because skills recognition for French-speaking newcomers is still problematic.

- Service provider representatives also pointed out the difficulty stemming from the current eligibility criteria for CIC-funded services. Refusing to serve a newcomer who does not yet have permanent resident status is especially hard given their vulnerability.

There seems to be a need to consolidate the services currently being offered. Several initiatives have been undertaken in the form of “pilot projects,” but there is not yet a permanent funding base for them.
**Indirect activities that support settlement**

In terms of indirect activities funded during the first three years of the Initiative, some amounts were invested in Francophone immigration networks (these networks are listed in the section on evaluation questions 4 and 10).

For the period covering the first three fiscal years of the Initiative (2008–2009 to 2010–2011), CIC administrative data indicates that nearly $5.7 million was invested to support the work of the Francophone immigration networks. As Table 10 shows, the amounts invested represented a considerable proportion of the Vote 5 amounts invested under the Initiative, particularly in the British Columbia and Yukon Region (78%) and the Atlantic Region (26%). Although the amount invested in the Ontario Region represents only 9% of the total amount invested under Vote 5 (expenses targeted for the FMCs) in that province, this is nevertheless a net amount of about $4 million over three years.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regions</th>
<th>Total $</th>
<th>% estimated total investment specifically for French-speaking newcomers in FMCs, by region (Vote 5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic</td>
<td>$576,223</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario</td>
<td>$4,044,282</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prairies and NWT</td>
<td>$759,606</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC and YK</td>
<td>$293,484</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$5,673,595</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: CIC administrative data (CAMS, SAP and regional reports).

Because of regional differences, the make-up of each network and the activities they have undertaken varies. In terms of make-up, all networks include representatives of CIC regional offices and Francophone service providers. In addition, some networks include Francophone school boards, Francophone post-secondary institutions, youth organizations, provincial government departments, private businesses and other organizations involved in the Canadian Francophonie.

Other indirect activities that received funding include:

- In support of settlement, multicultural salons, information and training sessions for teaching staff, training and awareness sessions on cultural diversity or multiculturalism, and the development of action plans to support the integration of newcomers have all received funding under the Initiative.

- In support of language training, funding under the Initiative has been provided for the development of learning programs and guidelines.
Is the Initiative guided by a clear mandate and specific roles, responsibilities and objectives? (Q.9)

The Initiative has benefited from the more general framework provided by the Strategic Framework and the Strategic Plan. In addition, the various coordination structures, including the Steering Committee and the Implementation Committee, made it possible to assign roles and responsibilities to a variety of stakeholders whose contribution was essential to achieving the results anticipated by the Roadmap. However, these structures have become unwieldy, creating challenges in sustaining the commitment of all partners and their accountability.

The main goal of the Initiative is to support the implementation of the 2006 Strategic Plan. Subsection 1.2 of this report has already described the broader context of the Initiative, which goes back to the establishment of the Steering Committee in 2002. Although the scope of the 2006 Strategic Plan extends beyond that of the Initiative, nonetheless, both are intrinsically linked.

The implementation of the Initiative was thus directly rooted in the management structures of the Strategic Plan—the Steering Committee and the Implementation Committee. Other collaborative platforms have been added, including among others the Francophone immigration networks.

All of the groups consulted for this evaluation stated that the roles and responsibilities of the various partners are generally clear and specific. In fact, regarding the activities that received funding under the Initiative, roles and responsibilities were distributed between CIC and service providers, as both groups already had a history of collaboration even before the Initiative was announced.

Although the activities funded under the Initiative primarily involve CIC and service providers, all groups consulted for this evaluation said that achieving the desired outcomes of the Initiative and, more broadly, the Strategic Plan, requires close collaboration with provincial governments and other stakeholders, including employers. In this regard, the Steering Committee plays a vital role.

As noted earlier, the representatives consulted for this evaluation expressed concern about the unwieldiness of the Steering Committee, which has about 60 members. However, all of the activities undertaken by the Steering Committee extend beyond the parameters of this evaluation.
3.3 Efficiency and economy

This last section of the report focuses on two evaluation questions relating to the efficiency of the Initiative. The information provided here is based on all of the research methods used in this evaluation.

| Is management of the Initiative coordinated and supported by the tools, resources (human and financial) and mechanisms needed to ensure effective delivery? (Q.11) |
| Are performance measurement, monitoring and reporting for this Initiative sufficient to ensure Initiative accountability? (Q.12) |

The sustained growth of CIC-funded activities to support the objectives of the Roadmap puts considerable pressure on all stakeholders, both in the government and in the communities. There is concern about the Department’s ability to maintain the human and financial resources required to continue the work that has already been started.

The information currently gathered by CIC makes it possible to document a number of activities undertaken in relation to the objectives of the Roadmap. However, the data available is not complete, which limits CIC’s ability to draw an accurate picture of the Initiative’s achievements.

Resources and mechanisms supporting the Initiative

This evaluation report indicates strong growth in activities designed to directly and indirectly support French-speaking newcomers who settle in FMCs, from promotion abroad to services offered in the various communities, as well as coordination and collaborative efforts at the regional and national levels.

Significant human and financial resources are required to implement all of these activities. For example, many people in CIC’s International Region and at the Canadian Embassy in Paris have been involved in organizing Destination Canada. As already noted in this report, numerous stakeholders would like to see Destination Canada activities expanded to countries other than France, Belgium and Tunisia. The CIC representatives consulted for this evaluation stated that such an expansion would be difficult, given the human and financial resources currently available.

In fact, the various groups consulted for this evaluation were asked about the Department’s capacity to maintain this level of activity beyond the Roadmap, that is, after April 1, 2013. This applies not only to the services currently being offered by service providers, but also to all cooperation and coordination activities, including the Francophone immigration networks.

Accountability

The data collection process for this evaluation brought to light numerous challenges associated with accountability. Unlike a distinct program, the Initiative has various components and a multitude of players. Therefore, it is difficult to have a fully synchronized approach to data collection. Also, the fact that the Initiative has been incorporated into the Roadmap means that the accountability process includes the requirements of both CIC and the Department of Canadian Heritage.

As for the Initiative itself, CAMS is a useful, albeit imperfect, tool for monitoring and collecting information on the activities undertaken through contribution agreements. The system’s reliability
depends essentially on the CIC officers who collect and enter the data. They are also responsible for updating the information already in the system, but this does not seem to be done systematically.

This evaluation also revealed that even the data from the SAP financial system and from iCAMS do not always correspond with the amounts listed in the files or databases used by CIC officers in the various regions. These discrepancies could be attributed to changes or corrections in the coding of expenses in SAP.

Although the CIC officers responsible for the Initiative in the regions received training on using the financial codes created in SAP to identify the expenses using funding (Vote 5) reserved for the Initiative, the evaluation revealed that they do not use them consistently. The nature of the projects funded varies from region to region (and even within regions) and from year to year. For example, one project identified as being funded by the Initiative one year may be identified as being funded by the settlement program the next. It became apparent that the financial information alone did not give a full picture of the activities under this Initiative. Consequently, this information had to be supplemented using information from two other data sources (CAMS and the regional reports) and validated by the regional offices.

Despite the data collection and validation process, there are nevertheless wide variances between what is coded in SAP and what is recorded in the various regions, particularly with respect to the activities funded by Vote 5.

In addition, CIC’s modernized approach to settlement services launched in 2008 allowed for flexibility in implementing projects, and several projects can now be pursued simultaneously. This approach can create some challenges in attributing amounts invested for purposes of accountability.

Finally, there is no standard definition of what constitutes a project (Vote 5) under the Initiative. For example, language training activities are sometimes classified under the Initiative, but the same type of activity can also be coded to another program. This results in a lack of precision regarding the scope of activities and the expected outcomes of the Initiative, which can be problematic when measuring the associated results.

All of these challenges limit the analysis that can be conducted with respect to the efficiency of the activities undertaken as part of the Initiative.
4. Conclusions and recommendations

This last section of the report presents the main conclusions of the evaluation and includes a series of recommendations.

4.1. Relevance

**The Initiative remains relevant.**

In 2003, in the context of the Strategic Framework that they adopted, CIC and FMCs wagered that Francophone immigration could help to strengthen the Francophonic outside Quebec by enriching it with new experiences, realities and economic strengths. By addressing the decrease in the relative weight of FMCs, Francophone immigration would also make it possible to consolidate the institutional network of these communities.

Nearly 10 years later, the efforts invested in this vision have been successful. The number of French-speaking newcomers settling in FMCs has increased, and FMCs are better equipped to facilitate the settlement and long-term integration of French-speaking newcomers.

In that context, the Initiative has proven relevant. Although progress has been made in the past decade, the objectives set in 2003 (and set out in the 2006 Strategic Plan) have not yet been met. The partners’ efforts must therefore continue.

**The Initiative reflects the priorities of CIC and the federal government.**

CIC is in a unique situation. The Department, like all federal departments, must respect the commitment to adopt positive measures to enhance the vitality of OLMCs (Part VII of the *Official Languages Act*), but that is also one of the objectives of the *Immigration and Refugee Protection Act*.

Therefore, CIC must develop a vision and a strategy enabling it to respect its legislative obligations. The adoption of the Strategic Framework in 2003 and the Strategic Plan in 2006 helped the Department to create such a vision, and the Initiative played a complementary role by giving the Department, and its partners, tools to implement the vision.

**The Initiative reflects the federal government’s unique role in immigration.**

As it is currently structured, the Initiative adequately reflects the framework arising from various agreements between the federal government and the provinces, especially those signed with Quebec, Manitoba and British Columbia. The Initiative includes almost no activities in Quebec, and settlement services in Manitoba and British Columbia receive no funding. The immigration agreements with both of those provinces recognize the importance of enhancing the vitality of FMCs, and the Initiative gave stakeholders the tools they needed to coordinate their efforts, including through Francophone immigration networks.
4.2. Results

Coordination is now done at the regional and national levels. Ensuring links and maintaining a consistent vision are some of the challenges facing all stakeholders.

By 2002, CIC and its partners had already established the Steering Committee, which encouraged coordination at the national level. The work of the Steering Committee led to the development of the Strategic Framework in 2003 and the Strategic Plan in 2006.

The Initiative helped expand coordination to the regional level. At the time of this evaluation, there were 13 Francophone immigration networks and one working committee, which enabled stakeholders in different parts of the country to work together, share ideas and come up with a vision and an action plan for their respective regions. The experience gained to date demonstrates the soundness of such regional strategies to develop programs and policies supporting settlement that reflect the socio-economic reality of the community in which the Francophone newcomer has settled.

Obviously, the increase in the number of these regional structures made it challenging at the national level to maintain a global vision of the Francophone immigration file outside Quebec. The Francophone immigration networks must now build on the gains made to date. In particular, they should maximize opportunities for discussion not only between themselves, but also with the Steering Committee, to ensure that national efforts are aligned with those at the regional level.

**Recommendation 1:** That CIC ensure that the collaborative platforms at the regional and national levels are harmonized, particularly between the Steering Committee and the Francophone immigration networks.

Research contributed to a better understanding of the challenges that Francophone newcomers face.

When beginning its work in 2003, the Steering Committee had very little research on Francophone immigration outside Quebec. Although considerable research had been undertaken in the area of immigration over the years, the particular nature of FMCs remained largely missing from this work. Today, in 2012, the situation is very different. During the period covered by this evaluation (2008 to 2011), more than 50 research projects on OLMCs were carried out. The reality of newcomers who settle in a minority community is much better documented, which allows stakeholders to adjust their programming accordingly.

However, the disappearance of Metropolis in Canada is still a challenge for researchers. This forum played a critical role in the sharing and promotion of research on immigration in minority settings. CIC must state how it intends to assume its supporting role in these research projects. In particular, the Department should more clearly state its objectives regarding the research that it funds in relation to Anglophone newcomers in Quebec. Stakeholders must develop new strategies in order to continue their efforts to promote and share research projects.

**Recommendation 2:** That CIC set out a research and knowledge-sharing strategy concerning the settlement and integration of newcomers in OLMCs.
Over the years, Destination Canada has continued to expand its activities, adding other, mostly complementary, promotional activities. However, the selection process criteria can create some barriers that could limit the impact of promotional activities.

The Initiative made it possible to undertake major promotional activities abroad, including the flagship event, Destination Canada. These activities have received much continued support from various immigration stakeholders, including provincial governments, employers, post-secondary institutions and FMCs themselves. However, it seems necessary at this point to clarify the expectations regarding the impact of promotional activities. If more Francophone newcomers can be convinced to settle in FMCs, they must be allowed to immigrate to Canada permanently.

Promoting FMCs is a vital step in a strategy designed to recruit more French-speaking newcomers. However, standing between the interested French-speaking newcomers and the FMCs is a selection process that can become a barrier resulting in a significant negative impact on achieving the Initiative objectives. The strategy around Destination Canada should thus include considerations directly related to the selection process, as well as to the main goal of the Initiative, which is to facilitate the long-term settlement of French-speaking immigrants.

**Recommendation 3:** That CIC develop a strategy to better link promotion and recruitment activities, including Destination Canada, to the considerations relating to the selection and long-term settlement of French-speaking newcomers in FMCs.

**Statistics confirm that, since 2003, the number of French-speaking newcomers who settle in FMCs has increased. However, it is impossible at this time to accurately measure the exact increase.**

The measures explored in this evaluation report confirm this increase. CIC and its partners achieved the interim target of increasing the number of French-speaking immigrants outside Quebec to 1.8%.

The 2003 Strategic Framework and the 2006 Strategic Plan have allowed CIC and FMCs to set an objective as to the number of French-speaking newcomers who should settle in FMCs. There are several merits to this approach, since it should enable stakeholders to measure progress with respect to this especially complex endeavour. The challenge at this time is that there is no single method, validated and adopted by consensus, to calculate the number of “French-speaking newcomers,” according to the definition in the Strategic Plan. Nearly 10 years after establishing the objective of 4.4%, it is highly desirable that all partners working in this area be able to agree on an appropriate measure. Efforts have been made to specify the best strategy to measure the achievement of the objectives of the Strategic Plan, and this work must continue.

**Recommendation 4:** That CIC, in collaboration with appropriate partners, determine the formula that it intends to use to measure the number of French-speaking immigrants who settle in FMCs.

**FMCs are better equipped to welcome French-speaking newcomers.**

CIC invested a considerable amount of money to improve the capacity of FMCs to support the settlement of French-speaking newcomers. Under the Initiative, the number of service providers and the range of services offered both grew considerably. These services are now much better adapted to the reality of French-speaking newcomers.
Yet, statistics show that for most service providers, French-speaking newcomers make up a limited proportion of their total clientele. In this regard, cooperation and sharing between service providers (facilitated by the Francophone immigration networks, among others) are still key to maintaining an internal capacity to offer services that meet the needs of this target clientele.

4.3. Efficiency and economy

The Initiative benefited from existing structures in the area of Francophone immigration.

Thanks in part to the work of the Steering Committee, the Implementation Committee and other working groups, stakeholders in the field of Francophone immigration have been cooperating for almost 10 years. This experience helped establish close working relationships under the Initiative. The data collected for this evaluation indicates that the roles and responsibilities, particularly between CIC (including the regional offices) and the service providers, in order to implement activities that received funding for the Initiative, were defined appropriately.

The data collected to date by CIC’s various databanks and systems on the activities undertaken as part of the Initiative can be used to draw a useful, albeit incomplete, portrait of achievements.

As this evaluation report demonstrates, some existing data can be used to document the type and level of services offered to French-speaking newcomers, as well as the other activities undertaken to consolidate the capacity of FMCs to support the settlement and integration of French-speaking newcomers in their communities. This data is one of the most important sources of information for this evaluation.

Nevertheless, the data currently collected is not complete. Some weaknesses that need to be addressed have been described in this report. It is important to note the considerable progress that has been made to date in order to better understand and document the activities undertaken through the Initiative. The challenge now is to build on these gains, in order to refine this portrait and better depict the progress made.

Recommendation 5: That CIC develop a strategy to guide the performance measurement of the Initiative, and that the Department align and strengthen the systems and tools for monitoring and collecting data (for example, the SAP financial system, CAMS and the regional reports) that are currently used to support this strategy.
### Appendix A: Evaluation framework for the FMC initiative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation questions</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Data sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. Is there a continued need for the recruitment and integration of French-speaking immigrants into FMCs? | • Consistency of the Initiative with Part 7 of the *Official Languages Act*  
• Alignment with legislative obligations  
• Key stakeholders identify continuing need | • Document review (briefing notes, *Action Plan for Official Languages, Roadmap for Canada’s Linguistic Duality 2008-2013*, Speech from the Throne, budgets, etc., as well as the various government guidance documents)  
• Key informant interviews (managers at CIC national and regional headquarters, Steering Committee members, regional committee members, other key stakeholders) |
• Key informant interviews (managers at CIC national and regional headquarters, Steering Committee members, regional committee members, other key stakeholders) |
| 3. Is this Initiative consistent with federal roles and responsibilities?             | • Profile/existence of alternative programs with similar outcomes delivered by other levels of government  
• Perceptions of CIC and other stakeholders on roles and responsibilities | • Document review  
• Key informant interviews (managers at CIC national and regional headquarters, steering committee members, regional committee members, other key stakeholders) |
| 4. Have the main partners undertaken coordination, collaboration and research activities to support the implementation of the Initiative? | • Evidence of partners undertaking coordination, collaboration and research activities  
• Measurement of how the activities undertaken by the regional committees are aligned with the priorities of the Steering Committee.  
• Evidence that the provinces/territories participated in supporting the implementation of the Initiative | • Document review  
• Minutes of the Steering Committee and the Implementation Committee (internal administrative data)  
• Internal administrative data  
• Meeting minutes  
• Progress reports  
• Key informant interviews (managers at CIC national and regional headquarters, Steering Committee members, regional committee members, other key stakeholders)  
• Case studies |
| 5. Are French-speaking foreign nationals aware of opportunities to immigrate to FMCs? | • Number of participants at information sessions  
• Views of potential French-speaking immigrants on the opportunities available in FMCs prior to their arrival in Canada  
• Views of CIC representatives in several missions on awareness and promotional activities (number of sessions, participation rate, perception of level of interest)  
• Perception and analysis of trends concerning the number of applications received following a promotional activity | • Document review (report on the Destination Canada information sessions and reports on activities to recruit foreign students [DFAIT])  
• Key informant interviews (managers at CIC national and regional headquarters, Steering Committee members, regional committee members, other key stakeholders)  
• Case studies (including focus groups with French-speaking clients) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Do Francophone immigrants obtain strengthened settlement services in French in FMCs?</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of contribution agreements signed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Type of settlement services in FMCs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of service points offering services in French to newcomers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Evidence of efforts by the networks to implement and maintain reception and settlement services for French-speaking immigrants in FMCs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Document review (contribution agreements)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Data analysis (ICAMS data, administrative data, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Key informant interviews (managers at CIC national and regional headquarters, Steering Committee members, regional committee members, other key stakeholders)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Case studies (including focus groups with Francophone clients)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Have the initiatives helped to achieve the objectives set in terms of the number of French-speaking immigrants going to FMCs?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number and percentage of Francophone immigrants settling in FMCs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Views of service providers and other stakeholders on the increase in the number of French-speaking immigrants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Data analysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Case studies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Key informant interviews (managers at CIC national and regional headquarters, Steering Committee members, regional committee members, other key stakeholders)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Have FMCs improved their settlement and reception services capacity in order to facilitate the recruitment, reception, integration and retention of French-speaking immigrants in FMCs?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Type of settlement services in FMCs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of service providers that offer services in French</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of Francophone service providers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number and type of activities of network committees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number and type of promotional activities undertaken by partners</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Views of key stakeholders on improvements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Balance between the capacity of service delivery organizations and the needs of French-speaking immigrants in FMCs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Document review (network committees' notes, reports)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Data analysis (not clear if information is available from existing sources)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Case studies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Is the Initiative guided by a clear mandate and specific roles, responsibilities and objectives?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clear mandate with specific objectives, roles and responsibilities:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nature of the Initiative mandate, objectives, roles and responsibilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Perceptions of CIC and PCH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Degree of common understanding of the Initiative mandate, roles, responsibilities and objectives:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>within CIC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>between CIC and PCH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>between CIC and other stakeholders (service providers, community organizations)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>between this Initiative and the Strategic Plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>between the Steering Committee and regional committees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Document review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Key informant interviews (managers at CIC national and regional headquarters, Steering Committee members, regional committee members, other key stakeholders)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Case studies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10. Are communications, relationships and information-sharing among program stakeholders effective?
- Extent / quality / appropriateness of communications / relationships / information sharing:
  - within CIC
  - between CIC and PCH
  - between CIC and other stakeholders (service providers, community organizations)
  - between the Steering Committee and regional committees
  - between the communities and the provinces/territories
- Document review (national strategic framework; national, provincial/territorial and local action plans; national, provincial/territorial and local implementation plans)
- Key informant interviews (managers at CIC national and regional headquarters, Steering Committee members, regional committee members, other key stakeholders)
- Case studies

11. Is the management of the initiative coordinated and supported by the tools, resources (human and financial) and mechanisms needed to ensure effective delivery?
- Extent/appropriateness of management tools, resources (human and financial), and mechanisms
- Extent/quality of management coordination (direction/processes/instructions/reporting/timelines)
  - Within CIC
  - Within PCH
  - Views of CIC and PCH
- Document review
- Key informant interviews (managers at CIC national, international [Paris Mission] and regional headquarters, Steering Committee members, regional committee members, other key stakeholders)
- Case studies

12. Are performance measurement, monitoring and reporting for this Initiative sufficient to ensure Initiative accountability?
- Extent/appropriateness of performance measurement, monitoring and reporting practices (including financial tracking)
- Quality of performance measurement, monitoring and reporting tools (e.g. framework, data collection tools) and data
- Measures undertaken following management’s response to the findings of the 2006 evaluation
- Document review
- Key informant interviews (managers at CIC national and regional headquarters, Steering Committee members, regional committee members, other key stakeholders)