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Abstract

Objective: The purpose of this report is to describe the epidemiology of invasive meningococcal disease (IMD) in Canada from 2006 to 2011.

Methods: Data from the Enhanced Invasive Meningococcal Disease Surveillance System and national population estimates were selected for descriptive and inferential analyses. The geographic, demographic, seasonal and subtype distributions as well as clinical characteristics of the IMD cases were examined. Incidence and mortality rates were calculated per 100,000 population per year; 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated for rate comparison. The direct method was used for age standardization. Proportions were compared using the chi-squared test at a p<0.05 significance level.

Results: During the study period, the mean incidence rates of IMD were 0.58 (total), 0.33 (serogroup B), 0.07 (serogroup C), 0.03 (serogroup W-135) and 0.10 (serogroup Y). The median age for serogroups B, C, W-135 and Y was 16, 43, 38 and 47 years respectively. The mean age-specific incidence rates among infants under 1 year of age (7.35, CI: 5.38-9.32) and children from 1 to 4 years of age (1.89, CI: 1.54-2.24) were significantly higher than those in any other age group. The mean case fatality ratio was 8.1% (range 4.3%-14.3%). The average number of cases that occurred per month was significantly higher (p<0.0001) in winter (18 cases) than in summer (12 cases).

Conclusion: IMD is still endemic in Canada. Although individuals at any age can be affected, infants under 1 year of age are at the greatest risk, followed by children aged 1-4 years and individuals aged 15-19 years. Following the implementation of routine childhood immunization programs with monovalent meningococcal C conjugate vaccines (MenC) in all provinces and territories (beginning in 2007), the incidence of serogroup C has decreased significantly over the study period and is now at an all-time low. Serogroup B is the leading cause of IMD, and diseases of serogroup W-135 and Y have stabilized at relatively lower incidence rates. With the addition of immunization programs using quadrivalent conjugate meningococcal vaccines (MCV4), we would expect further reductions in the incidence of meningococcal infection in Canada.

Introduction

Invasive meningococcal disease (IMD) is caused by Neisseria meningitidis, a gram-negative bacterium. Neisseria are classified into subtypes according to the immunological reactivity of their polysaccharide capsule (1). The illness of IMD is often very rapidly progressive and typically presents as sepsis and/or meningitis (2). IMD has been nationally notifiable in Canada since 1924 (3) through the Canadian Notifiable Diseases Surveillance System, which provides only basic epidemiological data. In Canada, IMD cases caused by serogroups A and C were most frequently identified in cases before 1975 and serogroup B predominated between 1975 and 1985 (4). Since 1985, both the total number of IMD cases and the number of serogroup C cases increased and peaked in 1992 (5,6). Therefore, the Enhanced Invasive Meningococcal Disease Surveillance System (eIMDSS) was established in 1992 in Canada, capturing epidemiological and laboratory-linked data. Routine immunization programs for meningococcal C conjugate vaccine (MenC) in infants, recommended by the National Advisory Committee on Immunization (NACI) (6), have been introduced in Canada since 2002 (7). From 2002 to 2005, when only some Canadian jurisdictions had implemented MenC programs, the incidence of serogroup C disease...
decreased by 43% compared with the period of 1995-2001 (8). Information on IMD in Canada has been published by the Public Health Agency of Canada (the Agency) since 1979 (4,8-18). The last surveillance report published by the Agency described IMD in Canada from 2004 to 2005 (8). The following report describes the epidemiology of IMD in Canada from January 1, 2006, to December 31, 2011.

**Methods**

**Surveillance data**

This report is based on IMD data extracted from eIMDSS, with disease onset between January 1, 2006, and December 31, 2011. According to the national case definition (3) the surveillance during this period captured both confirmed and probable cases. Provincial and territorial departments of health report non-nominal epidemiological data to the Agency on all cases of IMD meeting the national case definition. Provincial and territorial public health and/or hospital laboratories send *N. meningitidis* isolates to the National Microbiology Laboratory for confirmation of serogroup and further bacteriologic analyses. These analyses consist of serotyping and subtyping for all isolates, multilocus enzyme electrophoresis or multilocus sequence typing (MLST) for all serogroup C isolates (16) and, more recently, MLST on isolates for outbreak or special analysis. Probabilistic matching was conducted to link epidemiological and laboratory data using the following variables: province/territory, date of birth or age, sex, onset date and serogroup.

Population estimates for the provinces and territories were obtained from Statistics Canada and were based on 2006 Census data (19). The 1991 Canadian population was chosen as the standard population for age standardization. The population distribution is based on the final postcensal estimates for July 1, 1991, Canadian population, adjusted for census undercoverage. The age distribution of the population has been weighted and normalized (20).

The data used in this study come from public health surveillance, which is exempt from research ethics board approval.

**Statistical analysis**

The geographic, demographic, seasonal and subtype distributions as well as clinical characteristics of the IMD cases were examined. Incidence rates were calculated using 2006-2011 data from eIMDSS and population estimates. All incidence rates and mortality rates are per 100,000 population per year. The direct method was used for calculating age-standardized rates. Cases with missing age were excluded from the age standardization and all age-related analyses. Confidence intervals (CIs) for incidence rates were calculated at the 95% confidence level. CIs of age-standardized rates were calculated according to the method based on the gamma distribution (21). CIs of crude and age-specific incidence rates were calculated using methods based on the Poisson distribution for case counts less than 100 and the normal distribution for case counts equal to and greater than100. Proportions were compared using the chi-squared test, and the significance level was selected at p<0.05. For seasonal analysis, winter was defined as November to April and summer as May to October. Descriptive and inferential analyses were conducted using Microsoft Excel 2010 and SAS EG 5.1.

**Results**

**Overview**

From 2006 to 2011, a total of 1,174 IMD cases were reported in Canada, ranging from 154 to 229 cases per year (Figure 1). With the exception of 21 cases for which information was missing, 1,121 cases (97%) were laboratory confirmed and 32 (3%) were probable cases. Of laboratory-confirmed cases, 777 (68%) were positive by bacterial culture, 111 (10%) by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and 44 (4%) by both culture and PCR; for the remainder the laboratory method was not specified.
Three cases without age information were excluded for age-standardization and other age-related analyses. Table 1 provides detailed crude and age-standardized incidence rates. The mean crude and age-standardized incidence rates were 0.58 and 0.60 respectively. The age-standardized incidence rate of IMD in 2010 (0.47, CI: 0.39-0.54) was significantly lower than in 2006 (0.70, CI: 0.61-0.80), 2007 (0.73, CI: 0.63-0.82) and 2009 (0.67, CI: 0.58-0.76). There were no significant differences identified in other year comparisons. Because of the small number of IMD cases of serogroup A, X, Z and 29E, they were combined as serogroup “other” for further analyses.

Table 1: Crude and age-standardized incidence rates (per 100,000 population) of IMD in Canada by serogroup and year, 2006-2011*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of rate</th>
<th>Serogroup</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>Mean (95% CI) 2006-2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crude</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.58 (0.49-0.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.33 (0.28-0.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.07 (0.02-0.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W-135</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03 (0.02-0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10 (0.09-0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other†</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.008 (0.003-0.014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-groupable</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.005 (0.003-0.007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04 (0.03-0.05)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Age-standardized | Total     | 0.70 | 0.73 | 0.61 | 0.67 | 0.47 | 0.55 | 0.60 (0.47-0.73)      |
|                 | B         | 0.40 | 0.44 | 0.33 | 0.40 | 0.24 | 0.36 | 0.36 (0.29-0.43)      |
|                 | C         | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.07 (0.02-0.11)      |
|                 | W-135     | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 (0.02-0.04)      |
### Type of rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serogroup</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Mean (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Y</strong></td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other†</strong></td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-groupable</strong></td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unknown</strong></td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* A total of 82 cases with serogroup missing were excluded for the calculation of crude incidence rates. A total of 83 cases with either age or serogroup missing were excluded for the calculation of age-standardized incidence rates.

† Other: Serogroup A, X, Z and 29E.

### Geographic distribution

As shown in **Figure 2**, IMD cases were reported in all provinces and territories in Canada but not in every year during the study period. Nunavut had the highest age-standardized incidence rate, of 4.09, in 2006. Because Prince Edward Island and the three territories (Yukon, Nunavut and the Northwest Territories) had reported cases in only one or two years and the populations in these jurisdictions are small, it is not appropriate to compare their mean age-standardized incidence rate with the rates of other provinces. The mean age-standardized incidence rate for Quebec (1.12, CI: 0.95-1.29) was significantly higher than for any other province except Newfoundland and Labrador (1.08, CI: 0.53-1.64) and New Brunswick (0.87, CI: 0.57-1.17). Among other province comparisons, the mean age-standardized incidence rates varied but not significantly.

**Figure 2: Age-standardized incidence rates (per 100,000 population) of IMD in Canada by province/territory and year, 2006-2011**

[Graph showing incidence rates across provinces and territories]

* A total of 3 cases without age information were excluded. Because Prince Edward Island and the three territories (Yukon, Nunavut and the Northwest Territories) had reported cases only in one or two years and the populations in these jurisdictions are small, it is not appropriate to compare their mean age-standardized incidence rate with the rates of other provinces. Therefore, mean age-standardized incidence rates for these four jurisdictions were not displayed.

### Demographic distribution

The age of all reported cases ranged from less than 1 month to 98 years, with a median age of 20 years. The range of median ages was 18-23 years across the study period. The age distribution varied among different serogroups of IMD cases (**Figure 3**). The median age for serogroups B, C, W-135 and Y was 16, 43, 38 and 47 years respectively. While constituting only 6% of the total population, children under 5 years accounted for 37% of serogroup B cases, 31% of serogroup W-135 cases but only 9% of serogroup C and 10% of Y cases. People over
40 years accounted for the majority of serogroup C (51%) and Y (59%) cases, nearly half of serogroup W-135 cases (48%) but only 21% of serogroup B cases.

Figure 3: Age distribution of IMD cases by serogroup, 2006-2011*

As shown in Table 2, the mean age-specific incidence rates among infants under 1 year of age (7.35, CI: 5.38-9.32) and children from 1 to 4 years of age (1.89, CI: 1.54-2.24) were significantly higher than those of any other age group. Adolescents from 15 to 19 years of age had the third highest incidence rate (1.17, CI: 1.00-1.34) except age 20 to 24 years (0.77, CI: 0.48-1.05).

Table 2: Age-specific incidence rates (per 100,000 population) of IMD in Canada by year, 2006-2011*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Mean (95%CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>7.71</td>
<td>6.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-29</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-59</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60+</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Three cases without age information were excluded.
During the study period, the mean age-standardized incidence rates were 0.63 (CI: 0.50-0.75) among males and 0.57 (CI: 0.42-0.73) among females, a difference that was not significant.

Seasonality
A total of 99 cases without onset date were excluded for seasonality analysis. The occurrence of IMD cases peaked in winter months. The average number of cases that occurred per month was significantly higher (p<0.0001) in winter (18 cases) than in summer (12 cases).

Subtype distribution

**Serogroup**
A total of 1,092 cases (93%) had serogroup information. The number of reported cases was highest for serogroup B (669), followed by serogroups Y (196), C (138) and W-135 (62). Cases of these four serogroups accounted for 91% of total reported IMD cases. IMD cases caused by other serogroups were rare and included serogroups 29E (7 cases), A (3 cases), X (2 cases) and Z (2 cases); 10 cases were non-groupable and 3 non-specified.

Table 1 and Figure 4 provide the detailed serogroup distribution of IMD cases in Canada. The mean age-standardized incidence rate of serogroup B was the highest (0.36, CI: 0.29-0.43) of all serogroups. The adjusted incidence rate of serogroup B in 2010 (0.24, CI: 0.19-0.30) was significantly lower than that in 2006, 2007 and 2009. The proportion of serogroup B cases among all IMD cases was the highest every year during the study period and ranged from 50% to 62% of cases. The age-standardized incidence rate of serogroup C decreased significantly from 0.14 (CI: 0.10-0.19) in 2006 to 0.01 (0.004-0.04) in 2011. The proportion of serogroup C cases was significantly higher than serogroup W-135 from 2006 (p<0.001) to 2008 (p=0.02); the difference was no longer significant from 2009 to 2011. The proportion of serogroup C cases became significantly lower than serogroup Y in 2010 (p=0.004) and 2011 (p<0.0001). Although the proportion of serogroup Y cases increased gradually from 13% in 2006 to 21% in 2011, the age-standardized incidence rates for this group did not change significantly over the study period (range 0.07 to 0.10). The proportion of serogroup Y remained significantly higher than that of serogroup W-135 from 2006 to 2011. The age-standardized incidence rate of serogroup W-135 ranged 0.02 to 0.04, and no significant difference was identified over the 6 years.

**Figure 4: Proportion of serogroups among all reported cases in Canada by year, 2006-2011**

*Figure 4: Proportion of serogroups among all reported cases in Canada by year, 2006-2011*
Serotypes and serosubtypes
Serotype and serosubtype analysis included 73% of the IMD cases (862/1,174), for which information was available. Of 507 serogroup B cases, 32% were serotype 17, followed by 22% for serotype 4; 14% were non-typable. The most common antigenic formulas for serogroup B were B:17:P1.19 (25%) and B:4:P1.4 (9%). Of 113 serogroup C cases, the majority were serotype 2a (83%), and 12% were non-typable. The most common antigenic formula for serogroup C was C:2a:P1.2,5 (30%). Of 163 serogroup Y cases, 29% were non-typable; 28% were serotype 2c and 25% were serotype 14,19. The most common antigenic formulas for serogroup Y cases were Y:14,19:P1.- (17%) and Y:2c:P1.2,5. (16%). Of 60 serogroup W-135 cases, 57 (95%) were non-typable, and the remaining 3 cases were serotype 19, 2a and 2c.

Clinical characteristics

Clinical diagnoses
During the study period, of 375 cases with clinical diagnoses, 46% had meningitis and 45% had septicemia, with or without other manifestations. Six cases had septic arthritis.

Fatality
A total of 94 deaths occurred during the study period. The case fatality ratios (CFRs) ranged from 4.3% in 2010 to 14.3% in 2011, with an average of 8.1%. The median age of fatal cases by year ranged from 17 to 26 years, except in 2008, when it was 45 years. There was no statistical age difference identified (p=0.11). CFRs of serogroups B, C, W-135 and Y were 5.5% (CI: 4.0-7.5%), 14.5% (CI: 9.6-21.3%), 8.1% (CI: 3.5-17.5%) and 11.2% (CI: 7.5-16.4%) respectively. There was a significant difference in CFRs between serogroup B and serogroup C.

Mortality
The age-standardized mortality rate of IMD ranged from 0.02 in 2010 to 0.07 in 2011, with a mean of 0.05. Infants had the highest morality rate, of 0.62 (CI: 0.30-0.96).

Discussion
This study demonstrates that IMD is still endemic in Canada. Although individuals at any age can be affected, infants under 1 year of age are at the greatest risk, followed by children aged 1-4 years and then individuals aged 15-19 years. IMD incidence showed typical seasonal variation, peaking in the winter. In Canada, serogroups B, C, W-135 and Y were the most commonly reported serogroups. These finding are consistent with previous Canadian data (8,17,22). With the introduction of routine childhood immunization programs and routine adolescent immunization programs (7), not unexpectedly the incidence of serogroup C has decreased significantly over the study period. Since 2007, all Canadian provinces and territories have offered routine childhood immunization programs against meningococcal C infection as a part of their routine programs (7). Compared with the early implementation period (2002-2005) of MenC immunization programs, the mean incidence of serogroup C decreased by 39% from 0.18 (8) to 0.07. Serogroup B is the leading cause of IMD, and diseases of serogroup W-135 and Y have stabilized at relatively lower incidence rates. NACI recommended the use of quadrivalent conjugate meningococcal vaccines (MCV4) for high-risk groups aged 2-55 years in 2007 and routine adolescent immunization programs with either MenC or MCV4 in 2009 (7). During the study period, only a few provinces and territories had implemented MCV4 immunization programs. Therefore, this study is not able to assess the impact of immunization programs for MCV4. Further years of enhanced surveillance data are needed.

Studies of population biology of invasive N. meningitidis strains by MLST have revealed a predominant clone of serogroup B ST-269 in the province of Quebec leading to an increase in the incidence of IMD (23-25). In the neighbouring province of Ontario, no similar increase of this strain or cases of disease have been observed (26,27). An unusual shift in the genotype of invasive meningococcal C strains has also been observed, from predominantly ET15 type to ET-37 (non-ET-15) type (28). The overall case-fatality rate of IMD in Canada remained steady, as in previous years (8,17), and is comparable to that of other nations (29,30). IMD caused by serogroup C was much more severe than disease caused by serogroup B in terms of fatality. The serotype and
serosubtype distributions of *N. meningitidis* strains in this study were similar to those of cases reported in previous years (8), based on a limited number of samples.

In conclusion, on the basis of national enhanced data, following the implementation of routine childhood immunization programs for MenC in all provinces and territories (beginning in 2007) the incidence of meningococcal C is now at an all-time low. With the addition of MCV4, we would expect further reductions in the incidence of meningococcal infection in Canada. Future studies with a focus on the impact of various meningococcal vaccination programs in Canada are needed.

**Limitations**

The eIMDSS does not receive data from provinces and territories in real time, nor are cases that are reported at the national level linked with laboratory and epidemiological (lab-epi) data. In addition, as there are no formalized data-sharing agreements, some data elements were incomplete. Therefore, it is not possible to determine whether this study has underestimated or overestimated case characteristics. Cases that were part of an outbreak were not identified as such in this study because of the lack of detailed data provided by provinces and territories. The immunization status of cases was not analyzed because over half of the cases had missing immunization history. Because of the unreliability of results based on small numbers, caution should be used when interpreting results such as serogroup-specific fatality ratios, mortality rates and incidence rates based on less than 20 cases.
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Introduction
Zoster infection results from the reactivation of the varicella zoster virus and typically occurs many years after primary infection. Varicella zoster virus is associated with chicken pox in childhood and zoster infection in adulthood. The overall incidence of zoster is increasing in Canada, the elderly being the most susceptible. Immunocompromised persons are also an increased risk of zoster infection. Zoster infection is characterized by neuropathic pain and a dermatomal rash, and carries a lifetime risk of 15% - 28% in Canada. Complications of zoster infection occur in 13% - 40% of cases. The most frequent complication is pain, including post-herpetic neuralgia, which is significant pain persisting longer than 90 to 120 days after rash onset. Post-herpetic neuralgia has a significant adverse impact on quality of life and occurs in one-third of zoster cases over the age of 60, the risk increasing with age. On the basis of scientific evidence, the National Advisory Committee on Immunization recommends zoster vaccine for the prevention of zoster and its complications in persons 60 years and older without contraindications and recommends that it may be used in those aged 50-59 years. Zoster vaccine is currently not publicly funded in Canada. This document is a brief summary of the full report of the Canadian Immunization Committee (CIC) zoster recommendations (1).

Recommendations for Zoster Immunization Programs

Objective
To consider whether a population-based, publicly funded zoster vaccine program can be recommended.

Approach
The CIC statement considered the disease characteristics and burden of illness; vaccine safety and efficacy; immunization strategies and programs; cost-effectiveness; feasibility and acceptability; ability to evaluate a zoster immunization program; outstanding research questions; and equity, ethical and political considerations.

Findings
Zostavax® is a live, attenuated zoster vaccine approved for use in Canada in those aged 50 years and older without contraindications. The efficacy and safety of Zostavax® have been demonstrated through the Shingles Prevention Study and related substudies; the duration of protection beyond 7 years is uncertain, and studies to 10 years are in progress.

The delivery of zoster vaccine can be optimized by coadministration with influenza and pneumococcal vaccines given to older adults. A review of cost-effectiveness analyses from 12 publications from seven countries indicates that cost-effectiveness is maximized when zoster vaccine is given to adults between the ages of 60-69. The feasibility of a zoster immunization program may be affected by the need for the present formulation to be frozen. However, it is currently projected that a refrigerator-stable formulation will be available in Canada starting March 2014, which will likely improve feasibility.

Evaluation of a zoster immunization program will be complex, and many factors must be considered, such as the availability of information systems to 1) measure coverage, utilization and quality; 2) monitor reduction of disease incidence, complications, sequelae and mortality; 3) monitor adverse events associated with vaccine administration; and 4) link health outcomes databases, immunization registries and population registries. Ongoing and planned research includes studies involving older adults and immunocompromised individuals, studies with
an inactivated heat-stable vaccine, and studies of the duration of protection. The long-term impact of varicella vaccine programs on zoster epidemiology should be studied further, along with vaccine effectiveness as demonstrated by a reduction in disease rates, burden of illness and rates of post-herpetic neuralgia.

Recommendations
The CIC recommends routine offering of zoster vaccine to immunocompetent adults aged 60 to 65 years and older without contraindications on the basis of the epidemiology of varicella zoster virus, zoster vaccine characteristics, disease modeling and economic analysis, as well as on the feasibility and acceptability of zoster immunization programs. There are no national goals for zoster prevention in Canada, and development of such goals would guide the planning of organized programs. In the absence of a publicly funded program, the vaccine is available for private purchase.
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