

# Motivational interviewing: A powerful tool to address vaccine hesitancy

Arnaud Gagneur<sup>1,2\*</sup>

### Abstract

According to the World Health Organization, vaccine hesitancy is among the top threats to global health and few effective strategies address this growing problem. In Canada, approximatively 20% of parents/caregivers are concerned about their children receiving vaccines. Trying to convince them by simply providing the facts about vaccination may backfire and make parents/caregivers even more hesitant. In this context, how can health care providers overcome the challenge of parental decision-making needs regarding vaccination of their children?

Motivational interviewing aims to support decision making by eliciting and strengthening a person's motivation to change their behaviour based on their own arguments for change. This approach is based on three main components: the spirit to cultivate a culture of partnership and compassion; the processes to foster engagement in the relationship and focus the discussion on the target of change; and the skills that enable health care providers to understand and address the parent/caregiver's real concerns.

With regard to immunization, the motivational interviewing approach aims to inform parents/caregivers about vaccinations, according to their specific needs and their individual level of knowledge, with respectful acceptance of their beliefs. The use of motivational interviewing calls for a respectful and empathetic discussion of vaccination and helps to build a strong relationship.

Numerous studies in Canada, including multicentre randomized controlled trials, have proven the effectiveness of the motivational interviewing approach. Since 2018, the PromoVac strategy, an educational intervention based on the motivational interviewing approach, has been implemented as a new practice of care in maternity wards across the province of Quebec through the Entretien Motivationnel en Maternité pour l'Immunisation des Enfants (EMMIE) program.

**Suggested citation:** Gagneur A. Motivational interviewing: A powerful tool to address vaccine hesitancy. Can Commun Dis Rep 2020;46(4):93–7. https://doi.org/10.14745/ccdr.v46i04a06 **Keywords:** vaccine hesitancy, motivational interviewing, vaccine acceptance, vaccine uptake, parental concerns

### Introduction

Trying to convince vaccine-hesitant parents/caregivers to vaccinate their infants by simply providing the facts about vaccinations may backfire and make them even more hesitant (1). A Cochrane review by Kaufman *et al.* concluded that a face-to-face intervention that is strictly based on providing practical and logistical information regarding vaccination but does not take into consideration parents' beliefs is likely to be ineffective (2). However, another Cochrane review that assessed parents' and caregivers' views and experiences with communication about routine childhood vaccination found that parents did want more information compared to what they were This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.



Affiliations

<sup>1</sup> Department of Pediatrics, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, QC

<sup>2</sup> Centre de recherche du Centre hospitalier universitaire de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, QC

#### \*Correspondence:

arnaud.gagneur@usherbrooke.ca

receiving, but they were looking for simple, context-specific facts provided in a timely manner by a trusted health care provider (3).

The take-home message, according to the literature, is that while parents/caregivers want more information, traditional educational methods fail to meet their needs. This being the case, how should we provide parents/caregivers with facts about vaccination? This is of critical importance as, according to the World Health Organization, vaccine hesitancy is among the top 10 threats to global health (4). Until recently, few strategies have been found to effectively address the growing problem



of vaccine hesitancy (i.e. the reluctance or refusal to vaccinate despite the availability of vaccines) (1).

The motivational interviewing (MI) technique is one of the few strategies that has resulted in an increase in infants' vaccine coverage and a decrease in parents' vaccine hesitancy (5–10). MI is a person-centred communication style used to enhance internal motivation for attitudinal change by exploring and solving inherent ambivalences (11). It has been described as a promising tool in health promotion (12), and the National Advisory Committee on Immunization (NACI) currently recommends its use for vaccination (13).

Evidence for the effectiveness of MI on vaccine hesitancy is strong. Gagneur and other researchers developed an educational intervention based on the MI approach for parents in maternity wards during their postpartum stay (the PromoVac strategy) (5–8). A regional pilot study found that this strategy led to a 15% increase in mothers' intention to vaccinate, a 7% increase in infants' vaccine coverage at seven months, and a 9% greater chance of a complete immunization status among children two years or younger if their parents received the intervention in the maternity ward (6–8). A provincial randomized controlled trial found that vaccine hesitancy scores were reduced by 40% (5,6). Vaccine-hesitant mothers benefited the most from the intervention, with 97% reporting that they were satisfied with the intervention and would recommend it to all parents (7).

Dempsey *et al.* also demonstrated the effectiveness of a vaccination promotion strategy that used MI to increase human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine uptake among adolescents (10).

In 2018, the PromoVac strategy was implemented in all maternity wards in Quebec through a provincial public health program called EMMIE (Entretien Motivationnel en Maternité pour l'Immunisation des Enfants). With an increase of 11% in vaccination intention and a decrease of 30% in vaccine hesitancy score, the preliminary results of the evaluation of the PromoVac strategy confirmed findings of previous studies (14).

The objective of this article is to define motivational interviewing and to show how it could be helpful against vaccine hesitancy. This article highlights the impact of this approach on vaccine hesitancy and vaccine coverage, and its use in a public health program in maternity wards in the province of Quebec (12).

This is the third of a series of articles produced by the Canadian Vaccination Evidence Resource and Exchange Centre (CANVax) (15). This centre includes a group of multidisciplinary professionals that identify and create useful resources to foster vaccine uptake (16).

# Best practices for motivational interviewing

MI is an interviewing technique that aims to reinforce the motivation and commitment of the person being interviewed. It is less about the health care professional talking to the patient/caregiver and more about working with them. It is designed to strengthen personal motivation for and commitment to a specific goal by eliciting and exploring the person's own reasons for change within an atmosphere of acceptance and compassion (11).

MI is based on three main components: the spirit to cultivate a culture of partnership and compassion; the processes to foster engagement in the relationship and focus the discussion on the target of change; and the skills that allow health care providers to understand and address individual patient/caregiver's real concerns.

### 1. Cultivate a culture of partnership and empathy

The four elements of the spirit of MI enable health care providers to provide a respectful relationship with empathy:

- Partnership Achieving equality, strengthening collaboration
- Acceptance A positive, empathic attitude that reinforces autonomy
- Evocation Having the individual verbalize the change
- Compassion/altruism Acting in a caring way

### 2. Foster engagement in the relationship and target the goal of the intervention

Four successive MI processes enable engaging in a relationship with the patient/caregiver and moving towards a goal of change within the patient/caregiver's abilities (**Table 1**).

### Table 1: The four successive processes of motivationalinterviewing

| Processes | Objectives  | Questions to address  |  |
|-----------|---|---|--|
| Engaging  | Strengthen the link, show empathy and interest  | What is the actual reality of the individual?   |  |
| Focusing  | Define and focus the<br>discussion on the target of<br>change   | What should we address as a target of change?   |  |
| Evoking   | Objective 1: Reasons and<br>abilities to change (the<br>importance of change)<br>Objective 2: Change talk<br>(the confidence to change) | How relevant would it be<br>to go towards change?<br>What abilities, strengths<br>does the individual have to<br>get there? |  |
| Planning  | Engagement talk. How to change  | How will the individual get there?  |  |

These are not linear processes or a step-by-step guide to MI. Engaging in the relationship comes first because engagement is necessary prior to having a conversation about change. If at any point engagement is lost, the health care provider steps back to the engaging process to re-engage the client.

# 3. Understand the patient/caregiver and adapt to their specific needs

The health care provider identifies and understands the patient/ caregiver's real concerns and can strengthen their motivation to change through the use of MI skills. MI skills include asking open-ended questions, using reflective listening, and affirming and reiterating statements back to the interviewee (**Table 2**). Such skills are used in a dynamic where the health care provider actively listens to the patient/caregiver and then repackages their statements back to them while highlighting what they have done well. This way, the patient/caregiver's confidence can improve with regard to change.

#### Table 2: Motivational interviewing skills

| Skills                                | Objectives  | Examples  |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| Open questions                        | To evoke responses and avoid doubts   | Open-ended questions: ("What<br>did you understand?"/"What<br>do you think?")   |
| Open questions                        |   | Closed questions: ("Did you<br>understand?"/"Do you think<br>it's important?")  |
| Affirmation                           | To encourage the individual<br>and highlight their strengths  | "The health and safety of your children are important to you."  |
|                                       |   | "You already have a lot of knowledge."  |
| Reflective<br>listening/<br>summaries | To allow the individual to<br>add nuance to and correct<br>what they have just said<br>Simple reflection: what the<br>individual says<br>Complex reflection: what the<br>individual means | "You have read articles about<br>the relationships between<br>vaccines and disorders such as<br>autism." "What matters most<br>to you is that your child is as<br>healthy as possible." |
|                                       | How to give information/<br>advice:   |   |
| Elicit–Share–<br>Elicit               | ELICIT = ask what the<br>parent/caregiver knows and<br>ask permission to complete<br>their knowledge  | "What do you know about?"   |
|                                       | SHARE = provide the<br>information /advice on the<br>subject  | "If you agree, I could complete<br>"  |
|                                       | ELICIT = verify what the<br>parent/caregiver has<br>understood and what they<br>will do with this information   | "Does this new information make sense?"   |

# Why motivational interviewing works with a vaccine-hesitant parent/caregiver

Using MI in an educational session fosters a patient/caregiveroriented relationship and, importantly, a tailored session that welcomes parents at their individual level of knowledge while remaining respectful of their beliefs (5–8). The use of the MI approach calls for a respectful and empathetic discussion about vaccination and helps build a strong relationship between the patient/caregiver and the health care practitioner (9). Parents can freely discuss their concerns and ask questions about vaccination without feeling judged (6,9). Health care practitioners can then identify and target parental concerns or misconceptions about vaccination and provide tailored information (6).

Targeting concerns and tailoring information are the most prominent distinguishing features of this approach compared to currently available interventions in the field of vaccination promotion. This distinguishing feature may explain why the MI technique has had such positive results in curbing vaccine hesitancy and improving vaccine coverage (5-8). The educational session with MI is adapted to parents/caregivers' individual needs and their concerns and questions about vaccinating their child. Using MI techniques, health care professionals help individuals explore their own ambivalence, find their own arguments for change and make their own informed decision about vaccinating their child. In a study on parents/caregivers' decisional process in vaccination, Paulussen et al. showed that most parents/caregivers did not actively process the information provided on benefits and drawbacks prior to deciding whether to have their child vaccinated (17). A parent's attitude towards vaccination and high vaccination intention may, therefore, be susceptible to uninformed and informed counterarguments. By eliciting and exploring a parent's personal reasons for vaccination, the MI approach enhances their personal motivation to vaccinate via a robust decisional process. Moreover, MI is a short intervention and could easily be integrated into the usual vaccination consultation once health care practitioners are trained.

**Table 3** shows a case example of how vaccine educationbetween a health care provider and a parent could occur withthe traditional versus motivational interviewing approach.

# Table 3: Example of traditional approach and useof motivational interviewing in a dialogue aboutimmunization

| Traditional approach<br>based on education and<br>counselling   | Motivational interviewing<br>approach    |
|---|--|
| <b>HCP:</b> It's important to immunize your   | HCP: What do you think about the         |
| child. If not, you're putting him in  | advantages of vaccination? [Open-        |
| danger. Do you know there are still   | ended question]                          |
| cases of measles in Canada? This  | Mother: Well, I know that vaccines       |
| disease could be very dangerous.  | protect children against several         |
| And what about meningitis? It could   | diseases that we don't see anymore.      |
| be fatal, you know? You should  | My child received all his first vaccines |
| update your child's vaccinations as   | but I'm worried that the measles         |
| ne is already late according to the   | vaccine could cause autism. For other    |
| schedule. We could do that now if   | vaccines, I have fewer doubts but I'm    |
| you want.   | still hesitating.                        |
| Mother: I don't see the urgency. And<br>autism is worse than measles! There<br>are more problems than solutions with<br>his vaccine. Moreover, it's completely<br>unbelievable to give so many vaccines |  |

at the same time!



Table 3: Example of traditional approach and use of motivational interviewing in a dialogue about immunization (continued)

| Traditional approach<br>based on education and<br>counselling   | Motivational interviewing<br>approach  | increa<br>(18,19<br>Orga                                    |
|---|--|---|
| HCP: Studies have demonstrated<br>that there is no link between autism<br>and the measles vaccine. The vaccine<br>is safe, I assure you. You should be<br>aware of the information that you<br>could find on the Internet. Giving<br>several vaccines at the same time is<br>safe and is not associated with more<br>pain. We should update his vaccines<br>now.  | HCP: As you said, vaccines have<br>reduced diseases in such an important<br>way that they are now much less<br>frequent. It's why you have vaccinated<br>your child when he was a baby. If I<br>understood you correctly, with the<br>exception of measles vaccine, other<br>vaccines seem safe to you. [Summary;<br>Complex Reflection]   | immu<br>immu<br>or aca<br>interv<br>health<br>their         |
| <b>Mother:</b> I've heard something else<br>and not only on the Internet. I've<br>read a lot, and vaccination is not<br>mandatory, I can do what I want.  | <b>Mother:</b> Yes, I know it's a good thing<br>to prevent those infections. But about<br>measles, I'm conflicted. You know,<br>I've read a lot of books and articles.<br>Lots of people are worried about the<br>link between the measles vaccine and<br>autism.  | Aut   |
| <ul> <li>HCP: Yes, you're right, it's not<br/>mandatory, but you're putting him<br/>and other children who cannot<br/>receive vaccines in danger. The risks<br/>of diseases are much higher than the<br/>risks of vaccines. If I take this time to<br/>speak with you, it's because it's very<br/>important.</li> <li>Mother: It's easy for you! Quick,<br/>quick! But what if he gets autism? I'm<br/>worried about the risk of the vaccines<br/>but you don't seem to be worried<br/>about the health of my child.</li> </ul> | HCP: So, you find that it's important<br>to protect your child against diseases<br>when the vaccines are safe, but you're<br>worried about what you've heard<br>regarding autism and measles vaccine.<br>[Summary] I see that you've done<br>a lot of research about the subject.<br>[Affirmation] If you agree, I could give<br>you some additional information for<br>studies on autism and measles. [Elicit]<br>Mother: Sure! I want to know exactly<br>what happened.                    | <b>Con</b><br>A Gag<br>of Can<br>sociau<br>Institu<br>Resea |
| <ul> <li>HCP: Of course I am! And I'm worried about the fact that he could get diseases that could be prevented by vaccines.</li> <li>Mother: I think we do not understand each other. Let's talk about this another time.</li> </ul>   | HCP: In fact, you're right. One study<br>had hypothesized a link between<br>measles vaccine and autism, but this<br>study was fake and the author lost<br>his medical licence. More than 500<br>additional studies around the world<br>have demonstrated that there is no<br>link between the vaccine and autism.<br>The frequency of autism is the same in<br>vaccinated children as in nonvaccinated<br>children. [Share] What do you think?<br>[Elicit, last step of Elicit–Share–Elicit] | Ack<br>Contr<br>and E<br>of aut<br>and e<br>Assoc           |
|   | Mother: Well, so I'm not crazy to be<br>worried about that?<br>HCP: Of course not, you're totally right.   | Fun   |
|   | [Affirmation]<br><b>Mother:</b> Thank you for taking the time<br>to understand my concerns. I think it's a<br>bit clearer now.   | Promo<br>Queb<br>Servic                                     |
| Summary:  | Summary:   | Resea   |
| The HCP adopted the role of<br>the expert and used a directive<br>intervention approach based on<br>argumentation and righting reflex.<br>This type of intervention led to an   | MI allowed the mother, in a<br>nonjudgmental way, to express her<br>concerns and her ambivalence. Using an<br>Elicit-Share-Elicit method allowed the<br>HCP to give solicited information that   | Ref   |
| opposition.<br>Abbreviations: HCP. health care provider: MI. m  | could be accepted by the mother.   | 1. C  |

Abbreviations: HCP, health care provider; MI, motivational interviewing

#### Conclusion

MI is a powerful tool that has been shown to be effective at ing vaccine acceptance and curbing vaccine hesitancy ). It is a new best practice that the World Health ization recommends integrating into the training of nization providers and health care providers involved in ization counselling (20). The several available workshop demic training materials on applying motivational ewing to immunization could be very helpful in assisting care providers in integrating motivational interviewing into laily practice (18–22).

### hor's statement

Writing-original draft, review and editing

#### lict of interest

neur has received grants from the Public Health Agency ada, the Québec Ministère de la Santé et des Services x, le Fonds de recherche du Québec – Santé, the Canadian tes of Health Research and the Canadian Immunization rch Network.

### nowledgements

butions to the Canadian Vaccination Evidence Resource change Centre (CANVax) come from a very wide range nors, committees, immunization partners and reviewers, pecially the CANVax secretariat at Canadian Public Health ation.

### ding

Vac studies were funded by the Fonds de recherche ec – Sante (#27505), the Ministere de la Sante et des es Sociaux du Quebec, the Canadian Institutes of Health ch and the Canadian Immunization Research Network.

### erences

- Dubé E, Gagnon D, MacDonald NE; SAGE Working Group on Vaccine Hesitancy. Strategies intended to address vaccine hesitancy: review of published reviews. Vaccine 2015 Aug;33(34):4191-203. DOI PubMed
- 2. Kaufman J, Ryan R, Walsh L, Horey D, Leask J, Robinson P, Hill S. Face-to-face interventions for informing or educating parents about early childhood vaccination. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2018 May;5:CD010038. DOI PubMed

#### CANVax - www.canvax.ca



- 3. Ames HM, Glenton C, Lewin S. Parents' and informal caregivers' views and experiences of communication about routine childhood vaccination: a synthesis of qualitative evidence. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2017 Feb;2:CD011787. DOI PubMed
- 4. Ten threats to global health in 2019. Geneva (CH): World Health Organization; 2019. https://www.who.int/ emergencies/ten-threats-to-global-health-in-2019
- Gagneur A, Battista MC, Boucher F, Tapiero B, Quach C, De Wals P, Lemaître T, Farrands A, Boulianne N, Sauvageau C, Ouakki M, Gosselin V, Petit G, Jacques MC, Dubé É. Promoting vaccination at maternity wards using a motivational interview reduces hesitancy and enhances intention to vaccinate: a multicenter pre- and post-design RCT-nested study, Quebec, March 2014 to February 2015. Euro Surveill 2019;24(36): DOI PubMed
- Gagneur A, Gosselin V, Dubé È. Motivational interviewing: A promising tool to address vaccine hesitancy. Vaccine 2018 Oct;36(44):6553–5. DOI PubMed
- Gagneur A, Lemaître T, Gosselin V, Farrands A, Carrier N, Petit G, Valiquette L, De Wals P. A postpartum vaccination promotion intervention using motivational interviewing techniques improves short-term vaccine coverage: PromoVac study. BMC Public Health 2018 Jun;18(1):811. DOI PubMed
- Lemaître T, Carrier N, Farrands A, Gosselin V, Petit G, Gagneur A. Impact of a vaccination promotion intervention using motivational interview techniques on long-term vaccine coverage: the PromoVac strategy. Hum Vaccin Immunother 2019;15(3):732–9. DOI PubMed
- Boodman E. Special report: The vaccine whisperers: counselors gently engage new parents before their doubts harden into certainty. Boston (MA): Stat; 2019 Aug 5. https:// www.statnews.com/2019/08/05/the-vaccine-whispere rs-counselors-gently-engage-new-parents-before-their-d oubts-harden-into-certainty/
- Dempsey AF, Pyrznawoski J, Lockhart S, Barnard J, Campagna EJ, Garrett K, Fisher A, Dickinson LM, O'Leary ST. Effect of a health care professional communication training intervention on adolescent human papillomavirus vaccination: a cluster randomized clinical trial. JAMA Pediatr 2018 May;172(5):e180016. DOI PubMed
- 11. Rollnick S, Miller WR, Butler CC. Motivational interviewing in health care: helping patients change behavior. New York: The Guilford Press; 2008.
- 12. Appiah-Brempong E, Okyere P, Owusu-Addo E, Cross R. Motivational interviewing interventions and alcohol abuse among college students: a systematic review. Am J Health Promot 2014 Sep-Oct;29(1):e32–42. DOI PubMed

- Canadian immunization guide: Part 1 Key immunization information. Ottawa (ON): Government of Canada; (Accessed 2020-02-17). https://www.canada.ca/en/ public-health/services/publications/healthy-living/ canadian-immunization-guide-part-1-key-immunizationinformation.html
- 14. Gagneur A, Bergeron J, Gosselin V, Guay M, Dubé È, Sanson J, Sicard N, Auger D. Preliminary results of EMMIE program: Increase of parental vaccine intention and reduction of vaccine hesitancy. Ottawa (ON): Canadian Immunization Conference; 2018 Dec 4.
- 15. MacDonald NE, Dubé E. A new resource to summarize evidence on immunization from the Canadian Vaccination Evidence Resource and Exchange Centre (CANVax). Can Commun Dis Rep 2020 Jan;46(1):16–9. DOI PubMed
- 16. The Canadian Vaccination Evidence Resource and Exchange Centre. Ottawa (ON): CANVax; (Accessed 2020-02-17). https://www.canvax.ca/
- 17. Paulussen TG, Hoekstra F, Lanting CI, Buijs GB, Hirasing RA. Determinants of Dutch parents' decisions to vaccinate their child. Vaccine 2006 Jan;24(5):644–51. DOI PubMed
- Gagneur A, Bergeron J, Gosselin V, Farrands A, Baron G. A complementary approach to the vaccination promotion continuum: an immunization-specific motivational-interview training for nurses. Vaccine 2019 May;37(20):2748–56. DOI PubMed
- Gagneur A, Gosselin V, Bergeron J, Farrands A, Baron G. Development of motivational interviewing skills in immunization (MISI): a questionnaire to assess MI learning, knowledge and skills for vaccination promotion. Hum Vaccin Immunother 2019;15(10):2446–52. DOI PubMed
- Improving vaccination demand and addressing hesitancy. Geneva (CH): World Health Organization (Accessed 2020-02-17). https://www.who.int/immunization/programmes\_ systems/vaccine\_hesitancy/en/L'entretien motivationnel, une stratégie efficace pour diminuer l'hésitation à la vaccination: 28 Novembre 2019: Atelier méthodologique. Montréal (QC): 2019. https://www.inspq.qc.ca/jasp/ programmes-scientifiques
- 21. Moving to acceptance: how to address vaccine hesitancy in your busy practice. Ottawa (ON): Canadian Pediatric Society (Accessed 2020-02-17). https://www.cps.ca/en/ vaccine-hesitancy