A shorter treatment regimen for latent tuberculosis infection holds promise for at-risk Canadians
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Abstract

Despite recent success in reducing its incidence, tuberculosis remains a considerable challenge in Canada, particularly among foreign-born and Indigenous populations. A key component of the strategy for controlling the disease is the treatment of latent tuberculosis infection (LTBI). The standard treatment consists of isoniazid (INH) daily for nine months. In recent years, shorter regimens have been developed in the hope of increasing rates of treatment acceptance and completion. Of these, the shortest and most recently developed is a combination of INH and rifapentine taken once weekly for 12 doses (3HP), typically using directly observed therapy. This regimen has been approved by the Food and Drug Administration in the United States but is not yet authorized for use in Canada.

Based on a rapidly expanding number of observational studies and randomized controlled trials, 12 weeks of 3HP appears to have similar efficacy to nine months of INH, a favourable adverse event profile and potentially improved rates of treatment completion. Although rates of treatment acceptance, the role of self-administered therapy and the regimen’s cost-effectiveness within the Canadian context remain uncertain, 3HP is a promising alternative to existing treatments for LTBI.

Introduction

Although the incidence of active tuberculosis (TB) in Canada has gradually declined over the past decade, the disease remains a major health challenge that disproportionately affects marginalized populations (1). The burden of the disease—90% of active cases—falls on the foreign-born populations and Canadian-born Indigenous people (1). In 2014, 69% of reported new cases of active TB in Canada occurred in the migrant population where the incidence rate was 13.7/100,000 (1). Among First Nations, who comprise the majority of Canadian-born Indigenous people, the incidence rate was even higher at 19.3/100,000 (1). However, the highest rate of active TB in Canada was reported among the Inuit, with an incidence of 198.3/100,000, a rate over 300 times that of the Canadian-born, non-Indigenous population (1). In fact, this rate is similar to that of the TB burden in developing countries such as Afghanistan, Ethiopia and Bangladesh (2).

The World Health Organization has set an ambitious strategy to end the global TB epidemic by 2035. They defined the end as reaching a global incidence rate of fewer than 100 cases per million population (3). A vital component of the overall approach to eliminating TB is reliable testing and effective treatment of latent tuberculosis infection (LTBI) to prevent active disease in at-risk populations (3). LTBI occurs when individuals are exposed to infectious active TB and their immune system sequesters viable Mycobacterium tuberculosis bacilli but does not lead to active disease (4). While neither infectious nor symptomatic, those with LTBI carry a 5%–15% lifetime risk of developing active TB from reactivation of the sequestered bacilli (5). Clinically, LTBI is diagnosed by either a positive tuberculin skin test (TST) or a positive interferon-gamma release assay (IGRA), in the absence of active disease (6). Although neither of these tests represent a gold standard, they are the recommended tests for the diagnosis of LTBI in Canada (6).

Treatment of latent TB infection

Treatment of those with LTBI reduces the risk of developing active disease by 60%–90% (7), which in turn prevents ongoing transmission (8). Although treatment efficacy in preventing active TB is one important aspect in the selection of a treatment regimen, it is not the only factor to consider. The goal of therapy is to reduce the individual risk of developing active TB and to reduce TB transmission within populations. Other important considerations include anticipated rates of acceptance (the proportion of those offered treatment who initiate the treatment) and completion (the proportion of those who accept treatment who finish the treatment). Adverse effects are also of central importance, particularly since LTBI treatment is usually given to healthy patients in the hopes of preventing disease. Finally, the cost effectiveness of the regimen in comparison to other competing interventions must be evaluated.
The current international and Canadian standard for the treatment of LTBI is nine months of daily isoniazid (INH9) (6). However, the prolonged duration of this treatment may hinder completion of therapy (9). One shorter-course option is rifampin (RMP) given daily for four months. This regimen has been shown to be as safe as INH9 and to improve the adherence rate, but its effectiveness is less well established (10,11). A multicentre, multinational randomized controlled trial to be completed shortly compares the effectiveness of RMP and INH9 (12). Another alternative is a combination of INH and RMP given daily for 3–4 months. This regimen likely has a safety and efficacy profile similar to INH-based regimens (13,14).

However, even these shorter-course regimens require adherence to daily therapy for several months. A newly developed regimen consists of a combination of INH and rifapentine given once weekly for 12 doses (3HP). Rifapentine was initially developed as a treatment for active TB in the 1970s and early 1980s (15), but its use by HIV patients for the continuation phase of active TB treatment demonstrated rates of treatment resistance higher than that of rifampin (16). As such, rifapentine is not recommended for use in active TB in Canada (17). Nonetheless, it is an effective short-course treatment option that requires by far the fewest doses of any regimen. This regimen was recently approved for the treatment of LTBI by the United States Food and Drug Administration but it is not yet authorized for use in Canada.

**Treatment efficacy**

The first randomized controlled trial (RCT) to evaluate 3HP for LTBI was published in 2006 (18), over 20 years after the development of rifapentine (15). As with other more recent RCTs, 3HP was tested using directly observed therapy (DOT) (18-21). This trial, which compared 3HP to a regimen of two months of daily RMP and pyrazinamide, showed comparable efficacy in preventing active TB and lower rates of adverse effects with 3HP (18). Note that RMP/pyrazinamide is no longer recommended for LTBI treatment because of the increased risk of severe liver injury and death compared to INH (6). A subsequent trial conducted in HIV patients showed the efficacy of 3HP, INH given for six months, INH given continuously for up to six years and a combination of INH and RMP given for 12 weeks to be comparable (19). These findings prompted the PREVENT TB trial, a large multicentre non-inferiority RCT that compared directly observed 3HP and self-administered INH9 (20). The trial included nearly 4,000 patients per arm and demonstrated the non-inferiority of 3HP compared to INH9 (20). To the best of our knowledge, no trials comparing self-administered 3HP to INH9 have been published. A follow-up study to the PREVENT TB trial that focused on the pediatric population showed similar results (21). Furthermore, a 2013 Cochrane review (22) and a recent network meta-analysis support the efficacy of 3HP (14). An earlier network meta-analysis published in 2014 did not find a statistically significant benefit of 3HP in preventing active TB compared to other regimens, but interpretation of this study is complicated by the inclusion of numerous studies that did not confirm LTBI (23).

**Treatment acceptance and completion**

Because acceptance of treatment cannot accurately be determined in randomized trials (given that patients accept entry into the trial rather than a specific regimen), data are limited to observational studies. Unfortunately, rates of treatment acceptance are often low. In a study of all the patients who tested positive for LTBI in Tennessee between 2002 and 2006, only 53% of those offered treatment began taking it and only 54% of those who started treatment completed it (24). Furthermore, a recent meta-analysis of 58 studies, mainly from high-income countries and published between 1946 and 2015, showed that only about 30% of those with LTBI who were offered treatment started it and only 18.8% completed treatment (25). In addition, the meta-analysis estimated an acceptance rate of 62% for treatment regimens consisting of isoniazid monotherapy (25). The included studies involved a variety of treatment regimens and took place in a range of populations including contacts of patients with active TB, marginalized populations, migrants and the general population (25).

A 2016 study by the New York City Health Department found the acceptance rate of 3HP to be 302/503 (60%) with 92/503 (18%) choosing other treatments and the remainder refusing all treatment (26). The overall rate of treatment acceptance was similar to that previously recorded in the clinic (historical control), which had predominantly offered INH9 (26). Among those who opted for other therapies, the requirement for DOT in the clinic was the reason most often cited for declining 3HP (26).

Improving treatment completion rates is a key goal of the shorter-course regimens. In the 2006 RCT by Schechter et al., completion of both 3HP and RMP/pyrazinamide was approximately 93% (18). Martinson et al. reported a completion rate of 95.7% for 3HP, which was higher than comparator regimens (19). In the PREVENT TB trial, 82% of patients completed therapy in the 3HP arm compared to 69% of those in the INH9 arm (P<0.001) (27). Risk factors for non-completion included experiencing an adverse event, substance use and a history of incarceration (27). A network meta-analysis of RCTs found higher rates of completion for 3HP and INH/RMP compared to INH monotherapy (14).

Observational studies have also supported improved completion rates with the 3HP regimen. In New York City Health Department TB clinics, 196/302 (65%) of patients completed their regimen of 3HP compared to 42/92 (46%) who opted for other treatment (P<0.01) which was higher than the 34% in historical controls (P<0.01) (26). Among those incarcerated in California, 77/91 (85%) patients completed 3HP compared to 28/154 (18%) who completed INH9 (28). In an observational study at an American community health centre, 35/45 (78%) of patients in the 3HP arm and 49/94 (52%) of patients in the INH9 arm completed treatment (P=0.005) (29). The degree to which higher completion rates are driven by the use of DOT remains unclear.

**Adverse events**

Adverse events are another crucial component of LTBI treatment regimen selection. The PREVENT TB trial reported high rates of broadly defined hypersensitivity reactions with 3HP (20). However, the definition of such reactions was wide-ranging, including a combination of some 17 possible clinical criteria, and the severity of these reactions was not initially defined (20). Based on concerns about such reactions, the *Canadian Tuberculosis Standards* recommends the regimen be used only under closely monitored circumstances (6). In 2015, Sterling
et al. published a more detailed analysis of the adverse events in the PREVENT TB trial, clarifying this issue (30). Systemic drug reactions were more common in the 3HP regimen than the INH9 regimen, with rates of 138/3,893 (3.5%) and 15/3,659 (0.4%), respectively (\(P<0.001\)) (30). However, these reactions were mostly a flu-like syndrome (63%) and cutaneous reactions (17%) (30). Severe reactions were rare (0.3%) and were associated with concomitant medications and White race (30). No patient developed anaphylaxis and no deaths were attributable to medication (30).

Reporting of adverse events was limited in early trials of 3HP (18,19). However, rates of hepatotoxicity among patients taking 3HP have been lower than comparators in all RCTs (18-21). In the PREVENT TB trial, 18/4,040 (0.4%) patients in the 3HP arm versus 103/3,759 (2.7%) in the INH9 arm developed hepatotoxicity (20). An unpublished post-marketing study monitoring 2,134 patients taking 3HP in the United States found the side effect profile to be similar to that in the PREVENT TB trial (20,31). FEVERS and chill were reported in 126/2,134 (6%) and myalgia or arthralgia in 148/2,134 (7%) (31). Hepatotoxicity occurred at a rate of 10/2,134 (0.5%). In an incarcerated population, 5/91 (5.5%) developed transient fever and chills (28). A recent systematic review of the adverse events associated with 3HP found that rates of adverse events of this regimen compared favourably to those of other LTBI treatments but with higher rates of flu-like reactions and less hepatotoxicity (32). A comparison of INH9 and 3HP is summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: The treatment schedule, acceptance, completion and adverse event rates of isoniazid (INH) and INH plus rifapentine (3HP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Dosing schedule</th>
<th>Observed?</th>
<th>Acceptance rate</th>
<th>Completion Rate</th>
<th>Rate of systemic drug reactions</th>
<th>Hepatotoxicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isoniazid (INH)</td>
<td>Daily dose for 9 weeks</td>
<td>No. Self-administered</td>
<td>62% (26)</td>
<td>18-69% (27-30)</td>
<td>0.4% (31)</td>
<td>2.7% (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INH+ rifapentine (3HP)</td>
<td>Weekly dose for 12 weeks</td>
<td>Yes. Directly observed treatment</td>
<td>60% (27)</td>
<td>65%-95% (18,19, 27-30)</td>
<td>3.5% (31)</td>
<td>0.4% (20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some patients represented in this estimate were offered INH for six or 12 months rather than nine months

*Note: The 3HP treatment regime is not yet authorized for use in Canada.

**Conclusion**

While TB remains a challenge in Canada, particularly among foreign-born and Indigenous populations, newer regimens offer expanded options for the treatment of LTBI. Compared to the standard regimen of daily INH for nine months, a regimen of the more recent 3HP is shorter, equally effective, with improved completion and a generally favourable adverse effect profile. However, uncertainty remains with respect to acceptance and completion rates given the current use in the DOT setting as well as the role for self-administered therapy and the impact of the regimen in remote communities. The cost-effectiveness within the Canadian context has not been established. Several ongoing trials will help to answer these questions. A study comparing the adherence to self-administered versus directly observed 3HP has been completed (37), but not yet reported. The Taima TB: 3HP study, which is currently recruiting in Iqaluit, Nunavut, will examine the acceptance and completion rates of 3HP versus those of the 9-month, twice weekly INH regimen that is standard in the territory (38). A trial in Ottawa will attempt to compare the acceptance and completion of 3HP and INH9 in an urban Canadian setting with a high proportion of foreign-born residents. Such trials will help to clarify the optimal role of the 3HP regimen, in anticipation of the regimen’s authorization for use in Canada.
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