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Abstract

Introduction: Polysubstance use—the use of substances at the same time or close in time—is a common practice among people who use drugs. The recent rise in mortality and overdose associated with polysubstance use makes understanding current motivations underlying this pattern critical. The objective of this review was to synthesize current knowledge of the reasons for combining substances in a single defined episode of drug use.

Methods: We conducted a rapid review of the literature to identify empirical studies describing patterns and/or motivations for polysubstance use. Included studies were published between 2010 and 2021 and identified using MEDLINE, Embase, PsycINFO and Google Scholar.

Results: We included 13 qualitative or mixed-method studies in our analysis. Substances were combined sequentially to alleviate withdrawal symptoms or prolong a state of euphoria (“high”). Simultaneous use was motivated by an intention to counteract or balance the effect(s) of a substance with those of another, enhance a high or reduce overall use, and to mimic the effect of another unavailable or more expensive substance. Self-medication for a pre-existing condition was also the intention behind sequential or simultaneous use.

Conclusion: Polysubstance use is often motivated by a desire to improve the experience based on expected effects of combinations. A better understanding of the reasons underlying substance combination are needed to mitigate the impact of the current overdose crisis.
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Highlights

- The use of multiple substances in a single episode is common, but increases the risk of an acute toxicity event.
- Polysubstance use is driven by people’s experience and expectation of substance effects.
- Substances can be combined sequentially to alleviate withdrawal symptoms or prolong a state of euphoria (“high”).
- Substances can be used simultaneously to counteract or balance their effect(s), enhance a high, reduce overall use, or mimic the effect of another substance.
- While substances are generally combined to improve the experience, reducing overall use or self-medicating a pre-existing condition are also motivations.

Introduction

Polysubstance use, the consumption of more than one substance close in time, with overlapping effects,1,2 is increasingly recognized as an urgent public health issue.3,4 The co-involvement of stimulants, benzodiazepines and alcohol increases the risk of acute opioid toxicity5 and has been identified as one of the key drivers in the rise in opioid-related mortality in North America.3,4 In Canada, 22 828 apparent opioid toxicity deaths were recorded between January 2016 and March 2021.6 Although it is most prevalent among people with problematic use,6,9-11 polysubstance use is far-reaching and occurs across populations and age groups.12-16

Overdose death rates have risen rapidly since the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic.8 Between April and September 2020, in the 6 months after the implementation of COVID-19 prevention measures, there were 3351 apparent opioid toxicity deaths in Canada, representing a 74% increase over the previous 6 months (1923 deaths between October 2019 and March 2020).8 Recent evidence suggests that physical distancing measures have contributed to this situation by reducing the availability of treatment and harm reduction services for people who use substances.17 Although the literature on polysubstance use in the context of COVID-19 is still nascent, findings from recent reports also suggest that self-medication and the effects of abstinence from no longer accessible drugs has resulted in an increase in the number of substances...
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used simultaneously. This trend is a concern given that it contributes to multiple dependencies, especially when substances are consumed to mitigate a negative symptom, for example, to manage pain.

Studies have shown that people combine substances with the intention of minimizing harm, reducing negative symptoms, increasing pleasurable sensations and enhancing overall experience, despite the risk of acute toxicity inherent to polysubstance use. Qualitative and mixed-method studies have reported various motivators of polysubstance use in specific populations, but a comprehensive synthesis of the literature is missing. As studies relying on qualitative data tend to be small, a synthesis of the literature could provide a broader and more complete picture of polysubstance use motivations in the population, help identify common and less common motivating factors, and inform substance-use intervention and prevention programs and policies.

In this review of qualitative evidence, we aim to summarize the current state of knowledge on the way people choose to combine substances in a single episode, either at the same time or sequentially, to achieve desired effects.

### Methods

#### Search strategy

We developed this review using the methods described in the Rapid Review Guidebook.

An electronic database search strategy was developed with a librarian based on a predefined protocol (available from the authors on request). We searched MEDLINE, Embase and PsycINFO databases for peer-reviewed studies published between January 2010 and March 2021. We identified grey literature by searching the Google and Google Scholar databases for governmental reports and webpages of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation (OECD) and of OECD member countries. An ancestry search of all the references cited by all included peer-reviewed articles and a manual search in Google Scholar for key concepts such as pattern of polysubstance use were carried out to capture relevant studies that may not have been indexed in the searched databases.

### Results

#### Study selection and data collection

Two reviewers (MBF, CL) independently screened titles and abstracts and retrieved potentially relevant studies for full-text review. Three reviewers (MBF, GC, GG) independently extracted data from the included studies. Any discrepancies between reviewers at screening and full-text review were resolved via consensus. For all included publications, the study country, objective(s), population, sample size, data collection method, years of data collection, basic demographic data of study participants including age, sex, substances under study and combinations of substances and or classes were extracted. Motivations for combining different substances, and patterns of substance use (simultaneous or sequential), were coded.

#### Quality appraisal

Three reviewers (MBF, GC, GG) independently assessed the quality of included studies using the Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT). This tool has been developed and validated to critically appraise the methodological quality of different study designs. The MMAT uses five questions to assess the appropriateness of the study design for the research question, the potential bias and the quality of measurements and analyses, according to design.

Based on “yes,” “no” or “can’t tell” answers, a five-point quality score was created, assigning one point for each “yes” response. Studies were considered good quality (≥4 “yes” answers); moderate quality (3 “yes” answers); or poor quality (<2 “yes” answers). Disagreements between reviewers were resolved if any of their answers to the five questions described in the MMAT tool differed. Consensus was reached through discussion between two reviewers, followed by discussions with a third if the disagreement persisted.

No studies were excluded based on their quality. (Details of the complete quality appraisal results of all included studies are available from the authors on request).

#### Data analysis

We extracted qualitative data on polysubstance use, including the specific substances combined and their class (stimulants, depressant, dissociative, psychedelic, etc.). We defined polysubstance use as the consumption of at least two substances at the same time (simultaneous pattern) or taken one after another within a 24-hour period (sequential pattern).

We carried out a thematic content analysis to identify the motivations and patterns of use. We coded qualitative information using a predetermined list of motivations extracted from a published review, allowing for more to emerge. Once the list of motivations stabilized, two reviewers (either MBF and CL, or MBF and GC) coded the verbatim separately and then compared their results. A single quote could be coded under more than one motivation. If the reviewers disagreed as to the motivation to ascribe, they resolved the disagreement through discussion, with a third reviewer joining the discussion if the disagreement persisted.
Eleven of the included studies were conducted in North America \cite{14,28,30,32,34,36,40} and two in Europe.\cite{33,35} Six were qualitative and 7 were mixed methods studies. The characteristics of included studies are summarized in Table 1.

We classified nine of the studies as high quality. Four mixed-methods studies were considered moderate quality, either because they did not provide a clear rationale for using mixed methods or because the quality of the quantitative and/or qualitative research methods could not be assessed based on the reported information.

The median number of participants in the selected studies was 45, with the actual number between 11 and 13521. The study population was categorized into one of the six following groups: people who attend parties and raves and go to bars; people attracted to the same sex; people attending academic or training institutions; people who inject substances and/or are street involved and/or experiencing homelessness; and people who use substances not otherwise specified.

Ten of the 13 studies were conducted with street-based or socially marginalized populations including people who inject...
### TABLE 1
Summary of included studies reporting on polysubstance use, 2010–2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation and location</th>
<th>Years of data collection</th>
<th>Study population</th>
<th>Sample size, n</th>
<th>Proportion of males, %</th>
<th>Age, years</th>
<th>Data collection method</th>
<th>Research objective(s)</th>
<th>Substances under study</th>
<th>Quality score, /5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aikins (2013) United States</td>
<td>2009–2010</td>
<td>University students</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Median: 21 (range: 18–50)</td>
<td>Semistructured interviews, questionnaire (self-administered)</td>
<td>To describe the experiences of students who use drugs for academic purposes</td>
<td>Alcohol, cannabis, nicotine, prescribed stimulants, Strattera, modafinil, salvia or any other nootropic medication taken to increase academic performances</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellis et al. (2018) United States</td>
<td>2011–2017</td>
<td>People newly entering substance abuse treatment programs</td>
<td>13 521</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Categorical: 18–24 (21.2%) 25–34 (42.7%) 35–44 (20.6%) &gt;45 (15.6%)</td>
<td>Questionnaire (self-administered), open-ended questions</td>
<td>To understand whether use of methamphetamine has increased among opioid users</td>
<td>Methamphetamine, opioids</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kecojevic et al. (2015) United States</td>
<td>2012–2013</td>
<td>Young men who have sex with men</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Median: 23 (IQR: 21–26)</td>
<td>In-depth, semistructured interviews and structured quantitative interviews</td>
<td>To explore personal motivations for prescription drug misuse among young men who have sex with men, including the possible connection between misuse and sexual behaviours</td>
<td>Opioids, such as Vicodin and OxyContin, tranquilizers, such as Xanax and Klonapin, and stimulants, such as Adderall and Ritalin</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamonica &amp; Boeri (2012) United States</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>People who use methamphetamine and former users</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Median: NR (range: 22–51)</td>
<td>Questionnaire (interviewer-administered), in-depth interviews</td>
<td>To describe the patterns of use of prescribed drugs and methamphetamine</td>
<td>Methamphetamine and prescribed drugs (NS)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motta-Ochoa et al. (2017) Canada</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>People who use cocaine</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Median: NR (range: 20–60)</td>
<td>Semistructured interviews and participant observations</td>
<td>To understand practices of psychotropic medication use among people who use cocaine</td>
<td>Cocaine and other substances</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oliveira et al. (2010) Spain</td>
<td>2005–2006</td>
<td>People who use substances and former users</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>NR (mainly men)</td>
<td>Median: NR (range: 20–40)</td>
<td>In-depth interviews</td>
<td>To understand cocaine use to support the elaboration of intervention strategies that support people who use drugs</td>
<td>Cocaine and other substances</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### TABLE 1 (continued)
Summary of included studies reporting on polysubstance use, 2010–2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation and location</th>
<th>Years of data collection</th>
<th>Study population</th>
<th>Sample size, n</th>
<th>Proportion of males, %</th>
<th>Age, years</th>
<th>Data collection method</th>
<th>Research objective(s)</th>
<th>Substances under study</th>
<th>Quality score, /5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pringle et al. (2015)](41) United States</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>People who use DXM</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>Mean: 23.6 (range: 18–63)</td>
<td>Questionnaire (self-administered), open-ended questions</td>
<td>To describe patterns, preferences and perceptions of DXM use among adult members of an online DXM community</td>
<td>DXM and other substances (NS)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rigg &amp; Ibañez (2010)](42) United States</td>
<td>2008–2009</td>
<td>People who misuse prescription drugs</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Mean: 39 (range: 18–60)</td>
<td>In-depth qualitative interviews (qualitative) and computer-assisted personal interviewing</td>
<td>To determine the motivations for engaging in non-medical use of prescription opioids and sedatives among street-based people who use illegal substances, methadone maintenance patients, and residential drug treatment clients</td>
<td>Opioids and other prescription drugs</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roy et al. (2012)](43) Canada</td>
<td>2007–2009</td>
<td>People who use cocaine</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Mean: 38.6 (range: 18–60)</td>
<td>Participant observations and unstructured interviews (qualitative) and self-report questionnaire (quantitative)</td>
<td>To investigate the influence of crack availability on current drug use</td>
<td>Cocaine, opioids and other substances</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silva et al. (2013)](44) United States</td>
<td>2008–2009</td>
<td>Young people who misuse prescription drugs</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>Mean: 20.9 (range: 16–25)</td>
<td>Semistructured interview (qualitative and quantitative)</td>
<td>To examine the reasons young polydrug users misuse prescription drugs and explore how young users employ risk-reduction strategies to minimize adverse consequences</td>
<td>Opioids, tranquilizers(^a) and stimulants(^a)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valente et al. (2020)](45) United States</td>
<td>2018–2019</td>
<td>People who inject drugs</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Median: 37 (IQR: 31–41)</td>
<td>Quantitative surveys on sociodemographics, semistructured interviews</td>
<td>To explore patterns, contexts, motivations and perceived consequences of polysubstance use among people who inject drugs</td>
<td>Heroin, fentanyl or another synthetic opioid, cocaine, cannabis, benzodiazepines, alcohol, prescription opioids, methamphetamine, prescription stimulants(^a) and other drugs</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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drugs, use harm reduction services or are experiencing homelessness. The age range varied across the studies, with the overall range 18 to 60 years.

One study examined the reasons for polysubstance use in a population of university students (median of 21 years of age); one examined the reasons for polysubstance use among people attracted to the same sex (median of 23 years of age); and one examined the reasons for polysubstance use among people who discuss substance use in online forums (mean of 23 years of age). Most of the study participants (50–100%) identified as male.

Patterns and motivations for combining substances

The 13 studies included in this rapid review reported a total of 41 different combinations of substances and the motivations for combining substances (Table 2).

We found eight motivations for which we described the temporal patterns of use (simultaneous or sequential) when information was available. Excerpts of quotes from the original studies are duplicated here to better illustrate individuals’ motivations for combining substances.

Sequential use

Sequential use refers to the consumption of a substance after the peak effect of another substance. People reported using substances sequentially to alleviate withdrawal symptoms or to prolong a state of euphoria, or “high.”

Alleviate withdrawal symptoms

The most frequently reported combinations of substances involve a stimulant with a depressant (e.g. benzodiazepine, alcohol), cannabis or an opioid to either calm down, induce sleep, alleviate anxiety or distress or avoid drug cravings produced by the stimulant.

“Sometimes when you do cocaine, or you get really wired up on the Oxys, we need something to come down, and we would take that Xanax to come down or get some sleep because sometimes in the process of doing these drugs you forget to sleep for a couple of days, and then finally you’ve got to say, ‘Okay, it’s time to sleep.’”

Studies reported people using substances within the same class of effect to ease off the effects of the drug. For example, a prescribed stimulant (dexamphetamine) was used to maintain normal functioning after a prolonged session of methamphetamine or cocaine. Similarly, oxycodone was used to ease the pain of heroin withdrawal.

Prolong a high

The pattern of stimulation sedation can take place in a single day or for longer periods (several days) with stimulants and opioids to prolong a high.

“I would smoke crack and use heroin or fentanyl, what we call landing gear, to come back down. And once you get down, then you’ll want to take another hit [of crack] to go back up, and it’s just like a cat chasing its tail. It never ends. Go up just to come down, then go up again.”

Simultaneous use

Simultaneous use is defined here as the consumption of two or more substances at the same time or close in time. The intention of simultaneous use is usually to balance or counteract the effects of one...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motivation</th>
<th>Combination of classes and substances</th>
<th>Description of specific motivations according to specific substances combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sequential use (proximal time)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alleviate withdrawal symptoms</td>
<td><strong>Opioid</strong> (heroin) <strong>+</strong> <strong>Opioid</strong> (Rx opioids)</td>
<td>To ease pain when coming down from heroin&lt;sup&gt;11,37&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Opioid</strong> (prescribed) <strong>+</strong> <strong>Alcohol</strong></td>
<td>To induce sleep after using an opioid&lt;sup&gt;33&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Stimulant</strong> (mephedrone) <strong>+</strong> <strong>Opioid</strong> (heroin and methadone)</td>
<td>To come down off a stimulant&lt;sup&gt;35&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Stimulant</strong> (cocaíne) <strong>+</strong> <strong>Antidepressant</strong> (trazodone)</td>
<td>To induce sleep after using a stimulant&lt;sup&gt;17&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Antipsychotic</strong> (quetiapine) <strong>+</strong> <strong>Benzodiazepine</strong> (clonazepam or lorazepam) <strong>+</strong> <strong>Alcohol</strong></td>
<td>To alleviate distress and induce sleep after using a stimulant&lt;sup&gt;12,36&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Benzodiazepine</strong> (clonazepam or lorazepam) <strong>+</strong> <strong>without Alcohol</strong></td>
<td>To cope with anxiety and paranoia, induce sleep and avoid cravings (“jonesing”) after using a stimulant&lt;sup&gt;42,37&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Gabapentinoid</strong> (pregabalin) <strong>+</strong> <strong>Stimulant</strong> (dexamfetamine)</td>
<td>To reduce anxiety induced by a stimulant&lt;sup&gt;12&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Stimulant</strong> (dexamfetamine) <strong>+</strong> <strong>Opioid</strong> (heroin or dilaudid)</td>
<td>To come down, avoid “crashing” after the use of a stimulant&lt;sup&gt;38&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Opioids</strong> (NS) <strong>+</strong> <strong>Methamphetamine</strong> <strong>+</strong> <strong>Benzodiazepine</strong> (alprazolam) <strong>+</strong> <strong>without Alcohol</strong></td>
<td>To induce sleep, to calm down and prevent hallucinations after using a stimulant&lt;sup&gt;12&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Stimulant</strong> (methamphetamine) <strong>+</strong> <strong>Benzodiazepine</strong> (alprazolam) <strong>+</strong> <strong>without Alcohol</strong></td>
<td>To maintain functioning after a prolonged session of stimulant use&lt;sup&gt;44&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Stimulant</strong> (methamphetamine) <strong>+</strong> <strong>Alcohol</strong></td>
<td>To alleviate withdrawal symptoms&lt;sup&gt;39&lt;/sup&gt; and to reduce paranoia induced by a stimulant&lt;sup&gt;39&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Stimulant</strong> (dexamfetamine) <strong>+</strong> <strong>Benzodiazepine</strong> (alprazolam)</td>
<td>To induce sleep after using a stimulant&lt;sup&gt;39&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simultaneous use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance effects</td>
<td><strong>Opioid</strong> (heroin) <strong>+</strong> <strong>Benzodiazepine</strong> (clonazepam)</td>
<td>To avoid being aggravated easily by noise and reduce anxiety&lt;sup&gt;41&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Stimulant</strong> (cocaíne) <strong>+</strong> <strong>Opioid</strong> (heroin or dilaudid)</td>
<td>To avoid negative experiences (“bad trips”), overpowering sensations&lt;sup&gt;21&lt;/sup&gt;; to avoid feeling drowsy (“noodling”) when using an opioid&lt;sup&gt;39&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Opioid</strong> (heroin) <strong>+</strong> <strong>Opioid</strong> (mephedrone)</td>
<td>To avoid overpowering sensation&lt;sup&gt;35&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Methamphetamine</strong> <strong>+</strong> <strong>opioid</strong></td>
<td>To avoid overpowering sensation&lt;sup&gt;75,30&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Rx stimulant</strong> (dexamfetamine) <strong>+</strong> <strong>Alcohol</strong></td>
<td>To calm down&lt;sup&gt;38&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Cannabis</strong> <strong>+</strong> <strong>Cannabis</strong></td>
<td>To calm down and to increase appetite&lt;sup&gt;38&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 2 (continued)
Specific motivations for combining substances identified in qualitative or mixed-method studies (N = 13)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motivation</th>
<th>Combination of classes and substances</th>
<th>Description of specific motivations according to specific substances combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance effects</td>
<td>Stimulant (methamphetamine) + Opioid</td>
<td>To provide energy to offset the sedation from opioids, to calm down after using the stimulant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Opioid (heroin)</td>
<td>To avoid overpowering sensation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rx opioids</td>
<td>To provide energy to offset the sedation from opioids, or to calm down after using the stimulant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>To avoid overpowering sensation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counteract effects</td>
<td>Stimulant (methamphetamine) + Erectile dysfunction Rx (Cialis, Viagra)</td>
<td>To counteract the effect of a stimulant on sexual performance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rx stimulant (dexamfetamine) + Cannabis</td>
<td>To counteract the effect of the stimulant and restore appetite.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhance a high</td>
<td>Opioid (heroin) + Benzodiazepine (clonazepam)</td>
<td>To enhance the effect of the opioid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Opioid (oxycodone)</td>
<td>To enhance the effect and achieve the desired high with low quality drug.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Opioid (Rx opioid) + Cannabis</td>
<td>To accentuate or enhance the effects of cannabis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stimulant (cocaine) + Stimulant (methylphenidate)</td>
<td>To enhance the effect of the stimulant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stimulant (dexamfetamine) + Stimulant (clonidine)</td>
<td>To enhance the effect of the stimulant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stimulant (caffeine)</td>
<td>To enhance the effect of the stimulant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stimulant (methamphetamine) + Opioid</td>
<td>To increase enjoyment of effect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rx opioid</td>
<td>To enhance the effect of the stimulant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CNS depressant (GHB), Dissociative (ketamine)</td>
<td>To enhance sexual experience or self-discovery experiences.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cocaine + Opioid (NS)</td>
<td>To maximize the effect of one drug or the other.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce overall use</td>
<td>Opioid (Rx opioid) + Alcohol</td>
<td>To achieve the same effect while reducing overall use and harm related to alcohol use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mimic the effect of another substance</td>
<td>Opioid (methadone) + Benzodiazepine</td>
<td>To mimic the effect of heroin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporality of use not specified</td>
<td>Self-medicate</td>
<td>Opioid (heroin) + Rx opioid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** CNS, central nervous system; GHB, gamma-hydroxybutyrate; MDMA, methylenedioxymethamphetamine (ecstasy); NS, not specified; Rx, prescribed medication.

**Note:** We use the colloquial expression “high” to mean a state of euphoria induced by the taking of the drug(s).

substance by using another substance, to enhance a high, to reduce overall use or to mimic the effect of another substance.

**Balance effects**
Substances with opposing psychoactive effects were used simultaneously to achieve a desired mental state or to temper undesirable effects. For example, heroin is used to avoid experiencing negative overpowering feelings when using a stimulant.33

“... you no longer think about hallucinations, paranoia, you don’t go through a bad trip, it [simultaneous use of heroin and crack cocaine] is the best thing to reduce the effect.”33

Similarly, a stimulant is used to avoid feeling drowsy when using an opioid or as a depressant.36

“I’ll take Adderall mainly when I go to the clubs. At nighttime when I’m too drunk, I’ll take the Adderall to straighten me up a little bit, open my eyes, be more attentive.”36

**Counteract effects**
Substances with complementary effects can be used simultaneously to counteract undesired effects. For example, erectile dysfunction medication is used to counteract the effect of methamphetamine on sexual performance.36 and cannabis is used to increase appetite when using a stimulant.28

“I smoke the weed to control [the Adderall]. If I get too jittery—tooth uppit— and I’m grinding [my teeth] way too much, okay, I need to smoke...”
to calm down some, and let myself know I got to eat something.”

Enhance a high
Motivations for polysubstance use included combining drugs to create synergistic psychoactive effects with the intent to potentiate or enhance the effects of another substance. Often, stimulants are used in combination to increase a high. People also reported using benzodiazepines or prescription opioids with heroin for the same purpose. Opioids and stimulants were also used in combination to maximize the effect of one drug or the other and create a synergy. Substances may also be combined with the specific purpose of enhancing the effect of a low quality drug to achieve the desired high.

“For crappy dope, I’m gonna try to get some Oxys for free, take those, and do a shot of dope. Or, I’ll take a Percocet, start feeling that, and then do a shot of dope, which just intensifies it.”

Stimulants are combined simultaneously with GHB (gamma-hydroxybutyrate) and ketamine for added pleasure and to enhance sexual experiences or self-discovery.

“But then [if] you want to go voyaging off into the universe, do a shot of crystal [crystal meth] and special K [ketamine] in the same shot. It’s amazing … I don’t know how to explain it. I feel like I’ve learned a lot about life in those kinds of experiences.”

Reduce overall use
Substances can be used simultaneously as a harm reduction strategy to decrease substance consumption. For example, alcohol is used with an opioid to achieve the same effect of alcohol while reducing overall intake.

“It’s usually like, ‘Oh, we’re going out to the bar, OK, I’ll take half a Vicodin and have a couple of drinks, because it makes it that much more intense without having to consume as much.’ [That] is my approach to it. I can go out and have two drinks and take half the Vicodin and feel better than going and having four or five drinks that night.”

Mimic the effect of another substance
Substances are mixed to help users achieve a desired effect if a preferred substance is not available or only available at a higher price. For instance, participants reported simultaneously using benzodiazepines and methadone to mimic the effects of heroin when that drug is not available.

“When I take methadone and benzos I nod [laughs] … Nodding is when you are high on heroin. Methadone and benzos make you nod. That’s why some doctors don’t want to prescribe both. It makes the effect of heroin. Methadone and benzos make you high like heroin.”

Pattern not specified
Self-medicate
Self-medication for poorly managed physical or mental health conditions or to alleviate pain was another common reason for using more than one substance. For instance, a participant described using Suboxone for pain and also self-medicating with a benzodiazepine and Ritalin to cope with a pre-existing condition:

“[I currently use] Suboxone. I also like to use Xanax [benzodiazepine], it calms me down. The Concerta, the Ritalin [prescription stimulants], gives me energy. I mean, of course, the Suboxone, takes away all the [pain]. ‘Cause I also have chronic pain, and it does help, and that’s mostly (…) just to make it through the day and not be in so much pain.”

Complex behaviour and superimposed motivations
During a single episode of polysubstance use, there may be multiple motivations that guide the choices of people who use drugs, and drugs may be used both sequentially and simultaneously to meet these goals. For example, the use of alcohol and cannabis often constitute the baseline on which to build the experience, which can then be followed by a simultaneous use of stimulants, psychedelics and a sedative. The following quote exemplifies a situation where a person combines a stimulant and a gabapentinoid to prolong a high and to alleviate negative symptoms:

“Sometimes I do Lyricas [pregabalin], I sniff them…the pills, after I do coke. It is a downer and the other, the coke, is an upper… I want Lyrica just to keep my buzz. [When] I wake up in the morning…I’m good this way, it’s cool, it’s quiet, I’m less anxious.”

Discussion
We identified and summarized eight motivations of polysubstance use and their temporality of use. Building on previous reviews that looked more widely at polysubstance use, our work intentionally puts a narrow focus on overlapping use and described preferred combinations based on the person’s experience and expectations of substance pharmacological effects.

Our results show that there are distinct motivations for using drugs sequentially and simultaneously in a single episode. The use of over five substances in an episode is common and preferred substances vary across groups, making it difficult to capture general patterns of use.

While the object of our review was intentional polysubstance use, we acknowledge that substance combinations are not always a matter of choice. In illicit markets, preferred substances may be contaminated with other substances without the knowledge of the purchaser. In some instances, the progression and maintenance of use happen as a result of dependence, where the use of one substance triggers the use of another. Other circumstantial factors can be at play; the emergence of new substances in the illegal local markets, the ease of access to traditional substances and price variations influence patterns of use. When a substitute for a drug becomes cheaper, more available or of better quality, people will likely favour it. In North America, the increasing availability and quality of methamphetamine along with its decreased price have led to it being substituted for other stimulants and to what has been described as the “twin epidemics” of methamphetamine and opioid use. A similar pattern is currently being observed in Europe where cocaine quality and affordability have been steadily increasing and so has its use.

The choice of substances that are used in combination also depends on the context in which they are used to fulfill specific functions. For example, studies that include people who go to parties and bars tend to report combinations of “club drugs” including ecstasy/MDMA (methylenedioxy-methamphetamine), amphetamines, ketamine, cocaine, GHB, psychedelics, cannabis and alcohol. Club drugs are used to
increase feelings of euphoria, desirability, self-insight and sociability.\textsuperscript{9} In other cases, substance combinations can involve non-psychoactive substances that are used to improve the overall experience. For example, a beta blocker can be used to offset tachycardia or omeprazole to avoid stomach pain when using stimulants.\textsuperscript{7} Studies that focus on people who are attracted to the same sex often describe the use of wide combinations of club drugs\textsuperscript{15,16} along with erectile dysfunction medication and alkyl nitrate (or “poppers") for sensation seeking, enhancing the sexual experience and fitting in.\textsuperscript{52} Studies have also examined the use of prescription stimulants to enhance cognitive performance\textsuperscript{20,53} and prescription drugs, including benzodiazepine and opioids, to alleviate distress among college and university students.\textsuperscript{34,55}

Changes in the legal status of psychoactive substances are also expected to influence people’s behaviour. As a result of legislative changes, the use of synthetic cathinones such as mephedrone, which was very prevalent a few years ago,\textsuperscript{35} has fallen drastically.\textsuperscript{7} A similar pattern of substitution has been observed for fentanyl, where traditional opioids such as heroin were successively substituted with fentanyl and fentanyl analogs\textsuperscript{26} and, more recently, with non-fentanyl analogs, with effects similar to fentanyl, and analogs such as the nitazenes.\textsuperscript{57} Designer benzodiazepines such as etizolam are increasingly used as a replacement for their traditional counterparts.\textsuperscript{36} These changes in the market are expected to be reflected in substance combinations.

While the effects of the new combinations of emerging substances are often unpredictable, analogs are designed to provide legal alternatives to controlled substances and often have similar effects.\textsuperscript{7} Furthermore, the motivations for using and combining new substances remain similar to their classical counterparts;\textsuperscript{58} hence the relevance of characterizing and monitoring typical patterns of polysubstance use based on the preferences of people who choose to combine substances.

**Strengths and limitations**

An important strength of this rapid review is its focal and targeted scope. We reviewed evidence on an explicit and narrow definition of polysubstance use, which allows for a better understanding of combinations potentially involved in acute toxicity events. We defined an episode within a period of 24 hours, but we acknowledge that an episode of use can take place over several days and even weeks.\textsuperscript{50} Our review focused on articles published in the last decade to highlight patterns that may underlie the current overdose crisis. Qualitative data allowed us to create a richer portrait by characterizing the motivations for combining substances.

Certain limitations should be acknowledged. All included studies relied on self-reports that can be inaccurate because participants are not always aware of the content of a product, especially when using illegal substances.\textsuperscript{50} We did not explore the mode of substance use, although this could be a determinant of expected effect. Furthermore, some relevant studies may not have been identified by our search strategy given the broad nature of the concept of polysubstance use; thus the combinations reported only represent an overview.

The context in which people use substances is known to influence their behaviour,\textsuperscript{44} but published information on different settings with patterns of polysubstance use is limited. Finally, while no studies were excluded on the basis of sex/gender or identity of participants, the included work does not reflect the broad scope and diversity of experiences lived by people who use drugs.

**Conclusion**

While contextual factors such as changes in the illegal drug supply and availability of substance remain major drivers of behaviour, individual motivations significantly affect patterns of use. Putting a greater emphasis on the reasons why people choose to combine substances is a key factor in understanding polysubstance use patterns associated with higher risks of overdose. In doing so, we can better tailor harm reduction messaging to the complex reality of people who use substances.
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