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Abstract

Background: Chlamydia is the most commonly reported notifiable sexually transmitted infection in Canada. Rates have been steadily increasing since 1997.

Objective: To summarize trends in chlamydia rates for the period 2010–2015 in Canada.

Methods: Laboratory-confirmed cases of chlamydia were reported to the Public Health Agency of Canada by all the Canadian provinces and territories. The overall national rate was computed, as were rates per sex, age group and province/territory.

Results: In 2015, a total of 116,499 cases of chlamydia were reported in Canada, corresponding to a rate of 325 cases per 100,000 population. Females accounted for the majority (two-thirds) of chlamydia infections from 2010 to 2015. However, rates among males rose faster during this time period. Youth and young adults aged 15–29 years had the highest rates in 2015. While increased rates were observed over time for most age groups, adults aged 40 years and older had the greatest increase (51%) between 2010 and 2015. Chlamydia rates increased in most provinces during this period, with the highest rates being reported by the Northwest Territories and Nunavut in 2015.

Conclusion: Between 2010 and 2015, chlamydia rates increased by 16.7% and were highest among females and young adults. Although a number of factors may account for this rising trend, the possibility of a true increase in incidence cannot be ruled out. Ongoing monitoring of chlamydia and research into the reasons for the observed changes will help guide sexually transmitted infection (STI) prevention and control activities.

Introduction

Chlamydia, caused by the bacterium Chlamydia trachomatis, is the most commonly reported sexually transmitted infection (STI) in Canada. In 2012, there were an estimated 131 million new cases of chlamydia globally, making it one of the most common STIs (1). If left untreated, chlamydia can lead to reactive arthritis in both sexes, as well as infertility, pelvic inflammatory disease, chronic pelvic pain and ectopic pregnancy in females and epididymo-orchitis in males (2). Mother-to-child transmission can occur at birth, resulting in pneumonia or conjunctivitis in newborns (2). In addition, chlamydia increases the infectiousness of and susceptibility to HIV by increasing the number of HIV target cells in the genital tract and amplifying HIV shedding (an infected cell releases viral particles, which in turn can infect new cells) (3,4).

The number of cases of chlamydia has risen steadily since 1997 in most jurisdictions (2,5). Aside from the use of more sensitive detection methods, this increase in the number of reported cases may be due to a real increase in incidence due to active transmission (2). In fact, many infected individuals are unaware of their status because of the asymptomatic nature of chlamydia. This can leave infections undiagnosed and untreated, helping to spread chlamydia among sexually active individuals. According to the 2009–2011 Canadian Health Measures Survey, the overall prevalence of chlamydial infection in the urine of Canadians aged 14–59 years was 0.7% (6). However, none of the respondents who tested positive for chlamydia reported being diagnosed with an STI.

The prevalence of chlamydia can be much higher in certain populations. A prevalence of chlamydia of 11.6% was reported in an Indigenous community in Nunavut (7) whereas it was 8.6% among urban Canadian street youth (8). Traditional approaches such as case-by-case partner notification seem to have produced mixed results in this largely asymptomatic disease, failing to curb the incidence of the infection.

The objective of this article is to summarize observed trends in chlamydia rates across Canada in the period 2010–2015.

Methods

Data sources

Chlamydia has been nationally notifiable since 1991. Provincial and territorial health authorities provide non-nominal data on laboratory-confirmed cases to the Public Health Agency
of Canada (PHAC) through the Canadian Notifiable Disease Surveillance System (CNDSS) (9). Confirmed case definitions include lymphogranuloma venereum serovars, and are shown in the Appendix (10).

Variables submitted along with the diagnosis include age at time of diagnosis, year of diagnosis, province/territory of diagnosis and sex. The received data are validated in collaboration with the corresponding province or territory. Data from January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2015 were available from all provinces and territories and were extracted from the CNDSS in July 2017.

**Data analysis**

Descriptive analysis was performed using Microsoft Excel. National annual rates of reported cases were computed using the number of cases from the CNDSS as numerators, and Statistics Canada yearly population estimates as denominators. Age group, sex and province/territory-specific rates were also calculated. For all years, rates were given per 100,000 population. No statistical procedures were used for comparative analyses. Small numbers are more susceptible to change so corresponding rates should be interpreted with caution. Previous reports may present different rates for some years due to reporting delays and data updating from provinces and territories.

**Results**

Between 2010 and 2015, the number of reported chlamydia cases increased steadily, from 94,719 to 116,499. The corresponding overall rate in 2015 was 325.0 cases per 100,000 population, an increase of 16.7% from 2010.

**Sex and age**

Although the rate among females was consistently higher than the rate among males during the surveillance period, the rate among males rose at a faster pace. From 2010 to 2015, the rate of chlamydia infection among males increased 30.8% (from 190.4 to 249.1 cases per 100,000) and 9.3% among females (from 364.6 to 398.6 per 100,000) (Figure 1).

The only decrease in the reported rate of chlamydia was among children aged 10 years or younger, from 1.01 to 0.77 per 100,000 (−23.7%). In contrast, adults 60 years and older had the highest increase in rates, at 51.9% (from 4.2 to 6.3 cases per 100,000) and those aged 40–59 years and 30–39 years were the next highest, at 51.0% and 40.9% (from 49.0 to 74.0 cases per 100,000 and from 263.6 to 371.3 cases per 100,000, respectively). Although the increases in rates were greatest in the oldest cohorts, those aged 60 plus years had one of lowest absolute infection rates (6.3 per 100,000) in 2015.

In 2015, the 20–24 year age cohort had the highest chlamydia infection rate at 1,720.4 per 100,000; both men and woman showed a peak in this age group (Figure 2). The next highest rate was in the 15–19 and 25–29 year age cohorts (1,321.1 per 100,000 and 950.5 per 100,000, respectively). Youth and young adults aged 15–24 years represented 56.8% of all reported chlamydia cases in 2015 although they accounted for only 12.6% of the overall population.

**Geographic distribution**

The majority of provinces and territories showed an increase in the number of chlamydia cases and rates over the 2010 to 2015 period (Table 1). However, the two jurisdictions with the highest rates (Northwest Territories and Nunavut) both showed slightly decreasing trends over this period.
Discussion

From 2010 to 2015, rates of reported cases of chlamydia increased across Canada, a picture similar to that in the United States of America (USA) (11). Several factors may help to explain these increasing rates. In addition to a possible true increase in incidence, the popularity of a highly sensitive diagnostic tool (nucleic acid amplification testing or NAAT) as well as more effective screening and case-finding may have led to increased diagnoses of chlamydia (12-14). Quebec, which had one of the highest rate increases, has indicated that their increasing rates are partly due to increased testing in the province and increased use of NAAT (15).

From 2010 to 2015, females had consistently higher rates of reported chlamydia than did men, and rates are continuing to rise. Chlamydia rates are also higher among females than among males in the USA, England and Australia (11,16-17). Several studies have indicated that females may be more biologically susceptible to chlamydia than males (18,19). Furthermore, they are also more likely to seek health care (19,20). Infections in females are frequently asymptomatic, and untreated infections can lead to serious complications and morbidity such as pelvic inflammation, ectopic pregnancies and infertility (21,22). This may explain why chlamydia screening programs and physician practices have traditionally targeted females (23). A higher rate of ascertainment could partly explain the higher rates of chlamydia infection among females.

Although absolute rates were lower among males than among females, rates among men were also increasing and at a rate much higher than that of females. This increase may also be partly due to the increased uptake of sensitive testing since males are considered a hidden reservoir of chlamydia (2,24). Also, the increased use of NAAT to detect extra-genital infections (especially rectal infections among men who have sex with men) and increased availability of urine-based NAAT tests may explain the observed rise in chlamydia rates among men (15).

Adolescents and young adults aged 15–29 years continue to have high rates of infection, a situation observed in several high-income countries. For example, in the USA, lack of access to health care services, insufficient screening, confidentiality concerns around disclosing risky behaviours and having multiple sexual partners have been reported as the constellation of factors that put youth at risk of STIs (25). Suboptimal risk awareness and poor knowledge of risk-reduction behaviours may also explain these levels of STI risk (26).

An increasing trend in rates has also been observed among older cohorts. Recently, Canadian demographics have shifted towards an older population. Although absolute rates are low among those aged 60 years and over, chlamydia rates increased notably in this age group over time. This is likely due to a combination of factors, including increases in risky sexual behaviour, psychosocial changes such as the loss of a spouse, evolving societal norms and natural physiological changes, such as decreased vaginal lubrication, which can cause the mucosal tissue to be more fragile and more susceptible to infection (27,28).

Strengths and limitations

This surveillance report presents national data on chlamydia based on cases reported by all provinces and territories in Canada; it describes rates over a six-year period.

Some limitations of these data should be noted. Data presented in this report likely underestimate the true incidence rate of chlamydia from 2010–2015 as many infections are asymptomatic, undiagnosed or unreported. Reporting might vary and common barriers include lack of knowledge about which diseases to report, time required for notification, and perceived severity of the disease to be reported (29,30). However, as comprehensive incidence and prevalence studies are rare, the reported case rates provide valuable information on disease trends and on minimum incidence rates.

Chlamydia reinfections are common and more than one infection may have occurred and been reported for a given individual, so the true number of people infected may be lower than the number of cases reported. In addition, information on risk factors is unavailable in the CNDSS, limiting our ability to identify factors associated with higher chlamydia rates.

The absence of statistical analysis in this surveillance report is also a limitation. As rates were not age-standardized, changes in rates over the past three decades might be due partly to...
changes in the age structure of provinces and territories and in Canada in general.

Finally, screening, testing and reporting practices can differ significantly between provinces and territories and might have changed over time, resulting in an uneven ability to capture all cases across jurisdictions. Therefore, direct comparisons between provinces and territories should be made with caution.

Conclusion

Chlamydia rates increased by 16.7% between 2010 and 2015, and females consistently have higher rates than males. Although a number of factors may account for these trends, the possibility of a true increase in incidence cannot be ruled out. Ongoing monitoring of chlamydia, evaluation of traditional approaches and interventions and research into the reasons for the observed changes will help guide chlamydia prevention and control activities.
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Appendix: Case definition of confirmed case of chlamydia

Laboratory evidence of infection in genitourinary or extra-genital infections
- Detection of *Chlamydia trachomatis* by culture
  OR
- Detection of *C. trachomatis* nucleic acid
  OR
- Detection of *C. trachomatis* antigen

Laboratory evidence of perinatal infection
Detection and confirmation of *C. trachomatis* in nasopharyngeal or other respiratory tract specimens from an infant in whom pneumonia developed in the first six months of life or in conjunctival specimens from an infant who developed conjunctivitis in the first month of life:
- Detection of *C. trachomatis* by culture
  OR
- Detection of *C. trachomatis* nucleic acid
  OR
- Detection of *C. trachomatis* antigen
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