

From: Mary Everett <email address removed>

Sent: June 22, 2016 1:41 PM

To: EA Review / Examen EE (CEAA)

Subject: Terms of Reference

To whom it may concern;

I applaud the government for initiating this review and for allowing public input! As I begin to read the terms of reference I notice that the main focus is to allow us to "bring product to market". If this is listed right at the beginning of your review then I wonder if the science, which has already been done will be given any credence at all. Will this become an exercise in futility? If not and this government plans to take the climate crisis seriously should it not accept the premise that oil to market is not the way to go? Will this be any different a process than the flawed process we currently have which also is trying to get "product to market"? Can the conclusion be achieved where we can't get product to market safely? We already know pipelines will break putting soil and water systems at risk for destruction. Can there be any further outcome?

I hope you will give some response to my concerns if at all possible so that I may know where your government stands on this issue.

Thanks.

Mary Everett