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# SUMMARY OF INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS NACI STATEMENT

The following table highlights key information for immunization providers. Please refer to the remainder of the Statement for details.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Age 2 years and over with Risk factors for invasive pneumococcal disease (IPD).</th>
<th>Age 65 years and over</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. What</td>
<td>One lifetime booster dose is recommended 5 years after the initial dose for those at highest risk of IPD. This is a change in the interval for re-vaccination for children initially vaccinated at 10 years of age and younger, where re-vaccination was previously recommended 3 years after the initial dose.</td>
<td>Regardless of other risk factors, those 65 years and over should receive one dose of Pneu-P-23 as long as 5 years has passed since the previous Pneu-P-23 dose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Who</td>
<td>For individuals aged 2 years with a condition that places them at highest risk of IPD (functional or anatomic asplenia or sickle cell disease; hepatic cirrhosis; chronic renal failure or nephrotic syndrome; HIV infection; and immunosuppression related to disease or therapy).</td>
<td>All individuals at the age of 65 years, regardless of risk factors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. How</td>
<td>For highest risk individuals, provide one booster dose of Pneu-P-23 5 years after the initial dose of Pneu-P-23.</td>
<td>For individuals aged 65 years and over, one dose of Pneu-P-23 should be given. For those who have received a previous dose of Pneu-P-23 because of a medical condition that places them at highest risk of IPD (functional or anatomic asplenia or sickle cell disease; hepatic cirrhosis; chronic renal failure or nephrotic syndrome; HIV infection; and immunosuppression related to disease or therapy), a dose of Pneu-P-23 should be administered, as long as 5 years has passed since the previous Pneu-P-23 dose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Why</td>
<td>Over time immunity wanes, providing one booster 5 years after the first dose will provide a boost to the immune system as these individuals continue to be at risk for IPD. A single re-vaccination at 5 years after the initial vaccination harmonizes the pediatric and adult schedules.</td>
<td>Individuals over the age of 65 are at a higher risk of IPD; therefore a dose of Pneu-P-23 will provide protection against IPD.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I. INTRODUCTION

This statement will supplement previous pneumococcal statements\(^{(1)}\)(\(^{(2)}\)) and provide the evidence used to determine the optimal time between initial vaccination with polysaccharide 23-valent pneumococcal vaccine (Pneu-P-23) and subsequent booster doses to protect against IPD in those at highest risk for IPD.

Individuals who are 2 years of age and over and at high risk for IPD, as defined in the Canadian Immunization Guide (CIG) (http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/publicat/cig-gci/p04-pneu-eng.php), are recommended to receive Pneu-P-23 vaccine, in addition to age and risk-specific recommendations for the conjugate 13-valent pneumococcal vaccine (Pneu-C-13). Age and risk-specific recommendations and schedules for these vaccines are outlined in the CIG.

NACI currently recommends re-immunization with one life-time booster dose of Pneu-P-23 for those 2 years of age and older at highest risk of IPD. Individuals included in the group at highest risk of IPD are those with functional or anatomic asplenia or sickle cell disease; hepatic cirrhosis; chronic renal failure or nephrotic syndrome; HIV infection; and immunosuppression related to disease or therapy. Currently, for these groups, a single re-immunization after 5 years is recommended in persons who were 11 years of age or over at the time of initial immunization with Pneu-P-23 vaccine. A single re-immunization after 3 years is recommended for those who were 10 years of age or younger at the time of initial immunization with Pneu-P-23 vaccine. Because there are insufficient data to recommend repeated administration of Pneu-P-23 vaccine, re-vaccination following a second dose is not routinely recommended.

This update will:

- Provide a systematic review of the literature on booster doses of pneumococcal vaccine for individuals at high risk of IPD disease.
- Make recommendations for booster doses of pneumococcal vaccine.

II. METHODS

NACI reviewed such considerations as the target population, safety, immunogenicity, efficacy, effectiveness of the vaccines, vaccine schedules, and other aspects of the overall immunization strategy when available. Following critical appraisal of individual studies, summary tables with ratings of the quality of the evidence were prepared using NACI’s methodological hierarchy (Tables 2 and 3), and proposed recommendations for vaccine use were developed. Ms. Chelsea Caya (M.Sc. PH candidate at McGill University) and Ms. Constantina Boikos (M.Sc.PH and Ph.D. (epidemiology) at McGill University) performed the systematic review. Ms. Caya and the Working Group chair presented the evidence and proposed recommendations to NACI. Following thorough review of the evidence and consultation at NACI meeting (February 5, 2013), the committee voted on specific recommendations. The description of relevant considerations, rationale for specific decisions, and knowledge gaps are described in the text of this update. The Agency maintains documentation of these processes throughout knowledge synthesis and recommendation development.
III. EPIDEMIOLOGY OF PNEUMOCOCCAL DISEASE

Please refer to the CIG (http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/publicat/cig-gci/index-eng.php) for recent epidemiology data.

In summary, since the sequential NACI recommendations to introduce conjugate pneumococcal vaccines to the routine childhood immunization programs (Pneu-C-7\(^4\), Pneu-C-10\(^5\) and most recently Pneu-C-13\(^2\)), the incidence of IPD has decreased. The change has been most notable among children, but the incidence of disease among adults has also decreased, due to the herd effect. IPD due to serotypes included in conjugate vaccines has seen a sharp decline. There has been a concurrent increase in serotypes not included in the conjugate vaccines, but the overall incidence remains below the incidence observed prior to the introduction of conjugate vaccines. Based on national data, the 0-4-year-old group continues to be most affected, as well as those over the age of 60 years. National epidemiological level data does not provide information that would allow analysis specifically for those at high risk for IPD or those over the age of 65 years.

IV. VACCINES

There is no change in vaccines currently available in Canada. Please refer to previously updated NACI Statement including the recent statement regarding the use of conjugate pneumococcal vaccine for adults\(^8\) and the CIG (http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/publicat/cig-gci/p04-pneu-eng.php) that synthesizes NACI recommendations.

IV.1 Efficacy – Direct and Indirect

No study found

IV.2 Effectiveness

No study found

IV.3 Immunogenicity

A total of 10 studies were reviewed: one focused on pediatric participants only, 8 looked at adults and one included pediatric and adult participants. It should be noted that there was heterogeneity in the assays used for immunologic evaluations, as well as in the threshold used to determine immune response, the age cut-offs used, and the underlying illnesses that constituted risk. A full review of all of the studies, their methodologies and outcome measures can be found in Table 1. An overview of the studies is provided below.

Pediatric Studies

Only one study (Smets)\(^6\) was specific to the pediatric population. This prospective randomized controlled trial included 8 patients who had received an initial dose of Pneu-P-23, 3 to 5 years prior to enrollment. Participants were revaccinated and \textit{S. pneumoniae} antibody levels were
measured at the time of immunization, and 1 month and 6 months post vaccination. One month after re-vaccination, depending on the serotype, 75-100% of participants were considered vaccine responders and 62-100% maintained adequate antibody levels to meet the study-defined threshold at 6 months post-vaccination.

**Adult Studies**

Seven cohort studies provided data on booster dose(s) of Pneu-P-23 in adults over the age of 18 years with risk factors for IPD. Davidson(7) recruited 52 adults, half of whom had previously received Pneu-P-23 on average 7.4 years prior to enrollment and half of whom were unvaccinated age-matched controls. All participants were vaccinated with Pneu-P-23; antibody levels were measured prior to vaccination and approximately one month after vaccination. Prior to immunization, both groups had similar geometric mean titers (GMTs). At baseline, individuals aged less than 65 years of age had a higher serotype specific antibodies compared to those over the age of 65 years. After vaccination, 82% of previously naïve participants and 83% of boosted participants had GMTs that were considered protective. After revaccination, there was no difference in response based on age.

A second cohort study (Hammitt et al)(8) looked at Pneu-P-23 response in three groups: naïve participants, a group who had received one previous dose of Pneu-P-23 and a group that had received 2 or 3 previous doses of Pneu-P-23. Previously vaccinated participants were eligible if a minimum of 6 years had passed since their last Pneu-P-23 vaccine. Blood samples were collected at baseline and one month after immunization. At baseline, pneumococcal IgG levels were higher in participants who had previously received Pneu-P-23 compared to the naïve group. After immunization, absolute IgG levels were similar in all three groups but the fold-increase in antibody titers was lower in previously vaccinated individuals (p<0.001); the lower fold increase in the previously vaccinated group is likely because this group had higher antibodies before re-vaccination. The fold increase in serotype-specific functional antibody measured using opsonophagocytic killing (OPK) was not different between the naïve and previously vaccinated group.

Lackner et al(9) conducted a cohort study with frail nursing home residents. All participants had received vaccine at least 5 years prior to enrolment. Again, younger age was associated with higher baseline antibody titers. One month after Pneu-P-23, depending on the serotype, 45-61% of participants had an adequate immune response, while only 17% met the criteria for all 7 serotypes tested. One year following vaccination, depending on the serotype only 23-44% of participants displayed an adequate immune response, with only 7% meeting criteria for all 7 tested serotypes.

Manoff(10) conducted a single blinded prospective cohort of a group of adults aged 65 years and older in which approximately half of the group was naïve, while the other half had received Pneu-P-23 three to five years earlier. At baseline, antibody levels as well as functional antibody (as measured by OPK) were higher in the previously immunized group. Mean-fold rise in antibody levels was greater in those who had not been vaccinated previously. Five years after vaccination, both groups had similar antibody levels as well as functional antibody levels.

One other cohort study also reported on both antibody levels and functional antibodies.(11) In this prospective cohort study, previously immunized Pneu-P-23 recipients received one additional dose of Pneu-P-23 five years after their previous dose. When stratified by serotype, antibody levels 1 and 12 months after revaccination were significantly greater for all serotypes tested.
compared to baseline except for serotype 19F, which had not significantly increased 12 months after revaccination (p = 0.08). Furthermore, antibody levels peaked 1 month after revaccination. Twelve months post-revaccination, antibody levels had declined yet remained higher than baseline levels for all serotypes tested.

Musher et al.\(^{(12)}\) studied a cohort of ambulatory older adults who had received either one or two doses of Pneu-P-23 10 years earlier. After revaccination, statistically significant increases in antibody levels were observed from baseline to day 30 post-revaccination for second-dose recipients (p<0.05) for all serotypes tested. Among third-dose recipients, statistically significant increases in antibody levels were found for all serotypes except for serotypes 6B and 12F. Age was inversely proportional to antibody response.

Torling et al.\(^{(13)}\) conducted a prospective cohort study in which participants who had been part of a previous study and previously vaccinated with Pneu-P-23 on average 5.3 years earlier (range 4-7 years) were offered revaccination with Pneu-P-23. Antibody levels 4 weeks post-revaccination significantly increased relative to baseline levels (p = 0.0004). However, in this study, response to Pneu-P-23 revaccination was “significantly lower than after the primary vaccination, with a combined GMC of 7.47 µg/mL versus 19.06 µg/mL (p<0.001)”. This was the only study where some hyporesponsiveness was identified.

### Adults Post-Transplant

Tobudic et al.\(^{(14)}\) randomized renal transplant recipients who had undergone transplant at least 6 months earlier who had not had Pneu-P-23 in the past 5 years to either a 7-valent conjugated pneumococcal vaccine or Pneu-P-23, followed in both cases one year later by Pneu-P-23. Given the question asked as part of this review, we will focus on participants (n = 26) who received 2 doses of Pneu-P-23. Eight weeks after the second dose of Pneu-P-23, antibody levels were significantly higher for serotypes 9F and 19F in the Pneu-P-23/Pneu-P-23 group relative to those in the Pneu-C/Pneu-P-23 group. Serotype-specific antibody levels declined during the 12 months between vaccinations, “but antibody levels persisted well for the majority of serotypes” in the group who received their first dose as Pneu-P-23.

### Combined Studies

Fuchshuber\(^{(15)}\) conducted a prospective cohort study that included children and young adults with chronic renal disease aged 3-27 years. One year after primary immunization, a subgroup was re-immunized with Pneu-P-23 because their antibody levels had fallen below pre-vaccination levels. Antibody levels rapidly declined after re-vaccination, in particular in participants who had lost their antibodies rapidly following primary vaccination: 6 months following revaccination only 2 participants (9%) were still considered responders. Although this study seems to show hyporesponsiveness in participants vaccinated with a second dose of Pneu-P-23 one year after the previous dose, one should note that revaccinated participants represent a sub-group with a sub-optimal response to the first dose of Pneu-P-23. It is therefore possible that participants who did not maintain an adequate response to Pneu-P-23 at one year were different immunologically from the other study participants. As not all participants were revaccinated, it is difficult to draw any firm conclusion on the antibody response when a second dose of Pneu-P-23 is administered one year after the first one.
IV.4 Safety

Safety of re-vaccination with Pneu-P-23 was assessed in eight of the studies reviewed. In the one study that enrolled only pediatric patients, only mild adverse reactions to Pneu-P-23 were observed (Smets). In the adult studies, mild reactions, such as arthralgias, fatigue, headache, swelling at the site of injection and limitation in arm movement, were reported adverse events (range 11%-63%). Systemic reactions (i.e. fever) were also reported in 11% of adults in one study. When comparing those receiving third dose versus second dose, there was a statistically significant increase in the proportion of individuals with mild adverse events but not with systemic reactions (Musher).

V. RECOMMENDATIONS

In summary, based on all the studies reviewed, immunity following Pneu-P-23 declines rapidly and re-vaccination of those at highest risk of IPD disease provides a boost in immune response, suggesting an improved ability to prevent IPD related illnesses. Individuals, who had received one or two doses of Pneu-P-23 before age 65 years, demonstrated a good immune response and an acceptable safety profile to a repeated dose of Pneu-P-23 when administered at age 65 years or over. There is little evidence to suggest that hyporesponsiveness occurs with one additional booster of Pneu-P-23, nor is there evidence to suggest safety is a concern. To guide recommendations for additional doses (i.e. more than one booster dose), further studies are needed to understand how the immune system responds to additional doses of Pneu-P-23.

Recommendation #1:

For all individuals aged 2 years and over who are at highest risk of IPD (functional or anatomic asplenia or sickle cell disease; hepatic cirrhosis; chronic renal failure or nephrotic syndrome; HIV infection; and immunosuppression related to disease or therapy) and who have received age-appropriate doses of 13-valent conjugate pneumococcal vaccine followed 8 weeks later by Pneu-P-23, revaccination with a second dose of Pneu-P-23 should be provided 5 years after the initial dose of Pneu-P-23. This is a change from the previous recommendation that recommended that children aged 10 years or younger at their first dose of Pneu-P-23 should receive the second dose 3 years later. This change is based on the absence of evidence to support the 3-year timing of the booster dose in children and on the universal use of Pneu-C-13 in children that has contributed to the marked decrease in the incidence of IPD. The single revaccination at 5 years after the initial vaccination harmonizes the pediatric and adult schedules for those at highest risk of IPD. (NACI recommendation Grade B)

Recommendation #2:

There is currently insufficient evidence to determine the optimal timing and number of Pneu-P-23 boosters in high-risk adults (i.e. functional or anatomic asplenia or sickle cell disease; hepatic cirrhosis; chronic renal failure or nephrotic syndrome; HIV infection; and immunosuppression related to disease or therapy). One lifetime booster of Pneu-P-23 is currently recommended for individuals at highest risk for IPD, 5 years after the previous dose. (NACI recommendation Grade I)
Recommendation #3:

Given the increased risk of IPD in adults aged 65 years and older and the rapid decline in antibodies following Pneu-P-23, all individuals should receive one dose of Pneu-P-23 at age 65 years – as long as 5 years have passed since a previous Pneu-P-23 dose. Studies reviewed for this updated statement have all administered a dose of Pneu-P-23 to individuals aged 65 years and over, regardless of their prior vaccination history. No additional booster dose is currently recommended for those over the age of 65 years who do not have other underlying medical conditions that would put them at higher risk for IPD. \(\text{NACI recommendation Grade B}\)

VI. SURVEILLANCE AND RESEARCH PRIORITIES

- Enhanced surveillance that includes high risk individuals and can provide incidence of IPD stratified by risk factors and serotypes for individuals in the greater than 65-year age group.
- Vaccine effectiveness of Pneu-P-23 programs (first and second dose) in high risk patients and in those over 65 years of age.
- Duration of vaccine effectiveness after first and second dose of Pneu-P-23 in those at high risk of IPD.
- Further studies to determine if hyporesponsiveness occurs.
- Studies investigating vaccine failures.
- Data on vaccine coverage for first and second doses in at-risk populations and those aged 65 years and over.
- Understanding barriers to accessing vaccination appropriately within high risk groups.
- Further studies with standardized cut offs on antibody response to additional doses of Pneu-P-23.
- Epidemiological studies of non-invasive disease such as community acquired pneumonia or acute otitis media in children caused by \textit{S. pneumoniae}. 
# TABLES

## Table 1. Summary of Vaccines Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Measure Method</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Vaccine Schedule</th>
<th>Study Population</th>
<th>Outcome Measures</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Level of Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Davidson et al  | 1994 | USA     | Radioimmuno assay GMT: response if increase by 40% or more; Inadequate if level <500 ng/mL | Previously immunized vs. 1st dose of Pneu-P-23                           | Prospective cohort study (intervention study)                                 | Pnu-Immune       | Adult residents of northwest Alaska (n=52)                                                        | Following Pneu-P-23 revaccination:  
  - The majority of cases had a 1.4-fold increase in antibody levels.  
  - 82% of controls had protective antibody levels.  
  - 83% of Pneu-P-23 revaccinees had protective antibody levels.  
  - In patients with chronic conditions (not only immunosuppression), revaccination was associated with a trend toward lower non-response: 33% in primary vaccinees vs. 13% in boosted (p=.17) | Good    | II-1  |

- **Authors**: Davidson et al  
- **Year**: 1994  
- **Country**: USA  
- **Measure Method**: Radioimmuno assay GMT: response if increase by 40% or more; Inadequate if level <500 ng/mL  
- **Comparison**: Previously immunized vs. 1st dose of Pneu-P-23  
- **Study Design**: Prospective cohort study (intervention study)  
- **Vaccine Schedule**: Pnu-Immune  
- **Dose**: 0.5mL  
- **Route**: Intramuscular  
- **Schedule**: One dose  
- **Time since last dose**: On average 7.4 years (btw 67-109 months)  
- **Serotypes tested**: 1, 3, 4, 6B, 7F, 8, 9V, 12F, 14, 18C, 19F, 23F  
- **Study Population**: Adult residents of northwest Alaska (n=52)  
- **Outcome Measures**: Following Pneu-P-23 revaccination:  
  - The majority of cases had a 1.4-fold increase in antibody levels.  
  - 82% of controls had protective antibody levels.  
  - 83% of Pneu-P-23 revaccinees had protective antibody levels.  
  - In patients with chronic conditions (not only immunosuppression), revaccination was associated with a trend toward lower non-response: 33% in primary vaccinees vs. 13% in boosted (p=.17)  
- **Quality**: Good  
- **Level of Evidence**: II-1
### STUDY DETAILS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Measure Method</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Vaccine Schedule</th>
<th>Study Population</th>
<th>Outcome Measures</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Level of Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fuchshuber et al(^{(15)})</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>ELISA serum obtained before and 4 weeks, 6 months, and 12 months after 1st vaccination in all participants. 22 participants were revaccinated and serum was collected 4 weeks and 6 months after this booster.</td>
<td>Primary vs. revaccination with a 2nd dose of Pneu-P-23</td>
<td>Prospective cohort study (no control); (intervention study)</td>
<td>Pneumovax(^{®})23</td>
<td>Both children and young adults aged 2.9-27 years old, with chronic renal diseases (including idiopathic nephrotic syndrome, chronic renal failure, patients undergoing dialysis and after transplantation)</td>
<td>Post dose 1: % responders: 4 weeks: 88% 6 months: 68% 12 months: 48% Post dose 2 (n=22): % responders: 4 weeks: 45% 6 months: 9%</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>II-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Response: at least 2-fold increase in Ab titer AND titer >200 |

Serotypes tested: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6B, 7F, 8, 9N, 9V, 10A, 11A, 12F, 14, 15B, 17F, 18C, 19F, 19A, 20, 22F, 23F, 33F
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Measure Method</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Vaccine Schedule</th>
<th>Study Population</th>
<th>Outcome Measures</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Level of Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Hammitt et al (8)  | 2011 | USA     | ELISA and OPK assay                   | Primary dose vs. revaccination with a 2\(^{nd}\) or 3\(^{rd}\) or 4\(^{th}\) dose of Pneu-P-23 | Prospective cohort study between December 2004 and April 2006 (intervention study) | Pneumovax\(^{8}\)23 | Adults (aged 55-74 year old) considered to be at high risk for IPD based on local guidelines, who were either previously unvaccinated or were previously vaccinated with Pneu-P-23 at least 6 years prior to the study period | • Baseline IgG levels higher in revaccinated compared to never-before vaccinated (p<.05)  
• Absolute IgG levels achieved post-vaccination similar for all 3 groups  
• Fold-increases in antibody levels were lower in revaccinees compared to first-time vaccines for all serotypes tested (p<0.001); inverse correlation between fold-rise and baseline Ab levels.  
• At 30 days: fold-rises in revaccinated similar regardless of time since last vaccine. | Good    | II-2   |

**STUDY DETAILS**

- **Authors**: Hammitt et al (8)
- **Year**: 2011
- **Country**: USA
- **Measure Method**: ELISA and OPK assay
- **Comparison**: Primary dose vs. revaccination with a 2\(^{nd}\) or 3\(^{rd}\) or 4\(^{th}\) dose of Pneu-P-23
- **Study Design**: Prospective cohort study between December 2004 and April 2006 (intervention study)
- **Vaccine Schedule**: Pneumovax\(^{8}\)23
- **Dose**: 0.5mL
- **Route**: Intramuscular (deltoid)
- **Schedule**: 1 vaccination
- **Time since last dose**: On average 7.4 years (6-22 years)
- **Serotypes tested**: 1, 4, 6B, 14 and 19F

**SUMMARY**

- Baseline IgG levels higher in revaccinated compared to never-before vaccinated (p<.05)
- Absolute IgG levels achieved post-vaccination similar for all 3 groups
- Fold-increases in antibody levels were lower in revaccinees compared to first-time vaccines for all serotypes tested (p<0.001); inverse correlation between fold-rise and baseline Ab levels.
- At 30 days: fold-rises in revaccinated similar regardless of time since last vaccine.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Measure Method</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Vaccine Schedule</th>
<th>Study Population</th>
<th>Outcome Measures</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Level of Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUMMARY**

- Similar results to IgG for OPK GMTs. Similar response for 1st time and revaccinated except stronger response for 6B.
- Re-vaccinees reported more mild adverse reactions than primary vaccines. No difference in reported adverse experiences between persons receiving a 2nd dose of Pneu-P-23 compared to those receiving a 3rd or 4th dose.
### STUDY DETAILS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Measure Method</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Vaccine Schedule</th>
<th>Study Population</th>
<th>Study Population Notes</th>
<th>Outcome Measures</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Level of Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lackner et al</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>ELISA serum obtained at baseline (1 week before revaccination) and 12 months after revaccination GMT: response if increase by 40% or more</td>
<td>Previously immunized vs. 2nd dose of Pneu-P-23</td>
<td>Prospective cohort study (December 1998 and July 2000); (intervention study)</td>
<td>Pnu-Immune 23</td>
<td>Frail adult residing in nursing facility (aged &gt;=65 y.o.) in the Minneapolis, St. Paul, Minnesota, metropolitan area having received primary Pneu-P-23 vaccination at least 5 years before study enrollment n=43</td>
<td>One month and 1 year after revaccination, lower baseline antibody levels were significantly correlated with magnitude of fold-increase of antibody levels. Fold-increase of at least 40%: 1. At 1 month: 45.6-61.4% depending on serotype 2. At 1 year: 23.3-44.2% depending on serotypes 3. When requiring a 40% increase for all 7 serotypes tested: only 17.5% at 1 month and 7% at 1 year reached the target</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>II-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Quality Level of Evidence**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Measure Method</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Vaccine Schedule</th>
<th>Study Population</th>
<th>Outcome Measures</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Level of Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manoff et al(19)</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>EIA and OPK assay Serum analyzed at: day 0 (baseline), 30 days after vaccination and 5 years after vaccination Response: reference level for OPK titers was ≥ 8 for EIA concentration the reference levels were ≥0.5, ≥1.0, ≥1.5 µg/mL</td>
<td>Previously immunized vs. revaccination with a 2nd dose of Pneu-P-23</td>
<td>Prospective cohort study (1997-1998)</td>
<td>Pneumovax®23</td>
<td>Ambulatory adults aged ≥ 65 years old with stable underlying chronic illnesses (if any). Exclusion: Immunosuppression or history of IPD N=120; 60 from each vaccination group (primary and revaccination)</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>II-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mild adverse events during the 3 days after revaccination were noted in 14/62 (22.6%) subjects and all were resolved within 3 days.

At baseline, revaccinated group had higher OPK and EIA titers compared to primary (persistence of Ab 3-5 years post)

Antibody levels significantly increased from day 0 to day 30.

Five years after revaccination there were no differences between the revaccination and primary vaccination groups in either OPK or EIA levels.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Measure Method</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Vaccine Schedule</th>
<th>Study Population</th>
<th>Outcome Measures</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Level of Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Musher et al | 2011 | USA     | ELISA serum obtained at baseline (10 years after last injection) and 30 days after injection | Second dose of Pneu-P-23 vs. third dose of Pneu-P-23 | Prospective cohort study (2007; extension of larger study) | Pneumovax®23  | Ambulatory older adults from 5 of 7 original study sites “with the usual range of comorbid conditions associated with aging” | • 30 days after second or third Pneu-P-23 dose at year 10, mean IgG levels increased for all 8 serotypes.  
• 30 days after second or a third Pneu-P-23 dose at year 10, among second dose recipients, IgG levels increased stat sig (p<.05) for all 8 serotypes.  
• Among third-dose recipients, IgG increases were stat sig for 6 serotypes (all except 6B and 12F). | Good   | II-2   |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Measure Method</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Vaccine Schedule</th>
<th>Study Population</th>
<th>Outcome Measures</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Level of Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Smets et al     | 2007 | Belgium     | Anti- *S.pneumoniae* ELISA sera obtained at baseline, 1 month and 6 months after revaccination | Previously immunized vs. revaccination with 2nd dose of Pneu-P-23           | Prospective, randomized single-center intervention study (March 2002 and February 2003) | Pneumo®-23 vs. Prevnar-7® | Children aged >5 years with anatomic or functional asplenia from Brussels, Belgium. | • For all 8 serotypes, IgG responses declined with advancing age.  
• Injection-site adverse events were reported more frequently among third-dose than second-dose recipients (83% vs. 62%, respectively, p<0.05) | Fair    | (small sample size) |

Additional doses of Pneu-P-23 outside the study.  
Mean age: 75 y (60-93) in dose 2 group vs. 77 y (60-88) in dose 3  

\( \text{Mean age: 75 y (60-93) in dose 2 group vs. 77 y (60-88) in dose 3} \)
## STUDY DETAILS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Measure Method</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Vaccine Schedule</th>
<th>Study Population</th>
<th>Outcome Measures</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Level of Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tobudic et al(14)</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>ELISA: 2-fold increase in antibody concentration from baseline and an absolute post-vaccination value of at least 1 µg/mL.</td>
<td>Primary dose vs. revaccination with 2nd dose of Pneu-P-23</td>
<td>Randomized, single blind controlled trial (recruitment period: November 2008-October 2009)</td>
<td>Pneumo®23</td>
<td>Adult renal-transplant recipients at the general hospital of Vienna who had undergone renal transplantation at least 6 months earlier</td>
<td>PSV23 revaccination, 75-100% responded at 1 month (looking at each serotype separately), and 62-100% at 6 months.</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Study Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Measure Method</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Vaccine Schedule</th>
<th>Study Population</th>
<th>Outcome Measures</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Level of Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Torling et al (13)</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Previously immunized vs. revaccination with 2nd dose of Pneu-P-23</td>
<td>Prospective cohort study (Recruited: 23 November 1998-15 February 1999)</td>
<td>Pneu-P-23</td>
<td>Elderly patients living in Stockholm county with a history of hospital treatment for community-acquired pneumonia and previously got one dose of Pneu-P-23 (aged 56-88; mean 75 years)</td>
<td>Antibody levels significantly increased 4 weeks after Pneu-P-23 revaccination relative to baseline (P=0.0004).</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>II-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Antibody levels persisted well for the majority of serotypes in the Pneu-P-23/Pneu-P-23 group.
- Pneu-P-23 revaccination was tolerated; only mild adverse events reported.

**Revaccination**

- Antibody levels significantly increased 4 weeks after Pneu-P-23 revaccination relative to baseline (P=0.0004).
- The response to revaccination was significantly lower than after the primary vaccination, with a combined GMC of 7.47 μg/ml versus 19.06 μg/ml (P<0.001).
## Study Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Measure Method</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Vaccine Schedule</th>
<th>Study Population</th>
<th>Outcome Measures</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Level of Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Waites et al.    | 2008 | USA     | ELISA and OPK assay  | Previously immunized vs. revaccination with 2nd dose of Pneu-P-23 | Prospective cohort study | Pneumovax®23 | Community residing adults in the U.S. with spinal cord injury (tetraplegic or paraplegic) who received primary pneumococcal vaccination between 1993 and 1998 that are <65 years old (aged 25-56; mean 41) | • Antibody levels were significantly higher for all serotypes relative to baseline.  
• Antibody levels were significantly higher for all serotypes except for serotype 19F 1 year after revaccination relative to baseline. (P = 0.08).  
• Antibody levels peaked 1 month following revaccination. | Fair (small sample size) | II-2               |

### Summary

- The difference in geometric mean fold increase following primary vaccination and revaccination, respectively, was also significant, 2.73 versus 1.84 (P=0.001).
- Antibody levels were significantly higher for all serotypes relative to baseline.
- Antibody levels were significantly higher for all serotypes except for serotype 19F 1 year after revaccination relative to baseline. (P = 0.08).
- Antibody levels peaked 1 month following revaccination.
**STUDY DETAILS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Measure Method</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Vaccine Schedule</th>
<th>Study Population</th>
<th>Outcome Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**SUMMARY**

- One year after revaccination, antibody levels had declines yet were still greater than baseline values for all 5 serotypes.
- 13% of revaccinees reported mild local adverse events.
### Table 2. Levels of Evidence Based on Research Design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Evidence from randomized controlled trial(s).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-1</td>
<td>Evidence from controlled trial(s) without randomization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-2</td>
<td>Evidence from cohort or case-control analytic studies, preferably from more than one centre or research group using clinical outcome measures of vaccine efficacy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-3</td>
<td>Evidence obtained from multiple time series with or without the intervention. Dramatic results in uncontrolled experiments (such as the results of the introduction of penicillin treatment in the 1940s) could also be regarded as this type of evidence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Opinions of respected authorities, based on clinical experience, descriptive studies and case reports, or reports of expert committees.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Quality (internal validity) Rating of Evidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>A study (including meta-analyses or systematic reviews) that meets all design-specific criteria* well.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>A study (including meta-analyses or systematic reviews) that does not meet (or it is not clear that it meets) at least one design-specific criterion* but has no known &quot;fatal flaw&quot;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>A study (including meta-analyses or systematic reviews) that has at least one design-specific* &quot;fatal flaw&quot;, or an accumulation of lesser flaws to the extent that the results of the study are not deemed able to inform recommendations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### Table 4. NACI Recommendation for Immunization -- Grades

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>NACI concludes that there is <strong>good</strong> evidence to recommend immunization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>NACI concludes that there is <strong>fair</strong> evidence to recommend immunization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>NACI concludes that the existing evidence is <strong>conflicting</strong> and does not allow making a recommendation for or against immunization; however other factors may influence decision-making.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>NACI concludes that there is <strong>fair</strong> evidence to recommend against immunization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>NACI concludes that there is <strong>good</strong> evidence to recommend against immunization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>NACI concludes that there is <strong>insufficient</strong> evidence (in either quantity and/or quality) to make a recommendation, however other factors may influence decision-making.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ab</td>
<td>antibodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIG</td>
<td>Canadian Immunization Guide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMC</td>
<td>Geometric mean antibody concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMT</td>
<td>Geometric mean titers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPD</td>
<td>Invasive pneumococcal disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NACI</td>
<td>National Advisory Committee on Immunization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPK</td>
<td>Opsonophagocytosis killing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneu-P-23</td>
<td>Pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine-23-valent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneu-C-7</td>
<td>Pneumococcal conjugate vaccine-7-valent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneu-C-10</td>
<td>Pneumococcal conjugate vaccine-10-valent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneu-C-13</td>
<td>Pneumococcal conjugate vaccine-13-valent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Agency</td>
<td>Public Health Agency of Canada</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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