

# Evidence synthesis

## Benchmarking unhealthy food marketing to children and adolescents in Canada: a scoping review

Monique Potvin Kent, PhD (1); Farah Hatoum, MPH (2); David Wu, BMSc (3); Lauren Remedios, BSc (1); Mariangela Bagnato, BHSc (1)

This article has been peer reviewed.

 [Tweet this article](#)

### Abstract

**Introduction:** Unhealthy food and beverage marketing in various media and settings contributes to children's poor dietary intake. In 2019, the Canadian federal government recommended the introduction of new restrictions on food marketing to children. This scoping review aimed to provide an up-to-date assessment of the frequency of food marketing to children and youth in Canada as well as children's exposure to this marketing in various media and settings in order to determine where gaps exist in the research.

**Methods:** For this scoping review, detailed search strategies were used to identify relevant peer-reviewed and grey literature published between October 2016 and November 2021. Two reviewers screened all results.

**Results:** A total of 32 relevant and unique articles were identified; 28 were peer reviewed and 4 were from the grey literature. The majority of the studies ( $n = 26$ ) examined the frequency of food marketing while 6 examined actual exposure to food marketing. Most research focussed on children from Ontario and Quebec and television and digital media. There was little research exploring food marketing to children by age, geographical location, sex/gender, race/ethnicity and/or socioeconomic status.

**Conclusion:** Our synthesis suggests that unhealthy food marketing to children and adolescents is extensive and that current self-regulatory policies are insufficient at reducing the presence of such marketing. Research assessing the frequency of food marketing and preschooler, child and adolescent exposure to this marketing is needed across a variety of media and settings to inform future government policies.

**Keywords:** *obesity, children, adolescents, food marketing, food environment, Canada, policy, self-regulation*

### Introduction

Child obesity in Canada has increased significantly over the last four decades, with approximately 14% of Canadian children living with obesity.<sup>1</sup> This trend is mirrored globally, with the prevalence of child obesity increasing more than eight-fold over the last 40 years.<sup>2</sup> Poor dietary quality is a contributing factor to obesity, and Canadian children are struggling to meet

the dietary recommendations set by Canada's food guide.<sup>3</sup> Recent studies have found that Canadian children's dietary intake is low in fruits and vegetables and high in sugar, sodium and saturated fats.<sup>3,4</sup>

Children's poor dietary intake is associated with unhealthy food and beverage marketing (hereafter referred to as food marketing).<sup>5</sup> Marketing is defined by the World Health Organization as "any form

### Highlights

- The frequency of food marketing to children and adolescents in Canada is ubiquitous. Although children's actual exposure to unhealthy food marketing exists in different media, the evidence base is limited.
- Most research focusses on frequency of exposure, children from Ontario and Quebec, and television and digital media.
- Research is needed to examine the frequency of food marketing and pre-schoolers', children's and adolescents' exposure to the marketing by geographical location, media and target population.

of commercial communication or message that is designed to, or has the effect of, increasing the recognition, appeal and/or consumption of particular products and services."<sup>6</sup> Children are exposed to food marketing in a variety of media, such as television, digital media and product packaging, and in schools and other spaces where they gather.<sup>7</sup>

The impact of food marketing is recognized as a function of both the exposure and power of the advertisements.<sup>6</sup> Exposure refers to the reach, frequency (also known as potential exposure) and impact of the message, while power refers to the content, design and execution of the message.<sup>6</sup> Frequency, or potential exposure, includes all advertisements on a specific medium that an individual may view,

### Author references:

1. School of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada
2. School of Health Sciences, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada
3. Michael G. DeGroote School of Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

**Correspondence:** Monique Potvin Kent, School of Epidemiology and Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa, 600 Peter Morand, Room 301J, Ottawa, ON K1G 5Z3; Tel: 613-562-5800 ext. 7447; Email: [monique.potvinkent@uottawa.ca](mailto:monique.potvinkent@uottawa.ca)

while actual exposure covers all advertisements actually viewed by an individual, as measured through self-reported methods or, more accurately, using measured media data or eye-tracking technology.<sup>8</sup>

Children are especially vulnerable to the persuasive marketing techniques used in food marketing because they often lack the cognitive skills needed to understand the intent of marketing.<sup>9</sup> Furthermore, the products marketed to children are typically nutrient poor and energy dense.<sup>10</sup>

In Canada, food marketing is primarily self-regulated by Ad Standards and the food and beverage industry through the Canadian Children’s Food and Beverage Advertising Initiative (CAI).<sup>11</sup> In the province of Quebec, food marketing to children less than 13 years old has been prohibited since 1980 under the *Consumer Protection Act* (CPA).<sup>12</sup> Previous scoping review evidence describing the impact of these food marketing regulations noted minimal improvement associated with the CPA in the power and frequency of food marketing to children in Quebec, and that loopholes in the CPA remain.<sup>7</sup> Elsewhere in Canada, no positive changes to food marketing were observed as a result of the CAI.<sup>7</sup>

As a result of the ineffectiveness of current regulations in Canada, Bill S-228, designed to prohibit food marketing targeting children under 13 years old, was introduced into the Senate of Canada in 2016.<sup>13</sup> Although this bill was passed by the House of Commons and the Senate, it did not receive final approval by the Senate before the dissolution of Parliament in 2019. In December 2019, the Prime Minister’s Mandate Letter to the Minister of Health once again recommended the introduction of new restrictions on food marketing to children in Canada.<sup>7</sup> Given that food marketing regulations are forthcoming, it is necessary to benchmark current levels of children’s exposure to unhealthy food marketing in a variety of media and settings. Such research can serve as essential baseline data for any future policy evaluations.

The most recent review of the evidence regarding food marketing to children in Canada assessed English language research published between January 2000 and September 2016.<sup>7</sup> Prowse<sup>7</sup> found the evidence base for children’s exposure to

unhealthy food marketing to be limited to television and product packaging. Moreover, the review concluded that Canadian regulations did not reduce children’s exposure to or the power of food marketing.<sup>7</sup> Although traditional media platforms such as television remain popular,<sup>14</sup> the growth of digital media usage<sup>15</sup> raises concerns over the various ways children may be exposed to food marketing.<sup>7</sup> The observed increases in screen time during the COVID-19 pandemic also contribute to children’s risk of exposure.<sup>16</sup> As such, examining food marketing to children on digital media and other nontraditional settings, in addition to traditional media, has become a point of research focus.

The purpose of our review was to provide an up-to-date assessment of the English and French language research on Canadian children’s exposure to food marketing in various media to determine where future research is needed. The objectives of this review are to explore the frequency (potential exposure) and actual exposure to food and beverage marketing by target population and its diversity, media and geographical distribution.

## Methods

We conducted a scoping review of the peer-reviewed and grey literature published between October 2016 and November 2021. As described by Arksey and O’Malley<sup>17</sup>, the use of scoping reviews was determined to be the most appropriate approach to collate a wide range of evidence and identify research gaps in the literature. A detailed search strategy for both peer-reviewed and grey literature was developed prior to conducting any searches. The review protocol was designed and

conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) guidelines<sup>18</sup> and was pre-registered with Open Science Framework (registration <https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/EG2CP>) to increase research transparency and prevent any duplication efforts.

### Eligibility criteria

All peer-reviewed journal articles and grey literature related to food marketing to children aged 0 to 17 years and published in either English or French were included. This age group was selected as the development of food marketing restrictions aimed at protecting children originally applied to those under 18 years old in Canada and current Health Canada food marketing monitoring efforts focus on this age group.<sup>12,19</sup>

We considered only grey literature reports that included primary research; compliance reports were excluded. A complete list of the eligibility criteria is shown in Table 1.

### Information sources and search strategy

A systematic search of the following eight academic databases was conducted to identify relevant peer-reviewed results: Ovid MEDLINE/PubMed, Web of Science, Scopus, ProQuest ABI/INFORM, ProQuest Canadian Business & Current Affairs (CBCA), Ovid Embase, Ovid PsycINFO and EBSCO Cumulative Index to Nursing & Allied Health Literature (CINAHL). The search strings used for the academic databases (available on request from the authors) were developed with guidance from a

**TABLE 1**  
Scoping review search methodology: eligibility criteria

| Eligibility criteria   | Exclusion criteria   |
|--|--|
| Published between October 2016 and November 2021   | Newspaper articles, working papers, conference papers or book chapters   |
| Canadian data  | Published outside of Canada  |
| Original research  | Did not describe original research   |
| Evidence on the frequency (potential exposure) of food marketing or child/adolescent exposure to and power of food marketing to children (aged 0–17 years) | Compliance reports (e.g. Ad Standards’ report evaluating the enforcement of the CAI among participating companies) |
| Available in English or French   | In languages other than French or English  |

Abbreviation: CAI, Canadian Children’s Food and Beverage Advertising Initiative.

university librarian with expertise in the health sciences. This search of electronic databases was conducted using only English search terms. All results retrieved by the search were imported into Covidence (Veritas Health Innovation, Melbourne, AU),<sup>20</sup> a web-based software for systematic reviews, and duplicates were automatically removed.

To identify relevant grey literature, a plan consisting of four different search strategies was developed: (1) grey literature databases; (2) Google searches; (3) targeted websites; and (4) consultation with experts. Grey literature strategies were adapted from those used by Godin et al.<sup>21</sup> The first strategy encompassed a search of English and French grey literature databases (names of databases available on request from the authors). Neither English nor French language searches yielded any results that met the eligibility criteria.

The second search strategy for grey literature consisted of two advanced Google searches (“pdf only” and “any file format” filters). The specific English and French search terms used are outlined in Table 2. Only the first 10 pages of results were pre-screened for relevancy. We used the app Bookmark Manager to document any potentially relevant documents. The same process was repeated for the second Google search, this time using the “any file format” filter. The third grey literature search strategy involved searching the targeted websites that had been identified in the previous Google searches.

Next, we contacted experts in the topic area to identify any relevant documents that were missing and to confirm the

comprehensiveness of our grey literature search results; this did not yield any other results.

### Study selection

Two reviewers (FH and DW) screened all peer-reviewed results using Covidence. The screening of search results from the electronic academic databases occurred in two phases. First, the title and abstract of each article was screened independently by the two reviewers (FH and DW) using the predefined eligibility criteria; any disagreements were resolved via consensus. Next, the full texts of potential articles were screened for eligibility by both reviewers. Disagreements were also resolved by discussion and/or consultation with a third reviewer (LR) when necessary. All articles that remained after full text screening were included in the study.

All English and French grey literature search results were screened by two independent reviewers (LR and MB) and any duplicates were removed. Figure 1 summarizes the study selection process for peer-reviewed and grey literature, based on the PRISMA-ScR reporting guidelines.<sup>18</sup>

### Data extraction and synthesis of results

Following the screening of results, data were extracted from each article by one reviewer (FH). The extracted data included author and publication year, publication type, location, data collection period, population (preschoolers [0–5 years], children [6–12 years] and adolescents [13–17 years]) and key results related to the frequency of children and youth’s exposure to food marketing. Validation of the extracted data was completed by a second reviewer (DW).

The results were subsequently grouped by outcome measure, either the frequency of advertising or actual advertising exposure.

## Results

A total of 32 relevant and unique articles were identified. Table 3 summarizes 35 studies extracted from the 32 unique publications by outcome measure (frequency or exposure to food marketing) and categorizes the studies by media and setting. Over half of the unique articles (n = 28) were peer reviewed and 4 were from the grey literature. Almost all articles described (n = 30) were cross-sectional studies, including one repeat cross-sectional study. A total of 27 studies examined the frequency of food marketing, while 8 examined actual exposure to food marketing in Canada.

The included literature examined the frequency or exposure to food marketing on television,<sup>10,22-27</sup> in digital media<sup>27-33</sup> and on packaging;<sup>34-41</sup> in schools,<sup>42,43</sup> movie theatres,<sup>44,45</sup> sports settings,<sup>46-48</sup> restaurants<sup>49,50</sup> and family-related festivals/events;<sup>45,51</sup> outdoors (e.g. billboards, bus shelters, etc.)<sup>52</sup> and in print.<sup>27</sup> Of all included studies, only 5 were conducted outside of Ontario or Quebec.

Figure 2 displays the number of included studies by target population and media/setting.

### Frequency and exposure to food and beverage marketing in various media


#### Television

Three studies examined the frequency of television food marketing directed at youth, but differences in the methodology and

**TABLE 2**  
English and French search terms used to conduct Google search

| Topic                 | English search terms  | French search terms  |
|-----------------------|---|--|
| 1: Food and beverages | “food,” “nutrition,” “beverage,” “drink”<br>Search terms combined with the operator: ‘OR’           | “alimentaire,” “nutrition,” “boisson”<br>Search terms combined with the operator: ‘OU’ |
| 2: Marketing          | “marketing,” “advertisement,” “advertising”<br>Search terms combined with the operator: ‘OR’        | “marketing,” “publicité”<br>Search terms combined with the operator: ‘OU’              |
| 3: Children           | “child,” “children,” “adolescent,” “teen,” “youth”<br>Search terms combined with the operator: ‘OR’ | “enfant”<br>Search terms combined with the operator: ‘OU’                              |
| 4: Canada             | “Canada”<br>Search terms combined with the operator: ‘OR’   | “Canada”<br>Search terms combined with the operator: ‘OU’                              |

**FIGURE 1**  
PRISMA flow diagram<sup>18</sup> of systematic search of peer-reviewed and grey literature (n = 32)



**TABLE 3**  
Frequency of food marketing frequency and exposure studies according to media, setting, data collection location, population, and data collection periods, October 2016–November 2021

| Media and settings | Frequency (potential exposure) |                      |                                     |                        | Exposure       |                            |                           |   |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---|
|                    | No. of studies                 | Location             | Population                          | Data collection period | No. of studies | Location                   | Population                | Data collection period  |
| Television         | 3                              | Canada <sup>22</sup> | Preschoolers, children, adolescents | 12 months (2018)       | 4              | Montréal, QC <sup>25</sup> | Preschoolers and children | 1 month in 2011, 1 month in 2016 and 1 month in 2019                  |
|                    |                                | Canada <sup>23</sup> | Preschoolers, children, adolescents | 12 months (2018)       |                | Toronto, ON <sup>24</sup>  | Preschoolers and children | 1 month in 2011, 1 month in 2013, 1 month in 2016 and 1 month in 2019 |
|                    |                                | Canada <sup>10</sup> | Children                            | 12 days (2017)         |                | Toronto, ON <sup>26</sup>  | Adolescents               | 1 month in 2011, 1 month in 2013 and 1 month in 2016                  |
|                    |                                |                      |                                     |                        |                | Canada <sup>27</sup>       | Adolescents               | 12 months (2014)  |


Continued on the following page

**TABLE 3 (continued)**  
**Frequency of food marketing frequency and exposure studies according to media, setting, data collection location, population, and data collection periods, October 2016–November 2021**

| Media and settings                            | Frequency (potential exposure) |  |   |                                       | Exposure       |                          |                          |                        |
|---|--------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
|   | No. of studies                 | Location   | Population                                    | Data collection period                | No. of studies | Location                 | Population               | Data collection period |
| Digital                                       | 4                              | Canadian websites <sup>30</sup>                                  | Children                                      | 12 months (2015)                      | 3              | Ottawa, ON <sup>32</sup> | Children and adolescents | 2017                   |
|   |                                | Canadian websites <sup>28</sup>                                  | Preschoolers and children                     | 12 months (2015–2016)                 |                | Ottawa, ON <sup>33</sup> | Children and adolescents | 2017                   |
|   |                                | Canadian websites <sup>31</sup>                                  | Children                                      | 6 months (2017)                       |                | Canada <sup>27</sup>     | Adolescents              | 12 months (2014)       |
|   |                                | Canadian websites <sup>29</sup>                                  | Children                                      | 12 months (2015–2016)                 |                |                          |                          |                        |
| Packaging                                     | 8                              | Québec City and Montréal, QC <sup>34</sup>                       | Children                                      | 2016, 2018, 2019                      | 0              | –                        | –                        | –                      |
|   |                                | Canada <sup>35</sup>   | Children                                      | 2013                                  |                |                          |                          |                        |
|   |                                | Canadian websites <sup>36</sup>                                  | Children                                      | 2 months (2018)                       |                |                          |                          |                        |
|   |                                | Nova Scotia <sup>37</sup>  | Children                                      | 2 months (2015–2016)                  |                |                          |                          |                        |
|   |                                | Toronto, ON <sup>38</sup>  | Children                                      | 2013                                  |                |                          |                          |                        |
|   |                                | Calgary, AB <sup>39</sup>  | Children                                      | 2009 and 2017                         |                |                          |                          |                        |
|   |                                | Ottawa, ON and Gatineau, QC <sup>40</sup>                        | Children                                      | 2015                                  |                |                          |                          |                        |
| Quebec <sup>41</sup>                          | Children                       | 6 months (2018–2019)   |   |                                       |                |                          |                          |                        |
| Schools and school settings                   | 2                              | British Columbia, Ontario and Nova Scotia <sup>42</sup>          | Primary and secondary school-aged             | 3 months (2016)                       | 0              | –                        | –                        | –                      |
|   |                                | Vancouver, BC <sup>43</sup>                                      | Elementary and secondary school-aged children | 1 day in June (2015)                  |                |                          |                          |                        |
|   |                                |  |   | 1 day in Sept. (2015)                 |                |                          |                          |                        |
| Movie theatres                                | 2                              | Ottawa, ON <sup>44</sup>   | Preschoolers, children and adolescents        | 4 months (2019)                       | 0              | –                        | –                        | –                      |
|   |                                | Quebec <sup>45</sup>   | Children                                      | 9 months (2018–2019)                  |                |                          |                          |                        |
| Sports clubs and recreational sports settings | 3                              | Ottawa, ON <sup>48</sup>   | Preschoolers, children and adolescents        | 4 months (2018)                       | 0              | –                        | –                        | –                      |
|   |                                | British Columbia, Alberta, Ontario and Nova Scotia <sup>47</sup> | Children                                      | 6 months (2015–2016), 4 months (2017) |                |                          |                          |                        |
|   |                                | British Columbia, Alberta, Ontario and Nova Scotia <sup>46</sup> | Children                                      | 6 months (2015–2016)                  |                |                          |                          |                        |
| Restaurants                                   | 2                              | Quebec <sup>50</sup>   | Children                                      | 2 months (2019)                       | 0              | –                        | –                        | –                      |
|   |                                | Southwestern Ontario <sup>49</sup>                               | Children                                      | 3 months                              |                |                          |                          |                        |
| Festivals/ events                             | 2                              | Quebec <sup>45</sup>   | Children                                      | 6 months (2018–2019)                  | 0              | –                        | –                        | –                      |
|   |                                | Ottawa, ON <sup>51</sup>   | Children and adolescents                      | 1 month (2019)                        |                |                          |                          |                        |
| Outdoor                                       | 1                              | London, ON <sup>52</sup>   | Adolescents                                   | 3 months (2020)                       | 0              | –                        | –                        | –                      |
| Print   | 0                              | –  | –   | –                                     | 1              | Canada <sup>27</sup>     | Adolescents              | 12 months (2014)       |
| Total number of studies                       | 27                             | –  | –   | –                                     | 8              | –                        | –                        | –                      |

**Note:** The total number of studies is greater than 32 as some publications included multiple studies in the same publication.

**FIGURE 2**  
Target population by media and settings of included studies



data collection periods make it challenging to compare the rates of advertising across these studies. Annual data drawn from television stations in 2018 revealed that the rate of food advertisements differed significantly between preschooler (0–5 years), child (6–12 years) and youth (13–17 years) age groups, from 0.6 to 3.3 advertisements/hour.<sup>23</sup> The same research team determined that more than half of the advertisements during children’s programming was produced by CAI-participating companies.<sup>22</sup>

An international study establishing children’s potential exposure to television food advertising determined that 25% of advertisements sampled over a 12-day period on the top three children’s stations in Canada were for food and beverages, and that the rate of 10.9 food advertisements/hour on child-specific channels were among the highest globally.<sup>10</sup>

Child and adolescent actual exposure to television food marketing in Canada has been measured both objectively, through television viewership data (n = 3), and through self-report (n = 1). In Toronto (Ontario), children (2–11 years) and adolescents’ (13–17 years) exposure decreased over time despite increases in the frequency of food marketing on television.<sup>24,26</sup> Similar exposure trends were also observed among children (2–11 years) in Montréal (Quebec).<sup>25</sup> Children and adolescents in Toronto and children in Montréal

appear to be exposed to similar, unhealthy food categories such as fast food and sugary drinks and snacks.<sup>24–26</sup>

Hammond and Reid<sup>27</sup> conducted an online survey to assess self-reported exposure to energy drink advertisements on television. Of youth aged 12 to 14 years and 15 to 17 years, 59% (233/393) and 56.2% (348/620), respectively, reported ever having seen an energy drink advertisement on television.

### Digital media

The frequency of food marketing on digital media has only been documented on websites. Two studies compared the presence of child-directed online content in companies participating in the CAI with companies that did not.<sup>30,31</sup> Both studies found that participation in the CAI did not deter the companies from marketing child-directed products (as defined by the presence of child-oriented features) nor the inclusion and promotion of corporate social responsibility initiatives designed to target children through support of school food programs or children’s sports programs on company sites.<sup>30,31</sup>

Other studies have documented varying levels of frequency of online food marketing. Two studies found that food marketing frequently appears on children’s (2–11 years) and adolescents’ (12–17 years) top 10 preferred websites, with an estimated 54 million and 14.4 million food

and beverage advertisements reported on children’s preferred and adolescent’s preferred websites respectively, from June 2015 to May 2016 alone.<sup>28,29</sup> Most advertisements on both types of websites were for foods and beverages classified as excessive in fat, sodium or free sugars.<sup>28,29</sup>

Three studies captured actual exposure to digital food marketing by youth.<sup>27,32,33</sup> Two studies by Potvin Kent et al.<sup>32,33</sup> measured child and adolescent exposure to food marketing over 10-minute periods on gaming applications (93 participants; aged 6–16 years) and on social media (101 participants; aged 7–16 years). Children and adolescents who used social media were exposed to food advertisements more frequently than those who used gaming apps (on average, 111 times/week versus 2.8 times/week, respectively).<sup>32,33</sup> In both types of applications, children and adolescents were most exposed to marketing of fast food, sugary drinks and candy/chocolate.<sup>32,33</sup>

Hammond and Reid<sup>27</sup> measured adolescent’s self-reported exposure to energy drink advertisements and found that more than one-third of youth aged 12 to 17 years viewed energy drink advertisements on social media. Between 35.6% (140/393) of youth aged 12 to 14 years and 39.3% (244/620) of youth aged 15 to 17 years reported seeing energy drink advertisements online.<sup>27</sup>

### Packaging

Eight studies assessed the proportion and types of food and beverage products commonly promoted in retail environments. Across most studies, the marketing of child-targeted products was apparent.<sup>34,35,39–41</sup> For instance, in the University of Toronto’s Food Label Information Program 2013 (FLIP 2013) database, almost 5% of the 15 200 packaged supermarket products captured displayed at least one marketing technique considered to be directed to children.<sup>35</sup>

Three studies identified breakfast cereals as a product that is frequently advertised to children.<sup>34,39,41</sup> Elliott<sup>39</sup> noted an increase in volume of child-targeted cereal products in two supermarkets over an 8-year span (31/354 to 59/374). The prominence of marketing breakfast cereal over other food categories is supported by research specifically characterizing child-directed marketing of breakfast cereals. Findings

from one study revealed that almost one-fifth of 262 breakfast cereals sold in Ottawa (Ontario) and Gatineau (Quebec) supermarkets were considered child targeted.<sup>40</sup> These cereals were also three times more likely to be classified as “less healthy” than non-child-targeted cereals and were considerably higher in sodium and free sugar.<sup>40</sup>

Similar findings on the healthfulness of frequently advertised child-targeted food and beverages are echoed elsewhere in Canada,<sup>36,38</sup> including in Montréal and Québec City (Quebec).<sup>34</sup> Of the products featuring child-directed marketing techniques in FLIP 2013, Mulligan et al.<sup>35</sup> determined that the majority (727/747) were classified as restricted from marketing to children based on Health Canada’s nutrient criteria. In contrast, Kholina et al.<sup>37</sup> found that “less healthy” products, including snack foods and sugary drinks, but not breakfast cereals, were heavily promoted to children and more prominently displayed in 47 grocery stores and 59 convenience stores in Nova Scotia.

### **Frequency and exposure to food and beverage marketing in various settings**

#### **Schools**

Evidence on food marketing both within and around schools in Canada is lacking. Only one recent study assessed the frequency of food marketing in schools, documenting that at least one type of food marketing was reported in 83.7% (129/154) of primary and secondary schools (n = 154) across British Columbia, Ontario and Nova Scotia.<sup>42</sup> Primary schools were more likely to report selling branded food items such as pizza, chocolate and fast food compared to secondary schools. However, secondary schools were more likely to report food marketing on school property, food product displays and exclusive marketing agreements with food companies.

One study examined the food marketing environment in schools. Findings from the Velazquez et al.<sup>43</sup> study support the prevalence of food marketing for minimally nutritious foods around schools in Vancouver (British Columbia). Almost all (22/26) of the schools participating in the study had at least one food and/or beverage advertisement within 400 metres, and 5 of the 26 schools had 50 or more advertisements in their immediate vicinity.<sup>43</sup> The majority of the food marketing promoted products that failed to fall within provincial school food guidelines.<sup>43</sup>

#### **Movie theatres**

Two studies reported food marketing from multiple sources within movie theatres, including advertisements in the movie theatre environment and those screened prior to children’s movies.<sup>44,45</sup> The results of these two studies indicate that a large volume of food advertisements, particularly for traditionally unhealthy movie theatre foods such as popcorn, soft drinks and candy/chocolate, are promoted both in the common spaces of movie theatres and before the start of children’s movies.<sup>44,45</sup> For example, a total of 1999 food advertisements were identified in movie theatre environments across seven movie theatres in Ottawa (Ontario) and 241 advertisements were observed prior to the screening of 28 children’s movies over a 4-month period.<sup>44</sup> All of these advertisements were considered to be restricted from marketing to children based on the World Health Organization’s Nutrient Profile Model.<sup>44</sup> Product placements in movies may also account for a small share of children’s potential exposure to food advertising.<sup>45</sup>

#### **Sports clubs**

This review identified three studies that focussed on food marketing in sports clubs and recreational sports settings. Two studies confirmed that food and beverage marketing is frequent in sports and recreational facilities, most commonly occurring in sites with food concessions, sports areas and other areas.<sup>46,47</sup> The median number of food advertisements across 16 recreational facilities in Ontario over 6 months was about 29.<sup>47</sup> In such settings, food marketing takes many forms including posters, signs and product placement.<sup>46</sup> Out of 51 recreational sports settings in Alberta, Ontario and Nova Scotia, food marketing was present in 98% (49/50) of all sites and over half of all food marketing instances were considered “least healthy.”<sup>46</sup>

Children’s sports clubs also present opportunity for food marketing to children. One study found that 40% (27/67) of 67 children’s sports clubs in Ottawa (Ontario) obtained some form of food company sponsorship, with fast food restaurants accounting for 41% of these sponsorships.<sup>48</sup>

#### **Restaurants**

Two articles reviewed food marketing in restaurants. Findings from these studies indicate a mix of strategies used to market to children in restaurants; one study of

20 restaurants in Quebec noted the frequent use of meal and food packaging as well as in-restaurant promotions (e.g. posters, toy displays, etc.) as a marketing tool among fast food restaurants.<sup>50</sup> Food marketing in family restaurants was predominantly present on children’s menus and included the use of branded marketing.<sup>49,50</sup> Neither study was designed to measure children’s actual exposure to these types of food marketing.

#### **Festivals/Events**

Of all the studies included in this review, only two described food marketing at family events. The Quebec Coalition on Weight-Related Problems examined marketing across 18 family festivals, amusement parks and ski facilities over 6 months; while findings suggested an overall improvement in the frequency of food advertising at family festivals, unhealthy foods were heavily promoted at amusement parks and child-directed advertising remained apparent at all three types of family venues.<sup>45</sup> One study examined the extent of food marketing-related content associated with social media; individual users were responsible for most marketing-related instances associated with a family-event compared to corporate or other post sources, and children were frequently featured in these types of social media posts.<sup>51</sup>

#### **Outdoor**

One study used Global Positioning System (GPS) points collected from a mobile application to monitor 154 adolescents’ (13–18 years old) proximity to outdoor advertising, such as in bus shelters, street-level posters and billboards (n = 97) over 3 months. The data collected revealed that most adolescents were exposed to at least one advertisement during this period.<sup>52</sup>

#### **Print**

There is a paucity of literature investigating print media food marketing. Hammond and Reid’s<sup>27</sup> online survey revealed that 22.4% (88/393) and 28.6% (177/620) of adolescents aged 12 to 14 years and 15 to 17 years, respectively, reported having ever seen a food or beverage advertisement in magazines or newspapers.

### **Discussion**

This scoping review reveals that food marketing to children and adolescents is prevalent in Canada across a wide variety of media and settings. While the studies

included in this review suggest digital media and television to be important sources of children's actual exposure to unhealthy advertisements, the evidence base is limited. The results of this review also highlight that less healthy food products, such as snack foods, sugary drinks and fast food, are commonly promoted in the array of settings and media, consistent with previous Canadian research.<sup>7</sup> Despite these findings, this review emphasizes the dearth of research exploring food marketing to children by age, geographical location, sex/gender, race/ethnicity and socioeconomic status.

### **Media examined**

Television, digital media and packaging collectively dominated the food marketing research focus, with over half of the identified studies focussing exclusively on these types of media. The levels of food marketing observed on television and in digital media are of particular concern given the high levels of screen time youth in Canada report, with 47% of youth aged 5 to 17 years spend over 2 hours per day on screens.<sup>53</sup> This is compounded by an exponential increase in social media and Internet usage among youth, providing even greater opportunity for the food industry to target children and adolescents.<sup>15</sup> Research also suggests that social media influencers are an increasingly popular promotional source for food products targeting youth.<sup>15,53</sup> Despite these findings, no research has examined children's exposure to unhealthy food marketing through digital media influencers.

This review also highlights a lack of exploration beyond traditional and digital media. This is consistent with the previous scoping review conducted by Prowse<sup>7</sup>; this review also cited a need for evidence of food marketing in other media frequently used by children to contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of sources of youth exposure to food and beverage marketing. Though one study in this review documented exposure to food marketing in gaming apps, exposure on other child and adolescent gaming platforms, such as computer games or arcade games, is less understood. This is an important area given that Canadian youth, on average, spend 0.75 hours per day playing video games.<sup>15</sup>

This review found some evidence on print and outdoor food marketing. While the

inclusion of such media in the recent literature differs to that prior to 2016,<sup>7</sup> the limited evidence base precludes interpretations on the extent of food marketing in these categories. Research from New Zealand also identified public spaces, including street signs and shop fronts, as a substantial source of children's exposure to unhealthy food advertising.<sup>54,55</sup> Future research should also address print, out-of-home (e.g. billboards, street furniture ads, bus "wraps") and radio marketing—platforms that may promote child-targeted products.

### **Settings examined**

The settings-based evidence presented in this review demonstrate that unhealthy foods and beverages are often promoted in settings frequented by children, such as schools and movie theatres. The emphasis on these settings is valuable and warrants further research to document children's actual exposure to food marketing in these environments. The paucity of literature on food marketing in other settings that impact children, including recreational centres, sports clubs or convenience stores, highlights a considerable knowledge gap that needs to be filled to inform policy design in this area.

### **Target population examined**

Children were assessed in all of the settings as part of this review (television, digital media, packaging, schools, movie theatres, sports clubs, restaurants and festivals/events). However, settings-based evidence is lacking for preschoolers and adolescents in schools, restaurants and festivals/events.

The research presented in this review focussed on children, with adolescents included in only 25% (9/32) of all publications. Preschoolers were rarely included (<15% of all studies), and almost no studies examined food marketing to either of these age groups. The majority of recent television studies examined adolescents while most digital marketing and food packaging research centred around children. The lack of emphasis on child populations outside the 6- to 12-year age range has been noted in the Canadian food marketing literature; Prowse<sup>7</sup> found a notable absence of preschooler- and adolescent-specific research prior to 2016. Younger children and adolescents represent critical stages in the development of dietary

habits.<sup>5</sup> Adolescents are frequently excluded from regulatory action against food marketing to children. A recent scoping review specifically on food marketing to adolescents also emphasized the dearth of studies focussing exclusively on adolescent populations and an existing focus on television advertising to youth.<sup>56</sup> Research benchmarking adolescent exposure to food marketing in a variety of media and settings will help justify their protection and inclusion in future marketing restrictions.

### **Geographical distribution examined**

Research on food marketing to children in Canada has primarily been conducted in Ontario and Quebec. Of the studies included, only four focussed on the province of Quebec. More research estimating the frequency and actual exposure to this marketing is needed in Quebec, particularly in digital media, in out-of-home marketing and in settings such as schools. Such research is especially important as the *Consumer Protection Act* (CPA) is being considered as a regulatory model for unhealthy food marketing restrictions nationally and internationally.

It is essential to benchmark food marketing to children and adolescents across many different provinces, given Canada's unique regulatory landscape. Previous evidence indicates that food marketing varies across regions in Canada, particularly television marketing.<sup>7</sup> To date, no studies have assessed food marketing to children in Saskatchewan, Manitoba, New Brunswick, Prince Edward Island or Newfoundland and Labrador or in any of the three Canadian territories.

### **Diversity in food marketing research**

Despite a growing evidence base of food marketing to children in Canada, there is a strong need to produce more nuanced research that considers exposure by language, sex/gender, race/ethnicity and socioeconomic status to enable the development of policies to protect these groups. Similarly, food marketing to Indigenous children in Canada remains an area severely underrepresented in the literature. While no studies in this review focussed on diversity in any of these aforementioned capacities, international research suggests that boys, as well as Black and Hispanic youth, may be disproportionately exposed to food marketing.<sup>57,58</sup>



## Research directions and policy implications

The evidence synthesized in this review elucidates several critical gaps in the food marketing literature in Canada. Food marketing to children is a complex issue, shaped by a myriad of sociological and physical factors. However, other than media and settings, the current literature lacks recognition and exploration of underlying sociodemographic factors, such as age, race/ethnicity, socioeconomic status, geographical location and language spoken in the home, which may contribute to differences in the type and frequency of food advertisements viewed by Canadian children. The results of several studies across multiple media platforms and settings between 2016 to 2021 illustrate the extensive state of food marketing to children and adolescents in Canada. The advent of new media, such as television streaming or online gaming platforms, as well as the impact of COVID-19 on the frequency and children's exposure to food marketing also necessitates further inquiry. Other settings contributing to unhealthy food marketing in children's daily lives, including recreational centres and convenience stores, should also be explored. Further research is needed to fully quantify children and adolescent's actual exposure across all research gaps identified in this review. With regard to policy, funding to support continued monitoring of food marketing to children and adolescents across a variety of media and settings needs to be provided by government. Such monitoring will inform future policy action that would aim to protect all youth in Canada from the harms of unhealthy food and beverage marketing.

## Strengths and limitations

This review captures the breadth of English and French peer-reviewed and grey literature examining the frequency of and children's exposure to unhealthy food marketing in Canada. This range highlights multiple potential research avenues for future research. However, this study did not evaluate the risk of bias or methodological quality of the research included. Furthermore, although data collection periods used to determine the frequency of food marketing or exposure to food marketing ranged from 1 day to 12 months, few studies examined marketing to children over a full year<sup>23</sup> and only four studies compared data over multiple different

years.<sup>24-26,39</sup> Marketing is a field that is constantly changing and evolving; the current research studies in Canada may not capture these changes.<sup>23</sup>

## Conclusion

This scoping review benchmarks current levels of food marketing to youth in Canada by media, target population and geographical location. The findings of this review demonstrate that unhealthy food marketing is prevalent in an increasing range of media platforms and settings frequented by children and adolescents. Although more nuanced research is needed to address food marketing in specific youth demographic segments, our evidence synthesis suggests that food and beverage marketing persists despite current self-regulatory and statutory policies within Canada. Further monitoring guided by the research gaps identified in this review may help inform future food marketing policies to protect children in Canada.

## Acknowledgements

Ottawa Public Health funded this research. We would also like to acknowledge Marie-Cécile Domecq's help in developing our search strategy.

## Conflicts of interest

None.

## Authors' contributions and statement

MPK conceived this study, developed the methodology, interpreted the results and revised the final manuscript.

FH collected data and wrote the draft manuscript.

DW collected the data and helped write the draft manuscript.

LR collected the data and revised the manuscript.

MB collected the data and helped interpret the results.

The content and views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the Government of Canada.

## References

1. Roberts KC, Shields M, de Groh M, Aziz A, Gilbert JA. Overweight and obesity in children and adolescents: results from the 2009 to 2011 Canadian Health Measures Survey. *Health Rep.* 2012;23(3):37-41.
2. Di Cesare M, Sorić M, Bovet P, et al. The epidemiological burden of obesity in childhood: a worldwide epidemic requiring urgent action. *BMC Med.* 2019;17(1):212. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-019-1449-8>
3. Jessri M, Nishi SK, L'Abbe MR. Assessing the nutritional quality of diets of Canadian children and adolescents using the 2014 Health Canada Surveillance Tool Tier System. *BMC Public Health.* 2016;16:381. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-016-3038-5>
4. Tugault-Lafleur CN, Black JL. Differences in the quantity and types of foods and beverages consumed by Canadians between 2004 and 2015. *Nutrients.* 2019;11(3):526. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11030526>
5. McGinnis JM, Gootman JA, Kraak VI, editors; Institute of Medicine. Food marketing to children and youth: threat or opportunity? Washington (DC): The National Academies Press; 2006. <https://doi.org/10.17226/11514>
6. World Health Organization. Set of recommendations on the marketing of foods and non-alcoholic beverages to children [Internet]. Geneva (CH): WHO; 2010 [cited 2021 Dec 01] Available from: [https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/44416/9789241500210\\_eng.pdf](https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/44416/9789241500210_eng.pdf)
7. Prowse R. Food marketing to children in Canada: a settings-based scoping review on exposure, power and impact. *Health Promot Chronic Dis Prev Can.* 2017;37(9):274-92. <https://doi.org/10.24095/hpcdp.37.9.03>
8. Helleve A, Sandberg H, Berg C, et al. Monitoring food marketing to children: a joint Nordic monitoring protocol for marketing of foods and beverages high in fat, salt and sugar (HFSS) towards children and young people. Copenhagen (DK): Nordic Council of Ministers; 2018. <https://doi.org/10.6027/TN2018-504>

9. Rozendaal E, Buijzen M, Valkenburg P. Comparing children's and adults' cognitive advertising competences in the Netherlands. *J Child Media*. 2010; 4(1):77-89. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17482790903407333>
10. Kelly B, Vandevijvere S, Ng S, et al. Global benchmarking of children's exposure to television advertising of unhealthy foods and beverages across 22 countries. *Obes Rev*. 2019;20(S2 Suppl 2):116-28. <https://doi.org/10.1111/obr.12840>
11. Ad Standards. The broadcast code for advertising to children [Internet]. Toronto (ON): Ad Standards; [updated 2020 Jul; cited 2021 Dec 01]. Available from: <https://adstandards.ca/preclearance/advertising-preclearance/childrens/childrens-code/>
12. Office de la protection du consommateur. Chapitre P-40.1. Loi sur la protection du consommateur. Titre préliminaire. Interprétation et application [Internet]. Québec (QC): Ministère du Travail, de l'Emploi et de la Solidarité sociale; [updated 2021 Nov 01; cited 2021 Dec 01]. Available from: <http://legisquebec.gouv.qc.ca/fr/document/lc/p-40.1>
13. Bill S-228: An Act to amend the Food and Drugs Act (prohibiting food and beverage marketing directed at children). 42nd Parliament, 1st session. December 3, 2015, to September 11, 2019 [Internet]. Ottawa (ON): The Senate of Canada; [cited 2021 Dec 01]. Available from: <https://www.parl.ca/LegisInfo/en/bill/42-1/S-228>
14. Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission. Communications Monitoring Report 2016. Ottawa (ON): CRTC; 2016 [cited 2021 Dec 05]. [https://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection\\_2016/crtc/BC9-9-2016-eng.pdf](https://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection_2016/crtc/BC9-9-2016-eng.pdf)
15. Brisson-Boivin K. The digital well-being of Canadian families. Ottawa (ON): MediaSmarts; 2018. p. 1-64. Available from: <https://mediasmarts.ca/sites/mediasmarts/files/publication-report/full/digital-canadian-families.pdf>
16. Seguin D, Kuenzel E, Morton JB, Duerden EG. School's out: parenting stress and screen time use in school-age children during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Affect Disord Rep*. 2021; 6:100217. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadr.2021.100217>
17. Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *Int J Soc Res Methodol*. 2005; 8(1):19-32. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1364557032000119616>
18. Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, et al. PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): checklist and explanation. *Ann Intern Med*. 2018;169(7): 467-73. <https://doi.org/10.7326/M18-0850>
19. Ad Standards. Children's food and beverage advertising initiative [Internet]. Toronto (ON): Ad Standards; [cited 2021 Dec 01]. Available from: <https://adstandards.ca/about/childrens-advertising-initiative/>
20. Covidence. Better systematic review management [Internet]. Melbourne (AU): Veritas Health Innovation; [cited 2021 Dec 01]. <https://www.covidence.org/>
21. Godin K, Stapleton J, Kirkpatrick SI, Hanning RM, Leatherdale ST. Applying systematic review search methods to the grey literature: a case study examining guidelines for school-based breakfast programs in Canada. *Syst Rev*. 2015;4(1):138. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-015-0125-0>
22. Pinto A, Pauzé E, Roy-Gagnon MH, Dubois L, Potvin Kent M. The targeting of preschoolers, children, adolescents and adults by the Canadian food and beverage industry on television: a cross-sectional study. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab*. 2021;46(6):651-60. <https://doi.org/10.1139/apnm-2020-0621>
23. Pinto A, Pauzé E, Mutata R, Roy-Gagnon MH, Potvin Kent M. Food and beverage advertising to children and adolescents on television: a baseline study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(6):1999. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17061999>
24. Pauzé E, Potvin Kent M. Children's measured exposure to food and beverage advertising on television in Toronto (Canada), May 2011–May 2019. *Can J Public Health*. 2021;112(6):1008-19. <https://doi.org/10.17269/s41997-021-00528-1>
25. Pauzé E, Remedios L, Potvin Kent M. Children's measured exposure to food and beverage advertising on television in a regulated environment, May 2011–2019. *Public Health Nutr*. 2021; 24(17):5914-26. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980021001373>
26. Czoli CD, Pauzé E, Potvin Kent M. Exposure to Food and Beverage Advertising on Television among Canadian Adolescents, 2011 to 2016. *Nutrients*. 2020;12(2):428. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12020428>
27. Hammond D, Reid JL. Exposure and perceptions of marketing for caffeinated energy drinks among young Canadians. *Public Health Nutr*. 2018; 21(3):535-42. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980017002890>
28. Potvin Kent M, Pauzé E. The effectiveness of self-regulation in limiting the advertising of unhealthy foods and beverages on children's preferred websites in Canada. *Public Health Nutr*. 2018;21(9):1608-17. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980017004177>
29. Potvin Kent M, Pauzé E. The frequency and healthfulness of food and beverages advertised on adolescents' preferred web sites in Canada. *J Adolesc Health*. 2018;63(1):102-7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2018.01.007>
30. Potvin Kent M, Pauzé E, Guo K, Kent A, Jean-Louis R. The physical activity and nutrition-related corporate social responsibility initiatives of food and beverage companies in Canada and implications for public health. *BMC Public Health*. 2020;20(1):890. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-09030-8>
31. Vergeer L, Vanderlee L, Potvin Kent M, Mulligan C, L'Abbé MR. The effectiveness of voluntary policies and commitments in restricting unhealthy food marketing to Canadian children on food company websites. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab*. 2019;44(1):74-82. <https://doi.org/10.1139/apnm-2018-0528>

32. Potvin Kent M, Pauzé E, Roy EA, de Billy N, Czoli C. Children and adolescents' exposure to food and beverage marketing in social media apps. *Pediatr Obes.* 2019;14(6):e12508. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijpo.12508>
33. Potvin Kent M, Pauzé E, Roy EA, de Billy N. Children's exposure to unhealthy food and beverage advertisements on smartphones and tablets in social media and gaming applications. Ottawa (ON): Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada; 2018. <https://www.heartandstroke.ca/-/media/pdf-files/what-we-do/news/m2ksocialandgamingappsstudy.ashx?la=#:~:text=Based%20on%20the%20total%20frequency.per%20year%20in%20gaming%20apps>
34. Gilbert-Moreau J, Pomerleau S, Perron J, Gagnon P, Labonté MÈ, Provencher V. Nutritional value of child-targeted food products: results from the Food Quality Observatory. *Public Health Nutr.* 2021;24(16):5329-37. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980021003219>
35. Mulligan C, Christoforou AK, Vergeer L, Bernstein JT, L'Abbé MR. Evaluating the Canadian packaged food supply using Health Canada's proposed nutrient criteria for restricting food and beverage marketing to children. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2020;17(4):1250. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17041250>
36. Chepulis L, Everson N, Ndanuko R, Mearns G. The nutritional content of children's breakfast cereals: a cross-sectional analysis of New Zealand, Australia, the UK, Canada and the USA. *Public Health Nutr.* 2020; 23(9):1589-98. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980019003537>
37. Kholina K, Grant A, Waddington M, et al. In-store food environment for adults and children in Nova Scotia, Canada. *Can J Public Health.* 2021; 112(3):430-9. <https://doi.org/10.17269/s41997-020-00431-1>
38. Bernstein JT, Christoforou AK, Mulligan C, L'Abbé MR. Examining the relationship between sugars contents of Canadian foods and beverages and child-appealing marketing. *Can J Public Health.* 2020;111(2):239-46. <https://doi.org/10.17269/s41997-019-00276-3>
39. Elliott C. Tracking kids' food: comparing the nutritional value and marketing appeals of child-targeted supermarket products over time. *Nutrients.* 2019; 11(8):1850. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11081850>
40. Potvin Kent M, Cameron C, Philippe S. The healthfulness and prominence of sugar in child-targeted breakfast cereals in Canada. *Health Promot Chronic Dis Prev Can.* 2017;37(9):266-73. <https://doi.org/10.24095/hpcdp.37.9.02>
41. Coalition Poids. Food advertising to children in the food retail sector. Montréal (QC): Quebec Coalition on Weight-Related Problems; 2019 [cited 2021 Dec 01]. <https://cqpp.qc.ca/app/uploads/2019/10/Food-advertising-to-children-in-the-food-retail-sector-1.pdf>
42. Potvin Kent M, Velazquez CE, Pauzé E, Cheng-Boivin O, Berfeld N. Food and beverage marketing in primary and secondary schools in Canada. *BMC Public Health.* 2019;19(1):114. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-6441-x>
43. Velazquez CE, Daepf MIG, Black JL. Assessing exposure to food and beverage advertisements surrounding schools in Vancouver, BC. *Health Place.* 2019;58:102066. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2018.12.007>
44. Wong S, Pauzé E, Hatoum F, Potvin Kent M. The frequency and healthfulness of food and beverage advertising in movie theatres: a pilot study conducted in the United States and Canada. *Nutrients.* 2020;12(5):1253. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12051253>
45. Coalition Poids. Food advertising to children at family venues and events. Montréal (QC): Quebec Coalition on Weight-Related Problems; 2019. <https://cqpp.qc.ca/app/uploads/2019/10/Food-advertising-to-children-at-family-venues-and-events-1.pdf>
46. Prowse RJ, Naylor PJ, Olstad DL, et al. Food marketing in recreational sport settings in Canada: a cross-sectional audit in different policy environments using the Food and beverage Marketing Assessment Tool for Settings (FoodMATS). *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2018;15(1):39. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-018-0673-5>
47. Prowse RJ, Naylor PJ, Olstad DL, et al. Impact of a capacity-building intervention on food marketing features in recreation facilities. *J Nutr Educ Behav.* 2020;52(10):935-43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneb.2020.03.009>
48. Pauzé E, Ekeh O, Potvin Kent M. The extent and nature of food and beverage company sponsorship of children's sports clubs in Canada: a pilot study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2020;17(9):3023. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17093023>
49. DuBreck CM, Sadler RC, Arku G, Gilliland JA. Examining community and consumer food environments for children: an urban-suburban-rural comparison in Southwestern Ontario. *Soc Sci Med.* 2018;209:33-42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2018.05.004>
50. Coalition Poids. Food advertising to children at fast-food and family restaurants. Montréal (QC): Quebec Coalition on Weight-Related Problems; 2019. <https://cqpp.qc.ca/app/uploads/2019/10/Food-advertising-to-children-at-fast-food-and-family-restaurants-1.pdf>
51. Amson A, Remedios L, Pinto A, Potvin Kent M. Exploring the extent of digital food and beverage related content associated with a family-friendly event: a case study. *BMC Public Health.* 2021;21(1):621. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-10716-w>
52. Wray A, Martin G, Doherty S, Gilliland J. Analyzing differences between spatial exposure estimation methods: a case study of outdoor food and beverage advertising in London, Canada. *Health Place.* 2021:102641. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2021.102641>
53. Statistics Canada. Physical activity and screen time among Canadian children and youth, 2016 and 2017 [Internet]. [Catalogue No.: 82-625-X]. Ottawa (ON): Statistics Canada; 2019 Apr 17 [cited 2021 Dec 01]. Available from: <https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/pub/82-625-x/2019001/article/00003-eng.htm>

- 
54. Liu W, Barr M, Pearson AL, et al. Space-time analysis of unhealthy food advertising: New Zealand children's exposure and health policy options. *Health Promot Int.* 2020; 35(4):812-20. <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapro/daz083>
  55. Signal LN, Stanley J, Smith M, et al. Children's everyday exposure to food marketing: an objective analysis using wearable cameras. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2017;14(1):137. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-017-0570-3>
  56. Truman E, Elliott C. Identifying food marketing to teenagers: a scoping review. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2019;16(1):67. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-019-0833-2>
  57. Castronuovo L, Guarnieri L, Tiscornia MV, Allemandi L. Food marketing and gender among children and adolescents: a scoping review. *Nutr J.* 2021;20(1):52. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12937-021-00706-4>
  58. Harris JL, Fleming-Milici F, Phaneuf L, et al. Fast food facts 2021. Fast food advertising: billions in spending, continued high exposure by youth [Internet]. Hartford (CT): Rudd Center for Food Policy & Obesity; 2021 Jun [cited 2021 Dec 01]. Available from: <https://media.ruddcenter.uconn.edu/PDFs/FACTS2021.pdf>